Using sentinel cages to estimate infestation pressure on salmonids from sea lice in Loch Shieldaig, Scotland
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ABSTRACT: Sentinel Atlantic salmon, held in cages, were used to estimate the monthly infestation pressure of sea lice at 3 locations along a salmonid migration route in Loch Shieldaig, a Scottish west coast sea loch. The study lasted 30 mo, covering an entire production cycle and fallow period at nearby fish farms. A total of 5007 sea lice were sampled from 3097 sentinel fish, with over 99% of these being *Lepeophtheirus salmonis*. Infestation pressure was higher in cages nearer the head of the loch and the River Shieldaig estuary. Copepodids were the main infective life cycle stage, but a few (0.5% of total lice sampled) mobile pre-adult and adult stages were also found on the sentinel fish. Infestation pressure on the sentinel fish was correlated with gravid *L. salmonis* counts from nearby farms. However, there was no evidence that particular events, such as treatments, at the farms led directly to changes in infestation pressure. These findings suggest that, although aquaculture is a contributor of sea lice larvae into the Torridon system, further work is required to determine factors influencing the relationship between farm sea lice levels and infestation pressure in the wider environment.
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INTRODUCTION

Sea lice are ectoparasitic crustaceans often found infesting salmonids in the Northern Hemisphere (Kabata 2003). In Scotland, the 2 main species that infest wild and farmed salmonids are *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Krøyer, 1837) and *Caligus elongatus* von Nordmann, 1832 (Pike & Wadsworth 1999). Their life cycles include 2 larval naupliar stages, an infective copepodid stage, 4 attached intermediate chalimus stages (recently considered to be 2 chalimi stages with male and female displaying differences; Hamre et al. 2013) and a mobile adult stage for *C. elongatus* (Piasecki 1996) and 2 pre-adult and adult mobile stages for *L. salmonis* (Schram 1993). The nauplius I and II stages of *L. salmonis* and *C. elongatus* are non-feeding and rely on internal reserves for nutrients during their development within the water column to the infective copepodid stage (Pike & Wadsworth 1999). Transmission to the host is mainly dependent on the copepodid stage, which, similar to many parasitic copepod free-living stages, is time-limited by its stored energy supplies (Pike & Wadsworth 1999). However, infestations could potentially be initiated by pre-adults and adults, which have been shown to actively transfer between hosts in laboratory trials.
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(Bruno & Stone 1990, Ritchie 1997, Hull et al. 1998). Of the 2 species, *L. salmonis* is more usually associated with infestations on farmed Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* L., which can result in mechanical damage to the skin, osmoregulatory stress and secondary infections (Ritchie 1997, Finstad et al. 2000). Severe infestations, if left untreated, can lead to large losses of cultured stock, particularly of Atlantic salmon post-smolts (Pike & Wadsworth 1999, Johnson et al. 2004). The annual cost to the Scottish aquaculture industry from sea lice infestations through treatments, associated labour costs and mortalities has been estimated to be around £29 million (Costello 2009).

Potential interactions between sea lice and wild and farmed salmonids have increased with the development of intensive marine salmonid farming around Scotland’s west coast and islands (Pike & Wadsworth 1999, Johnson et al. 2004). A study by Penston & Davies (2009) found that numbers of gravid females on fish farms correlated with the numbers of copepodids in surrounding waters. An increase in sea lice abundance has been linked to an increase in marine mortality of migratory salmonids, and marine salmon farming activities on Scotland’s west coast have been implicated as an important contributory factor (Butler 2002, Penston et al. 2004, Vøllestad et al. 2009, Middlemas et al. 2010, Middlemas & Armstrong 2012).

