Neutrophil and macrophage responses to inflammation in the peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. A light and electron microscopic cytochemical study
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**ABSTRACT:** The neutrophil and macrophage responses that accompany inflammation were studied in the peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* using light and electron microscopic cytochemistry. Neutrophils of inflammatory peritoneal exudates were alpha-naphthyl butyrate esterase-negative, peroxidase-positive and rich in cytoplasmic glycogen granules. Macrophages were poor in glycogen, esterase-positive and usually peroxidase-negative. Some peroxidase-positive macrophages were due to the transfer to macrophages of neutrophilic peroxidase. The ultrastructural double labelling for glycogen/peroxidase or esterase/peroxidase was most useful for precisely characterising neutrophils and macrophages in the inflamed peritoneal cavities and for correctly labelling peroxidase-positive macrophages. Intraperitoneal injection of casein, Incomplete Freund’s Adjuvant (IFA) and live or formol-killed *Yersinia ruckeri* resulted in a rapid influx of neutrophils, peaking at 24 to 48 h post-injection and reaching values, in the case of live bacteria, 500x those in the resting, unstimulated peritoneal cavity. Peritoneal macrophages also increased, but the response was slower (peak at 5 d) and with more modest increases in number (7.5x). Neutrophil and mononuclear cells returned to normal values after 15 d in the case of casein and bacteria, but continued above base values 30 d after the injection of IFA. Conversely, after the injection of phosphate buffered saline, India ink or with sham-injections, very moderate neutrophil and macrophage responses subsided in a few hours. Phagocytosis of bacteria was studied by light microscopy of preparations stained for peroxidase by a new method which allows for the simultaneous observation of intracellular bacteria and peroxidase staining. When *Y. ruckeri* was injected into resting peritoneal cavities, bacteria were ingested by the resident macrophages. When the bacteria were injected into cavities with high numbers of neutrophils (due to the previous injection of casein), more neutrophils than macrophages contained bacteria. Results show that the macrophages are the resident phagocytes of the peritoneal cavity of trout, while neutrophils are present in that body cavity in significant numbers only in situations of inflammation and only as long as the inflammation persists.
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**INTRODUCTION**

al. 1988, Secomes & Fletcher 1992, Brown 1995). From studies conducted with mammals, data on the behaviour of each of the 2 phagocytes during inflammation, including that caused by infection, can be summarised as follows (Lehrer et al. 1988, Haslett et al. 1989, Silva et al. 1989, van Furth 1992, Densen et al. 1995, Kubicka et al. 1996). Neutrophils are present in large numbers in blood and hematopoietic organ pools as a reserve, and, under normal conditions, are rare in the tissues and body cavities. In contrast, macrophages are present in all body compartments, and, therefore, are the first phagocytes to encounter the invading microorganisms when superficial barriers are breached. Neutrophils from the reserve pools are quickly attracted to the infectious foci by microbial products and by chemotactic agents produced by immune cells including macrophages (Lehrer et al. 1988, Appelberg 1992). Monocytes, the blood circulating precursors of tissue macrophages, are also recruited to infectious sites, where they cause an increase in the initial macrophage population.

Researchers at this laboratory have been interested in investigating whether a pattern of distribution and mobilization of the 2 phagocytes similar to that described above for mammals occurs in fish. In the present paper we expand upon previous observations of the leucocyte population of the resting peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss (Afonso et al. 1997) by analyzing the response of neutrophils and macrophages during inflammation. The peritoneal model (Silva et al. 1989, Appelberg et al. 1991, Pestana 1994, Sousa 1994) was used because, in contrast to what occurs in solid organs, the peritoneal cell response that accompanies intraperitoneal inflammation can be easily studied both qualitatively and quantitatively. This is so because the leucocytes in peritoneal exudates largely remain a dispersed population, which is, therefore, amenable to precise methods of cytological analysis (Silva et al. 1989, Afonso et al. 1997). Moreover, the phagocyte response to inflammation in the peritoneal cavity is representative of that seen in tissues (van Furth 1992).

