INTRODUCTION

Determination of age structure is essential to fishery stock assessments (Beamish & McFarlane 1983). In the most recent stock assessment of Florida’s blue crab Callinectes sapidus fishery (Murphy et al. 2007), it was noted that data on population age structure was particularly lacking. The means of determining age in blue crabs is a topic of scientific debate because of the complicated nature of their discrete, rather than continuous, growth patterns. Chesapeake Bay researchers developed a method of aging blue crabs by assaying the aging pigment lipofuscin extracted from eyestalk nerve tissue. Here we investigated the usefulness of that method in determining the age of blue crabs inhabiting Florida waters. Assays of a wild-caught, young-of-the-year cohort that was pond-raised revealed a negative linear trend in lipofuscin indices over an 18 mo culture period. Assays of a tank-raised cohort of captive-bred crabs of known age also showed no relationship between the lipofuscin index and age over an 11 mo period. These results suggest that lipofuscin assays, as used in the Chesapeake Bay populations, are not useful in determining the age of blue crabs in Florida waters. Further study is warranted to determine how the procedure might be modified for Florida blue crab populations.
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the same age in different ways resulting in body size being a poor indicator of age. Therefore age cannot be accurately determined by length and leaves length-based analysis highly uncertain (Murphy et al. 2007).

Lipofuscin is a fluorescent pigment that has been studied broadly through histology and microscopy since 1886 in vertebrate and invertebrate taxa for its role in senescence (Szweda et al. 2003, Cassidy 2008). Terman & Brunk (2004a) stated that lipofuscin was an age pigment, regarding it as the 'hallmark of aging.' Lipofuscin is acquired in postmitotic cells (e.g. neurons and cardiac myocytes) as a function of oxidative stress and accumulates as a brown-yellow, autofluorescent, electron-dense material (Brunk & Terman 2002). Through microscopy, lipofuscin in cells has been shown to increase linearly with age, making it a useful tool in age determination (Brunk & Terman 2002, Terman & Brunk 2004b). However, this histological method for assay of lipofuscin is expensive, labor intensive, time consuming, and results in small sample sizes.

Extraction of lipofuscin permits relatively rapid and inexpensive processing and analysis of a large number of samples, making it an appealing approach for determining the age composition of crustacean populations (Puckett et al. 2008). Ju et al. (1999, 2001) and Puckett et al. (2008) validated age estimates in blue crabs from Chesapeake Bay using this extraction method, finding a strong positive correlation between extracted lipofuscin levels and age of captive-bred blue crabs reared under semi-wild pond conditions (Puckett et al. 2008). The correlation remained consistent even under varying environmental conditions (Harvey et al. 2008).

Comprehensive understanding of the age structure of Florida's blue crab populations would enhance the ability of fisheries managers to assess the blue crab fishery. The most recent Florida blue crab stock assessments utilized multiple methods of analysis, but these methods failed to reach a consensus on the status of the fishery (Murphy et al. 2007), in large part due to the lack of information on population age structure. Because it proved useful in Chesapeake Bay populations, lipofuscin extraction and analysis seemed to promise an inexpensive way to rapidly determine the age structure of Florida populations through robust sample sizes. In 2008, we sought to first validate and then apply the lipofuscin extraction methodology of Ju et al. (1999, 2001), Puckett et al. (2008), and Harvey et al. (2008), to characterize the age structure of the blue crab population in Florida.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two cohorts of juvenile blue crabs were obtained to validate the efficacy of the extraction method. The first cohort was a wild-caught young-of-the-year cohort from Tampa Bay, Florida. The second was a cohort of known-age juvenile crabs provided by the Blue Crab Aquaculture Program at the University of Southern Mississippi’s Gulf Coast Research Laboratory (USM/GCRL) and transported to Florida for use in this study. The 2 populations were cultured under different conditions (open pond vs. covered tanks) to determine whether the lipofuscin aging method was robust and reliable before its application to Florida blue crab populations. Provided the method proved robust and reliable, further studies would develop a known-age calibration curve for assaying the age of crab populations in the Florida blue crab fishery.

