Characterization of intracytoplasmic prokaryote infections in *Dreissena* sp. (Bivalvia: Dreissenidae)
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ABSTRACT: This study characterizes intracytoplasmic infections with prokaryote microorganisms in *Dreissena* sp. (near *Dreissena polymorpha*) from northeastern Greece and represents the first report of such infections in freshwater bivalves. Light microscope observations of stained tissues revealed basophilic, cytoplasmic inclusion bodies in 87.5% (28/32) of the mussels sectioned. Inclusions in epithelial cells and connective tissues were noted, respectively, in 34.4 and 71.9% of the sample, with 5 mussels (15.6%) having both tissue types infected. Epithelial cell infections were observed in histological sections only in digestive gland tubules and ducts; within tubules, inclusions were present more often in secretory than digestive cells. Connective tissue infections, however, were systemic; among the 32 mussels sectioned, inclusions were found in the gills (65.6%), foot (12.5%), mantle (9.4%), labial palps (6.3%), digestive gland (6.3%), stomach (6.3%), and gonads (3.1%). Cytoplasmic inclusions (maximum dimension, 138 µm) were prominent enough in the gills to be visible in 17.0% of the 247 mussels dissected. Ultrastructurally, prokaryote cells in gill connective tissues were clearly characteristic of Chlamydiales-like organisms, with each intracytoplasmic inclusion containing a loosely packed mixture of elementary, reticulate, intermediate bodies, and blebs. Prokaryote colonies in digestive gland epithelial cells exclusively contained 1 of 4 morphological cell types and were considered Rickettsiales-like. Hexagonal, virus-like particles were present in the cytoplasm of the largest of these Rickettsiales-like prokaryotes. Although host stress was evident from localized cell necrosis and dense hemocyte infiltration, overall infection was fairly benign, with no major, adverse impact on body condition evident among sectioned or dissected mussels. A possible negative effect was partial constriction of gill water tubes, but at the infection intensity observed (typical range 1 to 7 inclusion bodies per section), significant interference with respiration and other metabolic functions of the gills was highly unlikely.
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INTRODUCTION

The invasion and spread of zebra mussels *Dreissena polymorpha* and quagga mussels *Dreissena bugensis* throughout North American freshwaters since the mid-1980s has had significant adverse economic and ecological impacts. As major macrofoulers of raw-water conduits within infrastructures, they have caused damage and increased operating expenses totaling hundreds of millions of dollars (O’Neill 1996, 1997). Envi-
After introduction into a waterbody, population growth of *Dreissena* spp. can be explosive because of their life history traits of high fecundity, high growth rate, and tolerance of a range of environmental conditions. The absence of their Eurasian natural enemy complex of predators, parasites, and benthic competitors has also contributed to their rapid population growth in North America, although to what degree is open to debate. Molloy et al. (1997) suggested that among these 3 natural enemy groups, research on *Dreissena*'s parasites had received the least attention. This information gap is currently being addressed by a network of over a dozen scientists from the former Soviet Union, Europe, and North America as a project of the International Research Consortium on Molluscan Symbionts (IRCOMS; http://www.nysm.nysed.gov/biology/ircoms/bio_ircoms.html). In this IRCOMS project, initial focus is on developing a database characterizing the systematics, biology, ecology, and distribution of *Dreissena*'s parasites, as well as other symbiotic organisms encountered within these mussels (Molloy et al. 1996, Burlakova et al. 1998, Laruelle et al. 1999, Karatayev et al. 2000a,b, Laruelle et al. 2001). These data on parasites will help define the role that infectious diseases play in the population dynamics of *Dreissena* spp. and to what degree the relative absence of parasites in North American *Dreissena* populations (Toews et al. 1993, Camp et al. 1999) aids their successful colonization of waterbodies on this continent. This database may also enhance determination of whether any of *Dreissena*'s parasites could be used as biological control agents (Molloy 1998).

