Exploring plastic and genetic responses to temperature variation using copper butterflies
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ABSTRACT: Variable thermal environments experienced by most organisms warrant mechanisms to adjust the expression of phenotypic values to environmental needs. Here we explored short- (mainly developmental plasticity) and long-term effects (genetic differentiation across altitudes) of temperature variation using copper butterflies as model organisms. Lower compared to higher developmental temperatures yielded predictable variation by increasing development time, body size, total food consumption, the efficiency of converting digested food into body matter, and cold stress resistance, but decreasing daily food consumption, assimilation efficiency, body fat and protein content, weight loss at metamorphosis, the proportion of directly developing individuals, pupal melanisation and heat stress resistance. While variation in temperature stress resistance and developmental pathways is likely to reflect adaptive phenotypic plasticity, the reasons underlying variation in other traits are less clear. High-altitude populations showed increased development time, egg size, flight performance, wing and pupal melanisation and cold stress resistance, but decreased body fat content and heat stress resistance, compared to low-altitude populations. The differences seem to be mainly caused by thermal adaptation and seasonal time constraints. Cold stress resistance was related to variation at the phosphoglucose isomerase locus, and variation in heat stress resistance showed patterns similar to variation in the expression of stress-inducible heat shock proteins. High-altitude populations showed clearly reduced plasticity in heat stress tolerance, which may pose a substantial problem, given the rising temperatures at a global scale.
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1. DEALING WITH TEMPERATURE VARIATION

Temperature is one of the most important ecological factors affecting life directly and indirectly at all spatial and temporal scales (Johnston & Bennett 1996, Angilletta & Dunham 2003, Clarke 2003, 2006, Hoffmann et al. 2003, Sinclair et al. 2003). Most organisms experience highly variable thermal environments throughout their lives, and this poses substantial challenges for key elements of fitness such as survival and reproduction (Dahlhoff & Rank 2007); therefore, temperature is considered an important selective agent (Clarke 2003, Hoffmann et al. 2003). To counter negative effects of both temperature variation and temperature extremes, all organisms rely on the capability to adjust the expression of phenotypic values to environmental needs.

Consequently, the evolution of behavioural, physiological and molecular mechanisms to cope with stressful thermal conditions is expected and generally found (Hoffmann et al. 2003, Sørensen et al. 2003).

Conceptually, mechanisms to adjust phenotypic expression can be categorized into 2 classes: genetic adaptation (e.g. through changes in allele frequencies) and phenotypic plasticity (Bradshaw 1965, Pigliucci 2001). Phenotypic plasticity represents direct environmental effects on the phenotype, which may be an adaptive strategy to cope with short-term environmental variation, or may alternatively be a non-adaptive biochemical or physiological interaction of an organism with its environment (Bradshaw 1965, Pigliucci 2001). Not unexpectedly, animals show a wealth of short-term responses to temperature variation (cf. Kjærsgaard et
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Developmental plasticity for arthropods. All mechanisms except genetic adaptation reflect different types of environmentally induced phenotypic plasticity, which are mainly used for didactic purposes (Fig. 1). While acclimation and developmental plasticity are clearly distinguished by occurring at different life stages, hardening may occur in either life stage and is mainly characterized by its rapidity, thus reflecting one end of a putatively continuous response.

In addition to the plastic responses detailed above, the individual phenotype is sometimes affected by the environmental experience of other individuals (Mousseau & Dingle 1991, Mousseau & Fox 1998). Such cross-generational plasticity most frequently involves interactions between mothers and their offspring, and has also been demonstrated in relation to temperature (maternal effects; Mousseau & Fox 1998, Amaroillo-Suárez & Fox 2006, Steigenga & Fischer 2007, Kjærsgaard et al. 2010, this Special, Scharf et al. 2010, this Special). Finally, spatial and/or temporal temperature variation may, over the long term, induce evolutionary (genetic) adaptation. In particular, genetically determined variation in traits related to fitness along geographic gradients is thought to be the result of such adaptive evolution (e.g. Hoffmann et al. 2002, 2005, Castañeda et al. 2005, Collinge et al. 2006, Sambucetti et al. 2006, Rezende et al. 2010, this Special). Because of the strong covariance between temperature and geographic clines, analyzing clinal variation has become a key element in investigating adaptive (thermal) evolution (Bubliy & Loeschcke 2005, Sambucetti et al. 2006, Karl et al. 2008a, 2009a, de Jong et al. 2010, this Special).

