INTRODUCTION

Dating back possibly to the Middle Ages, common carp *Cyprinus carpio* in European pond culture have been plagued by a complex of infectious diseases vari-

ous known as infectious dropsy, rubella, infectious ascites, hemorrhagic septicemia, and red contagious disease (Hofer 1904, Schäperclaus 1930, Tomašec et al. 1964, Bauer & Faktorovich 1969). These diseases proved to be of great economical importance, causing
serious losses in carp pond fisheries of the central and eastern parts of Europe (Fijan 1972, 1999). The assumed causes (nutrition, environment, parasites, bacteria, viruses) for the acute and chronic forms of the epizootics remained controversial for a long time. However, a viral etiology for the acute form of infectious dropsy became evident when a cytopathic agent was isolated (Osadchaya 1964, Tomaček et al. 1964), and River's postulates were fulfilled using virus isolated from affected carp (Fijan et al. 1971). In order to distinguish the viral disease from other aetiological entities within the infectious dropsy complex, the disease was renamed ‘spring viremia of carp’ (SVC), and the causative virus was termed ‘spring viremia of carp virus’ (SVCV) or Rhabdovirus carpio (Fijan et al. 1971). The infectious disease of carp termed swim-bladder inflammation (SBI) was also recognized in Europe (Arshaniza et al. 1968, Otte 1972). A rhabdovirus isolated from carp exhibiting the acute form of SBI (Ahne 1973, Bachmann & Ahne 1973, 1974) was found to be identical to R. carpio (de Kinkelin & Le Berre 1974, Hill et al. 1975). Later, it was shown that the chronic disease termed ‘carp erythrodermatitis’ was caused by a bacterium of the genus Aeromonas (Bootsma et al. 1977). For more complete reviews of the history of these conditions, see Fijan (1999) or Wolf (1988).

In Europe, SVCV mainly affects the common carp Cyprinus carpio, but several other species of fresh water fishes can be infected (Fijan 1972, 1988, 1999, Wolf 1988). In carp, the most common external signs of SVC are hemorrhages of the skin, exophthalmia, abdominal distension, and an inflamed or edematous vent (Fig. 1A). Internal signs are peritonitis, ascites, catarrhal and hemorrhagic enteritis, edematous viscera and petechial hemorrhages of the internal wall of the swimbladder and in skeletal muscle (Fijan et al. 1971, Ahne & Wolf 1977, Negele 1977).

A rhabdovirus isolated from penaeid shrimps in Hawaii (Lu et al. 1991) had a G gene that exhibited over 99% identity to the G nucleotide sequence of SVCV (Johnson et al. 1999). The agent caused histological changes and significant mortality in penaeid shrimps (Lu & Loh 1994). The SVCV-like virus isolated from penaeid shrimp in Hawaii was reported to cause histological changes and mortalities in Penaeus stylirostris through experimental water-born infection, oral feeding and intramuscular injection of the virus (Lu et al. 1991).

**PROPERTIES OF SVCV**

SVCV exhibits the typical bullet-shaped morphology of a vertebrate rhabdovirus (Wunner et al. 1995) (Fig. 1B). The virion possesses an inner nucleocapsid with helical symmetry measuring about 50 nm in diameter. The virion measures approximately 80 to 180 nm in length and 60 to 90 nm in diameter. Truncated particles, about 2/3 of the length of infective virions, represent defective, noninfectious virions (Fijan et al. 1971, Bachmann & Ahne 1973, Hill et al. 1975, Bishop & Smith 1977). SVCV has a buoyant density in CsCl of 1.195 to 1.200 g cm⁻³ (Bachmann & Ahne 1973). Virus infectivity is destroyed at pH 3 and 12, by lipid
solvents, and by heat (56°C). The virus is inactivated within 10 min by formalin (3%), chlorine (500 ppm), iodine (0.01%), NaOH (2%), UV (254 nm) and gamma irradiation (103 krads). Freeze-thaw cycles partially inactivate the virus. During lyophilization, addition of 2 to 10% fetal calf serum protects the virus infectivity (de Kinkelin & Le Berre 1974, Ahne 1976, 1982).