The present study characterizes infection by intracytoplasmic prokaryote microorganisms (i.e., with no membrane-bound nucleus) observed in a *Dreissena* sp. in northeastern Greece. Prokaryotes have frequently been recorded as intracytoplasmic parasites of marine bivalves (reviewed in Bower et al. 1994, Fryer & Lannan 1994), but this is the first report from freshwater bivalves. We present evidence that this population of zebra mussels was infected by at least 2 prokaryote species, 1 of which was hyperinfected with virus-like particles.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Dreissena* sp. were sampled from Lake Volvi, Greece (40° 37’ N, 23° 21’ E) at a depth of 4 m on October 27, 1995, and shipped live overnight under refrigerated conditions to a temporary field laboratory in Seillans, France. All mussels possessed uniform shell morphology, including a flat ventral surface, and thus resembled *D. polymorpha*. Their species determination, however, is still undergoing analysis since their valves formed an unusually high dorsal keel that is uncharacteristic for this species (A. Y. Karatayev pers. comm.).

Mussels were examined for endosymbionts using various techniques, including immediate dissection of 247 specimens and fixation of others for subsequent histological and ultrastructural analyses at IRCOMS member laboratories. Only data on the intracytoplasmic prokaryote infections observed are presented herein. Information on other endosymbionts within this population will be published separately.

**Dissections.** Live mussels were sorted into 5 mm length size classes, and their tissues examined by dissection for gross signs of disease using a stereomicroscope (≤70×). If parasitism was suspected (e.g., abnormal size, shape, and/or color of an organ), their tissues were further inspected using a phase contrast compound microscope (≤1000×). In addition, small pieces of gill and digestive gland tissues were excised from other live mussels and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde buffered in sodium cacodylate for subsequent ultrastructural analysis (protocol below).

**Histological analysis.** Thirty-two randomly chosen mussels were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, dehydrated in a graded series of alcohols and toluene, and embedded in paraffin. Approximately 4 serial, oblique-longitudinal sections (5 µm thick) were cut per mussel and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and examined by light microscopy (≤1000×). To further characterize suspected prokaryote colonies, additional sections were cut from selected mussels and processed for Giemsa, Ordway-Macchiavello, and Brown-Brenn Gram staining (Humason 1979).

**Ultrastructural analysis.** Some paraffin blocks with suspected prokaryote colonies were deparaffinized following Meyers (1981), and small pieces of deparaffinized tissues immersed in 2% glutaraldehyde (Grade I, Sigma Chemical Co.) in 0.025 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 90 min at 4°C. These deparaffinized tissues and small pieces of tissue fixed in glutaraldehyde during dissections, were rinsed in buffer solution (0.05 M) and post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide (Sigma) buffered with sodium cacodylate. After dehydration through graded alcohols, tissues were embedded in Epon-Araldite (Sigma). Ultra-thin sections (60 to 80 nm), cut with a diamond knife on a LKB Ultratom V ultramicrotome, were placed on copper grids and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Sections were examined with a Jeol CX100 (80 kV) transmission electron microscope (TEM).
RESULTS

Dissections

Translucent, round-to-oval inclusions were visible within the gills of 17.0% (42/247) of all dissected mussels and in 28.6% (42/147) of those ≥10 mm in length (Fig. 1, Table 1). Although some inclusions measured up to 138 µm in maximum dimension, most were <60 µm. Such large inclusions were not noticeable in any other organs during dissection. Phase contrast examination of a smear of the contents of some of these inclusions revealed fine, homogeneous material within; the levels of magnification (1000×) and resolution were insufficient to discern the presence of microorganisms.

Histological observations

Prevalence

Light microscope observations of H&E-stained tissues revealed basophilic, cytoplasmic inclusion bodies typical of prokaryote infections (Bower et al. 1994) in 87.5% (28/32) of the mussels. Inclusions in epithelial cells and connective tissues were noted, respectively, in 34.4 and 71.9% of the sample, with 5 mussels (15.6%) having both tissue types infected. Epithelial cell infections were observed only in digestive gland tubules and ducts; within tubules, inclusions were present more often in secretory than digestive cells. Connective tissue infections, however, were systemic; among the 32 mussels sectioned, inclusions were found in the gills (65.6%), foot (12.5%), mantle (9.4%), labial palps (6.3%), digestive gland (6.3%), stomach (6.3%), and gonads (3.1%).

Intensity

Most infected mussels had 1 to 7 inclusions per section, but a few mussels had 12 to 30 inclusions per section in the digestive gland alone. Highest infection intensities were recorded in 2 mussels which had, respectively, >90 and >150 inclusions per digestive gland section, including ≥3 inclusions in the same tubule. Among mussels which had concurrent gill and digestive gland infections (5 of 28 infected mussels), the highest infection intensity per section was >50 inclusions in the gill and >20 inclusions in the digestive gland.

