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ABSTRACT: In this study we rediscovered and verified the presence of an infectious hypodermal and haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV) strain amongst cultured penaeid prawns Penaeus monodon from 1993 in Australia on the basis of a PCR analysis with IHHNV specific primers and sequencing of the resulting amplicons. A total of 7 previously published diagnostic primers specific to IHHNV were tested against Australian penaeid prawns and only 1 elicited a positive IHHNV PCR result with 16 out of 20 Australian P. monodon samples examined. In comparison, all 7 primers produced IHHNV-positive amplicons from the New Caledonian control samples. Analysis and comparison of the 392 bp fragment derived from the Australian IHHNV strain (AY590120) with other geographical isolates revealed that the Madagascar isolate shared the highest nucleotide similarity (96.2%) and the Hawaiian and New Caledonian strain the highest nucleotide divergence (90.1 and 90.3% respectively). The high nucleotide variation observed between the Australian and Hawaiian strains provides an explanation for the lack of IHHNV detection amongst Australian prawns with published IHHNV PCRs and commercially available gene probes as they are primarily designed on the basis of the Hawaiian strain (AF218266). Results indicated that IHHNV has been present in Australia for a long (geological and contemporary) time and that the virus is endemic in penaeid prawns in the Australian environment.
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INTRODUCTION

The parvovirus infectious hypodermal haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV) causes acute disease in juvenile Penaeus stylirostris (Bonami et al. 1990). Runt deformity syndrome (RDS) has been linked to chronic IHHNV in Penaeus vannamei (Kalogayan et al. 1991, Browdy et al. 1993) and Penaeus monodon (Primavera & Quinitio 2000). As such, OIE (2003a) has it listed in the Aquatic Animal Health Code.

Evidence for IHHNV existing in Australian penaeids has been accruing for sometime. Owens (1987) presented a paper that demonstrated histological and epidemiological evidence for the presence of IHHNV in prawns in northern Australia. Subsequently, further evidence was presented that in particular Penaeus merguiensis, P. monodon, and possibly Metapenaeus ensis all contained Cowdry type A inclusions (CAIs) typical of IHHNV (Owens & Hall-Mendelin 1990).

In 1991, a full epizootic of IHHNV was detected in hybrid prawns (Penaeus esculentus crossed with P. monodon) in a research facility in northern Queensland, with mortalities approaching 100% before the last few animals were sacrificed for histological examination (Owens et al. 1992). Microscopical examination again showed CAIs widespread through almost all tissues apart from the hepatopancreatic cells themselves and the midgut cells. In particular, the lymphoid organ had almost every single cell showing CAIs. Electron microscopy allowed visualisation of
non-enveloped viral particles between 18 and 20 nm flowing away from the nucleus in the cytoplasm, typical of IHHNV. Interestingly, an ELISA run on this material showed intensities of 38 and 71% compared with American IHHNV (Owens et al. 1992). Use of the BS4.5 DNA gene probe for IHHNV failed to react with Australian material even though the positive control tissue reacted (Lightner 1996). A view was forming that Australia had a strain of IHHNV not identical to the widespread IHHNV strain of the Americas (OIE 2003b).

Recently, the issue of live viruses being spread by commodity prawns for human consumption has become more prominent. Australia imports large amounts of prawns from Thailand, India and New Caledonia and exports to Japan and the USA in particular. Many countries, including Australia, are redefining their exotic and endemic disease status. Australia has a virus that presents like IHHNV in certain circumstances but appears to be different from classical IHHNV. The real status of Australian IHHNV has to be clarified to have a rational debate and a coherent policy on commodity prawns. This study was an attempt to better understand the nature of Australian IHHNV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prawn samples. Previous investigations of IHHNV in populations of New Caledonian Penaeus stylirostris demonstrated that up to 99% of moribund prawns were infected, but generally at a low level of infection (Costa et al. 1998). Initial studies were therefore conducted on 20 frozen P. stylirostris purchased in 2002 from a seafood vendor in Canberra, Australia, and were transported on ice to James Cook University. These prawns originated from New Caledonia and were used in order to assess previously published diagnostic primers specific to IHHNV. The P. stylirostris samples also served as positive controls in subsequent PCRs on P. monodon.

Australian samples consisted of 20 wild-caught, adult broodstock Penaeus monodon, which had been kept frozen at James Cook University since 1993. The samples originated from a research institute in northern Queensland, Australia, and were animals used in a previous study (Fraser & Owens 1996).

Nucleic acid extraction. Total DNA was extracted from approximately 50 mg of pleopod tissue using the High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche Diagnostics) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The quality of the extracted DNA was assessed using PCR amplification based on the 18S rRNA sequence of decapods. Primers 143F (5’-TGC-CTT-ATC-AGC-TNT-CGA-TTG-TAG-3’) and 145R (5’-TTC-AGN-TTT-GCA-ACC-ATA-CTT-CCC-3’) were used, yielding an 848 bp product (Lo et al. 1996).