The virus is presently classified as a tentative member of the genus *Vesiculovirus* of the family *Rhabdoviridae* (Walker et al. 2000). As with other members of the genus, the virion of SVCV contains 5 structural proteins (now termed N, P, M, G, L), the sizes of which were initially estimated by mobility in SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) gels (Lenoir 1973, Lenoir & de Kinkelin 1975, Clerx & Horzinek 1978, Roy et al. 1984). Recently, the availability of sequence data for the entire SVCV genome allows more accurate predictions of the molecular weights of the structural proteins (ignoring post-translational modifications) as well as other features of these molecules (Table 1). The RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (L protein) functions in transcription and replication of the virus with an optimal temperature for activity between 20 and 25°C (Roy & Clewley 1978). Virus transcription and replication is achieved as the L protein interacts with the P and N proteins of the nucleocapsid. The glycoprotein (G protein) of SVCV forms trimeric peplomers or spikes on the virus surface that bind to cellular receptors and induce viral endocytosis. The surface glycoprotein acts as the most important viral antigen that determines the serological properties of rhabdoviruses (Hill et al. 1975, Bishop & Smith 1977, Jørgensen et al. 1989). The nucleoprotein (N protein) is the most abundant virion protein and interacts with the viral RNA to form the helical structure of the nucleocapsid, and also has an important role in modulating transcription. About 1/3 of the N protein is associated with viral RNA (Sokol & Koprowski 1975). The phosphoprotein (P protein) is a component of the rhabdovirus nucleocapsid that, in association with the L and N proteins, is required for transcription (Wunner et al. 1975, Roy 1981). Like other vesiculoviruses, SVCV has one membrane protein (M protein). This basic protein forms the bullet-shaped structure of the virion and links the nucleocapsid with the cytoplasmic domains of the G protein embedded in the lipid-containing viral envelope (Walker et al. 2000) (Fig. 2).

**GENOMIC ORGANIZATION OF SVCV**


---

**Table 1. Features of the 5 genes of the spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV) genome.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Total gene length (nt)</th>
<th>Upstream UTR (nt)</th>
<th>Downstream UTR (nt)</th>
<th>ORF (nt)</th>
<th>Protein (aa)</th>
<th>Protein (mw)</th>
<th>Protein (pI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>47000</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>35622</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>25627</td>
<td>8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>57338</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>6325</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(trailer)</td>
<td>6285</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>238244</td>
<td>8.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2. Spring viremia of carp virus (SVCV).** (A) Virion structure, (B) genomic organization, and (C) gene junction sequences of SVCV.
sequence and a 70 nucleotide sequence at the 3' genome terminus (Kiuchi & Roy 1984, Roy et al. 1984). This line of investigation then lays apparently dormant until publication of the partial L gene, complete G gene, and gene junction sequences in 1995 and 1996 (Bjorklund et al. 1995, 1996). In 2001, 2 complete genomic RNA sequences of an American type culture collection (ATCC) reference strain of SVCV (VR-1390) were submitted to GenBank by independent laboratories (GenBank accession U18101 by Bjorklund et al. 1996 and GenBank accession AJ318079 by Hoffman et al.). With this data now available, the genetic organization of SVCV can be definitively described. The genomic RNA is 11019 bases in length. It contains 5 major open reading frames (ORFs), encoding predicted proteins homologous to the 5 major structural proteins found in all rhabdoviruses. The genomic order is 3'-NPMGL-5', as shown in Fig. 2B. This is identical to the genetic organization of species in the genera Vesiculovirus and Lyssavirus. This confirmed gene order for SVCV clarifies any confusion resulting from an early publication that suggested an alternative organization (Wu et al. 1987). The SVCV genome does not have a non-virion (NV) gene between the G and L genes as is found in fish rhabdoviruses of the genus Novirhabdovirus (Kurath et al. 1997, Walker et al. 2000). Similarly, it does not have a large non-coding region between the G and L gene ORFs, as is found in lyssavirus genomes. Thus, the overall genome structure of SVCV is most similar to that of the Vesiculovirus genus.