Cytoplasmic inclusion bodies

Inclusion bodies contained Gram-negative prokaryotes (i.e., red colonies with Brown-Brenn stain) and were sometimes surrounded by a clear zone in both connective tissue (Fig. 2) and epithelial cells (Fig. 3). Inclusion bodies were usually spherical (diameters ranging from 5 to 25 µm) in digestive gland epithelial cells, and their texture was finely granular (Fig. 3), but occasionally coarsely granular (Fig. 4). In contrast, inclusion bodies in gill connective tissues (Figs. 2, 5 & 6) appeared round (diameter range, 13 to 67 µm) to oval (maximum length × width, 75 × 42 µm), and their texture was uniformly finely granular, never coarse.

Histopathology

Host response was observed in Dreissena sp. tissues only in intense infections. In such cases, connective tissues in the digestive gland, the mantle, and the gills exhibited cell necrosis. Additionally, connective tissues in the digestive gland, gills, and mantle were infiltrated with numerous hemocytes, but only in regions containing relatively numerous inclusions (Fig. 5). Inclusions in gill connective tissues were also observed to partially constrict water tubes (Fig. 6).

Ultrastructural observations

Gill connective tissue

TEM observations confirmed that the cytoplasmic inclusion bodies observed histologically in cells of the gill connective tissue were vacuoles containing colonies of prokaryote cells (Fig. 7). Prokaryote cell morphologies were clearly characteristic of Chlamydiales-like organisms (Figs. 7 to 9), with each vacuole containing a loosely packed mixture of elementary bodies (i.e., nonmultiplying cells specialized for transmission), reticulate bodies (i.e., initial, noninfectious forms that specialize in intracellular multiplication and elementary body production), and their intermediate forms (i.e., bodies undergoing nucleoid condensation). Vacuoles did not appear to be host membrane-limited, but rather surrounded by a

---

Table 1. Percentage of Dreissena sp. with inclusion bodies visible in gills during dissection (<70×)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size class length (L) (mm)</th>
<th>No. dissected</th>
<th>Percentage with visible inclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 &lt; L &lt; 5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ≤ L &lt; 10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ≤ L &lt; 15</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 ≤ L &lt; 20</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 ≤ L &lt; 25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 ≤ L &lt; 30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (0 &lt; L &lt; 30)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


Numerous round-to-oval inclusion bodies (arrows) are visible within water-mount of freshly dissected gill filaments. Fig. 2. Paraffin section (H&E) of gill; oval, basophilic inclusion body (arrow) in the connective tissue is surrounded by a clear zone (double arrows). Fig. 3. Paraffin section (H&E) of digestive tubule showing round, basophilic inclusion body (arrow) surrounded by a clear zone (double arrows) in a secretory cell. Fig. 4. Paraffin section (H&E) of digestive tubule showing coarsely granular inclusion body (arrow). Fig. 5. Paraffin section (H&E) of heavily infected gill; the prokaryote inclusion bodies (black arrows) are surrounded by numerous infiltrated hemocytes (white arrows). Fig. 6. Paraffin section (H&E) of heavily infected gill; inclusion bodies (large arrows) in connective tissues partially constrict water tubes (small arrows).

Figs. 1 to 6. Light microscope observations of prokaryote inclusion bodies in either gill or digestive gland tissues of Dreissena sp. H&E: hematoxylin & eosin; SC: secretory cell; DC: digestive cell. Fig. 1. Numerous round-to-oval inclusion bodies (arrows) are visible within water-mount of freshly dissected gill filaments. Fig. 2. Paraffin section (H&E) of gill; oval, basophilic inclusion body (arrow) in the connective tissue is surrounded by a clear zone (double arrows). Fig. 3. Paraffin section (H&E) of digestive tube showing round, basophilic inclusion body (arrow) surrounded by a clear zone (double arrows) in a secretory cell. Fig. 4. Paraffin section (H&E) of digestive tube showing coarsely granular inclusion body (arrow). Fig. 5. Paraffin section (H&E) of heavily infected gill; the prokaryote inclusion bodies (black arrows) are surrounded by numerous infiltrated hemocytes (white arrows). Fig. 6. Paraffin section (H&E) of heavily infected gill; inclusion bodies (large arrows) in connective tissues partially constrict water tubes (small arrows).