To sum up, the central issue addressed here—viz. how organisms adapt to complex (thermal) environments involving the above mechanisms—lies at the very heart of ecology and evolutionary biology. Moreover, facing the ubiquitous effects of rapid human-induced global warming (e.g. Parmesan et al. 1999, Hitch & Leberg 2007), investigating how organisms deal with temperature variation becomes an ever more important task. This is because deteriorating thermal conditions will force organisms to either adapt to such environmental change, or perish (Angilletta et al. 2002, Helmuth 2002, Dahlhoff & Rank 2007, Chown et al. 2010, this Special, Van Doorslaer et al. 2010, this Special). In this context it should be stressed that the ability to cope with temperature extremes rather than different mean temperatures is probably of much greater relevance for species survival and thermal adaptation (Anderson et al. 2003, Bijlsma & Loeschgcke 2005, Chown et al. 2010). Consequently, recent efforts to more comprehensively understand the consequences of thermal variation have often focussed on the responses to temperature extremes; these efforts have yielded some remarkable pro-
progress, e.g. regarding the role of heat shock proteins (see Yahara 1999, Sørensen et al. 2003).

In the present review we highlight some plastic and genetic responses to temperature variation, focussing on the temperature–size rule and altitudinal variation in life-history and stress-resistance traits. For this purpose we used copper butterflies Lycaena sp., which have been used extensively as study organisms in the context of thermal adaptation in our laboratory over recent years (see below). The review is not meant to be exhaustive, but rather highlights selected features.

2. MODEL ORGANISM: COPPER BUTTERFLIES

Copper butterflies represent a well-defined tribus within the family Lycaenidae (Lepidoptera), with roughly 100 extant species (Bridges 1988). Males are typically brightly coloured (e.g. orange-red, violet), while females are often much less conspicuously brownish (sexual dichroism; Tolman & Lewington 1998).

These small butterflies are ecologically interesting as many species show substantial population declines in response to human-induced habitat deterioration (e.g. Duffey 1977, Nicholls & Pullin 2000, Bauerfeind et al. 2009). In Europe and adjacent areas, for instance, there are 16 extant species, 5 of which show recent population declines (Van Swaay & Warren 1999).

Since 1970s, copper butterflies have been repeatedly used to address questions in relation to thermal adaptation and other issues. In pioneering studies, Douwes (1975, 1976) comprehensively investigated the population structure and behavioural thermoregulation of Lycaena virgaureae, followed by studies on the territorial behaviour of L. hippothoe (Fischer & Fiedler 2001a, Turlure & Van Dyck 2009). Fischer & Fiedler (2001a) revealed a remarkable degree of plasticity in mate-locating tactics, with males displaying resource-based territoriality throughout, but changing from perching to patrolling behaviour in increasingly beneficial weather conditions. Further, copper butterflies were used to study the control of seasonal morph determination (Endo & Kamata 1985, Endo et al. 1985) and the dynamics of ecdysone rhythms (Endo & Shibata 1987).

A series of studies investigated plasticity in life-history traits and life cycles in response to temperature and other environmental variables in L. tityrus and L. hippothoe (e.g. Fischer & Fiedler 2000a,b, 2001b,c, 2002a, Fischer et al. 2004, Karl & Fischer 2008), while others focussed on altitudinal variation and the functional significance of allelic variation at the phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI) locus (Karl et al. 2008a,b, 2009b). Finally, copper butterflies were used to address questions within a conservation context, e.g. habitat use and mortality factors in the wild (L. dispar; Nicholls & Pullin 2000, Martin & Pullin 2004), and for exploring metapopulation dynamics (L. helle; Fischer et al. 1999, Bauerfeind et al. 2009) and population genetic structure (L. helle; Finger et al. 2009). For instance, L. tityrus suffers from an increased nitrogen content of its food plant through increased mortality and reduced body mass, despite accelerated development (Fischer & Fiedler 2000a). This finding may have major implications for the conservation of rare insects in grassland ecosystems.

The above examples illustrate the rather broad range of questions addressed using copper butterflies, which have proved to be suitable model organisms in the given contexts. However, an obvious question remains: Why use these rather rare and nowadays often declining butterflies rather than an established model organism? One advantage might be that the ecology of copper butterflies, including habitat preferences and mating behaviour, is well understood (see above). However, other aspects seem even more relevant. Note in this context that much advancement in modern biology relies on a small number of typically common and widespread species. Models such as Drosophila melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans and house mice, being investigated in depth, are absolutely indispensable to scientific progress (Bolker 1995, Flannery 1997). However, regardless of the exciting progresses achieved, we do need a certain taxonomic breadth in order to generalize the results obtained from model species. Regarding our current knowledge on thermal adaptation, for instance, it is largely unclear whether and to what extent the knowledge is transferable to rarer species such as those of conservation concern. In that respect, copper butterflies and other organisms may addvaluably to the current picture.