The SVCV genome has a 59 base putative leader region at the 3' terminus followed by a consensus start signal (AACAG; mRNA-sense) for transcription of the N gene. Analysis of the SVCV N-gene mRNA by 5' RACE (rapid amplification of cDNA ends) has confirmed that transcription starts at this AACAG signal (W. Batt & G. Kurath unpubl.). The features of the 5 genes and their protein products are summarized in Table 1. Other than the 5 major ORFs, only one is longer than 50 amino acids in the standard rhabdovirus orientation (53 amino acids, within the M gene), and there are several in the reverse orientation, all less than 140 amino acids. It is not known whether any of these small ORFs are expressed, and there is no indication of an overlapping reading frame within the P gene encoding a small basic protein, as has been reported for vesicular stomatitis New Jersey virus (VSNJV) (Spiropoulou & Nichol 1993).

As described by Bjorklund et al. (1996), the 4 SVCV gene junctions are strictly conserved, with a TATG(A)7 transcription stop/polyadenylation signal at the end of each gene, and an AACAG transcription start signal for the following gene. The untranscribed intergenic regions of SVCV are all dinucleotides (CT), with the exception of the G-L gene junction which has a tetranucleotide (CTAT). These regulatory signals and intergenic regions are highly conserved between SVCV and all vesiculoviruses, and they differ from the gene junctions of viruses in other rhabdovirus genera such as lyssaviruses or novirhabdoviruses. However, unlike other vesiculoviruses that have been characterized to date, the untranslated regions upstream of all 5 SVCV genes are completely uniform, consisting of exactly 10 nucleotides preceding the ATG-codon signaling the start of translation (Table 1). The sequence of this 10-base region begins with the recognized vesiculovirus pentanucleotide transcription start signal and is highly conserved between the gene junctions: 4 of the SVCV genes (N, M, G, L) begin with the sequence AACAGACATC, and only the P gene has a single nucleotide difference making it AACAGAGATC. Although the length of the upstream untranslated regions is variable among other vesiculovirus genes, they begin with a consensus sequence AACAGNNATC (Luis L. Rodriguez, USDA Plum Island Animal Disease Center, pers. comm.), which matches the conserved SVCV sequence at 8 out of 10 sites. This identity at the 3' termini of the genes provides confirmation for an early comparison of transcript termini of SVCV and vesicular stomatitis Indiana virus (VSIV) that suggested conservation of the rhabdoviral genome sequences specifying the 5' ends of transcript RNAs (Gupta et al. 1979). The transcription stop/polyadenylation signal for the SVCV L gene is followed by a 46 base trailer that forms the 5' terminus of the genome.

Sequence similarities between SVCV and vesicular stomatitis viruses were first reported in 1984 using complete M gene and 3' terminal genome sequences (Kiuchi & Roy 1984, Roy et al. 1984). The 3'-terminal 20 nucleotides were found to be nearly identical to the corresponding sequences of both VSIV and VSNJV. Later, comparisons of the first 1780 amino acids of the predicted SVCV L protein with partial L proteins of other rhabdoviruses revealed closest homology with the VSIV polymerase, although significant homology was also found with the polymerase of rabies virus (Bjorklund et al. 1995). The homologies involved several highly conserved domains separated by variable regions. The conserved domains most likely represent previously identified RNA polymerase functional domains such as those for RNA binding, RNA template recognition, phosphodiester bond formation, and ribonucleotide triphosphate binding (Poch et al. 1990). There was very little similarity between the L proteins of SVCV and the novirhabdovirus, infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. The SVCV G gene encodes a 509 amino acid protein with a predicted structure similar to other rhabdovirus glycoproteins (Bjorklund et al. 1996). It contains 2 major hydrophobic domains.
including the signal peptide and transmembrane domain. The complete SVCV G protein was most closely related to members of the Vesiculovirus genus, showing 31.2 to 33.2% amino acid identity (51.8 to 53.3% amino acid similarity) with VSIV, VSNJV, and Chandipura virus (CHPV). In contrast, there was only 19.4 to 24.3% amino acid identity (40.5 to 47.2% amino acid similarity) with G proteins of 11 rhabdoviruses from other genera.

Phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 3) using the full-length amino acid sequences of the N, P, M, and G proteins, as well as partial sequences of the L proteins, confirms the close relationship between SVCV and the established members of the Vesiculovirus genus (Bjorklund et al. 1996, Johansson 2001). Examples of such phylogenetic trees are shown in Fig. 3 for full-length G and N protein sequences. Despite minor differences in topology between the trees generated by the different genes, both indicate a close phylogenetic relationship between SVCV and accepted members of the Vesiculovirus genus. This is supported by significant bootstrap values, providing a high level of confidence in this relationship. The 7th report of the International Committee for Virus Taxonomy lists SVCV as a tentative member of the Vesiculovirus genus (Walker et al. 2000). In the future, data including thorough phylogenetic analyses will be used in support of a proposal that SVCV belongs within this genus as a full member, as has been suggested previously (Bjorklund et al. 1996).

Within the species SVCV, the genetic diversity among SVCV strains has been demonstrated by biological and serological analyses (Jørgensen et al. 1989) and by ribonuclease protection assays (Ahne et al. 1998). Although there are not yet any published reports characterizing sequence diversity between strains, this is an area of active investigation in several laboratories.
IN VITRO MULTIPLICATION OF SVCV

SVCV replicates in cell cultures originated from fish, birds and mammals between 4 and 31°C (Ahne 1973, Clark & Soriano 1974). Cytopathic effects (CPE), like margination of nuclear chromatin followed by rounding up and lysis of cells (Fig. 1C), appear at varying times of infection depending on the temperature and cell lines used. The optimal temperature of viral replication in vitro is between 20 and 25°C. The best cell systems for multiplication of SVCV are those derived from cyprinid fishes (e.g. primary cell cultures or established cell lines) such as the epithelioma papillosum cyprini (EPC) cell line (Fijan et al. 1983), the fathead minnow (FHM) cell line (ATCC CCL-42, Gravell & Malsberger 1965), and carp leukocyte cultures (CLC, European Collection of Cell Cultures 95070628, Faisal & Ahne 1990). Using these cell lines, infectivity titers of about 10^8 TCID\textsubscript{50} ml\textsuperscript{-1} were obtained regularly. Actinomycin D does not affect SVCV replication (de Kinkelin & Le Berre 1974). The virus forms well-defined plaques in permissive cells 72 h after infection. A single step growth curve carried out in FHM cells at 20°C showed that the first progeny virus is synthesized 4 to 6 h after infection. Both cell-associated and cell-free virus reach peak titers between 10 and 22 h after infection. One growth cycle of the virus lasts 8 to 10 h at 20°C (Ahne 1973, Bachmann & Ahne 1974). SVCV also replicates in chicken embryo fibroblasts, in mammalian cells such as BHK 21 (hamster), fetal calf kidney (cattle), HEP-2 (human), MDCK (canine), SK (porcine), Vero (monkey) and in several reptilian cell lines, provided that incubation temperatures between 20 and 22°C are used (Ahne 1973, Bachmann & Ahne 1974, Clark & Soriano 1974). It has been demonstrated that apoptosis is involved in death of EPC cells caused by SVCV (Bjorklund et al. 1997). Morphological changes, like reduction of cell volume, blebbing, and formation of apoptotic bodies, appear 36 h after infection of cells. About 40 h later, more than 70% of the SVCV-infected EPC cells were found to be apoptotic. It is likely that the programmed cell death of the SVCV-infected cells depends on replication and production of progeny virus. Apoptosis could be inhibited by the endogenous acid cysteine proteinase inhibitor, cystatin A, which has been purified from human palatine tonsils (Bjorklund et al. 1997). Experiments showed that SVCV-induced apoptosis can also be inhibited by z-VAD-fmk, an inhibitor of caspase 1, 3, 4 and 7 (Taechtinen et al. unpubl.).

