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Experimental infection of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* in fins of Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* revealed by scanning electron microscopy
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ABSTRACT: Infections caused by *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* include ‘bacterial coldwater disease’ (BCWD) and ‘rainbow trout fry syndrome’ (RTFS), which are severe diseases that can cause high mortality and significant losses in hatchery-reared salmonids worldwide. Usually, these conditions start with necrosis along the edge of the fins. As the infection progresses, both the fish surface and the internal organs can be involved. The aetiological agent produces a Ca-dependent protease that can be responsible for some of the pathogenic responses, although the precise nature of the response remains to be elucidated. Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* were experimentally infected by *F. psychrophilum* in order to investigate the bacterial invasion in the fin tissues by scanning electron microscopy. The images showed numerous bacteria embedded in the mucous layer when this remained on the tegument. In other zones without mucus, it was observed that bacteria were present on the axis of fin rays, but not on the epidermal surface. The material on these axes was largely eroded by tubular boreholes, and bacterial rods could be seen in these perforations. EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray) microanalysis of the axis of the fin rays showed significant amounts of P and Ca, revealing the ossification of the ray axis. The protease activity could explain the formation of the tubular boreholes, allowing the bacteria the necessary Ca for the activation of the enzyme. The erosion pattern suggests that the gliding motility of *F. psychrophilum* could be involved in this burrowing ability.
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INTRODUCTION

*Flavobacterium psychrophilum* (formerly *Cytophaga psychrophila* and *Flexibacter psychrophilus*) (Bernardet et al. 1996) is the aetiological agent of the diseases referred to as ‘bacterial cold water disease’ (BCWD) (Wood & Yasutake 1956) and ‘rainbow trout fry syndrome’ (RTFS) (Austin & Stobie 1991), also called ‘fry mortality syndrome’ (Lorenzen et al. 1991) and ‘rainbow trout fry anaemia’ (RTFA) (Bruno & Poppe 1996). These are septicaemic infections that are particularly serious in juvenile fish that are not fully immunocompetent. Severe outbreaks with significant early losses have been reported in hatchery-reared salmonids world-wide, particularly rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* in Europe and coho salmon *O. kisutch* in America, but several non-salmonid fish species have also been affected (Dalsgaard 1993, Nematollahi et al. 2003a). Eyed (Rangdale et al. 1997) and unfertilised eggs (Vatsos et al. 2001) likewise were infected. Currently, *F. psychrophilum* is well characterised by different genetic and molecular techniques that differ-
Experimental infection. Juvenile Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*, *n* = 30, initial mean weight 17.3 g, were obtained from a hatchery, transferred to the Ichthyopathology Laboratory and controlled in accordance with the O.I.E procedures (OIE 1997). Fish were randomly separated into 2 groups. Subsequently, one group was immersed for 1 h in an aquarium with 3 l of freshwater at 15°C containing *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* at a final concentration of $3.3 \times 10^8$ CFU ml$^{-1}$. The other group, control fish, was introduced to a similar aquarium with 3 l of freshwater at 15°C (in the absence of bacteria). Each group was afterwards moved to 80 l freshwater aquariums.

**Sampling procedure.** In the exposed group, samples were obtained at 0, 24, and 48 h post infection times (PIT). Three fish of each PIT group were sacrificed and the dorsal fins were removed. In one sample of each PIT group, the tips of the fin rays were cut off with a scalpel. Likewise, samples were obtained from control fish. The samples were fixed in Karnowsky fixative (2.5% glutaraldehyde, 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer pH 7.2) for 72 h at room temperature and dehydrated in ethanol. They were then immediately desiccated in liquid CO$_2$ with a critical point drier (Hitachi HCP-2), and coated with palladium-gold in a sputter coater (Eiko IB-2). Finally, the samples were studied in a scanning electron microscope (Leo-420).

**Chemical microanalysis by Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX).** In order to analyse their chemical composition, rays were excised from dorsal fins of healthy fish, and mechanically scraped to remove the external soft tegument. The rays were dried in an oven, mounted in stubs, carbon coated in a Polaron CC7650, and observed in a JEOL-6100 scanning electron microscope with EDX microanalysis. Two types of measurements were taken: (1) microanalysis at a point, (2) microanalysis of the average values in an area.

**RESULTS**

**Experimental infection**

Experimental infection was successful. Although external lesions were not conspicuous in the studied post-infection times, SEM observation showed the presence of bacteria in fish tissues, as described below.

**SEM observation**

In samples where fin rays were not cut, they were covered by a mucous layer embedding the bacteria (Fig. 1).
*Flavobacterium psychrophilum* were rod shape, up to 2 µm in length and 0.4 µm in width.

In samples where fin rays were cut immediately before chemical fixation, the tegument appeared to be retracted in the basal zone, and the fin axis was naked and lacking a mucous layer (Fig. 2). In these cases, a large number of bacteria on the hard material of the fin axis could be observed, while none were found on the retracted epidermis. High magnifications of SEM images showed some of the bacteria lying on the material axis, and apparently penetrating directly into the substrate (Fig. 3).

In samples of 24 or 48 h PIT, the ray axis was fully eroded by grooves and tubular boreholes whose dimensions corresponded to that of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*. Bacterial rods could be seen in these perforations (Fig. 4).

**Chemical microanalysis by EDX**

Rays from dorsal fin were segmented and occasionally bifurcated (Fig. 5). EDX microanalysis of the ray axes showed that they were mineralised. Both in punct-
DISCUSSION

SEM images showed that bacteria spread on the naked axis of the fin but were absent on the adjacent epidermis. This suggests that *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* shows a preference in choice of substrate, which had not been reported in previous studies. Kondo et al. (2002) noted that *F. psychrophilum* adhered to the comb-like teeth of infected ayu *Plecoglossus altivelis* and also to the lower jaw and caudal peduncle, where the epidermis tissue collapsed, but from their SEM images direct contact of bacteria with the hard tissues was not observed. Nematollahi et al. (2003b) showed adherence properties of *F. psychrophilum* to the gill arch of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* but no electron microscopic images were presented.

