Description of a new synactinomyxon type from the River Sousa, Portugal
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ABSTRACT: Actinospore infection of oligochaetes collected from the mud of 2 freshwater biotopes in Portugal was studied. Using the ‘cell-well plate method’, a new synactinomyxon type was found in 2 specimens (1.3%) of the examined Tubifex tubifex oligochaetes from the River Sousa north of Porto, Portugal. In Criodrilus lacuum and Dero digitata specimens collected from the same river, no actinosporeans were released during the 12 wk observation period. Infected oligochaetes were only found immediately post-collection, and no further actinosporean release was recorded in Tubifex specimens kept alive for several weeks. Actinospore infection showed high intensity in oligochaetes in both positive cases. No actinosporean stages of myxosporeans have as yet been described from Portugal. On the basis of spore morphology and 18S rDNA sequence data, the synactinomyxon type presented in this paper differs from those already known and described in the literature.
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INTRODUCTION

The first report on actinospores described these organisms as parasites related to myxosporeans (Štolc 1899); in the decades which followed, however, this parasite group was believed to represent an independent taxonomic entity. Research on actinosporeans became more intensive after Wolf & Markiw (1984) proved that they corresponded to the intraoligochaete developmental stages of fish-parasitic myxosporeans (Kent et al. 2001). The relevant research has included surveys (Janiszewska 1957, Marques 1984), as well as studies on actinospore infection of oligochaetes in natural waters and fish farms in connection with the life cycle of myxosporeans (Kent et al. 2001). Several data are available on the myxosporean parasites of freshwater fishes from the Iberian Peninsula (Alvarez-Pellitero et al. 1979, 1982, Alvarez-Pellitero & Gonzalez-Lanza 1985, Saraiva & Molnár 1990, Cruz et al. 2000, Saraiva et al. 2000), but so far there is, to our knowledge, only 1 report on their possible alternative actinosporean forms (Székely et al. 2000).

In this paper we report on the finding and description of a new synactinomyxon type in an oligochaete host from a freshwater biotope in Portugal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Survey and morphological investigations. The survey was conducted in 2 biotopes: (1) In the Este River, 30 km north of Porto. In this biotope, there was an abundance principally of Barbus barbus, Leuciscus cephalus, Anguilla anguilla, Lepomis gibbosus and Chondrostoma polylepis. (2) In the Sousa River, 20 km northeast of Porto, where the Sousa River joins the Douro River. The most abundant fishes here were B. barbus, L. cephalus, A. anguilla and C. polylepis. All samplings were conducted in late May 2004 at a water temperature of 18 to 20°C. To detect the intraoligochaete actinospore types, mud samples were collected and transported to the Animal Pathology laboratory at the Faculty of Sciences of Porto University. The oligochaetes were washed out from the mud on a dissection plate illuminated from below, and gently
picked up using forceps. Large oligochaetes were separated from roots of aquatic weed at the sampling sites. After collection, the oligochaetes were placed individually into wells of cell-well plates according to Yokoyama et al. (1991). Starting from the subsequent day, the water layer above each oligochaete in each of the wells was examined daily, for 3 d, for the presence of released actinospores. About half of the oligochaetes were then transported to Hungary in plastic tubes and monitored for actinospore release for a further 9 wk, while the rest of the specimens remained in Porto.

If the examination by stereomicroscope indicated spore release, the actinospores floating in, or adhering to, the wells of the cell-wall plates were examined on a slide by light microscopy at high magnification. Microphotographs were then taken of the spores using a Zeiss Axiophot-type compound microscope attached to a computer, and the digital pictures were archived by Zeiss Axiovision software. Drawings were also made of the actinospores. The characteristic dimensions of actinospores were recorded according to the guidelines given by Lom et al. (1997). Measurements of 20 mature spores were averaged. The remaining released actinospores were fixed in 80% ethanol and transported to Hungary for further molecular biological studies. From both examined biotopes some of the representative (and infected) oligochaetes were fixed in 80% ethanol and identified by Dr. Tarmo Timm in Estonia, as described by Timm (1997).

For histological sections, infected oligochaetes were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and embedded in Paraplast wax. After 5 µm sections were made and stained with haematoxylin and eosin, photomicrographs of the sections were taken with an Olympus DP-10 digital camera mounted on an Olympus BH-2 microscope.

**Molecular characterisation.** DNA was extracted from actinospores fixed in 80% ethanol and identified by Dr. Tarmo Timm in Estonia, as described by Timm (1997). For histological sections, infected oligochaetes were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and embedded in Paraplast wax. After 5 µm sections were made and stained with haematoxylin and eosin, photomicrographs of the sections were taken with an Olympus DP-10 digital camera mounted on an Olympus BH-2 microscope.