PCR. Prawns from New Caledonia and Australia were initially screened for IHHNV infection through PCR with primers targeting the non-structural protein 1 (NS1) region of the IHHNV genome (Shike et al. 2000, Tang & Lightner 2002). Primers IHHNV392F and IHHNV392R yielding a 392 bp product were used (Tang et al. 2000). The reaction mixture contained 200 µM each dNTP, 0.3 mM each primer, 2 mM MgCl2, PCR buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM (NH4)2 SO4, 0.1% Tween 20, pH 8.8), 1 U Taq polymerase (MBI Fermentas, Progen), 1 µl extracted sample template and sterile distilled water to 50 µl total volume. Amplification consisted of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, primer annealing at 55°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Amplified products were visualized using electrophoresis with agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide.

Australian Penaeus monodon samples which were positive for the above screening PCR were also tested using 6 additional previously published IHHNV specific primers (Table 1). One or 2 New Caledonian P. stylirostris samples were included as positive controls. Collectively, the primers could theoretically amplify sequences covering nearly 80% of the IHHNV genome (Fig. 1). The PCR methods used followed those indicated in the respective published papers, except that MBI Fermentas Taq polymerase was used in all cases. Primer nucleotide positions indicated in Table 1 are based on the IHHNV total genomic sequence at GenBank (AF218266).

Cloning and sequencing. To verify the identity of the positive 392 bp amplicon from the PCR, and to further investigate the IHHNV strains, a few selected amplicons from both New Caledonian and Australian prawn samples were cloned and sequenced. The PCR products were initially purified with the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN) and cloned using the pGEM T-Easy Vector system (Promega) according to the manufacturers’ protocols. At least 3 recombinant plasmids IHHNV DNA were purified using Wizard Plus SV minipreps (Promega) and analysed through PCR with primers IHHNV392F and IHHNV392R (Table 1). Positive clones from the PCR were sequenced using M13 universal primers (Promega) and a DYEnamic ET Dye Terminator Kit (Amersham Biosciences). Reaction products were analysed with a MegaBACE Sequence Analyser (Amersham BioSciences) at the Advanced Analytical Center at James Cook University. At least 4 fully overlapping forward and reverse chromatograms.
were compared for each clone, aligned and analysed using Sequencher™ software (Gene Codes Corporation).

Sequence analysis. Sequences derived from New Caledonian (AY590121) and Australian (AY590120) prawns were compared with IHHNV sequences from other geographical areas using BLAST searches, ClustalX Multiple Sequence Alignment Program version 1.8 (National Center for Biotechnology Information) and GeneDoc Multiple Sequence Alignment Editor and Shading Utility Version 2.6.002 (Pittsburgh Supercomputing Centre).

RESULTS

PCR

Of the 20 New Caledonian *Penaeus stylirostris* samples examined, 15 showed amplification with the decapod DNA quality validation PCR, and were used for subsequent testing with the IHHNV392F/R screening PCR. Results from gel electrophoresis showed that 14 of the 15 New Caledonian prawns were IHHNV-PCR positive, indicated by the presence of a 392 bp band. After testing with the decapod PCR, all 20 Aus-
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Australian *P. monodon* samples were screened with the IHHNV392F/R primers. Of these, 16 samples were observed to elicit a 392 bp band (Fig. 1), indicating the probable presence of IHHNV.

No *Penaeus monodon* from Australian samples showed positive amplification with any of the other 6 IHHNV-specific PCRs listed in Table 1. However, amplification products of the correct sizes were observed in all these PCRs with *P. stylirostris* from New Caledonia.

**Sequencing**

The 392 bp amplicons produced from Australian *Penaeus monodon* and New Caledonian *P. stylirostris* samples using the screening PCR (IHHNV392F/R) were successfully sequenced. This represents just under 10% of the IHHNV genome. The Australian and New Caledonian sequences were given Accession Nos. AY590120 and AY590121 respectively upon submission to GenBank. The same nucleotide as in the Australian sequence. Asterisks indicate 10 bp markers.