Sometimes, with conventional cytological procedures, it is difficult to distinguish neutrophils and macrophages in the inflammatory peritoneal exudates. Therefore, light and electron microscopic cytochemical methods were used to analyze the peritoneal phagocyte response to the presence of infectious agents. The present study extends our knowledge gained from previous observations (Afonso et al. 1997) and shows that, in rainbow trout, neutrophils and macrophages display different patterns of localization in the normal host and of mobilization into inflamed areas. These patterns are similar to those seen in mammals.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental fish.** Sexually immature rainbow trout weighing between 100 and 200 g, purchased from a commercial farm, were maintained in recirculating aerated fresh water, at 15°C, and were fed ad libitum on commercial pellets. The fish to be injected with phlogistic agents were transferred to 600 l fiberglass tanks under the same conditions as above, where they were maintained for at least 15 d before the study. Only healthy fish, as indicated by their activity and external appearance, were used.

**Growth, harvesting and treatment of bacterial agents.** The strain FP-3 of *Yersinia ruckeri* type 1 was kindly supplied by Prof. J. Barja (University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain). Details of the preparation of bacterial suspensions are given elsewhere (Afonso et al. 1998). Preliminary infectivity tests showed that, for the conditions used in this work, this bacterium does not induce a progressive infection. Formol-killed bacteria were prepared by treating suspensions in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) adjusted to 310 mOsm l⁻¹ with 8% formaldehyde for 3 d, followed by 5 washings with PBS. Plating of the formol-treated bacteria showed 100% killing. For fish inoculation, concentrated, frozen suspensions of live or killed bacteria were thawed at room temperature and diluted to the desired concentrations (see below) with PBS.

**Intraperitoneal injection of phlogistic agents.** The following agents were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) in volumes of 100 μl: 0.4% India ink in PBS; 12% casein in water; undiluted Incomplete Freund's Adjuvant (IFA); suspensions of live or formol-killed *Yersinia ruckeri* (5 × 10⁸ bacteria per fish).

Live *Yersinia ruckeri* was also injected i.p. in trout with peritoneal cavities previously inflamed by the i.p. injection of 100 μl of 12% casein in water 48 h before the bacterial challenge. The inoculum (3 × 10⁸ per fish) was higher than that administered to fish with resting peritoneal cavities because the number of phagocytes (macrophages plus neutrophils) was higher in the peritoneal cavities of fish previously injected with casein (144.48 × 10⁶) as compared to untreated fish (1.74 × 10⁶).

Intraperitoneal injections were carried out as described (Afonso et al. 1997). Control fish were injected i.p. with PBS or subjected to a sham injection by inserting the needle into the peritoneal cavity without injection.

**Collection of peritoneal leucocytes.** The peritoneal cells were collected at intervals (see Tables 1 & 2, and Fig. 7) after the i.p. injections using a procedure previously described in detail (Afonso et al. 1997). The peritoneal cell suspension was analysed within minutes after collection for several morphological and cytochemical parameters as follows.
Light microscopy of peritoneal leucocytes. Total cell counts were performed with a haemocytometer. Cyto
count preparations were made with a Shandon Cytospin 2. The cytospins were stained with Wright's stain (Haemacolor, Merck) after fixation for 1 min with formol-ethanol (10 ml of 37% formaldehyde and 90 ml of absolute ethanol) (Kaplow 1965). The leucocytes in the peritoneal exudates were differentially counted as neutrophils and mononuclear cells, except in some situations when mononuclear leucocytes were further distinguished as macrophages and lymphocytes (see 'Results'). As described previously for the resting peritoneal leucocyte population of rainbow trout (Afonso et al. 1997), some peritoneal mononuclear leucocytes could not be classified with certainty. These cells, referred to as 'non-classified leucocytes' (Afonso et al. 1997), were present in the inflammatory peritoneal exudates studied here in percentages well below (less than 5% of total leucocytes) those reported for the resting peritoneal cavities. These cells were included in the class 'mononuclear leucocytes'.