The survival, dispersal and infectivity of sea lice larvae, in common with other marine planktonic larvae, depends on factors such as predator avoidance, wind direction, currents, transportation, water temperature, salinity and food availability (Wootten et al. 1982, Heuch & Karlson 1997, Johnson & Albright 1991, Boxaspen & Naess 2000, Bricknell et al. 2006, Amundrud & Murray 2009, Losos et al. 2010). There have been many studies investigating the development time of *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* from the egg to the copepodid stage (Johannessen 1978, Wootten et al. 1982, Johnson & Albright 1991, Boxaspen & Naess 2000, Stien et al. 2005). Lower water temperatures increase the developmental time before molting to the copepodid stage (Pike & Wadsworth 1999, Stien et al. 2005), thus increasing the risk of predation (Losos et al. 2010). Water temperature also affects the rate at which energy reserves are used up by copepodids (Wootten et al. 1982) and adults (Johnson & Albright 1991), which in turn affects the period of infectivity. Exposure to salinities <29 for 1 h reduced both the survival and infectivity of *L. salmonis* copepodids hatched out from egg strings collected from Scottish west coast aquaculture sites (Bricknell et al. 2006). In other studies, salinities <25 prevented development to the copepodid stage under laboratory conditions (Johnson & Albright 1991), whereas *L. salmonis* copepodids were shown to survive at salinities <20 in Norway (Bengtsen et al. 2012).

Estimating the numbers of sea lice available to infest wild salmonids, and how these vary spatially and temporally, is a considerable challenge. Abundance estimates from spot samples with plankton nets and pumps are indicative, but are notoriously variable (Gaines & Bertness 1993, Moksnes & Wennhage 2001, Penston et al. 2004, 2008a,b, Penston & Davies 2009), and fail to consider the viability and settlement rates of the lice and how these might vary for larvae from different physical environments (Johnson & Albright 1991, Bricknell et al. 2006).

A better way of measuring numbers of viable planktonic larvae is to provide the larvae with a suitable habitat for settlement (Moksnes & Wennhage 2001). As *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* are largely specific to salmonid hosts, the use of Atlantic salmon in sentinel cages should provide a natural settlement opportunity for the larvae within the water column (Pike & Wadsworth 1999), with observed settlement levels being a measure of sea lice infestation at the cage location integrated over the deployment period. Sentinel fish have been used to investigate the presence and settlement of parasites such as the monogenean Benedenia seriolarae (Chambers & Ernst 2005) and the myxosporean Myxobolus cerebralis (Solid et al. 2004). Sentinel Atlantic salmon smolts have been used to estimate sea lice settlement in salmon farming areas of Ireland, Norway and Scotland (Tully 1989, McVicar et al. 1993, Costelloe et al. 1995, Finstad 2007). For example, 15 cages containing Atlantic salmon were used to estimate settlement levels along almost the entire length of Hardangerfjord in Norway (Finstad 2007). However, all these studies of sea lice settlement exposed the sentinel fish for periods exceeding the generation time for *L. salmonis*, and so they could not determine whether the fish had initially become infected by copepodids or later pre-adult and adult stages directly, or if individuals developed to pre-adult and adult stages on the fish. Therefore, the contribution of the different sea lice stages to the primary infestations could not be established conclusively. Sentinel cages are used to investigate the infestation pressure on wild salmonids at fixed locations and timepoints within the aquatic environment. A period in the wild salmonid life cycle when information on infestation pressure may be especially important is during the smolt migration, when the fish are potentially more vulnerable to lice...
infestation due to their small size, have a lower host response to the parasite, and may encounter elevated lice levels in inshore waters (Tully & Whelan 1993, Pike & Wadsworth 1999, Pert et al. 2009).

The present study placed sentinel hatchery-reared Atlantic salmon into cages at locations increasingly distant from the River Shieldaig estuary, in Loch Shieldaig, Scotland, along a salmonid migration route. The cages were deployed for 1 wk each month over a 30 mo period, which covered a full production cycle and fallow period in nearby fish farms. The sentinel salmon were used to quantify the infestation pressure (defined as the number of settled lice per unit volume of water flowing through the cage, corrected for fish size) along the migration route and how this changed over the farm production cycle. The study also investigated the population structure of the settled sea lice and how this varied with time.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The study was conducted in Loch Shieldaig (Fig. 1) on the west coast of Scotland. Loch Shieldaig is part of the Loch Torridon system, which also includes Upper Loch Torridon and Loch Torridon. Loch Shieldaig was selected because it is relatively small, contains 2 Atlantic salmon farms (consented biomasses of 1375 and 976 t for Farms 1 and 2, respectively) and has been used extensively to study sea lice and their interactions with wild salmonids (McKibben & Hay 2004, Penston et al. 2004, 2008a,b, Amundrud & Murray 2009, Penston & Davies 2009, Pert et al. 2009, Middlemas et al. 2010). The 2 main rivers that flow into the loch system are the Balgy and Shieldaig, which support salmonid populations dominated by Atlantic salmon and sea trout *Salmo trutta* L., respectively. The Torridon system is part of a region affected by sea-trout stock collapses (Butler 2002, Anonymous 2009, Penston & Davies 2009, Middlemas et al. 2010), with annual captures of less than 100 salmon and sea trout in the Balgy and Shieldaig combined between 2003 and 2005 (Penston et al. 2008b).