Digestive gland epithelial cells

In contrast to gill tissues, inclusions in digestive gland cells were densely packed with prokaryote cells, and each inclusion exclusively contained only 1 of 4 morphological cell types (referred to here as Types I to IV). The inclusion bodies in epithelial cells that had appeared finely granular in light microscopy contained either Type I or II prokaryote cells, and those coarsely granular possessed either Type III or IV. Dimensions (mean maximum × minimum ± SD) and other characteristic features of these 4 types of prokaryote cells were:

**Type I.** Typically hundreds of cells per inclusion body (Fig. 10); cells (Fig. 11) relatively small, measuring (n = 49) 0.28 ± 0.09 × 0.13 ± 0.04 µm; coccoid to fusiform (possibly reflecting cross-sectional to longitudinal sectioning, respectively); cytoplasm condensed and finely granular, with numerous electron-lucent spherules; cell wall separated from plasma membrane by electron-lucent zone (presumed to be an artifact); cells occasionally surrounded by straight and curved fibrillar rods.

**Type II.** Typically hundreds of cells per inclusion body (Fig. 12); cells (Fig. 13) pleomorphic, measuring (n = 49) 0.39 ± 0.06 × 0.19 ± 0.05 µm; highly rippled cell wall separated from plasma membrane by electron-lucent zone (presumed to be an artifact); cytoplasm condensed, finely granular, and homogeneous (without electron-lucent spherules); numerous and densely staining ribosomes at periphery; cytoplasmic or nuclear dilations occasionally observed in host cells (presumed to be an artifact); cells surrounded by large quantities of rippled fibrillar material.

**Type III.** Typically dozens of cells per inclusion body (Fig. 14); cells (Fig. 15) pleomorphic, but often irregularly rounded; relatively large, measuring (n = 45) 0.58 ± 0.09 × 0.42 ± 0.07 µm; cytoplasm filled with prominent ribosomes and irregular electron-lucent patches likely corresponding to fine DNA strands (as in Anderson et al. 1965); plasma membrane in close proximity to cell wall, with no electron-lucent zone separating them; cell wall flexible as evidenced by flattening due to crowding within vacuole.

**Type IV.** Typically dozens of cells per inclusion body (Fig. 16); cells (Fig. 17) large and irregularly rounded, measuring (n = 36) 1.05 ± 0.24 × 0.8 ± 0.15 µm; plasma membrane in close proximity to cell wall, with no electron-lucent zone separating them; cytoplasm homogeneous and without electron-lucent areas; cell wall flexible as evidenced by flattening due to crowding within vacuole; virus-like particles in cytoplasm, occasionally visible in dense paracrystalline array (Fig. 17); virus-like particles hexagonal (Fig. 18) with mean diameter (mean ± SD, n = 52) of 45 (±4) nm.

**DISCUSSION**

**Evidence of more than one prokaryote species**

The following observations, listed approximately in declining order of importance, provided evidence that the *Dreissena* sp. population was infected with at least 2 prokaryote species, one infecting epithelia and another connective tissues:
(1) Prokaryote cell morphologies observed in the 2 types of tissues were substantially different.

(2) Based on previous studies, it would be very unusual if the same prokaryote species was present in both epithelial and connective tissues. A bivalve population with presumably the same intracytoplasmic prokaryote species in both epithelial and connective tissues has been reported only once before (Wu & Pan 1999). Otto et al. (1979) did report intracytoplasmic infection in both tissue types in Mercenaria mercenaria, but the epithelial and connective tissues exclusively harbored different prokaryote species (i.e., chlamydial and rickettsial infections, respectively). In other reports of intracytoplasmic prokaryotes in a marine bivalve population (minimum of 38 publications, Fryer & Lannan 1994), either epithelial or connective tissues were reported infected, never both.

(3) There was no significant correlation between the occurrence of infection in epithelial and connective tissues (r = 0.28, p = 0.122, Spearman’s coefficient of rank correlation). Of the 28 mussels observed from histological sections to be infected, 78.6% had prokaryotes in only 1 tissue type (17.9% epithelial and 60.7% connective). Moreover, when 1 tissue type was intensely infected, the other sometimes exhibited minimal to no infection; this was true for the 2 most heavily infected mussels where little to no infection was observed in their connective tissues, yet >90 and >150 inclusions per section, respectively, were present in their digestive gland epithelium.