Electron microscopy of peritoneal leucocytes. The peritoneal leucocyte suspension in PBS was processed for electron microscopy as described (Silva et al. 1971, Silva & Macedo 1983, Silva et al. 1987, Afonso et al. 1997).

To enhance the electron density of glycogen, some samples were processed using 2% OsO₄ in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer pH 7.3, supplemented with 3% potassium ferricyanide (Karnowsky 1971, Robinson et al. 1982) as fixative.

RESULTS

Cytochemistry for peroxidase of inflammatory peritoneal neutrophils and macrophages

Kaplow's technique strongly stains peroxidase in cytoplasmic granules of inflammatory neutrophils (Fig. 1a, b). The dark-blue staining is very intense and is most useful for detecting very reduced amounts of the enzyme activity, as was the case for some macrophages in the inflamed cavities which showed only a few small peroxidase-positive cytoplasmic granules (Fig. 1b).

Utilization of Antonow's technique to detect peroxidase activity results in a green staining of the neutrophil cytoplasmic granules (Fig. 1c–f). Individual granules are sometimes visible (Fig. 1c), but, more frequently, a more or less homogeneously stained cytoplasm is present (Fig. 1d–f). The specificity of the technique is the same as with the conventional Kaplow method, the staining being abolished by omitting hydrogen peroxide from the incubation mixture.

The green peroxidase staining is light insensitive and remains stable for more than 1 yr (the time tested so far). In contrast to what happens with the conventional
Fig. 1. (a) Oncorhynchus mykiss. Peritoneal leucocytes from a rainbow trout injected i.p. with live Yersinia ruckeri. Sample collected 24 h post-injection and stained for peroxidase using Kaplow’s method. The neutrophils have abundant peroxidase-positive (blue) granules. Mononuclear cells, including 2 macrophages, are peroxidase-negative. ×850. (b) Same sample as in (a), also stained for peroxidase using Kaplow’s method. The neutrophils have abundant peroxidase-positive granules. The 3 macrophages have a few peroxidase-positive granules. Two lymphocytes are peroxidase-negative. ×850. (c) Peritoneal cells from a fish injected i.p. with live Y. ruckeri. Sample collected 2 d after injection and stained for peroxidase using Antonow’s method (Wright counterstaining). One neutrophil shows numerous, well-defined, peroxidase-positive (green) cytoplasmic granules. The other neutrophils have a more compact cytoplasmic peroxidase staining. The macrophages present in the field are peroxidase-negative. ×850. (d) Peritoneal cells from a fish injected i.p. with live Y. ruckeri. Sample collected 2 d after injection and stained for peroxidase using Antonow’s method (Wright counterstaining). Several neutrophils (one with nuclear alterations suggestive of apoptosis) show an intense peroxidase-positive (green) staining. One macrophage is peroxidase-negative, whereas the other has a few peroxidase-positive inclusions. ×850. (e) Same sample as in (d), also stained for peroxidase using Antonow’s method (Wright counterstaining). Notice the macrophage which had phagocytosed a neutrophil. The other macrophage is peroxidase-negative. ×850. (f) Peritoneal cells from a fish injected i.p. with live Y. ruckeri. Sample collected 24 h after injection and stained for peroxidase using Antonow’s method (Wright counterstaining). Notice a bacterium inside a neutrophil. The macrophages present in this field are peroxidase-negative. ×850
Kaplow method, staining using Antonow’s technique allows for a clear visualization of intraneutrophilic bacteria, whether the counterstain is carried out with the Wright or with the Gram stain. In the former case bacteria appear blue against a green background (Fig. 1f). In the case of Gram-positive bacteria, where the Gram stain is used, bacteria appear blue-black against a green background (not shown). Because the counterstaining can be done with the Wright stain, identification of leucocytes is easier with the Antonow’s than with Kaplow’s method, which uses safranin as a counterstain (cf. Fig. 1a, b).