ANTIGENIC PROPERTIES OF SVCV

Several studies showed that carp develop a humoral immune response to SVCV (Sulimanović 1973, Kölbl 1975, Fijan et al. 1977a,b, Baudouy 1978, Ahne 1980, Baudouy et al. 1980a,b, Fijan & Matašin 1980, Fijan 1988). Rhabdovirus-neutralizing antibodies are directed against the surface glycoprotein of the virus (Kelly et al. 1972). Induction of humoral antibodies against SVCV in carp is influenced by the age and condition of carp, by the route of infection and, most importantly, by the temperature of water (Fig. 4). In carp which were infected by waterborne exposure to low doses of SVCV and kept at 20°C, SVCV neutralizing antibodies appeared 7 d after infection. In contrast, carp infected in the same way, but kept at 13°C, showed first detectable antibodies 7 wk after infection. At 13°C, carp developed a subclinical infection with presence of virus in the blood of carp for about 10 wk. Neutralizing antibodies which appeared 8 to 10 wk after infection lead to a rapid decline of the amount of virus in the blood (Ahne 1979, 1980, 1986). The biological properties of the SVCV neutralizing antibodies have not been studied in detail, but probably resemble the tetrameric antibody typically found in teleost fishes (Fijan et al. 1977a, Kaattari & Piganelli 1996).

Cross-neutralization studies using the fish rhabdoviruses, infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV), viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV), hirame rhabdovirus (HIRRV) and their respective antisera, revealed no antigenic relationships between SVCV and these members of the Novirhabdovirus genus of the Rhabdoviridae (de Kinkelin & Le Berre 1974, de Kinkelin et al. 1974, Hill et al. 1975). However, serological examination of 22 rhabdovirus isolates from...
Cyprinidae, Esocidae and Siluridae indicates close relationship between SVCV and the vesiculovirus-like fish rhabdovirus, pike fry rhabdovirus (PFR, Jørgensen et al. 1989). These authors showed that SVCV and PFR cross-reacted by indirect immunofluorescence (Fig. 1D) using polyclonal antisera and shared common antigenic determinants on the G, N and M proteins as revealed by immunoblotting. The 2 viruses could, however, be differentiated by neutralization assays using certain polyclonal antisera in the absence of complement. Consequently, it was suggested that SVCV and PFR should be considered as representatives of 2 serotypes of 1 virus species (Jørgensen et al. 1989). The 2 viruses could also be differentiated by a ribonuclease protection assay using a probe made from transcripts of the G gene of SVCV (Ahne et al. 1998), indicating that genetic differences exist between the 2 viruses. Resolution of the biological and genetic relationships between isolates of SVCV and PFR is important because isolation of SVCV from fish is notifiable to the Office International des Epizooties (OIE) while PFR is not.

EXPERIMENTAL INFECTION OF CARP WITH SVCV

The systemic character of SVCV infection has been demonstrated by experimental infection of specific pathogen free (SPF) carp (Ahne 1977, 1978). Following waterborne infection of carp at 13°C, the virus was initially detected in the gills, suggesting they were the first targeted organ. Following an eclipse-like period of 4 d, SVCV was spread via blood to the internal organs of infected fish. Eleven days after infection, SVCV was excreted from the alimentary tract in the feces and mucous casts. First mortality due to SVCV infection appeared 20 d after infection. The incubation period of the waterborne SVCV infection was found to be 7 d under the experimental conditions used (Fig. 5).

HISTOPATHOLOGY OF SVC

Precise reports on histological changes of SVCV-infected carp are rare. The following histological findings have been obtained in experimentally infected carp fingerlings (Negele 1977). The range of alterations of the liver went from perivasculitis showing lymphocytes and histiocytes infiltration, to parvasculitis with a high grade of edematization and a concurrent loss of structure of blood vessel walls. The blood vessels appeared to be fully necrotic in the final stage of the disease. The liver parenchyma showed multifocal necroses, adipose degeneration and hyperemia. In the pancreas, non-purulent inflammation and multifocal necrobiosis were usually seen. In the parietal and visceral serosa of the peritoneum, peritonitis was predominant. Lymph vessels were extremely dilated and filled up with detritus, macrophages, and
lymphocytes. In the intestine, perivascular inflammation and desquamation of epithelium with a subsequent atrophy of the villi have been often recognized. The spleen was hyperemic and showed a considerable hyperplasia of the reticuloendothelium. Siderocytes and cells with increased lipofuscin storage were present. The tubuli of the kidney were clogged by the tube casts, and vacuolation and hyaline degeneration were present. The lamina epithelium of the swim bladder changed into a discontinuous multilayer and in the submucosa dilated vessels and hemorrhages were evident. The heart showed discontinuous myodegeneration and inflammatory alterations of the pericardium.