The wild-caught cohort from Tampa Bay was collected in September 2010 using a 6.1 m otter trawl near the mouth of the Little Manatee River (27° 44' 44.22” N, 82° 29' 46.69” W). The net bar of the trawl was 8.2 m wide, and the cod end of the net was composed of 38 mm stretch mesh, with a 3 mm mesh liner. Approximately 620 crabs, ranging from 8 to 20 mm carapace width, were removed from the trawl and transported to the Stock Enhancement Research Facility (SERF) in Ruskin, Florida. Crabs were first placed in a 10 m diameter acclimation tank system with water of the same salinity and temperature as the study pond. After 7 d, the 570 crabs that survived were then transferred to the quarter-acre (ca. 1012 m²) study pond for the remainder of the experiment.

Natural forage available to the crabs included grass shrimp *Palaemonetes* spp., sailfin mollies *Poecilia latipinna*, and killifish *Fundulus* spp. In order to reduce cannibalism, fresh feed consisting of frozen cigar minnows *Decapterus punctatus* and squid *Loligo opalescens* was provided daily at amounts equivalent to 2 to 4% of the estimated total biomass of crabs in the pond. Six feed trays were used to monitor feed consumption. Approximately 10% of the daily ration was divided between the feed trays and checked before the next day’s feeding. When feed trays still contained feed after 24 h, the next feeding was reduced or skipped. At no point was food availability limited.

The pond was monitored hourly for temperature with a HOBO Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger (Onset). Pond water quality was monitored daily for temperature, salinity, pH, and dissolved
oxygen using a Hydrolab MS5 Sonde (HACH Environmental). The pond was partially flushed bi-monthly with seawater and freshwater to maintain pH between 8 and 9.5, and salinity levels similar to the source water of Tampa Bay (27–34 PSU). In May 2011, we reduced salinity to 11 PSU, where it was maintained through the end of the study; the purpose was to reduce the prevalence and impact of Hematodinium sp., a parasitic dinoflagellate present in the pond. The salinity thereafter was maintained in a manner consistent with previous lipofuscin studies of Ju et al. (1999, 2001, 2003), viz. 10 to 15 PSU.

Quarterly, 24 crabs were trapped with baited commercial blue crab traps. Crabs were weighed and sexed, carapace width was measured, and maturity in females was recorded based on abdomen shape. Crabs were then taken live to the laboratory, where eyestalks were dissected and analyzed for lipofuscin concentration. In addition to this quarterly sampling for lipofuscin, 20 to 70 individuals were trapped weekly for measurement of carapace width and length, weighing, and sexing, and returned to the pond.

Approximate age at capture for this wild-caught cohort was determined by calculating the timing of larval metamorphosis and postlarval growth rates of crabs using the temperature and salinity readings at the time of capture and the findings of Costlow & Bookhout (1959) and Millikin & Williams (1984). The temperature and salinity at the time of collection were 28°C and 28 PSU. Based on previous findings at 25°C and 27 PSU, the metamorphosis for zoal and megalopae stages proceeded over an average period of 37 to 45 d (Costlow & Bookhout 1959, Millikin & Williams 1984). In addition, it has been shown that juvenile crabs molt approximately every 11 d under similar conditions, increasing in carapace width each time by 25 to 50% (Tagatz 1968, Cadman & Weinstein 1988). The average carapace width of the crabs collected was 15.6 ± 5.8 mm. These growth rate parameters and the size of crabs when collected suggest that the wild cohort of crabs were between 11 and 20 wk old.

The known-age group was transported to the SERF for an additional lipofuscin trial from November 2011 to October 2012. This population of crabs was used to investigate the repeatability of the lipofuscin extraction method used on the pond-raised cohort. It comprised 188 crabs that were 9 wk old with an average carapace width of 13.9 ± 2.6 mm. There were too few crabs to stock into a quarter-acre pond with hopes of recapturing them for analysis. Crabs were therefore held in a greenhouse-enclosed structure that contained 16 tanks (each 4 m³). Each crab was confined in a cylindrical, 0.04 m³ cage to prevent cannibalism, and 12 crabs were housed in each tank. Tanks were filled with a combination of well water and Tampa Bay water to attain a salinity of 11 PSU. Crabs were fed 3 times a week; diet included pellet feed, frozen squid L. opalescens, and cigar minnows D. punctatus. Each was provided to the population on an alternating schedule to ensure adequate nutrition. Each tank was monitored hourly for temperature with a HOBO Pendant Temperature/Light Data Logger. Salinity and dissolved oxygen were monitored daily with a Hydrolab MS5 Sonde.