Planktonic sea lice, mostly *Lepeophtheirus salmonis*, are found in the Loch Torridon system, in both river estuaries and open water (McKibben & Hay 2004, Penston et al. 2004, 2008a,b). In open water, nauplii *L. salmonis* have been found in greater densities near the farms (Penston et al. 2008a). A 3-dimensional hydrographic model coupled with a biophysical particle tracking model was developed by Amundrud & Murray (2009) to predict sea lice dispersal within the system. The model indicated that localised wind conditions were the dominant influence in lice dispersion patterns. Under the prevailing northwesterly wind, the model predicted high particle concentrations near the Shieldaig River estuary.

**Sentinel fish and cages**

Atlantic salmon were purchased in 3 batches during the study as unvaccinated parr from a commercial salmon hatchery in the Loch Torridon Area Management Agreement (AMA) zone. Holding, on-growing and smoltification took place in the quarantine unit at the Marine Scotland Science, Fish Cultivation Unit, Aultbea, Scotland (since closed) to ensure the health status of the sentinel fish before transport into the Loch Torridon AMA. The salmon were smolted after 1 yr (S1s) and held in 1 m circular fiberglass tanks with a tank volume of 370 l and a flow rate of approximately 10 l min⁻¹. Fish were maintained on 3–4 mm commercial pellets (Skretting) and fed 2% bodyweight d⁻¹.

The sentinel cages were cylindrical (1.5 m in diameter × 2 m height). Each cage was supported by 3 rings made of polyethylene pipe (38 mm inside diameter × 51 mm outside diameter) covered with 13 mm knotless mesh netting. The cage rings had 10 mm holes drilled at regular intervals to allow
water in and out of the inner pipe, which also housed trawl wire for weight to ensure the cage sank when deployed. The tension in the net was maintained by a 7 kg weight hung from a bridle under the cage.

Three sentinel cages, each containing 50 Atlantic salmon, were deployed in the surface 3 m for 1 wk each month from April 2006 to September 2008. The 1 wk exposure time allowed the population structure of the settled sea lice to be inferred. One week is too short a time for Lepeophtheirus salmonis (Johnson & Albright 1991) and Caligus elongatus (Piasecki & MacKinnon 1995) to complete their life cycle, so any mobile stages found would have been transported to the sentinel fish rather than have developed from a resident lice population.

At the end of each deployment, all the fish were removed and euthanised using ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt (MS222; Sigma-Aldrich) at a dose of 1 g l⁻¹. A high dose of MS222 was used to euthanise the fish quickly and to minimise the loss of attached and mobile lice stages by contact with the sides of the anaesthesia tank. Once euthanised, each fish was removed from the anaesthetic, placed individually inside a clear plastic bag and put, with frozen ice packs, into an insulated box marked with the appropriate cage number and transported to the Marine Laboratory in Aberdeen for examination. The plastic bag and euthanasia water were examined for lice that may have became detached from the fish during handling and anaesthesia, although any lice in the euthanasia water could only be attributed to a cage, not to an individual fish.

All fish were measured and weighed before the external surface of each fish was visually assessed. Lice numbers, developmental stage and location on the host were noted, with an initial species identification made as described by Johnson & Albright (1991) and Schram (1993). A subsample of at least 1 louse per infested fish was collected and pooled by cage for real-time PCR species identification as described by McBeath et al. (2006).

The 30 mo study covered an entire production cycle on the nearby fish farms, which stocked with fish in April 2006, became fallow by December 2007 (Farm 1) and February 2008 (Farm 2), and restocked in April 2008. The cages were placed in Loch Shieldaig at increasing distances from the River Shieldaig estuary along one of the main salmonid migration routes (Fig. 1). The fish were size-matched as closely as possible every month over the 30 mo of the study, subject to fish availability, with the monthly median length and weight ranging from 165 to 333 mm and 64 to 430 g, respectively.