**NATURAL OUTBREAKS OF SVC**

SVC is reported to be present in several European countries (Austria, Bulgaria, France, Germany, Great Britain, Hungary, Italy, Spain, as well as in parts of the former Czechoslovakia, Soviet Union and Yugoslavia; Tomášec et al. 1964, Fijan et al. 1971, Ghittino et al. 1971, Ahne 1973, Baudouy 1975, Köbl 1975, Tesarčík et al. 1977, Bucke & Finlay 1979, Roudíkov 1980, Békési & Csontos 1985, Shchelkunov & Shchelkunova 1989, Marcoteque et al. 1992). In those countries, SVC has economic significance in carp pond cultures. Mortality rates of young carp due to SVC can reach up to 70% during spring time outbreaks, but the yearly losses of older fish are usually below 30%. Outbreaks of SVC depend on the temperature of the water, age and condition of fish, population density, and stress factors. SVCV-infected carp in ponds tend to concentrate around the water inlet. Reactions to sensory stimulation, swimming speed, and the respiration rate are slowed down progressively; lethargy, resting and leaning mark the terminal stage of disease. Extern- nal signs of SVC under natural conditions are dark- ening of the skin, distended abdomen, exophthalmia, petechial hemorrhages in the skin, gills and eyes, inflamed and edematous vent, and pale gills. Internally, hemorrhages in the swim bladder, edematous organs, ascites and catarhal enteritis are usually seen. Second- ary and concomitant bacterial and/or parasitic infections can affect the mortality rate and symptoms (Fijan 1972). Patterns of the disease are influenced by the mentioned environmental factors as well as by unspecific (interferon) or specific immunity (humoral antibodies, cellular immunity). Clinical disease dominates at water temperatures between 5 and 10°C, where the host immune response is usually suppressed or delayed. However, the lethal outcome is faster at temperatures between 10 and 17°C, and fry can be affected at temperatures as high as 22 to 23°C. SVCV-infected carp develop interferon within 24 h, and virus neutralizing antibodies appear about 1 wk after infec- tion at 20°C. Immune fish undergo a solid specific resis- tance against reinfection. The persistence of SVCV antibodies may vary individually from a few to several months (Fijan et al. 1977a, Baudouy 1978, Ahne 1979, Fijan 1988).

**EPIZOOTIOLOGY OF SVC**

The common carp *Cyprinus carpio* is the main host of SVCV (Fijan 1999). The virus affects carp at all ages but victims are mostly young fish. Natural outbreaks of SVC were also found in koi carp *C. carpio*, crucian carp *Carassius carassius*, big head *Hypophthalmich- thys molitrix*, silver carp *Aristichthys nobilis* and sheat- fish *Silurus glanis* (Fijan et al. 1984, Jørgensen et al. 1989, Shchelkunov & Shchelkunova 1989, Ahne et al. 1998). Grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, Guppies *Lebistes reticulatus*, northern pike *Esox lucius*, pump- kinseed *Lepomis gibbosus* and roach *Rutilus rutilus* proved to be susceptible to SVCV by experimental infection (Ahne 1973, 1985b, Fijan 1988, Haenen & Davids 1993). SVCV can persist in asymptotic broodstock carp (Békési & Csontos 1985). Reservoir hosts include sick fish and carp which survive epizootics, as well as other cultured or wild fish that may serve as carriers of SVCV. Possible biological vectors include the carp louse *Argulus foliaceus* and leeches *Piscicola geometra*, as well as fish-eating birds such as herons (Pfeil-Putzi 1977, Ahne 1985a, Peters & Neukirch 1986). It was demonstrated that the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* multiplied SVCV under experimental conditions (Bussereau et al. 1975). While the role of strictly terrestrial insects in the transmission of SVCV is unlikely, aquatic arthropods may be able to function as SVCV vectors. The SVCV-like agent isolated from shrimp in Hawaii replicated and caused histological changes in such crustaceans (Lu & Loh 1994).