Each month, 13 crabs were randomly selected for lipofuscin analysis. Crabs were weighed and sexed and their carapace width measured; maturity in females was recorded based on abdomen shape; and the general state of health was recorded. Crabs were then taken live to the laboratory, where eyestalks were dissected and analyzed for lipofuscin concentration. In addition to this monthly sampling for lipofuscin, 10% of the population was sampled weekly for measurement of carapace width and length, weighing, and sexing, and returned to the tanks.

**Extraction protocol**

Lipofuscin was extracted and assayed following Ju et al. (1999) and Dickinson et al. (2006). Carapace width (spine to spine carapace), carapace length (anterior to posterior carapace), and weight were measured; molt stage (Freeman et al. 1987) and sex were determined; and visible injuries were noted. Crabs were anesthetized in an ice bath. Eyestalks were removed and tissue from the external portion of the eyestalks was excised from the structural chitin. No retinal tissue from the eye was included, which could have caused overlapping in fluorescence readings with that of lipofuscin (retinal material: excitation range 325–340 nm, emission 475 nm; lipofuscin: excitation 340 nm, emission 405 nm; Hill & Womersley 1991). External eyestalk tissue was then placed in a 4 ml amber vial containing 2 ml of 2:1 dichloromethane–methanol (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH). Vials were placed on ice and sonicated at 20 W for 2 min to extract the lipofuscin; the vials were then centrifuged at 1380 × g for 10 min using a Fisher Scientific Centrifuge Model 228 benchtop centrifuge. The supernatant was transferred by pipette to a clean 4 ml amber vial. The supernatant was dried under pres-
surized N\textsubscript{2} and the pellet redissolved in 4 ml of methanol (MeOH). A 1 ml aliquot was removed and set aside for protein analysis. The fluorescence of the remaining 3 ml was measured (maximum excitation 340 nm, maximum emission 405 nm) using a Jasco FP 6200 Routine Fluorescence Spectrophotometer. Fluorescence readings were calibrated against a quinine sulfate calibration curve (quinine sulfate dissolved in 0.1 N sulfuric acid) to achieve concentrations of 0 to 0.25 µg mg\textsuperscript{-1} quinine sulfate after Ju et al. (1999) to achieve a quantitative measure of lipofuscin. Protein was analyzed using a Fisher bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit (PI-23225) to normalize fluorescence readings to protein concentrations. The lipofuscin index was calculated as follows: lipofuscin index (µg lipofuscin mg\textsuperscript{-1} protein) = lipofuscin concentration (µg ml\textsuperscript{-1}) / total protein content (mg ml\textsuperscript{-1}).

**RESULTS**

**Water quality in pond and tanks**

Mean pond temperature ranged from 12.1 to 30.1°C (Fig. 1). Mean weekly water temperatures for Tampa Bay were similar to the temperature regime of the pond (Pearson correlation: n = 57, r = 0.961, p < 0.001). Salinity in the pond ranged from 9.8 to 28.5 PSU. After May 2011, a salinity of 11 PSU was maintained for the duration of the study by the addition of freshwater. Pond-water pH ranged from 8.0 to 9.5, and dissolved oxygen ranged from 4.0 to 10.7 mg l\textsuperscript{-1}.

Mean tank temperatures ranged from 13.5 to 28.6°C (Fig. 1). Mean weekly water temperatures for the tank system were significantly correlated with the temperature regime of the pond (Pearson correlation: n = 43, r = 0.958, p < 0.001) and Tampa Bay (Pearson correlation: n = 43, r = 0.952, p < 0.001). Salinity in the tanks was maintained at approximately 11 PSU. Tank-water pH remained steady at 8.3, and dissolved oxygen ranged from 4.8 to 11.4 mg l\textsuperscript{-1}.

**Growth**

Weekly measurements of trapped individuals from the pond-reared cohort revealed rapid growth in carapace width between September 2010 and January 2011. Growth slowed beginning in January 2011, but continued to increase until the final sample (Fig. 2). Weekly measurements of tank-reared population subsamples revealed a gradual increase in carapace width between November 2010 and April 2011. Individuals remaining after April 2011 grew more rapidly throughout the remainder of the study (Fig. 3).