**Survey and morphological investigations**

Oligochaetes from both sampling places were identified as the mature or juvenile forms of *Criodrilus lacuum* (120 individuals), *Dero digitata* (15 individuals) and *Tubifex tubifex* (150 individuals). Of the 285 oligochaetes examined, only 2 *T. tubifex* specimens from the Sousa River proved to be infected by the new synactinomyxon type (Figs. 1 & 2). Both *T. tubifex* specimens released the same synactinomyxon type. All the spores were released during the first and second day after collection and placement of worms in the cell-well plates. No further actinospore release was found in other oligochaetes during the 9 wk observation period.

**Histology**

Early developmental stages and pansporocysts were located in the intestinal epithelium of the *Tubifex*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MX5</td>
<td>5'-CTG CGG ACG GCT CAG TAA ATC AGT-3'</td>
<td>Andree et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX3</td>
<td>5'-CCA GGA CAT CCT AGG GCA TCA CAG A-3'</td>
<td>Andree et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB5r</td>
<td>5'-ACC CCT GTT GAT CAT CAC C-3'</td>
<td>Eszterbauer (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB3f</td>
<td>5'-GAT GAT TAA CAG GAG CCG TTG G-3'</td>
<td>Eszterbauer (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC5</td>
<td>5'-CCT GAG AAA CGG CTA CCA CAT CCA-3'</td>
<td>Molnár et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC3</td>
<td>5'-GAT TAG CCT GAC AGA TCA CTC CAC GA-3'</td>
<td>Molnár et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tubifex oligochaete, deforming and enlarging the normally monolayered epithelium (Fig. 3). At the infected part of the gut, almost all epithelial cells contained pansporocysts of different developmental stages. In some of the pansporocysts, the 8 developing synactinospore units were well visible. In the more developed pansporocysts, however, 4 actinospores were cross-sectioned at the level of a sporoplasm, showing the number of secondary cells (Fig. 4).

**Description of the synactinomyxon type**
(Figs. 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, Table 2)

Eight spores, all of which were closely interconnected, joined together by the tip of one of their caudal processes. Three caudal processes, all equal in size, were considerably elongated. Spores were released from *Tubifex tubifex*. The spore body was 21 µm long and 16 µm wide. The number of secondary cells

---
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**Fig. 1.** Synactinomyxon spores forming an 8-spore unit released from a *Tubifex tubifex* specimen collected from the Sousa River. Scale bar = 50 µm

**Fig. 2.** Schematic drawing of the synactinomyxon type released by a *Tubifex tubifex* specimen from the Sousa River. Scale bar = 50 µm

**Fig. 3.** Histological section of a *Tubifex tubifex* oligochaete. In each segment jammed cells of the proliferated epithelium containing synactinomyxon developmental stages are located around the intestinal lumen (i). Haematoxylin & eosin (H & E). Scale bar = 100 µm

**Fig. 4.** Enlarged section of Fig. 3. Most of the epithelial cells are infected with pansporocysts of synactinomyxons. In younger pansporocysts (small arrow) 8 developing spores can be detected, in more developed pansporocysts (large arrow) only 4 spores located in one level and containing numbers of secondary cells are seen. H & E. Scale bar = 25 µm
could not be determined precisely, but was at least 64. Polar capsules with 3 to 4 coils of polar filament were sub-spherical and measured 5.6 µm × 4.8 µm. Caudal processes were the same size, i.e. 92 µm in length.

**Geographic source:** Sousa River, Portugal.

**Invertebrate host:** *Tubifex tubifex* Müller, 1774.

**Site of infection:** Actinospore developmental stages formed in the gut epithelium of the oligochaetes.

**Differential diagnosis:** Morphologically similar synactinospores were released from both infected *Tubifex tubifex* specimens. This synactinomyxon type resembled *Synactinomyxon longicauda* described by Marques & Ormières (1982) and *S. longicauda* by McGeorge et al. (1997), although the length of the caudal processes differed considerably, being 80 µm for the former synactinomyxon type and 64 µm for the latter, in contrast to the 92 µm we measured (Table 2).

**Molecular characterisation**

The specific primer pair MX5-MX3 successfully amplified approx. 1600 bp fragments of the 18S rDNA. Four of the 6 clones sequenced were 100% identical, while in 2 clones, 1 nucleotide difference was determined respectively, at different positions. A 1610 bp consensus DNA sequence was deposited in the GenBank under accession number AY787784. This sequence was compared with myxosporean sequences available in the GenBank; *Synactinomyxon longicauda* (AJ582003) was determined to be the most similar, with 93.7% identical nucleotides, while the 18S rDNA of *Synactinomyxon* sp. ‘Type 1’ (AJ582002) was 89.3% similar to that of synactinomyxon examined in the present study. The genetic similarity between *Synactinomyxon* sp. KAB-2001 (AF378354) and the one studied was fairly low (72.6%). The 18S rDNA sequences of myxosporean developmental stages available in the GenBank were found to be less than 90% similar to the synactinomyxon type examined, the most similar myxosporean being *Myxidium lieberkuehni* (X76638), with 75.6% identity over the 1653 bp long alignment.

