INTRODUCTION

Amoebic gill disease (AGD) is currently the most significant health issue affecting the production of Atlantic salmon Salmo salar in Tasmania (Munday et al. 1990). The causative agent, Neoparamoeba pemaquidensis, formerly known as Paramoeba pemaquidensis, was first described in sea-pen-reared coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch (Kent et al. 1988). Seemingly ubiquitous in nature, this amoeba is presumed to be responsible for AGD outbreaks in a number of countries, including Ireland, USA, Chile, Australia and New Zealand, and in a number of species, including Atlantic salmon, rainbow trout O. mykiss (Munday et al. 1990), coho salmon (Kent et al. 1988), turbot Scophthalmus maximus (Dykova et al. 1998) and seabass Dicentrarchus labrax (Dykova et al. 2000, reviewed by Munday et al. 2001, Nowak et al. 2002). In all species studied to date, the gill has been the only site of infection, with gross signs of infection indicated by slightly raised mucoid patches on gills. These patches are present histologically as multifocal hyperplastic lesions, generally resulting in the fusion of secondary lamellae (Munday et al. 1993, Adams & Nowak 2001, 2003, 2004). AGD-associated mortality has been presumed to be associated with a respiratory distur-
bance, as both lethargy and respiratory distress have been described from salmonid AGD infections (Kent et al. 1988). Despite this, experimental studies have not been able to conclusively confirm respiratory failure as the physiological mode of action responsible for mortality (Powell et al. 2000, Fisk et al. 2002).

Clinical investigations have found that, although gill functional area may be compromised, affected fish do not suffer hypoxemia, although pronounced acid–base disturbances have been reported (Powell et al. 2000). Hypoxic challenge experiments suggested that infection with AGD resulted in a mild impediment of gas transfer under normoxic conditions that leads to a characteristic acidosis (Powell et al. 2000). When fish affected with AGD are challenged, i.e. during hypoxia, a redistribution of blood flow within the gill, lamellar recruitment and/or changes in branchial vascular resistance compensate for the reduction of functional gill surface area, therefore allowing the fish to defend respiration (Powell et al. 2000, Fisk et al. 2002). Although fish affected with AGD are commonly seen to undergo this acidosis, the actual onset and pathogenesis of the respiratory disturbance has not been examined.

The present study was designed to track the respiratory responses and, more specifically, the onset of acidosis in Atlantic salmon exposed and subsequently infected with *Neoparamoeba pemaquidensis*. In order to document the respiratory pathogenesis, the present study has aimed to investigate the respiratory effects associated with both initial amoebae exposure and subsequent amoebae attachment leading to the development of characteristic gill lesions. An additional experiment was conducted to assess respiratory changes over a longer time period, in which case the level of AGD severity, based upon histological analysis, could be associated with respiratory effects.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fish, husbandry and maintenance.** Atlantic salmon of approximately 750.7 ± 22.8 and 52.4 ± 1.2 g (mean ± SEM) (Series I and II, respectively) were obtained from a commercial salmon farm and acclimated to laboratory conditions in a 4000 l recirculating system for at least 4 wk prior to experimental use. Throughout the acclimation period the fish were held under a natural photoperiod in re-circulating, full-strength seawater (35‰) at approximately 15 to 16°C and were fed daily to satiation using a commercial food pellet (Atlantic Salmon Grower LE, Skretting). Feeding was ceased for Series I fish at least 1 d prior to surgical procedures. Series II fish were fed continuously throughout the experimental period.