**Lipofuscin analysis in pond- and tank-reared crabs**

Eyestalks from a total of 137 pond-reared crabs were analyzed for lipofuscin concentration, and the mean lipofuscin concentration was determined for each sample date to avoid bias of pseudoreplication in sampling from a single pond (Hurlbert 1984). Although lipofuscin values varied widely among individual crabs, regression analyses revealed a negative linear relationship
between the mean lipofuscin index and time among these crabs (n = 7; p < 0.05; Fig. 4).

Lipofuscin indices were determined for 80 tank-reared individuals over the sample period. Regression analysis revealed no significant relationship between mean lipofuscin concentration and time (n = 7; p < 0.05; Fig. 5). The lipofuscin analysis of this population performed similarly to the pond-reared crabs, i.e. lipofuscin indices of the oldest crab were the same as or lower than those of younger crabs.

**DISCUSSION**

Lipofuscin indices were determined for 2 populations of blue crabs in order to investigate whether the methodology of aging crabs using lipofuscin extraction and normalization to protein could be applied to blue crabs inhabiting Florida waters. The extraction technique has been applied to a number of marine species, including ghost shrimp *Neotrypaea californiensis* (Bosley & Dumbauld 2011), euphausiids
Euphausia pacifica and E. superba (Harvey et al. 2010), blue crab Callinectes sapidus (Ju et al. 1999, 2001, Dickinson et al. 2006, Puckett et al. 2008), a teleost, Oreochromis mossambicus (Hill & Womersley 1991), western rock lobster Panulirus cygnus, scampi Metanephrops andamanicus (Crossland et al. 1988), spider crab Hyas araneus (Hirche & Anger 1987), and the terrestrial fleshyly Sarcophaga bullata (Ettershank et al. 1983), all of which showed an accumulation of lipofuscin with increasing age in both extraction and histological analyses. In contrast, we found that blue crabs living in tanks for 11 mo or in a pond for 18 mo did not show a linear increase in lipofuscin over time. A decline in lipofuscin with time was observed for blue crabs cultured in the pond, and no relationship between lipofuscin concentration and time was detected for the blue crabs cultured in tanks.

The exact hatch date of the wild-caught cohort could not be explicitly calculated because of variation in size of individuals. Growth studies by Costlow & Bookhout (1959) demonstrate the variability in size of blue crabs of similar age. Growth in blue crabs is dependent on food availability, temperature, and salinity. Regardless of the average age of this young-of-the-year cohort, at the time of collection, they represented a wild cohort of similar age and what might be encountered when applying this method to a fished population. Once in the pond, this closed population was sampled over the course of the study, and the lipofuscin indices of the population would be expected to show accumulation of the compound over time and not the observed decrease. A negative, although less steep, linear trend was also observed in the tank-raised, known-age population, suggesting that observations from the pond-raised, wild-caught cohort are valid regardless of our ability to determine the exact age of the population at the start of the study.

Using a similar method (fluorescent excitation at 345 nm and emission at 445 nm), Manibabu & Patnaik (1997) and Majhi et al. (2000) noted the lack of a positive trend between lipofuscin and time in the brains of male garden lizards. In addition, Sheehy (2008) raised concerns that this extraction method had not been sufficiently vetted for use in age determination. The lack of validation of the lipofuscin method in our blue crab study and in studies of other species indicates that the method should be used only after a rigorous validation. A number of factors may have contributed to the observed decrease in lipofuscin levels in blue crabs in this study, including (1) the inability to accurately extract lipofuscin from neural tissues; (2) the possible unsuitability of protein for standardization of lipofuscin concentrations; and (3) possible false positives resulting from the detection of other compounds that extract and fluoresce in a manner similar to lipofuscin.