The data presented below originate from 2 different species, Lycaena tityrus (Poda, 1761) and L. hippothoe (L.), which are temperate zone butterflies ranging from Western Europe to central Asia and from northern Spain to easternmost Siberia and China, respectively (Ebert & Rennwald 1991). While L. tityrus is typically bivoltine with 2 discrete generations per year (although populations with 1 or 3 generations occur), L. hippothoe has only 1 generation per year throughout its range, except for the western Hungarian bivoltine population (Tolman & Lewington 1998, Fischer & Fiedler 2002a). Both species hibernate as half-grown larvae. The principal larval host plant for both is Rumex acetosa L. (Polygonaceae), a common and widespread perennial herb, though at least L. tityrus also uses some congeneric plant species such as R. acetosella L. and R. scutatus L. (Ebert & Rennwald 1991, Tolman & Lewington 1998). Both species inhabit wetlands as well as different types of unimproved grassland.
3. PLASTIC AND GENETIC RESPONSES TO TEMPERATURE VARIATION

3.1. Temperature-mediated plasticity: patterns, significance and mechanisms

3.1.1. Plastic responses

Along with many other organisms, copper butterflies show a wealth of plastic responses to variation in ambient temperature (Table 1). As is generally the case in ectothermic animals, higher temperatures reduce development time and body size, but increase larval growth rates (Atkinson 1994, Fischer & Fiedler 2000b, 2001b, 2002a, Atkinson et al. 2006, Karl & Fischer 2008). Additionally, temperature also affects body composition, with body fat and protein content increasing with increasing temperature, thus indicating favourable growth conditions at warmer temperatures (Karl & Fischer 2008). Temperature may also have striking effects on butterfly life cycles, with higher temperatures increasing the proportion of direct developers (in Lycaena tityrus and L. hippotheoe), and reducing the number of larval instars in the bivoltine populations of L. hippotheoe (Fischer & Fiedler 2001b, 2002a). The latter is obviously an adaptive mechanism to further speed up development if environmental conditions indicate potential for adding an additional generation per year (Fischer & Fiedler 2001b, 2002a). The shorter development time found in directly developing contrast to diapausing individuals is typically associated with a reduction in body size (Fischer & Fiedler 2001b,d, 2002a). Further, temperature affects the instar of hibernation in monovoltine populations of L. hippotheoe, changing from third instar to the fourth instar with increasing temperature (Fischer & Fiedler 2002a). At cold temperatures, even a facultative biennialism seems possible in alpine populations of L. hippotheoe (Fischer & Fiedler 2002a). Higher temperatures induce increased pupal melanisation for currently unknown reasons, though enhanced UV protection might be of particular importance (Karl et al. 2009c). Finally, stress resistance traits are affected by ambient temperature, with cold and heat stress resistance increasing through exposure to cold and warm temperatures, respectively (Zeilstra & Fischer 2005, Karl et al. 2008a; see also Section 3.2.4. below). Such responses in temperature stress tolerance can be induced during both the larval (=developmental plasticity) and the adult (=acclimation) stages in L. tityrus (Zeilstra & Fischer 2005).

3.1.2. Sex- and population-specific differences in the temperature–size rule

A pattern of particular interest here is the temperature–size rule, referring to the common pattern of a negative relationship between developmental temperature and adult size in ectotherms (Atkinson 1994). Despite much effort over recent years, the temperature–size rule has remained enigmatic (e.g. Blanckenhorn 1997, Partridge & Coyne 1997, Angilletta et al. 2004, Atkinson et al. 2006, Cabanita & Atkinson 2006, Walters & Hassall 2006, Karl & Fischer 2008). Importantly, there is hardly any support for an adaptive explanation involving the demonstration of fitness advantages of being larger or maturing later at lower temperatures, and the underlying developmental mechanisms are also largely unknown (Karl & Fischer 2008).

Against this background we have investigated whether thermal reaction norms (i.e. the temperature–size rule) differ across sexes and populations of copper butterflies. While a lack of such variation may indicate a common underlying mechanism and thus speak in favour of a physiological constraint, the opposite would suggest that, at least if consistent with a priori predictions based on optimality, natural selection operates on such reaction norms and is therefore able to reshape and modify such fundamental patterns if selectively favoured (cf. Trotta et al. 2010, this Special).

In directly developing individuals of both Lycaena tityrus and L. hippotheoe, we found indeed sexual differences in