Some inflammatory mononuclear leucocytes with morphological characteristics typical of macrophages contain peroxidase activity (Fig. 1b, d, e, and see Figs. 4 & 6). The patterns of peroxidase staining were typically different for neutrophils and peroxidase-positive macrophages. In neutrophils, the staining was quite homogeneous, activity being present in abundant granules which filled most of the cytoplasm of the phagocyte (Fig. 1a–f). In peroxidase-positive macrophages, the staining was rather heterogeneous, showing a range of characteristics from the presence of discrete small peroxidase-positive granules (Fig. 1b) to the presence of small (Fig. 1d) or large vacuoles filled with peroxidase-positive material or even intact neutrophils with peroxidase-positive granules (Fig. 1e).

The peroxidase staining of trout neutrophilic granules was resistant to 8 mM NaCN when assayed by the diaminobenzidine technique described by Horton et al. (1996) Inflammatory neutrophils were seen by electron microscopy to contain numerous peroxidase-positive granules of varying sizes and shapes (Figs. 2 & 3). When bacteria were present inside neutrophilic phagocytic vacuoles, peroxidase activity was also present in the vacuoles (Fig. 2), indicating that fusion of cytoplasmic granules with the phagosome had occurred.

Polysaccharide granules of neutrophils and macrophages

Abundant glycogen granules were consistently seen in the cytoplasm of neutrophils when adequate techniques were used (Fig. 3). In macrophages these inclusions were always scarce (Fig. 4), except when, occa-

Fig. 2. Two neutrophil fragments in a peritoneal exudate of a trout inoculated i.p. with live Yersinia ruckeri 16 h before the harvesting of the peritoneal leucocytes. Sample processed for peroxidase and post-fixed with osmium tetroxide in acetate-veronal buffer. Notice the peroxidase-positive neutrophil granules and peroxidatic reaction in 2 phagocytic vacuoles containing bacteria (arrowheads). The neutrophilic cytoplasm has numerous small electron-transparent areas, which are unstained glycogen granules. One bacterium was in the process of being phagocytosed. Section contrasted with lead citrate ×13640
sionally, clusters of polysaccharide granules were found in phagocytic vacuoles or in intramacrophagic neutrophils.

**Ultrastructural detection of ANB-esterase**

Electron microscopy of rainbow trout peritoneal phagocytes stained for the detection of ANB esterase showed, as reported for mammals (Bozdech & Bainton 1981), a characteristic dense staining of the surface of macrophages whereas neutrophils were negative. The simultaneous staining for esterase and peroxidase showed resident macrophages of the resting peritoneal cavity and some macrophages in the inflamed cavities with an esterase-positive surface and no peroxidase-positive sites (Fig. 5), and neutrophils with peroxidase-positive cytoplasmic granules and an esterase-negative cell surface (Fig. 6). Some macrophages in the inflammatory peritoneal exudate labelled by the typical esterase-positive surface were found to have peroxidase-positive cytoplasmic vacuoles and/or granules (Fig. 6, arrow), thus confirming the observations reported above of inflammatory macrophages with peroxidase-positive sites.

**Kinetics of peritoneal neutrophil response to phlogistic agents**

The injection of casein, IFA and bacteria (Fig. 7A–D) resulted in strong inflammatory responses compared to PBS (Fig. 7E), carbon and sham injections. In the latter 3 situations, small perturbations occurred in neutrophil numbers soon after treatment but values had returned to normal after several hours. Data from PBS injected fish (Fig. 7E) are representative of fish injected with India ink and sham-injected fish (not shown).