Lice counts on farmed fish

Monthly mean numbers of gravid lice per fish at each farm were calculated from data supplied by the companies operating in the Loch Torridon system via the Loch Torridon Area Management Group. Lice counts from the farms were collected in accordance with the Industry Code of Good Practise (CoGP) (Anonymous 2006), which stipulates that when there are more than 5 pens per site (as in this case), 5 fish must be sampled from each of 5 pens (25 fish in total) each week. The monthly numbers of salmon on each farm were not supplied, so the lice densities could not be converted into lice burdens. Sea lice treatments were carried out at both farms during the study period.

Environmental data

Valeport 106 current meters recorded water flow and pressure at each cage at 5 min intervals. Salinity and temperature were recorded at 10 min intervals using conductivity−temperature−pressure mini-loggers (Star-Oddi). The current meters and mini-loggers were suspended 1 m below the cages (about 4 m below the surface), with swivels allowing free rotation of the current meters. Equipment was not placed within the cages to avoid damaging the fish. Before the study, mini-loggers deployed within the cages (about 2 m below the surface) gave similar results to those below the cages, indicating that the salinity and temperature measurements are likely to have been representative of conditions inside the cages. There is limited freshwater input to the Loch Torridon system, except during heavy sustained rain-fall. Stage data from the Shieldaig River, provided by the Scottish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA), were used to identify any major freshwater inputs during the study that may have reduced salinity near the cages.

The volume of water that passed through each cage was calculated from the mean flow scaled to correspond to 1 wk and 3 m² (the area obtained by taking a slice down the middle of the cage). The current-meter records contained segments with zero flow, which was unsurprising, as the lower range of the meters was 0.03 m s⁻¹ and the cages were in areas of low flow (Gillibrand & Amundrud 2007). However, some records had long periods of zero flows, suggesting instrument malfunction, and these periods were excluded when calculating mean flow.
Data analysis

The relationship between the lice counts on the sentinel fish and the explanatory variables cage, month (from the start of the experiment) and fish length was investigated using generalised linear mixed models (McCulloch & Searle 2001), assuming negative binomial errors and a log link. The main effects of cage, month (both treated as categorical variables) and log fish length were included as fixed effects. The interaction between cage and month was included as a random effect, allowing for random variation in abundance between cages within months in addition to the fixed cage effect. The choice of random effects was based on preliminary modelling that showed that the interaction between cage and month was the only significant interaction among the 3 explanatory variables, but found no structure to this interaction. Differences in flow were accounted for by including the log volume of water that passed through the cage as an offset variable. Parameter estimates are interpreted as the number of attached lice per litre of water that passed through the cage. The significance of the fixed effects was assessed by Wald tests, supplemented by pairwise comparisons with p-values corrected for the number of comparisons using a sequentially rejective Bonferroni procedure (Holm 1979).

The relationship between the counts of lice on the sentinel fish and the counts of gravid lice from the 2 salmon farms was investigated by estimating the cross-correlation between the time series at a series of time lags (Diggle 1990). The lice counts on the sentinel fish were summarised by the month effects estimated from the generalised linear mixed model, as these were adjusted for differences in fish length, cage and flow. The lice counts on the farmed fish were summarised by the mean number of gravid lice per fish each month. Spearman correlations were used to avoid making parametric assumptions about the data. The cross-correlations were computed on both the untransformed data and on the data de-trended by taking a 4th-root transform, fitting a loess smoother and extracting the residuals. The analysis of the untransformed data leads to inferences about the broad association between the lice counts on the farmed and sentinel fish. However, to formally attribute changes in counts on the sentinel fish to changes in counts on the farms, it is necessary to analyse the de-trended data, since then the effect of variables that affect both time series (e.g. seasonal patterns) has been removed (Diggle 1990).

RESULTS

Over the 30 mo study, a total of 5007 sea lice were sampled from 3097 sentinel fish. The total numbers of lice recorded from each cage were 1333 from Cage 1, 1515 from Cage 2 and 2159 from Cage 3. All the sea lice were identified as Lepeophtheirus salmonis (99.8%), except for 1 pool of 8 individuals from Cage 3 in April 2007 that tested positive by quantitative PCR (qPCR) for both L. salmonis and Caligus elongatus. The median volume of water passing through the cages was 116 000, 91 000 and 53 000 m³ for Cages 1 to 3, respectively (overall range: 22 000 to 189 000 m³). Salinity at the cages never fell below 29. Stage data from the Shieldaig River showed no extreme inputs of freshwater to the loch system in 2007 and 2008, so low salinity is unlikely to have reduced lice infectivity near the cages (Bricknell et al. 2006). Mean monthly water temperatures (range over the study: 7.8 to 13.7°C) showed a strong annual cycle, but differences between cages in any one month were no more than 0.1°C.