Table 1. Lycaena sp. Relative differences in life-history and other traits across low and high developmental temperatures in copper butterflies. The putative (selective) forces responsible for the variation found are given in the last column. T: temperature; ↑: increased; ↓: decreased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Low T</th>
<th>High T</th>
<th>Selective force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development time</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Physiological constraint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body size</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Physiological constraint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily food consumption</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total food consumption</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assimilation</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Physiological constraint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency to convert digested food in body matter</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Unclear; constraint?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat content</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Unclear; cold stress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body protein content</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Unclear; cold stress?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss at metamorphosis</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Cost of fast growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of larval instars</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Change in voltinism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct development</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Change in voltinism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overwintering stage (larval instar)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Exploiting favourable conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupal melanisation</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Unclear; UV protection?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold stress resistance</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat stress resistance</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
thermal reaction norms. Female body size responded less strongly to temperature variation than males body size (Fischer & Fiedler 2000b, 2001a, but see Karl & Fischer 2008; Fig. 2). Such a difference had been predicted based on sexual selection theory. Using a temperature gradient, we pushed larval growth rates towards their physiological upper limit in order to reveal otherwise masked trade-offs. Throughout the temperature range, larval development time of males was shorter compared to that of females (facilitated by invariably higher growth rates), as was predicted by protandry theory (Wiklund & Fagerström 1977, Fagerström & Wiklund 1982). Nevertheless, males were able to achieve similar body sizes to those of females at lower temperatures due to plastic growth (Fischer & Fiedler 2000b, 2001a). At high temperatures, in contrast, where growth rates were close to their physiological limits, males were forced into a trade-off in which they favoured early emergence over large size, leading to a substantial weight loss. The reasoning behind our prediction here was that, with increasing temperatures, it should become increasingly difficult for males to achieve a developmental advantage compared to females. Weight of females, however, remained similar throughout, presumably caused by fecundity selection for large body size (Honek 1993, Roff 2002). Thus, in accordance with theory, our results suggest a selective premium on body size in females, but a selective premium on rapid development in males.

Three issues are of importance here. (1) Thermal reaction norms show sex-specific variation in a predictable manner, suggesting adaptive adjustment. (2) Sex-specific differences in thermal reaction norms reflect divergent selective pressures on males and females. (3) Given that body size is under positive selection, a pronounced reduction in body size at high temperatures should only be expected under time constraints, where compensatory mechanisms buffering change are likely to be insufficient (as shown here for time-constrained protandrous males at high temperatures; Fischer & Fiedler 2000b, 2001a).

Following up on the third issue, we predicted a qualitative difference to the patterns described above in less time-constrained populations, i.e. those always developing indirectly (i.e. diapausing) under natural conditions. These (near) obligatorily monovoltine populations are less time-constrained compared to other populations, as evidenced by a substantially longer development time in common garden experiments (Fischer & Fiedler 2002a, Karl et al. 2008a). Consequently, we predicted for these populations: (1) a weaker response of body size to increasing temperature, and (2) a lack of sex-specific differences (Fischer & Fiedler 2002b). The latter follows from the fact that body size is also important for males (cf. the similar body size for males and females at a low temperature despite a shorter development time in males; as described above), and because a trade-off between earlier male emergence and body size was only evident under substantial time stress (Fischer & Fiedler 2001b, 2002a). This reasoning is in agreement with the compound interest hypothesis (Fischer & Fiedler 2002b). Accordingly, multivoltine species with relatively short generation times should gain high compound interest benefits from reproducing early at high temperatures, gaining extra generations, even at the expense of being smaller. This is because the disadvantage of a smaller size will be overcompensated by an additional reproductive cycle (Fischer & Fiedler 2002b). However, this should not apply for obligatorily monovoltine populations, as is the case here. Therefore, we explicitly tested the prediction that monovoltine populations (no compound interest) should be selected for large body size to maximise adult fitness regardless of temperature.

The concomitant results were in broad agreement with these predictions (Fig. 2). In 2 monovoltine populations of Lycaena hippothoe, increasing temperatures had no significant effect on pupal weight and caused a slight decrease in adult weight only (Fischer & Fiedler 2002b). Additionally, there was no indication of any sex-specific differences in reaction norms. Thus the results support our predictions, indicating that time constraints, in association with the compound interest

---

*Fig. 2. (A) Sex-specific thermal reactions in oligovoltine populations of copper butterflies and (B) lack thereof in monovoltine populations (based on data published in Fischer & Fiedler 2000b, 2001a, 2002b)*
effect, largely determine the relationship between temperature and insect size at maturity. Differences in growth rates among sexes, populations and temperatures were generally associated with an analogous variation in the weight loss at metamorphosis. Thus weight loss increased with increasing temperature, was higher in more rapidly developing populations than in more slowly developing ones, and was higher in males than in females (Fischer et al. 2004). This has been interpreted as a physiological cost of rapid growth, with the payoff of accelerated growth being reduced by a disproportionally smaller adult size (Fischer et al. 2004). In males, a 6% higher metabolic rate compared to females was found during pupal development, which may cause the differences in weight loss (Fischer et al. 2004).