Neutrophilic responses to strong inflammation (casein, IFA and bacteria) are shown in Fig. 7A–D. In the case of live bacteria, neutrophil influx into the peritoneal cavity peaked at 24 to 48 h and resulted in a 500-fold increase in number. Macrophage responses (Fig. 7A–D) peaked at 2 to 4 d and the increase was comparatively more modest (7.5×). In the case of IFA and bacteria, at 48 h the neutrophil numbers were greater than the macrophage number; casein neutrophil numbers were below but close to those of macrophages. The extensive influx of neutrophils into the peritoneal cavities injected with bacteria had almost completely subsided 8 d after the i.p. inoculation (Fig. 7C, D). In the 3 situations of extensive inflammation the number of peritoneal leucocytes had returned to normal after 15 d, except in the case of IFA, when after 30 d the values were still slightly above normal (Fig. 7A–D).

Rainbow trout inoculated with $5 \times 10^7$ live *Yersinia ruckeri* recovered from the infection, as judged from the disappearance of the bacteria from the peritoneal exudates 4 d post-inoculation and from the absence of clinical signs of infection.

**Phagocytosis of bacteria by neutrophils and macrophages**

The distribution of phagocytosed bacteria in the 2 phagocytes of the peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout

---

Fig. 3. Neutrophil in the peritoneal exudate of a trout 44 h after the injection of incomplete Freund’s Adjuvant (IFA). Sample processed for peroxidase and section stained for glycogen using Théry’s method. Notice the peroxidase-positive cytoplasmic granules and the Periodic Acid-Schiff (PAS)-positive glycogen inclusions (arrowhead). $\times 15120$
Fig. 4. Electron micrograph of a macrophage in the peritoneal exudate of a rainbow trout 20 h after i.p. inoculation with live Yersinia ruckeri. Sample processed for peroxidase and post-fixed with osmium tetroxide supplemented with potassium ferrocyanide. Notice the presence of peroxidase-positive cytoplasmic granules and vacuoles. Only a few scattered glycogen granules are visible in the cytoplasm (arrowhead). Section contrasted with lead citrate. ×13 600

Fig. 5. Macrophage from an unstimulated peritoneal cavity of a rainbow trout. Sample processed for alpha-naphthyl butyrate (ANB) esterase followed by peroxidase. Note the strongly esterase-positive cell surface and absence of peroxidase staining of the cytoplasmic granules. Section contrasted with lead citrate. ×9000
Fig. 6. Same sample as in Fig. 4 but processed for ANB esterase followed by peroxidase staining. Notice the neutrophil (right-hand side) with peroxidase-positive granules and no esterase staining of the cell surface. A macrophage, labelled by a strong esterase staining on its surface, contains several peroxidase-positive cytoplasmic granules (arrow) similar to those present in the neutrophil, and a large vacuole with peroxidase-positive material. Section stained with lead citrate. ×7600

Fig. 7. Kinetics of leucocyte response in the peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout following i.p. injection of (A) 100 μl of 12% casein, (B) 100 μl of undiluted IFA, (C) 5 × 10^7 live or (D) formal-killed Yersinia ruckeri, or (E) 100 μl PBS. Each point was the mean ± SD (bars) of at least 6 fish. In the right-hand side panels, some time points of the initial 48 h post-injection period, as well as SD bars of the same period, were eliminated for clarity. (○) Neutrophils; (△) mononuclear cells (macrophages plus lymphocytes). Macrophages (○) are represented only in experiments with live bacteria (panel C).
was analysed in cytosin preparations stained using Antonow's technique. Table 1 shows that following the injection of Yersinia ruckeri into the resting peritoneal cavity significantly more macrophages than neutrophils had ingested bacteria. However, this distribution of bacteria in the 2 phagocytes was changed when bacteria were injected into peritoneal cavities rendered inflamed by the previous injection of casein. In this case, a higher number of neutrophils than macrophages with ingested microorganisms was found, with percentages of neutrophils with bacteria approaching those of macrophages with bacteria (Table 2).