**Surgical procedures and infection protocol.**

**Series I:** Fish were anaesthetised with clove oil (0.03 ml l⁻¹) and transferred to a surgical table where the gills were constantly irrigated in a retrograde direction with a chilled, aerated seawater, anesthetic solution, containing 0.01 ml l⁻¹ clove oil. Following placement of a dorsal aortic catheter, implanted according to the method of Soivio et al. (1975) and filled with modified Cortland’s saline (Wolf 1963, containing 160 mmol l⁻¹ NaCl, after Milligan et al. 1991), fish were transferred to individual 5 l, black-acrylic respirometer boxes, supplied with recirculated full-strength seawater (total system 750 l, 16°C, 90 to 100% O₂ saturation, pH 8.0 to 8.2). Fish were left to recover for 20 to 24 h before experimentation. Following recovery, the 750 l system containing the respirometer boxes was partially closed for 6 h to create a recirculating volume of 100 l. To this volume, either 10 000 amoeba cells l⁻¹, isolated from the gills of clinically infected fish according to the method of Howard & Carson (1993) (AGD), or, in the case of controls (CON), sterile, filtered seawater was added.

**Series II:** For experimental purposes fish were placed in a modular tank system (total system 2750 l, 16°C, 90 to 100% O₂ saturation, pH 8.0 to 8.2) comprising 3 separate tanks and were left to acclimate for approximately 3 d. Following acclimation, fish and 400 l of water were then removed from the modular system and placed in a fully aerated static tank. Approximately 10 000 amoeba cells l⁻¹, isolated from the gills of clinically infected fish according to the method of Howard & Carson (1993), were added to the aerated static tank with fish and left for 6 h. After the 6 h infection pulse, the fish and water still containing the amoebae were returned to the modular system. Control fish, held in an identical system, were similarly exposed to sterile seawater.

**Blood sampling and analysis.** **Series I:** At 0 (pre-amoeba addition), 6, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h arterial blood samples of 500 μl were withdrawn via the DA catheters and immediately replaced with an equal volume of Cortland’s marine saline (Wolf 1963, containing 160 mmol l⁻¹ NaCl, after Milligan et al. 1991). Arterial PO₂ (PaO₂) was measured using a Cameron Instrument P-E101 oxygen electrode in a thermostatically controlled chamber connected to a Cameron Instrument Company BGM 200 blood gas analyser and calibrated against air-saturated water and a 2% NaSO₃ (zero) solution. Blood pH (pHₐ) was measured using an Actinon (AEP 333) combination electrode connected to the blood gas analyser and calibrated to ±0.005 pH units using precision buffer solutions (Radiometer Pacific Pty). Whole blood haemoglobin concentration (Hb) was determined by a commercial spectrophotometric assay (Sigma Diagnostic), and haematocrit
(HCT) was determined by a microhaematocrit method (Stat Spin III, Norfolk Scientific). Mean cellular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC) was calculated as Hb/HCT. The remaining whole blood was centrifuged at 10,000 \(x\) g. The total carbon dioxide content \((\text{C}_\text{total} \text{CO}_2)\) of the plasma was measured using a Capni-con 5 (Cameron Instrument) calibrated against a 20 mmol l\(^{-1}\) NaHCO\(_3\) solution. The remaining plasma was frozen at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) for later determination of plasma lactate using a spectrophotometric assay (Sigma). Arterial \(P\text{CO}_2\) \((P_{\text{a}CO}_2)\) was calculated according to a rearrangement of the Henderson-Hasselbach equation:

\[
R_{\text{CO}_2} = \frac{\text{Total CO}_2}{\text{CO}_2 \times (1 + \text{Antilog}^{[\text{H}+ - \text{pK}]})}
\]

For examination of acid–base status plasma bicarbonate concentration \([\text{HCO}_3^-]\) was calculated according to:

\[
[\text{HCO}_3^-] = \text{Total CO}_2 - (\alpha\text{CO}_2 \times P_{\text{a}CO}_2)
\]

where total \(\text{CO}_2\) is the concentration of \(\text{CO}_2\) measured in the plasma \((\text{C}_\text{total} \text{CO}_2)\), \(\text{pH}\) is the whole blood \(\text{pH}\) \((\text{pH}_\text{a})\), \(\alpha\text{CO}_2\) is the solubility of \(\text{CO}_2\) in plasma at 16°C (from Cameron 1986) and \(pK'\) is derived from Boutilier et al. (1984).