(4) Following staining with hematoxylin and eosin, the finely granular inclusion bodies were routinely more basophilic in digestive gland epithelium than those in gill connective tissue. Otto et al. (1979) also noted a subtle difference in basophilic staining of inclusion bodies between 2 prokaryote species (rickettsial and chlamydial) observed in a population of the hard clam Mercenaria mercenaria, but in their study the reverse was true, i.e., inclusion bodies were slightly less basophilic in digestive gland epithelium than in gill connective tissue.

**Taxonomic assignment of prokaryotes**

Based on ultrastructural observations, the prokaryotes in gill connective tissue were clearly Chlamydiales-like (Storz & Spears 1977). Elementary bodies and reticulate bodies were morphologically similar to the Chlamydiales-like infections reported from marine bivalves (Harshbarger et al. 1977). In contrast, the prokaryotes routinely observed in the epithelial cells were more difficult to assign taxonomically. Accurate classification of Gram-negative, intracellular prokaryotes based on morphology observed by light and electron microscopy can be difficult (Fryer & Lannan 1994), including these epithelial prokaryotes. Their cell morphology, trilaminar cell wall and plasmalemma, and internal bacterium-like organization indicated that they were either in the order Rickettsiales or Chlamydiales, but unfortunately there is no histological stain to unequivocally distinguish between these 2 prokaryote orders. Thus, the results of the Giemsa (i.e., colonies blue) and Ordway-Macchiavello (i.e., colonies red) staining performed herein only confirmed that the prokaryotes might be in either order. Although 4 morphological types of cells were observed, a mixture of cell types resembling electron-lucent reticulate bodies and elementary bodies was never observed within any inclusion body. By default, these prokaryotes must be considered Rickettsiales-like.

**Infection prevalence**

As would be expected due to the small size of cytoplasmic inclusion bodies, overall prokaryote infection intensity as determined from dissection data (17.0%) was substantially less than indicated by histological analysis (87.5%). Considering that typically only 4 sections per mussel were examined, it is highly likely that even histological analysis underestimated true infection prevalence. A wide range of prevalences with intracytoplasmic prokaryotes occur in marine bivalves, including similar extensive infections, e.g., ca 100% by both Gulka & Chang (1984) and Le Gall et al. (1991), >85% by Johnson & Le Pennec (1995), and ≤75% by Buchanan (1978).

During dissections, inclusion bodies were observed in the gills (Fig. 1) of 28.6% (42/147) of the mussels ≥10 mm in length versus 0% (0/100) in smaller mussels (Table 1). This does not indicate that mussels <10 mm in length were uninfected. In their histological study, Norton et al. (1993) recorded smaller inclusions in juvenile than in adult giant clams. Thus, the inability to
detect infection in juvenile *Dreissena* sp. during dissection could well have been the result of inclusion bodies being too small to detect at ≤70×. Among the *Dreissena* sp. chosen for histological analysis, size was not recorded during sectioning, and thus further histological studies will be needed to confirm that mussels <10 mm in length can also harbor infection.

**Epithelial infection**

Colonies within epithelial cells were frequently in close contact with rough endoplasmic reticulum, and it was not possible to clearly demonstrate whether they were host membrane-limited (Figs. 10, 12, 14 & 19). We observed intracytoplasmic prokaryotes in both digestive and secretory cells of the digestive gland epithelium. This is unusual since such infections in the digestive gland of marine bivalve populations have been almost always limited to either the digestive (Meyers 1979, Elston & Peacock 1984, Robledo et al. 1994) or secretory cells (Buchanan 1978, Comps & Raimbault 1978, Comps 1983). The only exception we are aware of is a population of the littoral bivalve *Loripes lucinalis* in Brest, France, in which both digestive gland cell types were observed infected (Herry et al. 1994, Johnson & Le Pennec 1995).

We suspect that several artifacts were likely present in the electron micrographs. These included the electron-lucent zone separating the cell wall from plasma membrane in Type I and II prokaryotes and the cytoplasmic or nuclear dilations occasionally observed in host cells infected with Type II prokaryotes.