The larval copepodid and early chalimus stages were most abundant (Fig. 2), with only 12 pre-adults and 12 adults recorded, which represents 0.5% of the infestive lice found during the study.

The mean lice counts (all stages) on the sentinel fish are shown in Fig. 3 by cage and month. There were no data for a few deployments due to predation and damage, but there were always counts for at least 1 cage each month. Lice counts were low from April 2006 through to December 2006 (mean: ≤0.5 lice fish⁻¹ in all cages), began to rise in January 2007, peaked in July and August 2007 (largest mean of 15.1 lice fish⁻¹ in Cage 3 in July 2007), then declined rapidly and were low from November 2007 through to September 2008 (mean: ≤0.5 lice fish⁻¹ in all cages).

Cage location, month and fish length all had a significant effect on lice counts on the sentinel fish (p < 0.0001 in all cases). The effects of cage location and month are illustrated in Fig. 4. Having adjusted for flow, the fish in Cage 3 had 157% more lice than those in Cage 2 (95% [CI]: 81 to 266%; p < 0.0001), and the fish in Cage 2 had 52% more lice than those in Cage 1 (95% CI: 2 to 126%; p = 0.036). Lice counts increased with fish length, with the coefficient of the relationship estimated to be 1.86 (95% CI: 1.46 to 2.26), so lice counts were proportional to fish surface area (O’Shea et al. 2006).

The gravid lice counts at the fish farms showed a similar trend to the lice counts on the sentinel fish, with counts beginning to increase in November 2006, peaking in late summer 2007, and declining by
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December 2007 (Fig. 3). The sentinel counts were positively correlated with the untransformed counts at the 2 farms at a wide range of time lags (pointwise 5% significance level) (Fig. 5). The de-trended data showed 3 lags, with correlations close to the 5% pointwise significance level (Fig. 5). However, as 2 of these correlations are negative, and thus difficult to explain biologically, and as no adjustments have been made for the number of comparisons, we infer that there is no strong evidence of any relationship between the de-trended time series.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides the first estimates of sea lice infestation pressure on wild salmonids in a Scottish sea loch at specific sites, at monthly intervals over a period covering a full salmon production cycle and a fallow period at 2 farms occupying the same loch. Previous studies in the Loch Torridon system have estimated sea lice larval densities from plankton tows (Penston et al. 2004, 2008a,b, 2011). However, these
are likely to overestimate infestation pressure, as not all the sampled lice will be viable. For example, the total number of settled lice in any one cage never exceeded 0.02 lice m\(^{-3}\) over the week-long exposure period, about 100-fold lower than the mean density of *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* larvae found in plankton tows collected at 2 nearby sample stations, though often in different weeks of the same month (Penston et al. 2011). Moksnes & Wennhage (2001) also found lower numbers of decapod larvae on artificial settlement substrates than in plankton net samples, and proposed that the nets collected high numbers of non-viable larvae. In other studies, sentinel fish have had lower lice burdens than fish from the same area caught by trawling or gill-netting, suggesting that sentinel fish underestimate infestation pressure (Bjørn et al. 2011). However, the other capture methods have no control over exposure history, either temporally or spatially, so provide a different metric of infestation pressure.

The estimates of infestation pressure reported here only apply to the surface waters to about 3 m, the depth of the sentinel cages. However, these are likely to be reasonable estimates of the infestation pressure on wild salmonids, since the preferred habitat of salmon in Norwegian coastal waters is the surface waters to a depth of 3 m (Holm et al. 1982, Heuch et al. 1995, Davidsen et al. 2008, Plantalech Manella et al. 2009). There is little comparable information for salmon in Scottish coastal waters, but it is thought that they also spend a high proportion of time in the upper layers (Malcolm et al. 2010).