**Fig. 2.** Nucleotide sequences of PCR products from Australian *Penaeus monodon* and New Caledonian *P. stylirostris* compared to sequences submitted to GenBank. The same nucleotide as in the Australian sequence. Asterisks indicate 10 bp markers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Sequence (nt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AY590120</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY590121</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY125423</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY124937</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY102034</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF273215</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF218266</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results in this study confirmed that an IHHNV strain has been present amongst Australian penaeid prawns since at least 1993. Sixteen out of 20 prawns were found to be IHHNV positive with the use of IHHNV-specific PCR and the result was confirmed by sequencing the derived PCR products. It was also shown that the majority of published and available primers designed for PCR detection of IHHNV, including those provided by the Office International des Epizooties (OIE 2003b), do not react with the Australian strain of IHHNV. In contrast, all PCRs elicited positive results for the control samples from New Caledonia. In this study, only the IHHNV392F/R primers (Tang & Lightner 2002), located in the non-structural protein 1 region of the IHHNV genome (Shike et al. 2000), elicited a positive PCR for the Australian prawns.

The IHHNV primers tested in the PCR for these experiments were all designed based on the fully sequenced IHHNV genome from the Hawaiian strain (GenBank AF218266).

High sequence variations, particular in the open reading frame encoding non-structural protein 1, have been demonstrated amongst the various geographical IHHNV strains in samples of *Penaeus monodon*. Up to 14% sequence divergence has been found, the highest between the Hawaii and Madagascar IHHNV isolates (Tang et al. 2003). This contrasted with previous indications that IHHN parvovirus from different geographical regions showed little genetic drift and was highly stable (Nunan et al. 2000, Tang & Lightner 2002). Investigation of 14 isolates from *P. stylirostris* and *P. vannamei* from the Americas showed 0.5% nucleotide divergence in the relative short timeframe from 1982 to 1997.

Interestingly, the Australian IHHNV strain shared the highest nucleotide similarity with the Madagascar IHHNV isolate (96.2%) and highest divergence with the Hawaiian isolate (90.1%). The high nucleotide variation observed between the Australian and Hawaiian strains provide an explanation for the lack of detection of IHHNV amongst Australian prawns with the published IHHNV PCRs and commercially available probes as they are based on the Hawaiian strain. The New Caledonian sequence had only one nucleotide change from the Mexican and Hawaiian IHHNV strain and shared a sequence similarity of 99.7%. This correlates to the history of the New Caledonian *Penaeus stylirostris*, which was derived from a Mexican strain imported and introduced to New Caledonia in 1981 (Mermoud et al. 1998), and suggests that IHHNV might have been concurrently introduced with those prawns. Moribund prawns in culture facilities in New Caledonia have been found to have IHHNV prevalence up to 99% (94 out of 95). However, the detected levels were apparently fairly low (Costa et al. 1998). New Caledonia is the closest neighbor to Australia with verified IHHNV, yet these 2 isolates showed a nucleotide similarity of only 90.3%, again suggesting that the IHHNV strain found in Australia is not derived from the Americas via New Caledonia.

Epidemiological studies currently conducted on Australian prawns from 1993 to 2003 have shown that prawns from 1993, 1995, 1997, 1999 and 2003 derived from various culture facilities in northern Queensland are PCR positive for IHHNV (unpubl. data). Current results suggest that the prevalence of IHHNV amongst *Penaeus monodon* in Australia is seemingly high, with up to 80% in samples investigated. The full epidemiological picture is being developed and will be addressed in a subsequent publication.

Often the effect of viral diseases in prawns, particularly chronic non-pathognomic diseases, has been seriously underestimated. IHHNV has been suggested to be the aetiological factor in runt-deformity syndrome (RDS) in *Penaeus vannamei* (Kalogayan et al. 1991, Browdy et al. 1993) and *P. monodon* (Primavera & Quinitio 2000). RDS is a chronic disease that causes deformities and runting in the prawn, leading to decreased growth rates, increased size variations, reduced market value and subsequently significant economical losses of the crop. It is apparent that IHHNV is asymptomatic in the seemingly healthy Australian prawns. However, occasional large size variations and symptoms similar to those for RDS have been observed amongst Australian cultured prawn populations (Young 1997). No morphological deformities were examined in this current study.

Australia has been considered to be relatively disease-free due to its geographical seclusion and strict quarantine policies. However, the marine environment and fauna are part of the Indo-Pacific region and as a consequence analogous pathogens will be expected to occur there as well. The natural geographic range of IHHNV is within the region of Southeast Asia and *Penaeus monodon* is its main host species (Lightner 1996). This factor and the evidence provided in this
and previous studies (Owens & Hall-Mendelin 1990, Owens et al. 1992) suggest that the strain of IHHNV found in penaeid prawn in Australia is endemic and it has been present over a long geological timeframe. Indeed, IHHNV appears to have at least 2 lineages. The old (geological time frame) IHHNV represented by Australian and Madagascan strains and the contemporarily dispersed P. stylirostris strain originally from the P. monodon from the Philippines, represented by the Hawaiian, Mexican, Thai, and New Caledonian strains. Further comparative work is required to fully elucidate the relatedness of the various IHHNV strains from different geographical areas.
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