**Table 1**: Number of peritoneal macrophages and neutrophils with bacteria after i.p. inoculation of 5 x 10^5 Yersinia ruckeri into the unstimulated peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout (same experiment as in Fig. 7C). N = 6. Number of macrophages or neutrophils with bacteria per 100 total macrophages or neutrophils given in parentheses. p-values (Student's t-test) for the comparison between number of macrophages with bacteria and number of neutrophils with bacteria were p < 0.005 for 8 and 24 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time after bacterial challenge (h)</th>
<th>Macrophages x10^4 ± SD (%)</th>
<th>Neutrophils x10^4 ± SD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>105.96 ± 25.05 (18.0)</td>
<td>126.66 ± 40.35 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>177.89 ± 72.75 (23.0)</td>
<td>257.24 ± 61.63 (19.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>418.71 ± 60.10 (22.7)</td>
<td>570.34 ± 102.58 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Number of peritoneal macrophages and neutrophils with bacteria in the peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout injected i.p. with 3 x 10^5 Yersinia ruckeri 48 h after i.p. injection of 100 μl of 12% casein. N = 5. Number of macrophages or neutrophils with bacteria per 100 total macrophages or neutrophils given in parentheses. p-values (Student's t-test) for the comparison between number of macrophages with bacteria and number of neutrophils with bacteria were p = 0.360 (6 h), p = 0.144 (8 h) and p < 0.05 (24 h). p-values for the comparison of number of neutrophils with bacteria in Table 1 with number of neutrophils with bacteria in Table 2 were p < 0.005 for 8 and 24 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time after bacterial challenge (h)</th>
<th>Macrophages x10^4 ± SD (%)</th>
<th>Neutrophils x10^4 ± SD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>105.96 ± 25.05 (18.0)</td>
<td>126.66 ± 40.35 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>177.89 ± 72.75 (23.0)</td>
<td>257.24 ± 61.63 (19.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>418.71 ± 60.10 (22.7)</td>
<td>570.34 ± 102.58 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cytochemistry of blood monocytes**

Blood monocytes from normal trout were found by light and electron microscopy to be peroxidase-negative, esterase-positive and poor in glycogen granules (not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

One interesting aspect regarding the participation of phagocytosis in defence mechanisms to combat infection in trout, as in other vertebrates, is the utilization of 2 leukocytes with phagocytic capacities, the neutrophil and the macrophage. To obtain reliable, quantitative data on the participation of each of these phagocytes in antimicrobial mechanisms, an unequivocal distinction between them is necessary. Since neutrophils and macrophages in inflammatory sites in fish can be impossible to distinguish using simple morphological criteria, the present study used cytochemical techniques, including ultrastructural double-labellings (peroxidase followed by periodic acid-Schiff reaction, PAS, and peroxidase following ANB esterase).

Our results show that peroxidase staining is an essential label for neutrophils. The classical method of Kaplow is quite efficient for the purpose of detecting peroxidase activity in leukocytes, but the intense, dark-blue staining of neutrophil granules makes it difficult or impossible to visualize intraneutrophilic bacteria. Antonow's method as described herein, which results in a green staining of peroxidase-positive sites, allows for a clear visualization of both peroxidase activity and bacteria. Other advantages of Antonow's method over Kaplow's are the possibility of using Wright counterstaining, which makes it easier to classify leukocytes, and this method's insensitivity to light and long-term stability, which allows for the stocking of preparations over long periods of time.

In contrast to that which is reported for mammalian neutrophilic myeloperoxidase (Horton et al. 1996), we found that trout neutrophilic peroxidase is cyanideresistant. In this respect, peroxidase of trout neutrophils behaves like mammalian eosinophil peroxidase (Horton et al. 1996).