The evolutionary biology and infestation dynamics of *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* should maximise opportunities for locating a suitable host. *L. salmonis* copepodids react positively to directional light and are attracted to areas of low pressure (Bron et al. 1993), so copepodids will tend to be in the upper surface waters during daylight. Heuch et al. (1995) observed a daily diel vertical migration, with copepodids sinking in the water column at night and rising at dawn. This migration is the opposite of wild salmonids, which appear to avoid the surface during daylight when not feeding, possibly to avoid predators (Fernö et al. 1995). These behaviours could increase the chance of *L. salmonis* copepodids encountering a suitable host as fish swim through a population of sinking (night-time) and rising (dawn) parasites (Holm et al. 1982, Dutil & Coutu 1988, Fernö et al. 1995, Heuch et al. 1995). Bron et al. (1993) suggested that currents generated by salmon movement may stimulate sea lice in the water column to short bursts of speed and looping behaviour to enhance encountering host and settlement. The confinement of the sentinel fish to the cage and their more limited diurnal migration, if any, could affect the above interaction, particularly if the sentinel fish remain relatively sedentary. Alternatively, holding salmon in surface layers may ensure that, during daylight at least, there is a greater chance of interaction between host and parasite as more time is spent in the same environment.

Lice counts on the sentinel fish were proportional to fish surface area. This has been reported previously (O’Shea et al. 2006). It will therefore be important, when comparing results across studies, to be clear about the sizes of fish being considered. Here, the estimates of infestation pressure have been standardised to salmon of length 235 mm, the median length of the fish in this study.

Infestation pressure increased rapidly from January 2007 and peaked in July and August 2007, with the highest abundance of 15.1 larval lice fish\(^{-1}\) observed in Cage 3 in July. Burdens of 20+ adult *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* fish\(^{-1}\) on wild adult Atlantic salmon (Todd et al. 2006) and sea trout (Urquhart et al. 2008) have been recorded with no obvious detri-
mental effects. However, fish exposed to the infestation pressures observed in July and August 2007 over a sustained period may accumulate burdens that have detrimental effects on the host. For example, infestation levels of 50 pre-adult and adult L. salmonis fish\(^{-1}\) on sea trout smolts (Bjørn & Finstad 1997) and 30 to 50 chalimus fish\(^{-1}\) on Atlantic salmon (Grimnes & Jakobsen 1996) have been demonstrated to cause mortalities. A study of early returning post-smolt sea trout to the Shieldaig River recorded burdens of up to 69 L. salmonis fish\(^{-1}\) and, although there were no visible signs of damage, it is likely these burdens had caused the sea trout to return to freshwater after only a short period at sea (Pert et al. 2009).

Infestation pressure was higher in the cages nearer to the River Shieldaig estuary. This is consistent with the results of McKenzie & Hay (2004), who found high numbers of planktonic Lepeophtheirus salmonis copepodids (up to 143 m\(^{-3}\)) in the River Shieldaig estuary. It is also consistent with predictions from a sea lice dispersal model that sea lice densities will increase towards the Shieldaig River estuary due to wind forcing (Amundrud & Murray 2009). Smolts migrating from the river would have experienced an estimated 61% reduction in infestation pressure as they travelled from Cage 3 to 2 (about 4 km) and a further 34% reduction from Cage 2 to 1 (about 3 km). However, with only 3 sentinel cages, it is not possible to estimate the infestation pressure experienced by a smolt integrated over its entire migration.

Louse abundance on the sentinel fish was correlated with gravid Lepeophtheirus salmonis counts from the 2 nearby farms over a wide range of time lags. These results are consistent with Penston et al. (2008b) and Penston & Davies (2009), who found significant correlations between L. salmonis copepodid densities in the water column and gravid lice counts on nearby farms. However, when the de-trended data were examined, there was no evidence that directed changes in counts at the farms, brought about through treatment events, led directly to changes in infestation pressure at the sentinel cages. Marshall (2003) also found that lice treatments on a fish farm on Scotland’s west coast impacted lice numbers on farmed salmon, but had no apparent effect on lice counts on wild salmonids.