Fish were lethally anaesthetised in 0.03 ml l\(^{-1}\) clove oil at stages corresponding to sample periods during the experiment to confirm disease status. The second left gill arch was removed and processed using a Shandon Histocentre 2, and sectioned at 5 \(\mu\text{m}\) using a Microm microtome before being stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (Thompson & Hunt 1966).

**Series II:** Fish \((n = 9)\) were lethally anaesthetised in 0.03 ml l\(^{-1}\) clove oil on Days 0 (pre-amoeba addition), 2, 4, 7, 10 and 16. Caudal blood \(\text{pH}\), HCT and Hb were measured as for Series I, and MCHC was calculated. The gills were dissected and processed as for Series I. Histological examination, to assess the level of infection, was quantified as the percent of gill filaments showing extensive lesion development across the gill surface (Fig. 1). No major significant respiratory effects attributable to amoeba exposure were found within the 48 h period of actual statistical analysis; however, many of the respiratory parameters did show significant effects occurring over time. In light of this, the majority of the post hoc analyses were made within, rather than between, the 2 treatments. Despite a significant treatment effect \((F_{1,3} = 47.88, p = 0.006)\), arterial \(O_2\) tension \((P_{\text{a}O}_2)\) was highly variable for both AGD and control fish, and, due to equipment failure, data for only a small number of fish from each treatment were utilised for this study (Fig. 2a).

Both total \(\text{CO}_2\) content \((\text{C}_\text{total} \text{CO}_2)\) and arterial \(\text{pH}\) \((\text{pH}_\text{a})\) results showed a significant effect of time; however, no significant differences in \(\text{C}_\text{total} \text{CO}_2\) were found for either AGD or control time points compared to each respective time 0 h baseline (Fig. 2b). Fish exposed to amoebae (AGD) showed a significant increase in \(\text{pH}_\text{a}\) at 48 h \((F_{1,9} = 7.133, p = 0.026)\) compared to 0 h (Fig. 2c). No significant differences in \(\text{pH}_\text{a}\) were found for controls.

Both haematocrit (HCT) and haemoglobin (Hb) results showed significant time effects, with both treatment groups showing substantial decreases over the
sampling period. HCT values were significantly lower compared to the time 0 h baseline for AGD fish at both 24 ($F_{1,5} = 7.37$, $p = 0.042$) and 48 h ($F_{1,5} = 10.41$, $p = 0.023$) (Fig. 3a). Control values were significantly depressed from 12 h onwards (12 h, $F_{1,4} = 27.75$, $p = 0.006$; 24 h, $F_{1,4} = 35.06$, $p = 0.004$; 48 h, $F_{1,4} = 49.85$, $p = 0.002$). Hb values were significantly lower by 48 h for both the AGD ($F_{1,5} = 12.28$, $p = 0.017$) and control groups ($F_{1,5} = 22.75$, $p = 0.005$) (Fig. 3b). Despite the fact that both HCT and Hb values significantly decreased over the course of this experiment, MCHC did not show any time- or treatment-related differences (Fig. 3c).