The present results show that peritoneal inflammatory macrophages, in contrast to resting resident macrophages (Afonso et al. 1997) and blood monocytes (present results), can have peroxidase activity. This might complicate the differential labelling of neutrophils and macrophages in inflamed areas. Our study shows, however, that an unambiguous distinction between the 2 phagocytes, even when macrophages are peroxidase-positive, can be achieved. Firstly, as described above, the patterns of peroxidase positivity in light microscopic preparations are different for neutrophils and macrophages. Secondly, the ultrastructural double-labellings (peroxidase + PAS, ANB esterase + peroxidase) allow for a clear characterization of peroxidase-positive phagocytes: neutrophils are strongly
peroxidase-positive, esterase-negative and rich in glycogen granules, while peroxidase-positive macrophages are, like the peroxidase-negative macrophages and blood monocytes, esterase-positive and poor in glycogen granules. The double-labellings, moreover, permit the characterization of small portions of phagocytes, when most of the cellular details are not present in the picture as in the case with tangential sections (cf. Fig. 2). Details about the occurrence of peroxidase-positive macrophages in inflammatory peritoneal exudates of rainbow trout are given elsewhere (Afonso et al. 1998).

Taking advantage of the capacity of Antonow’s technique for the simultaneous visualization of peroxidase activity and bacteria, we found that both the neutrophil and the macrophage of rainbow trout, given the opportunity, phagocytose bacteria. The much higher percentage of macrophages with bacteria compared to neutrophils when Yersinia ruckeri was injected into resting peritoneal cavities can be explained by the high predominance of the mononuclear phagocytes in the population receiving the injected bacteria. The opportunity for phagocytosis by the neutrophils that are attracted to the cavity following the injection of the bacteria will largely depend on the number of bacteria still extracellular at the time of neutrophil arrival. Such an opportunity will, obviously, be higher when the total number of bacteria injected is above the phagocytic capacity of the resident peritoneal macrophages. It is interesting that, when the injection of bacteria is made into cavities with a high number of neutrophils, these phagocytes extensively ingested bacteria, showing that the actual phagocytic index for the 2 phagocytes in a given situation significantly reflects the opportunity they have to encounter the infectious agent.

The results from previous (Afonso et al. 1997) and present studies show that in rainbow trout, as in the mouse, the 2 professional phagocytes display different patterns of localization in the normal host and mobilization into inflamed areas. The scant neutrophil population of the resting, non-inflamed peritoneal cavity of rainbow trout (Afonso et al. 1997) is quickly increased in intense inflammatory situations by an extensive influx of neutrophils attracted from the reserve pools in hematopoietic organs and blood. The important permanent population of resident peritoneal macrophages (Afonso et al. 1997) increases, as well, although less extensively and more slowly than the neutrophil population. This increase in macrophage number is presumably due to an influx of monocytes from the reserve pools, as is well documented for the mouse (de Bakker et al. 1985, Appelberg et al. 1991, Pestana 1994). It is worth stressing that at some early points in time after the injection of bacteria and IFA the number of neutrophils was greater than the number of macrophages. The observation that 8 d after the inoculation of Yersinia ruckeri, when the fish had already recovered from the infection, the number of peritoneal neutrophils was very close to normal shows that neutrophil numbers can return rather quickly to basal values. This is explained by the short lifespan of neutrophils and represents an advantage for the host in the sense that the potentially harmful presence of these cytotoxic leucocytes in the tissues is shortened. The finding that 30 d after the i.p. injection of IFA neutrophil numbers were still above normal may well be interpreted as resulting from the very slow elimination of the adjuvant’s mineral oil maintaining the inflammatory situation. This shows that an analysis of the peritoneal neutrophilic response may be useful for the study of new adjuvant substances.

Since the mobilization of phagocytes into the peritoneal cavity is similar to that found for tissues (van Furth 1992), the present results indicate, therefore, that in fish, like in mammals (Lehrer et al. 1986, Hasslett et al. 1989, Silva et al. 1989, van Furth 1992, Densen et al. 1995, Kubicka et al. 1996), macrophages are the tissue resident phagocytes while the neutrophils are present in tissues in significant numbers only in situations of inflammation and only as long as the inflammation persists.
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