*Flavobacterium psychrophilum* usually only invades previously damaged tissue, typically an area of erosion on the edge of the fins and tail. Infection then progresses to involve the complete fin or tail and caudal peduncle (Southgate 1993, Shotts & Starliper 1999). This interpretation seems to be in agreement with the SEM images, which suggest that the integrity of the ray tip plays an important role in protection against *F. psychrophilum* invasion. As long as the epidermis and a thick mucous layer covered the tips, the bacteria had difficulty accessing the ray axis. Any factor (mechanical or physiological) altering the integrity of that covering will allow the bacteria to reach the ray axis, which is the preferred substrate. This would also explain how the stress imposed by management methods undoubtedly predisposes hatchery-raised fish to such infections (Anderson & Conroy 1969). Mechanical injury of the fins has been indicated as a plausible entrance for *F. psychrophilum* into the fish, and it has been experimentally demonstrated that skin and skin mucus abrasion dramatically enhance the invasion of bacteria (Madetoja et al. 2000). In the later stages of disease, bacteria also destroy the skin and other tissues, affecting internal organs (Wood & Yasutake 1956, Noga 1996, Shotts & Starliper 1999), but SEM images seem to indicate that in the initial phase of the process, the first substrate affected is the ray axis. In advanced cases, or in recovered fish, bone diseases often develop: scoliosis, cranial and vertebral lesions including subacute to chronic periostitis and ostitis, cephalic osteochondritis and necrotic scleritis, or inflammation and cartilage necrosis along the vertebral column (Dalsgaard 1993, Bruno & Poppe 1996, Ostland et al. 1997, Shotts & Starliper 1999, Bader & Starliper 2002). Affected fish may

![Fig. 5. Bifurcated ray of the *Salmo salar* dorsal fin. Segments can be seen in the distal tip (left). The ray was excised and scraped to remove the external soft tissues. Scale bar = 1 mm. Insert: Point (1) and Area (2) of the ray axis where EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray) microanalyses were carried out. Scale bar = 100 µm](image1)

![Fig. 6. Results of the EDX (Energy Dispersive X-ray) microanalysis in Point (1) and Area (2) (see Fig. 5) tested on the ray axis of the *Salmo salar* fin. Both spectra show a significant amount of Ca and P, besides C and O and traces of Mg and S. cts: cm](image2)
also develop neurological diseases, ataxia and abnormal swimming behaviour, presumably from the localisation of bacteria in the cranium (Noga 1996, Bader & Starliper 2002).

SEM images showed bacteria covered with mucus. Bacteria may be destroyed by antimicrobial products (lysozymes, complement, agglutinins) present in the mucus (Ellis 1981, Alexander 1985, Yano 1996, Lebedeva 1999), but Denkin & Nelson (1999) also demonstrated that growth or incubation of Vibrio anguillarum in salmon intestinal mucus rapidly and specifically induced protease activity. Extracellular proteases have been shown to be virulence factors for a variety of bacteria, including *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* (Pacha 1968, Otis 1984, Dalsgaard 1993, Bertolini et al. 1994, Ostland et al. 2000), and they participate in tissue damage to the host. The formation of grooves and tubular boreholes observed by SEM in the ray axis may be explained by the effect of products secreted by the bacteria. Sécales et al. (2001) purified and characterised an extracellular protease from *F. psychrophilum*, designated Fpp1, which was found to be a 55 kDa psychrophilic protein and a potent enzyme with broad specificity for degrading protein constituents of connective and muscular tissues. This suggests that it participates in pathogenesis by contributing to colonisation and/or invasion of the fish tissues. Moreover, the authors showed that the presence of calcium was necessary for Fpp1 production. The EDX microanalysis showed the presence of high amounts of Ca and P in the ray axis, confirming the latter’s mineralised nature. This suggests that *F. psychrophilum* could digest the substrate with the metallo-protease, and simultaneously it could obtain from the substrate the necessary Ca for the activation of the enzyme. This could be the reason for the preference that bacteria show for the fin rays in early phases of the infection.

The effect of proteases released by the bacteria is a uniform digestion of the substrate. Nevertheless, the SEM images showed more individualised effects, appearing as tubular perforations of dimensions similar to rods of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum*, which seems to indicate that the enzyme has a short operational range. The perforations appear as circular orifices, indicating that movement of the bacteria is perpendicular to the surface. The results suggest the existence of a mechanical perforation working in conjunction with the substrate degradation produced by the chemical processes. The gliding motility, characteristic of this group of bacteria and defined as the movement of a non-flagellated cell in the direction of its long axis on a surface (Henrichsen 1972), would play a role in producing the observed pattern of perforation. Several models for gliding have been proposed for different organisms, including, among others, rotary motors (Pate & Chang 1979), directional extrusion of slime (Hoiczyk & Baumeister 1998) and controlled release of surfactants from poles of cells (Keller et al. 1983). In *Cytophaga* sp., during gliding in either the forward or reverse direction, cells were observed entering into abrupt clockwise and counterclockwise rotations around either cell pole (Lapidus & Berg 1982), and the entire length of the cell body was rarely seen in contact with the substratum (Godwin et al. 1989). Whether or not the characteristics of bacterial movement are implicated in the type of perforation observed in the fin rays cannot be determined from SEM images alone.
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