**Connective tissue infection**

Our observation of intracytoplasmic prokaryotes in connective tissues represents only the third such report in bivalves. Renault & Cochenne (1995) recorded a chlamydia-like infection in the connective tissue cytoplasm of the gills and mantle of Japanese oysters. In a study of the hard clam *Mercenaria mercenaria*, Otto et al. (1979) found rickettsiae-like organisms most frequently in gill connective tissues. In our study, not only were gills the organ most likely to have infected connective tissue, but also the organ whose connective tissues harbored the largest colonies. Our observation of infection in connective tissue within the gonads of a single male mussel contrasted with the only other report of an intracytoplasmic prokaryote in bivalve gonads (Fries & Grant 1991) in which colonies were observed directly within ova.

**Inclusion bodies**

This is the first reported observation of prokaryote inclusion bodies in bivalves during dissection (Fig. 1). Gross indentations in the gills of Pacific oysters resulting from tissue destruction by chlamydia-like organisms have been reported (Renault & Cochenne 1995), but the prokaryote inclusion bodies themselves were too small for gross observation. Tumorlike lesions, considered the result of traumatic irritation or infection with rod-shaped bacteria, were observed in the mantle and gills of *Dreissena polymorpha* by Morton (1974). He reported that, in extreme cases, lesions developed into granulomas which could be freely dissected out and were usually quite hard, creamy white in color, and 1 to 2 mm in diameter. Histologically, these lesions bear no resemblance to the intracytoplasmic prokaryote infections reported herein.

As in the present study, cytoplasmic inclusion bodies containing prokaryote colonies in marine bivalves have usually been reported to be larger in gill tissues, e.g., ≤45 µm in Gulka et al. (1983), ≤55 µm in Elston (1986a), ≤230 µm in Goggin & Lester (1990), ≤110 µm in Norton et al. (1993), ≤90 µm in Wen et al. (1994), ≤30 µm in Johnson & Le Pennec (1995), than in the digestive gland cells, e.g., ≤12 µm in Comps & Raimbault (1978), ≤25 µm in Comps (1982), ≤13.5 µm in Elston & Peacock (1984), ≤15 µm in Cajaraville & Angulo (1991), ≤22 µm in Wen et al. (1994), ≤10 µm in Comps & Tigé (1999). Our observation of gill prokaryote inclusion bodies of up to 138 µm, one of the largest reported from bivalves, was likely related to our standard protocol of inspecting large areas of gill surface with the dissection microscope. Bivalve investigations have traditionally relied on detecting inclusion bodies from the relatively limited...
Figs. 14 to 19. Transmission electron micrographs of Type III and IV prokaryotes from deparaffinized *Dreissena* sp. digestive tissues re-embedded in resin. RER: rough endoplasmic reticulum; N: nucleus; R: ribosomes; SC: secretory cell; DC: digestive cell. 

Fig. 14. Intracytoplasmic inclusion body containing irregularly rounded prokaryote organisms typical of Type III found in a secretory cell of a digestive gland tubule. Fig. 15. Higher magnification of large prokaryote cells of Type III; the cytoplasm of Type III prokaryotes contains ribosomes and electron-lucent patches corresponding to DNA strands (arrows). Fig. 16. Intracytoplasmic inclusion body containing large rounded prokaryote organisms typical of Type IV found in a secretory cell of a digestive gland tubule. Fig. 17. Details of large Type IV prokaryote cells containing virus-like particles occasionally occurring in paracrystalline array (arrowheads). Fig. 18. Higher magnification of hexagonal virus-like particles in paracrystalline array each with a clear central zone occurring in the cytoplasm of Type IV prokaryote cells. Fig. 19. High magnification of the peripheral zone of an inclusion containing Type IV prokaryote cells (arrowhead) and their virus-like particles; note the single tubule of rough endoplasmic reticulum in the cytoplasm of this digestive cell and that no membrane apparently separates it from the prokaryote cells.

The clear zones sometimes observed surrounding inclusion bodies (Figs. 2 & 3) were not likely related to host-parasite interactions. We did not observe these clear zones in our TEM observations, and thus they were probably an artifact of histological processing. Similar clear zones have also been present in paraffin sections of prokaryote infections in marine bivalves (Comps et al. 1977, Buchanan 1978).