Generally speaking, transmission of SVCV is hori- zontal. Excretion of SVCV via feces and urine from infected fish has been demonstrated. The excreted virus could stay infective in the water for more than 4 wk and in mud for about 6 wk at 4 to 10°C. An addi- tional source of infection may be contaminated equip- ment (Ahne 1977, 1979, 1982). Several studies suggest that vertical transmission of SVCV is not an important source of infection (Ahne 1979, Békési & Csontos 1985, Fijan 1988, 1999).

**DIAGNOSIS OF SVC**

SVC can be expected to cause mortalities in carp aquaculture at temperatures below 20°C, especially in
the spring. The behavior and external signs in easily caught moribund fish exhibiting hemorrhages in skin, pale gills, ascites, etc., warrant necropsy and further sampling of fish for virological examination. Presumptive diagnosis is based on the presence of enteritis, peritonitis, edema, petechial bleedings in the swim bladder, muscles and other organs. Lack of some signs or their slight pronunciation do not exclude the need for laboratory examination. Variations and differences of disease signs in a population should be encompassed by a selection of separate samples, and all affected species should be sampled. Whole fishes near death or freshly dead specimens should be chilled and immediately delivered to a specialized or authorized laboratory.

Spring viremia of carp is listed as a notifiable disease by the OIE (see www.oie.int). Diagnostic procedures should be based on the OIE guidelines (Office International des Epizooties 2000). Etiological diagnosis of SVC is secured by the proof of virus presence in tissue sample using electron microscopical techniques, cryostat thin section immunofluorescence, or isolation of the agent. For the latter, cell lines such as FHM or EPC are recommended by the OIE. Cell monolayers are inoculated with 10-fold dilutions of homogenates of kidney, spleen, liver and encephalon. Infected cell cultures incubated at 20°C usually show cytopathogenic effects after 24 to 48 h. The virus yield in the internal organs can vary between 10^4 to 10^7 TCID50 ml^-1, depending on the phase of disease development. The isolated virus can be identified by serological techniques such as the serum neutralization test (NT), immunofluorescence (IF), immunoperoxidase (IP), or enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Ahne 1981, Faisal & Ahne 1984, Jørgensen et al. 1989, Way 1991, Rodak et al. 1993), although the antiserum used must be of high quality (Dixon & Hill 1984). In routine diagnostics, immunohistological techniques using virus-infected cell cultures or a cryostat thin section of infected organs are generally considered to be the most reliable and convenient techniques for virus identification. Fundamental reagents are standard antigen (SVCV reference strain ATCC VR-1390, Fijan et al. 1971) and polyclonal, or preferably monoclonal, antibodies (BIO-FLOU SVC, Bio-X, 30 Hoogveldiaan, 1700 Dilbeek, Belgium). SVCV is serologically distinct from the other known fish rhabdoviruses, with the exception of PFR that cross-reacts with SVCV by immunofluorescence and ELISA (Jørgensen et al. 1989, Way 1991). The indirect diagnosis of SVCV is based on detection of virus-specific antibodies in the fish serum. For seroepidemiological surveys, NT, IF or ELISA are usually used. The immune status of investigated fish revealed a high incidence of serologically positive carp farms in Europe (Ahne 1979, Dixon et al. 1994). As already described above, SVCV shares antigenic determinants with PFR (Jørgensen et al. 1989, Way 1991). SVCV and PFR cannot be reliably distinguished by certain serological approaches, especially IF and ELISA. Both rhabdoviruses are listed as tentative species in the genus Vesiculovirus of the Rhabdoviridae (Walker et al. 2000), but PFR is, in contrast to SVC, not an OIE notifiable agent. Therefore, proper methods should be used to distinguish between isolates of SVCV and PFR. A ribonuclease protection assay (RPA) was developed that can distinguish between SVCV and PFR (Ahne et al. 1998). Probes for the full-length G gene of SVCV were used successfully to discriminate 13 viruses from different teleost fishes cross-reacting in the SVCV/PFR-IF. In addition, RPA results revealed genetic diversity among SVCV isolates obtained from different carp species and different locations. Molecular methods for detection of SVCV are not widely used at present and are not included among the approved methods of the OIE. However, a semi-nested PCR has been established to identify the SVCV G gene in fish tissues (Liu et al. unpubl.). This could be a method to screen for SVCV in chronic or persistently infected fish or in fish that are carriers of the virus. As RNA viruses usually form ‘quasi-species’ that include wide genomic variation, some genotypes will only have a selective advantage if growth conditions change (Steinhauer & Holland 1987). Oreshkova et al. (1995, 1999) used the reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) developed for the M and G gene and hybridization with non-radioactive probes for detection of SVCV. Biotinylated probes used for hybridization were 248 bp fragments of the M gene. Dot blots gave sensitive virus specific signals in tissue samples of experimentally infected carp fingerlings if the virus titers were approximately 10^5 TCID50 g tissue^-1. Reagents for SVCV diagnosis can be obtained from the OIE reference laboratory for SVCV.1