### 3.1.3. Mechanistic basis of the temperature–size rule

While the above evidence (sex- and population-specific reaction norms) suggests that the temperature–size rule may be affected by selection (see also Trotta et al. 2010), another open issue concerns the mechanistic basis of the temperature–size rule. How do such differences in body mass across temperatures come about? An analysis using *Lycaena tityrus* revealed a number of patterns (Karl & Fischer 2008). The larger body size at the lower temperature was proximately due to a higher mass increment during late larval development. This pattern resulted from both behavioural and physiological mechanisms: i.e. a much increased food intake and a higher efficiency in converting ingested food into body matter, despite a lower assimilation (Karl & Fischer 2008). The latter means that although a higher proportion of the food ingested was actually assimilated at a higher temperature, a relatively smaller fraction of the digested food could be converted into body matter. This is most likely related to higher metabolic losses at the higher temperature (Kingsolver & Woods 1998, Renault et al. 2002). Such mechanisms, combined with temperature-induced changes at the cellular level, may explain the temperature–size rule, which, however, need further experimental testing.

### 3.2. Genetic responses: altitudinal variation in life-history and stress resistance traits

Due to the typically wide range of temperatures experienced in space and time, organisms are expected to show genetic adaptations to such variation in addition to the above plastic responses (e.g. Chown & Klok 2003, Van Doorslaer & Stoks 2005). Investigating geographic clines has become a key element in the study of (thermal) adaptive evolution (Sørensen et al. 2005, Sambucetti et al. 2006, Sarup et al. 2006). While the majority of previous studies has focussed on latitudinal clines (e.g. Addo-Bediako et al. 2000, Loeschcke et al. 2000, Van Doorslaer & Stoks 2005), we compared populations of *Lycaena tityrus* from different altitudes (Karl et al. 2008a, 2009b,c, Karl & Fischer 2009). Though high altitudes and latitudes share similarly extreme environmental conditions (Bubliy & Loeschcke 2005, Sørensen et al. 2005), altitudinal changes occur over relatively small distances such that, in contrast to latitudinal variation, gene flow is likely to be much more important (Sarup et al. 2009). Nevertheless, our studies revealed a number of patterns. In describing these we will focus on differences between high- and low-altitude populations, although some of the traits considered were also investigated in mid-altitude populations, which mainly showed intermediate phenotypes (Karl et al. 2008a). We exclusively refer to macro-level environmental variation here, while some other studies have also included micro-level variation (e.g. Kristensen et al. 2004).

#### 3.2.1. Developmental traits

In common garden experiments, *Lycaena tityrus* populations from higher altitudes showed slower development (longer larval times accompanied by reduced larval growth rates) compared to those from lower altitudes (Karl et al. 2008a; Table 2). This is counter-intuitive, as life-history theory generally predicts higher intrinsic growth rates at higher altitudes (or, more generally, in cooler environments), in order to compensate for seasonal time constraints and the overall lower temperatures (Abrams et al. 1996, Bubliy & Loeschcke 2005, Iraeta et al. 2006). The reason for the deviation from the expected pattern in the case of *L. tityrus* is a change in voltinism: while high-altitude populations are monovoltine, low-altitude ones are bivoltine (Tolman & Lewington 1998). Thus the time constraints imposed by fitting in an additional generation per year are more severe than those imposed by the cool alpine environment. The same pattern of reduced growth rates in mono- versus bivoltine populations has been described in *Lycaena hippothoe* (Fischer & Fiedler 2002a) and other butterfly species (e.g. *Aricia agestis*; Burke et al. 2005). However, when comparing 2 monovoltine populations in *L. hippothoe*, the alpine population exhibited higher growth rates and concomitantly shorter development times than the low-altitude one, as expected (Fischer & Fiedler 2002b).

Regarding body mass, in contrast, no differences across populations from different altitudes were found in *Lycaena tityrus* (Karl et al. 2008a). For 2 reasons...
3.2.2. Flight performance, adult morphology and adult life span

In addition to the patterns described above, *Lycaena tityrus* shows altitudinal variation in flight performance (Karl et al. 2008a), a trait closely related to fitness in flying organisms (Barnes & Laurie-Ahberg 1986, Merckx et al. 2006). Specifically, animals from high altitudes showed increased flight durations when forced to fly at suboptimal temperatures compared to animals from low altitudes. Consequently, high-altitude butterflies will be able to fly and thereby to initiate e.g. feeding or mate location behaviour at fairly low temperatures, which should confer a fitness advantage in cool alpine environments (Karl et al. 2008a). Interestingly though, this difference in flight performance is not underpinned by any morphological differentiation in wing size and shape, wing aspect ratio, wing loading or thorax mass (Karl et al. 2008a), traits usually associated with variation in flight performance (e.g. Berwaerts et al. 2002, Van Dyck & Wiklund 2002, Berwaerts & Van Dyck 2004). Further, body fat content was higher in low- compared to high-altitude butterflies, rendering an association between fat content and flight performance unlikely (Karl et al. 2008a). Taken together, the evidence suggests that the altitudinal differentiation in flight performance in *L. tityrus* has a physiological rather than a morphological basis (see below).