**Virus-like particles**

The presence of virus-like particles in electron-dense paracrystalline arrays within intracytoplasmic prokaryotes has been reported in marine bivalves, all from infections within digestive gland epithelium. Records of such hyperparasitism include both Rickettsiales-like (Buchanan 1978, Wen et al. 1994, Comps & Tigé 1999) and Chlamydiales-like organisms (Harshbarger et al. 1977, Meyers 1979, Johnson & Le Pennec 1995). These reports also noted that prokaryote cells containing such virus-like particles, like our Type IV cells, were larger than uninfected cells and were likely a terminal phase in the prokaryote’s life cycle, with host cells eventually lysing. Mean diameters of these virus-like particles in bivalves have ranged from 41 nm (Wen et al. 1994) to 67 nm (Buchanan 1978), and thus the virus-like particles we observed (mean diameter, 45 mm) were relatively small and similar in size to those observed by Comps & Tigé (1999) in *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Each particle had a hexagonal outline (Fig. 18) similar to virus-like particles within prokaryotes in the intertidal bivalve *Tellina tenuis* (Buchanan 1978).

**Host pathology**

Infection was fairly benign in *Dreissena* sp. Although individual infected epithelial cells appeared to suffer cytopathic effects in the digestive gland, the epithelium in general did not appear damaged, even when infection intensity was high. The cycle of shedding and regeneration of digestive gland epithelium in bivalves likely serves to minimize the impact of such prokaryote infections. Although it is the likely course of events in nature, there was no direct evidence of the breakdown of host cell membranes and release of prokaryotes as observed for other bivalve intracytoplasmic prokaryotes in cells within the gill (Fries & Grant 1991, Villalba et al. 1999) and digestive gland (Meyers 1979, Herry et al. 1994, Wen et al. 1994). Although infection prevalence was very high (ca 87.5%), no major, adverse impact on body condition was evident among the 29 *Dreissena* sp. sectioned or 247 dissected. Host response was clear, however, from dense hemocyte infiltration into infected tissues (Fig. 5). The most apparent adverse effect of infection was partial constriction of gill water tubes (Fig. 6). At the densities of inclusion bodies observed, however, significant interference with respiration and other metabolic functions of the gills was highly unlikely. A similar conclusion was reached in a study of intracytoplasmic prokaryote infection in gills of a marine bivalve (*Renault & Cochenec 1994*). In the only report of intranuclear infection by prokaryote-like organisms in bivalves, Elston (1986b) observed occlusion of gill water tubes in the Pacific razor clam *Siliqua patula* in association with massive mortalities. In heavy infections, tubes were blocked by the release of nuclei infected with these microorganisms as well as by epithelial cell hypertrophy.

Other than mild cytopathic effects, marine bivalve investigations, however, have typically recorded no significant, detrimental effects on hosts from intracytoplasmic prokaryote infections. Although, intracytoplasmic prokaryote infections have occasionally been associated with mass mortalities of marine bivalves in Europe (Le Gall et al. 1991, Villalba et al. 1999), North America (Gulka et al. 1983), and Asia (Wu & Pan 1999), studies to date (Gulka & Chang 1984) have yet to definitively demonstrate that the prokaryotes per se were the primary lethal etiological agents. Norton et al. (1993), for example, suggested that al-
though Rickettsiales-like organisms were common in gill epithelium of dying giant clams Hippopus hippopus, stress from rearing conditions may have been largely responsible for the mortalities. Stress due to concurrent trematode infection was also considered to be the most probable cause for expression of a Rickettsiales-like infection in a freshwater snail (Adam et al. 1994). Although Elston (1986b) presented evidence of a link between intranuclear prokaryote-like infection and razor clam mortalities, he indicated that his morphological study could not be used to conclusively establish cause and effect. One of the most convincing records of bivalve mortality directly due to intracytoplasmic prokaryotes was a Chlamydiales infection in hatchery-reared larval (ca 80 to 100% mortality) and postmetamorphic (ca 40 to 60% mortality) bay scallops, in which infection was associated with the complete destruction of their digestive tract epithelial lining; the prevalence and importance of this disease for wild larval and postmetamorphic stocks of scallops, however, remains unknown (Leibovitz 1989).