Lipofuscin autoフラオーレンセス and has been thoroughly documented in many organisms through in situ experimentation. However, due to the heterogeneity of the compound, when identified and quantified through microscopy versus spectrofluorometric measurements of extracted lipofuscin, a problem arises (Yin & Brunk 1991). Microscopists have observed lipofuscin granules emitting yellow-orange or brown-red autofluorescence in intracellular lysosomal bodies of postmitotic tissues (Yin & Brunk 1991, Katz & Robison 2002), when excited with UV and blue wavelengths of 450 to 640 nm (Sheehy 1990, Yin & Brunk 1991, Medina et al. 2000, Katz & Robison 2002, Li et al. 2006, Pereira et al. 2010). These emission wavelengths are not consistent with those reported for the blue crab by Ju et al. (1999, 2001) and Puckett et al. (2008), who read emission maxima at the violet peak of 405 nm. Yin & Brunk (1991) suggested that the extraction technique results in a more dilute sample than does histology, causing a ‘quenching’ or spectral shift in emissions down to the blue range of 400 to 490 nm (Yin & Brunk 1991).

The lipofuscin extraction methodology specifically operates under the assumption that lipid peroxidation is a precursor to lipofuscin accumulation (Chio et al. 1969, Sheehy 2008). While lipofuscin does derive from native lipid peroxidation, more recent molecular analysis has shown that it may not, in fact, be the source of extractable autofluorescence (Schutt et al. 2003, Szweda et al. 2003, Sheehy 2008). Palmer et al. (2002) hypothesized that the fluorescence was in fact a type of light scatter due to the packing of protein molecules into subcellular organelles (Sheehy 2008). Additionally, this fluorescence also relates to the presence of malondialdehyde, an additional product of lipid peroxidation, but not in fact lipofuscin (Yin & Brunk 1991). Understanding the origin and properties of the molecules that autofluoresce is crucial in identifying the compound of interest (Sheehy 2008).

Another issue that may arise with the lipofuscin extraction method is the normalization of lipofuscin to the mass of tissue. The tissue mass used for each lipofuscin assay is dependent on the size of the animal sacrificed. In an effort to account for this variability, the extraction methodology normalizes lipofuscin to protein. Due to the small cellular nature of the lipofuscin compounds, significant dilution occurs in the extraction process (Yin & Brunk 1991). If the protein
concentration varies significantly more than does lipofuscin concentration, it may skew the lipofuscin-to-protein ratio, rendering the lipofuscin index an unreliable indicator of crab age.

Interference from compounds other than lipofuscin may have created false detection in the current study. Autofluorescent compounds not associated with lipofuscin may have been present in blue crab tissues. Because those compounds cannot be differentiated or quantified, we are unable to specifically quantify lipofuscin (Udenfriend 1962, 1969, Sheehy & Ettershank 1988, Brunk & Terman 2002). When Crossland et al. (1988) examined different tissues for fluorescence emission maxima, they found differences in lipofuscin concentrations between tail muscle, antenna muscle, cerebral ganglia, heart tissue, pereopods, and eyestalks. This is possibly due to the interaction of different fluorophores and absorbing molecules in the extracts (Crossland et al. 1988). Compounds that exhibit fluorescence properties similar to those of lipofuscin include simple proteins, carotenoids, folic acid derivatives, and pyridine nucleotides (Sheehy et al. 1996, Sheehy 2008). In arthropods, pteridine compounds accumulate over time and are also identified by similar fluorescence wavelengths (Sheehy 2008). Robson & Crozier (2009) compared the accumulation of pteridines and lipofuscin in the social ant Polyrhachis sexpinosa. Pteridine and lipofuscin extracts were excited at 355 and 340 nm and produced emissions at 445 and 410 nm, respectively. Over a period of 200 d, that study confirmed quantifiable levels of both substances. Most notably, pteridine concentration increased with weight but was independent of age (Robson & Crozier 2009). Although little research has been done on the occurrence and distribution of pteridines in crustaceans, Waywell & Corey (1972) examined pteridines in 9 species of crayfish and determined that the hypodermis and eyestalks had the greatest concentration of pteridines. While the function of pteridines is not completely understood, there is agreement that this compound is present in eyestalks of crustaceans (Waywell & Corey 1972).

The similarity of techniques for identifying multiple compounds, which may or may not accumulate with age, poses a large problem for quantifying lipofuscin through extraction. In addition, the lack of ability to purify the lipofuscin compound may increase the probability of interference by nontargeted compounds. If the application of lipofuscin extraction is to be of value to managers in the Florida blue crab fishery, the method must undergo further validation to ensure that accurate age determinations can be made.
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