Regarding adult life span, there was a tendency towards a higher longevity in high-altitude butterflies (Karl & Fischer 2009). However, this increase in life span was largely restricted to beneficial feeding conditions, while under carbohydrate deprivation low-altitude animals lived longer. Thus low-altitude butterflies do better under food stress, while the opposite is true under beneficial feeding conditions (Karl & Fischer 2009). Generally, a reduced longevity (often with a concomitantly increased early fecundity) is expected for high-altitude populations due to hazardous environmental conditions (Lencioni 2004, Norry et al. 2006). The opposite trend found here is presumably related to nectar plant availability. While the flight period of the monovoltine high-altitude populations is very well synchronised with a period of high nectar flower abundance, bivoltine low-altitude butterflies, in particular in the (late) summer generation, may regularly be faced with a shortage of nectar plants (Karl & Fischer 2009).

Table 2. *Lycaena* sp. Relative differences in life-history and other traits across high- and low-altitude populations of copper butterflies. The putative (selective) forces responsible for the variation found are given in the last column. ↑: increased; ↓: decreased; =: equal; arrows in parentheses: trend; PGI: phosphoglucose isomerase; HSP: heat shock protein

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Altitude</th>
<th>Selective force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development time</td>
<td>↑ ↓</td>
<td>Change in voltinism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body size</td>
<td>= =</td>
<td>Complex interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax mass</td>
<td>= =</td>
<td>Complex interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat content</td>
<td>↓ ↑</td>
<td>Temperature / season length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg size</td>
<td>↑ ↓</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing size, wing aspect ratio, wing loading</td>
<td>= =</td>
<td>Complex interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight performance at suboptimal temperature</td>
<td>↑ ↓</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult life span</td>
<td>(↑) (↓)</td>
<td>Food availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing melanisation</td>
<td>↑ ↓</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupal melanisation</td>
<td>↑ ↓</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold stress resistance</td>
<td>↑ ↓</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of cold stress resistant</td>
<td>↑ ↓</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGI genotype</td>
<td>= =</td>
<td>Complex interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat stress resistance</td>
<td>↓ ↑</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Plasticity in) heat stress resistance</td>
<td>↓ ↑</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasticity in HSP expression</td>
<td>↓ ↑</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a higher body mass in high-altitude animals was expected: (1) because body size is typically larger in cooler environments (Bergman size clines extended to ectotherms; Atkinson 1994, Angilletta & Dunham 2003, but see Kingsolver et al. 2007), and (2) because the accelerated development in low-altitude populations should be associated with a smaller body size (cf. Fischer & Fiedler 2002b, Fischer et al. 2004). Thus the lack of differentiation found here highlights the notion that associations between body size and environmental (temperature) variation are more complex than previously thought, and may range from positive to negative (Chown & Klok 2003, Blanckenhorn & Demont 2004, Iraeta et al. 2006, Cvetković et al. 2009). Such diverging patterns presumably result from interactions between temperature, generation time, voltinism and season length, all of which affect insect body size (Blanckenhorn 1997, Chown & Gaston 1999). In a related species (*L. hippothoe*) there was also no difference in body size across altitudes (Fischer & Fiedler 2002b). Nevertheless, egg size was considerably larger in high-altitude compared to low-altitude animals (Fischer & Fiedler 2001e). Presumably, large egg size helps to reduce development time and withstand environmental stress, which should confer a fitness advantage in alpine environments, characterized by short season length and cool temperatures (Fischer & Fiedler 2001e, cf. Fischer et al. 2003).
3.2.3. Wing and pupal melanisation: a case of thermal melanism?

In *Lycaena tityrus*, high-altitude butterflies have substantially darker wings than low-altitude ones (Karl et al. 2009c), thus showing the expected pattern of darker phenotypes being associated with cooler environments. This pattern, indicating directional thermal selection of pigmentation, has been described in variety of insects (e.g. Ellers & Boggs 2002, Espeland et al. 2007, Pool & Aquadro 2007, Rajpurohit et al. 2008), and the associated thermal benefits, e.g. facilitating flight at suboptimal temperatures, are well established (e.g. Ellers & Boggs 2004, Stoehr & Goux 2008). More interestingly though, we found a parallel pattern in pupal melanisation, showing a strong increase with increasing altitude, in *L. hippothoe* and *L. tityrus* (Karl et al. 2009c). Why this should be so for an immobile stage is currently unclear. On the one hand, darker pupae (as well as artificially melanised pupae) reached higher body temperatures under laboratory and field conditions (Karl et al. 2009c). While this may in principle speed up development, absolute temperature differences were relatively small, such that any reduction in development time will also be small. It is moreover unclear for how long pupae are actually exposed to direct sunlight. Because a higher developmental temperature increased rather than decreased pupal melanisation, temperature may not be the principle selective agent driving variation in pupal melanisation across altitudes (Karl et al. 2009c). Consequently, alternative hypotheses such as cryptic coloration, disease resistance and, in particular, protection from UV radiation need to be addressed (Gunn 1998, Gvozdik 1999, Wilson et al. 2001).