**PROPHYLAXIS AND CONTROL OF SVC**

Temperatures above 20°C usually secure in carp a level of metabolic activity that enables production of protective levels of interferon (Baudouy 1978) and antibodies (Ahne 1980). Therefore, SVC-diseased fish have so far not been reported in tropical and subtropical climates. In rearing facilities with a controlled environment, elevation of temperature can prevent or stop SVC outbreaks. In temperate climates, avoidance and eradication...
cation seem possible on modern small farms using spring or well water. On large carp farms, general prevention and control measures have little chance of being effective. Location of farms on, and connections with, large surface water systems make it impossible to prevent natural movements of carriers such as carp and perhaps other fishes from farms to open waters and back. Selection, hybridization and genetic manipulation of carp for SVC resistance has not yet resulted in a tested and accepted strain. This approach to prevention has a long tradition in Russia. Kiprichnikov et al. (1987) reported results of strain selection, and Wolf (1988, p. 191–216) mentioned the highly touted resistance of the Krasnodar strain, but data on controlled challenges of such carp strains are still lacking. Effective and safe immunophylaxis has not been established yet. However, carp vaccinated intraperitoneally or orally in autumn with live virus can develop a solid resistance to reinfection, which can last several months including overwintering (Fijan et al. 1977a,b, Fijan 1988, 1999). A commercial inactivated SVCV preparation for intraperitoneal delivery gave positive results in vaccinations of carp in Eastern Europe (Tesarčík & Macura 1981). A possible strategy of vaccination and the existing limitations were reported (Fijan & Matašin 1980, Fijan 1984). Any type of vaccine should preferably be delivered at water temperatures above 19 to 20°C. Brookfish can be vaccinated immediately after spawning. The 40 to 60 d old fry could be immunized by bath or orally. For fingerlings in early autumn, only oral delivery is possible in the present carp production technology. Depending upon local economic conditions, the intraperitoneal vaccination of 2 yr old carp for stocking in spring may be advantageous. More research is needed for setting up models and standards for vaccine development and for their testing in aquaculture. Although DNA vaccines show great promise for use against VHSV and IHNV (Lorenzen et al. 1999, Corbeil et al. 2000), an efficacious DNA vaccine against SVCV has not yet been reported, due at least in part to the difficulty of the live-fish challenge-model in carp. However, experiments showed that plasmid DNA encoding the SVCV glycoprotein elicited a non-specific, protective immunity against the salmonid rhabdovirus IHNV in rainbow trout (Kim et al. 2000). The nature of vaccines used in the future (mono- or polyvalent live or inactivated virus, subunit vaccine, DNA vaccine) will depend upon effectiveness, safety, current legislation, and upon the local epizootiological situation.
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