Given the prevailing wind and currents (Fig. 1), and given the low number of returning salmonids in the Torridon system (Penston et al. 2008b), it is probable that the numbers of gravid lice on the fish farms were the dominant drivers of infestation pressure at the sentinel cages. Formally, however, the data provide no evidence of causality, only of association. A relationship between the de-trended time series would be more likely with Farm 1, which was bigger and closer to the sentinel cages and had several lice treatments in 2007 (as did Farm 2), which might have provided large ‘signals’ that would be picked up at the sentinel cages. That no relationship was detected could be because any signal was dampened by the arrival of lice originating from Farm 2 or by patchiness in dispersal due to variation in wind direction and currents, or because lice densities rather than burdens were provided for the farms (the numbers of fish at the farms reduced during the study, so burdens cannot be inferred from densities). It is also possible that the numbers of fish sampled on the farms, while sufficient for management purposes, were insufficient to provide the precision required to detect such effects. Revie et al. (2007) showed that sampling fewer fish from more cages across a site gave a more precise estimate of the mean number of lice on the site. Torrisen et al. (2013) point out that studies often fail to recognise that correlations between sea lice counts on farms and wild fish impact/decline data do not necessarily imply cause and effect.

Sea lice dispersal models derived from system-specific hydrodynamic or particle tracking models could aid interpretation by providing information on the relative contribution of each input location/farm to the infestation pressure at a specific site. However, while this might be feasible for short-term targeted studies, application to longer studies would demand considerable resource, unless there are persistent dispersal patterns or a predominant set of dispersal conditions in the system. Within the study area, the prevailing wind was predicted to distribute sea lice from both Farm 1 and Farm 2 in a similar pattern concentrating sea lice at the mouth of the Shieldaig River (Amundrud & Murray 2009). However, it is unclear how this pattern will have differed in any given month, as there are insufficient field data to generate the relevant dispersal scenarios. Amundrud & Murray (2009) show how changes in wind direction can change dispersal and localisation of sea lice.

Sentinel cages have the potential for providing an independent and standardised measure of lice pressure at fixed locations within the aquatic environment. The infestation pressure at any given location depends on the sea lice sources in the area, dispersal from them, and the survival and viability of sea lice delivered to that location. In a study by Bjørn et al. (2011), sentinel caged fish had significantly higher infestation levels in intensively farmed areas com-
pared to a protected zone, even though there were no significant differences in gravid lice levels on farmed fish inside and outside the protected zone. This indicates that sentinel caged fish could provide a more informative measure of infestation pressure within a system than individual farm counts. How representative the infestation pressure on sentinel fish is of pressure on wild fish still requires some evaluation, given that wild fish move and given the spatial and temporal variability in sea lice dispersal and concentration (Amundrud & Murray 2009). However, even with only a few locations, the resources required to deploy sentinel cages routinely would be considerable.

An alternative use for sentinel cage data is to validate predictions from sea lice dispersion models. The data presented here support predictions of areas of higher sea lice exposure made by the dispersion model of Amundrud & Murray (2009), based on surface currents driven by the prevailing wind. Sentinel cage data will be used to validate dispersion models under development for the Loch Linnhe system, using field environmental and hydrodynamic data collected during sentinel cage deployment. Such dispersion models can identify dispersal patterns, dispersal distances, and areas of high infestation pressure under different conditions. This can contribute to more effective management strategies for sea lice control, thus helping operators to manage parasite populations, improve welfare for farmed fish and reduce any detrimental effects on wild salmonid populations.

Most of the *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* on the sentinel fish were copepodid or chalimus stages, so copepodids were the main infective life cycle stage in the water column. This indicates a local source. However, 12 pre-adult and 12 adult *L. salmonis* were also found on the sentinel fish. Due to the length of exposure, these must have come from the surrounding environment rather than developing on the fish following initial copepodid infestation (Johnson & Albright 1991). This suggests that pre-adult and adult lice, although only a low proportion of the infective lice population, could be transported by wild fish or currents and infest salmonids some distance from the original source.

In conclusion, this study has used sentinel cages to estimate the infestation pressure at 3 fixed points along a salmonid migration route within a sea loch system over a 30 mo period. Infestation pressure was higher in cages nearer the head of the loch and the Shieldaig River estuary and correlated with gravid *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* counts from nearby farms. However, there was no evidence that particular events at the farms led directly to changes in the abundance on sentinel fish. This suggests that, although aquaculture is a source of sea lice larvae to the Torridon system and therefore contributes to the infestation pressure on nearby wild salmonids, factors influencing infestation pressure at a given location and time are complex. Use of sentinel cages, shown here to be capable of detecting differences in infestation pressure at different locations, could contribute to a better understanding and evaluation of these factors and lead to improvements in management strategies.
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