3.2.4. Temperature stress resistance

To test for differences in temperature stress resistance across altitudes, 2 well-established indicators of climatic adaptation, namely chill-coma recovery and heat knockdown time, were used (cf. Hallas et al. 2002, Hoffmann et al. 2002, David et al. 2003, Castañeda et al. 2005). As a result, high-altitude butterflies showed increased cold stress resistance but reduced heat stress resistance relative to low-altitude butterflies, suggesting directional thermal selection on stress resistance traits (Karl et al. 2008a; Table 2). While the former reflects more frequent exposure to cold stress, the latter reflects rarer exposure to heat stress in high altitudes and vice versa. Similar clinal patterns have been found in a number of other organisms (e.g. Hallas et al. 2002, Hoffmann et al. 2002, Castañeda et al. 2005, Hoffmann et al. 2005, Collinge et al. 2006). Such variation in stress resistance might be associated with different thermal thresholds, allowing earlier activity in the morning, later activity in the evening and generally higher levels of activity under colder conditions (Gibert et al. 2001, Watt et al. 2003, Haag et al. 2005).

A parallel pattern was induced using temperature manipulations, with exposure to a lower temperature increasing cold stress resistance and decreasing heat stress resistance and vice versa (see above; Karl et al. 2008a). However, while the plastic response in cold stress resistance was uniform across populations from different altitudes (no genotype–environment interaction), low-altitude butterflies showed a much stronger response to different temperatures in heat stress tolerance compared to high-altitude butterflies (genotype–environment interaction; Karl et al. 2008a). Specifically, heat stress resistance in low-altitude individuals was much increased at a higher compared to a lower ambient temperature (Fig. 3). This accelerated plasticity may help low-altitude butterflies to deal with occasionally occurring spells of heat during summer, while the plastic response in high-altitude butterflies (normally not facing heat stress) was very weak (Karl et al. 2008a).

This pattern is echoed by differences in the expression of stress-inducible heat shock proteins (HSPs), with high-altitude butterflies showing a very weak response to a higher ambient temperature, while low-altitude ones showed a substantial increase in HSP expression (Karl et al. 2009a; Fig. 3). The parallel pattern suggests that population-specific differences in the heat shock response may mechanistically underlie differences in heat stress resistance (Karl et al. 2008a, 2009a, but see e.g. Bahrmorff et al. 2010, this Special). In any case, the heat shock response, with HSPs functioning as molecular chaperones participating in protein folding and unfolding, is considered an essential mechanism for coping with unfavourable (thermal) conditions in ectothermic organisms (Sørensen et al. 2003, Dahlhoff 2004, Dahlhoff & Rank 2007). Whether the reduced plasticity in heat tolerance and HSP expression found in *Lycaena tityrus* high-altitude populations is a common feature among cold-adapted species and populations, and whether this may potentially interfere with their long-term prospects facing global warming, deserves further investigation.

3.2.5. Genetic make-up of thermal adaptation in *Lycaena tityrus*

Facing rapid human-induced global warming, the mechanistic basis of thermal adaptation is an essential issue (see Section 1). To get a handle on the genetic mechanisms underlying thermal adaptation in *Lycaena*...
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Fig. 3. Lycaena tityrus. Stress resistance traits, heat shock protein (HSP) expression and phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI) genotype frequencies (mean ± SE) in the copper butterfly. Chill coma recovery time in relation to (A) altitude and temperature and (B) PGI genotype; (C) PGI genotype frequency in relation to altitude; (D) heat knockdown time and (E) HSP expression, in relation to altitude and temperature (based on data published in Karl et al. 2008a,b, 2009a,b).

tityrus, we conducted a population genetic (allozyme) analysis based on 15 enzyme systems representing 18 loci. Allozymes are thought to be under selection, and their functional roles are well characterised (Berry & Kreitman 1993, Eanes 1999, Storz & Nachman 2003, Watt et al. 2003, Hanski & Saccheri 2006). L. tityrus exhibits a remarkable genetic differentiation into an alpine (high-altitude) and a non-alpine (low-altitude) cluster (Karl et al. 2009b). This geographic differentiation is primarily caused by variation at a single locus, PGI, a key glycolytic enzyme at the central point of all ATP-based energy supplies, which has been suggested to be under thermal selection before (e.g. Watt 1994, Dahlhoff & Rank 2000, 2007, Neargarder et al. 2003, McMillan et al. 2005, Rank et al. 2007). In all alpine populations, a single homozygote genotype (PGI-2-2) was dominant (frequencies > 84%), while low-altitude populations showed much higher variation with many heterozygotes (Karl et al. 2009b). The genotype dominating in high altitudes showed the highest cold stress resistance, as evidenced by an experiment comparing PGI genotypes from a single low-altitude population (Karl et al. 2008b; Fig. 3). Consequently, both population genetic analysis and direct experimental evidence suggest that PGI is under thermal selection in L. tityrus, and that this locus may well cause the population-specific differences in cold stress resistance (Karl et al. 2008b, 2009b).