**DISCUSSION**

After the pioneering work of Štolc (1899), several authors have reported on synactinomyxon types or species from natural waters (Janiszewska 1955, Marques 1984, Hamilton & Canning 1987, McGeorge et al. 1997, Xiao & Desser 1998, Özer et al. 2002) (Table 2). The synactinomyxon type described in this study differed substantially, in at least one of its dimensions, from the 8 synactinomyxon types recorded previously.
In addition to the morphological examinations, the results of molecular comparison also suggested that the synactinomyxon type examined in the present work had not been studied before. Although the morphology of actinospore units resembled the echinactinomyxon type, the actinospore examined was classified as synactinomyxon, because the 8 units joined at the tip of one of their caudal processes, which is a specific feature of the synactinomyxon collective group. Furthermore, the actinosporean types, which are morphologically and genetically the closest to the synactinomyxon type reported in this paper, did not possess fully identical dimensions: e.g., *Synactinomyxon longicauda* (Marques & Ormieres 1982, McGeorge et al. 1997, Özer et al. 2002) differed in the length of the caudal processes. The data of the caudal processes of synactinomyxon types previously published in tabulated form by Özer et al. (2002) might contain an error: namely, *S. tubificis* and *S. longicauda* most likely did not have both a longer and a shorter process; the data given for length of short caudal processes probably corresponded to measurements for the diameter of caudal processes. Although among the hitherto clarified myxosporean life cycles reported in the literature there is no life cycle in which the intraligochaete stage would be a synactinomyxon type, it is likely that the type found in the present study is an actinosporean stage of a fish or amphibian myxosporean parasite. Molecular biological examinations can neither confirm nor refute this theory as no 18S

### Table 2. Comparison of synactinomyxon types described in the special literature. All measurements are in µm. -: not applicable; nd = not determined; D = diameter; L = length; W = width

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type or species (site of collection)</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Spore body</th>
<th>Polar capsule</th>
<th>Caudal processes</th>
<th>No. of secondary cells</th>
<th>Coil no. of polar capsule filaments</th>
<th>Alternate oligochaete host</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon tubificis</em></td>
<td>1. Stolc (1898)</td>
<td>12–15</td>
<td>6 × 3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perpignan, France</td>
<td>2. Marques (1984)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cambrian Fish Farm, British Isles</td>
<td>3. Hamilton &amp; Canning (1987)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon longicauda</em> (Stream, Roussillon, France)</td>
<td>Marques &amp; Ormieres (1982)</td>
<td>22–25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon ‘A’</em> (Salmon hatchery, Scotland)</td>
<td>McGeorge et al. (1997)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>5 × 4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon ‘B’</em> (= <em>S. longicauda</em>) (Salmon hatchery, Scotland)</td>
<td>L: 21.3(20–23)</td>
<td>6 × 4</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>T. tubificis, Lumbriculus variegatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon ‘A</em>’ (Salmon hatchery, Scotland)</td>
<td>W: 18.3 (16–20)</td>
<td>(15–21)</td>
<td>(3–7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon Type 1</em> (Salmon farm, Scotland)</td>
<td>Xiao &amp; Desser (1998)</td>
<td>L: 31 (28–35)</td>
<td>7.5 × 4</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16–32</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon Type 2</em> (Salmon farm, Scotland)</td>
<td>W: 17.5 (16–18.5)</td>
<td>(125–150)</td>
<td>(3.2–7.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon Type 3</em> (Salmon farm, Scotland)</td>
<td>L: 25.6</td>
<td>5 × 4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>T. tubificis, L. variegatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon Type 4</em> (Salmon farm, Scotland)</td>
<td>W: 21.8 (18.7–23.4)</td>
<td>(71.8–78)</td>
<td>(3.8–7.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synactinomyxon</em> type (River Souza, Portugal)</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>L: 21</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>min. 64</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W: 16</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>(80–100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rDNA sequences of myxosporean developmental stages available in the GenBank were similar to those of the synactinomyxon type described in the present study. Therefore, further transmission and molecular studies would be needed to identify the myxosporean counterpart of the synactinomyxon type examined.

This is the first report on an actinosporean stage of myxosporeans described from Portugal.
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