Although there may be no definitive, experimental evidence that prokaryote infections in bivalves can induce lethality, the symbiotic relationship of these intracytoplasmic prokaryotes to their hosts, including Dreissena, is still generally considered parasitic. In support of this, Le Gall et al. (1991) provided evidence of energetic loss due to rickettsial infection in Pecten maximus. In their study of the effect of a Chlamydia-like prokaryote in Crassostrea gigas, Solenticnik et al. (1998) demonstrated that clearance rates were positively correlated with gill abnormalities and suggested that this compensated for the loss in gill surface function. In contrast, Johnson & Le Pennec (1995) have suggested that Chlamydiales-like prokaryotes in the littoral clam Loripes lucinalis could be a beneficial symbiont. They speculated that host clams might systemically benefit by obtaining some essential nutrients such as fatty acids or vitamins via prokaryote colony digestion, and the specialized gill bacterioyte cells of these clams might directly benefit by phagocytosis of prokaryotes.

### Research imbalance

This is the first report of intracytoplasmic prokaryote organisms from a freshwater bivalve. In contrast, at least 39 primary research papers (see Fryer & Lannan 1994) have been published over the last 20 years reporting on intracytoplasmic prokaryote infections in at least 25 marine bivalve species (e.g., oysters, scallops, clams, and mussels). This freshwater versus marine publication imbalance is almost certainly the result of the relative lack of parasitological studies of freshwater bivalves—a group of no major commercial importance. Bivalve pathology has traditionally been an applied science funded in large part to understand and minimize diseases of commercially valuable species. The present paper actually follows in this tradition, but with a twist. The fundamental research reported herein emerged out of a need to understand and possibly maximize diseases of a commercially harmful species.

### Future research

Could intracytoplasmic prokaryotes in Dreissena and other molluscs be of wider ecological importance? Currently, the life cycles of none of the intracytoplasmic prokaryotes in marine bivalves have been clearly elucidated. Do bivalves serve as reservoir hosts in complex prokaryote life histories or are these diseases exclusively cycling in bivalve hosts? Intracytoplasmic prokaryotes in vertebrates are typically known to have complex life cycles involving obligate, alternate hosts. The question of what role freshwater bivalves may play as alternate hosts or reservoirs for the spread of vertebrate rickettsial or chlamydial diseases was first raised by Harshbarger et al. (1977). Buchanan (1978) did have limited success in culturing an intracellular prokaryote from a marine intertidal bivalve in hens’ eggs, and this provided some evidence that such prokaryotes might truly have an alternate host in birds, but no other similar studies have been reported since. The recent detection of the rickettsial species Ehrlichia risticii, the agent of Potomac horse fever, in freshwater stream snails near pastures where this equine disease was enzootic (Barlough et al. 1998, Reubel et al. 1998) may rekindle interest in examining bivalve-vertebrate transmission theories. It appears, however, that some prokaryotes can cycle for at least part of their life history in their bivalve hosts since horizontal transinfec-

tration of Rickettsiales infection in scallops has been successfully achieved in the laboratory (Gulka & Chang 1984, Le Gall et al. 1991). Such intraspecific, horizontal, transinfec-

tion trials are thus a logical next step with Dreissena’s prokaryotes. If successful, then transinfec-

tion could provide a continuous laboratory supply of prokaryotes for determining other key research goals such as taxonomic clarification, life cycle, host specificity, and life stage susceptibility. Because intracytoplasmic prokaryotes infecting bivalve scallops are particularly pathogenic to their larval and postmetamorphic stages (Leibovitz 1989), transmission trials focusing on Dreissena’s larval and juvenile stages could reveal a level of pathogenicity not evident in the current study.

In such future research efforts, techniques already developed in marine studies could be useful. The protocols of Le Gall & Mialhe (1992) could be adapted for...
purifying prokaryotes from infected zebra mussel tissues. A highly sensitive and specific diagnostic DNA-based probe (Kellner-Cousin et al. 1993) could serve as a practical tool for detection and quantification of *Dreissena*'s prokaryotes. Efforts to clarify the taxonomic position of *Dreissena*'s prokaryotes could specifically make use of techniques such as antigenic structure determination (Renault & Cochennec 1995), protein electrophoresis (Le Gall & Mialhe 1992), and analysis of genomic content (e.g., 16S, internal transcribed spacer, and 23S ribosomal DNA sequencing, Mauel et al. 1999).
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