In Lycaena tityrus, PGI not only affects cold stress resistance, but additionally an array of life-history traits such as larval development time and pupal mass (Karl et al. 2008b, 2010). Consequently, PGI can be considered a gene of large and pleiotropic effects (see also Orsini et al. 2009, Klemme & Hanski 2009). In another
insect, the leaf beetle *Chrysomelidae aeneicollis*, variation in HSP70 expression, temperature stress resistance, running speed, survival and fecundity were all related to directional changes in PGI allele frequencies (Dahlhoff & Rank 2000, 2007, Rank & Dahlhoff 2002, Neargarder et al. 2003, McMillan et al. 2005, Rank et al. 2007). Allelic variation at PGI may even affect population dynamics through effects on dispersal ability (Haag et al. 2005, Niitepold et al. 2009). To sum up, although other loci also contribute to thermal adaptation (Berry & Kreitman 1993, McKenzie et al. 1994, Piccino et al. 2004, Huestis & Marshall 2006), PGI seems to be one of the major players.

In contrast to cold stress resistance and life-history traits (see above), heat stress resistance was not affected by PGI genotype (Karl et al. 2008b), suggesting that the mechanisms underlying increased cold and heat tolerance are at least partly uncoupled (Klok & Chown 2003, Sørensen et al. 2005). Additionally, there was no association between PGI genotype and flight performance at suboptimal temperatures in *Lycaena tityrus* (Karl et al. 2010). Based on the differences in flight performance and PGI allele frequencies across altitudes in *L. tityrus* (see above, and Section 3.2.2.), such a link was expected, in particular because in another butterfly PGI has been identified as a candidate locus affecting flight metabolic rate (Haag et al. 2005, Saastamoinen 2007, Saastamoinen et al. 2009, Orsini et al. 2009). Why this is not the case in *L. tityrus* needs to be explored in further detail.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In the copper butterflies studied, manipulating developmental temperature as well as comparing populations across altitudes yielded divergent patterns in numerous traits. Regarding temperature-induced plasticity, however, the adaptive significance of much of the variation found is still largely unclear (Table 1). This applies, for example, to variation in body size, total food consumption, the efficiency of converting digested food into body matter (the latter two enabling larger body size at lower temperatures), body fat and protein content, and pupal melanisation across temperatures. However, based on our results and those from other studies we can pose testable hypotheses regarding some of the traits. For instance, the temperature–size rule may result from the benefits of reproducing early at higher temperatures, indicating potential for extra generations (compound interest hypothesis; Fischer & Fiedler 2002b), while increased pupal melanisation at higher temperatures may serve as a means of UV protection (Karl et al. 2009c). Variation in other temperature-dependent traits such as development time, daily food consumption and assimilation is due to physiological constraints. Differences in developmental pathways (diapause induction, number of larval instars), on the other hand, are closely related to changes in voltinism, with higher temperatures enabling production of additional generations due to rapid development. Thus only variation in cold and heat stress resistance seems to result from thermal adaptation per se, with heat resistance increasing at higher temperatures and cold resistance increasing at cooler temperatures, reflecting adaptive phenotypic plasticity (Zeilstra & Fischer 2005, Karl et al. 2008a).

Similarly, much of the variation across copper butterfly populations from different altitudes was not directly related to thermal adaptation (Table 2). The clearest evidence comes from temperature stress resistance and putatively associated mechanisms, with high-altitude populations showing reduced heat but increased cold resistance compared to low-altitude populations (Karl et al. 2008a). Apart from that, cool alpine environments select for increased wing melanisation and increased flight performance at suboptimal temperatures, both indicating that flight performance is under strong selection in these butterflies (Karl et al. 2008a). However, many other traits such as development time, body size and adult life span did not seem to be affected in the first place by thermal adaptation. Our results suggest that while temperature is an important ecological factor, other factors contributing to clinal variation should not be neglected. In particular, seasonal time constraints and associated windows of opportunity seem to exert strong selective pressures on these temperate zone insects. Our results on both plastic responses and clinal variation caution against overstressing the importance of temperature for shaping animal life histories, with thermal adaptation being most obvious in traits directly related to stress resistance.
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