To give a general assessment of acid–base status of fish during the 48 h experimental period, pH-bicarbonate diagrams were constructed for each treatment group using $PCO_2$ isopleths to represent the bicarbonate-buffering capacity of the blood, i.e. $[HCO_3^-]$ and pH at a given $PCO_2$ (Powell & Perry 1997). These diagrams, although not subject to statistical analysis, showed that over the 6 h period of restricted recirculat-
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**Fig. 1.** *Salmo salar*. Representative histological gill sections taken at (a) 48 h and (b) 96 h post-exposure to 10 000 amoeba cells $l^{-1}$, showing fused secondary lamellae resulting in characteristic amoebic gill disease (AGD) lesion (L), healthy secondary lamellae (H) and interlamellar vesicles commonly associated with AGD lesions (ILV). Haematoxylin and eosin stain (H & E) (original magnification $\times 40$)
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**Fig. 2.** *Salmo salar*. Changes in: (a) arterial O$_2$ ($P_aO_2$), (b) total CO$_2$ (C$_aCO_2$) and (c) arterial pH (pHa), following 6 h exposure (hatched area) to 10 000 amoeba cells $l^{-1}$ (AGD) or sterile seawater (CON). Within treatments an asterisk denotes a significant difference from the corresponding 0 h time point ($p < 0.05$). Values are means ± SE. Points above arrow (post 48 h) were not included in the statistical analysis due to low replication.
ing water volume, both treatment groups developed an acidosis that appeared to be respiratory in nature (Fig. 4a,b). Once the initial water volume was restored, the acidosis was corrected for both groups of fish. Fish exposed to amoebae showed a general trend towards both mixed respiratory and metabolic alkalosis, indicated by lower $[\text{HCO}_3^-]$ and $P\text{CO}_2$ accompanied by an increased $p\text{H}_a$. Control fish also demonstrated lower $[\text{HCO}_3^-]$ and $P\text{CO}_2$; however, despite this, $p\text{H}_a$ did not change, suggesting that control fish underwent mixed metabolic acidosis and respiratory alkalosis. Plasma lactate did not change over time or with amoeba exposure (data not presented).

**Series II**

Results showed that over the course of the experiment caudal blood pH of exposed fish changed significantly over the 16 d period ($F_{5,47} = 7.79, p < 0.001$). Initially there was a significant increase from Day 0 to Day 2 ($p = 0.008$), and again to Day 7 ($p = 0.032$) (Fig. 5a). From Day 7, however, it was evident that pH began to decrease. Although control pH values were similar to those of the exposed group at both 0 ($p =$
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**Fig. 3.** *Salmo salar*. Changes in: (a) haematocrit (HCT), (b) haemoglobin (Hb) and (c) mean cellular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC) following 6 h exposure (hatched area) to 10,000 amoeba cells l$^{-1}$ (AGD) or sterile seawater (CON). Within treatments an asterisk denotes a significant difference from the corresponding 0 h time point ($p < 0.05$). Values are means ± SE. Points above arrow (post 48 h) were not included in the statistical analysis due to low replication.

**Fig. 4.** *Salmo salar*. Plasma $[\text{HCO}_3^-]$ versus arterial pH ($p\text{H}_a$) diagram with $P\text{CO}_2$ isopleths and the *in vivo* changes in extracellular acid–base status following 6 h exposure to 10,000 amoeba cells l$^{-1}$ (AGD) or sterile seawater (CON). Mean values only for data up to 48 h presented.
and 2 d (p = 0.054), by Day 16, pH of the exposed group was significantly lower compared to that of controls (p = 0.001).

HCT values of exposed fish also significantly changed over the sampling period ($F_{5,47} = 3.07, p = 0.018$), with a significant increase evident by Day 16 (p = 0.011) (Fig. 5b). The Hb value did not significantly change over the sampling period ($F_{5,47} = 1.34, p = 0.264$) (Fig. 5c). Similarly, MCHC data remained unchanged over the duration of the experiment ($F_{5,47} = 0.72, p = 0.607$) (Fig. 5d). HCT of the control fish was significantly elevated at all reference time points compared to exposed fish (Day 0, p < 0.001; Day 2, p = 0.002; Day 16, p < 0.001). Hb was not significantly different between the treatments, and, as a result, MCHC values for controls were significantly lower compared to those for exposed fish at all reference time points (Day 0, p < 0.001; Day 2, p < 0.001; Day 16, p < 0.001).

Histological analysis of gill sections indicated that gill pathology typical of AGD was evident from Day 2, when 26.05 ± 4.52% of gill filaments were affected with AGD. Over the duration of the experiment the number of AGD-affected filaments increased significantly over time ($F_{4,29} = 22.31, p < 0.001$). Significant differences from Day 2 were seen at Day 7 (54.3 ± 6.7%, p = 0.005), Day 10 (56.4 ± 5.1%, p = 0.001) and Day 16 (90.6 ± 4.5%, p < 0.001) (Fig. 6). By Day 4, the percentage of affected filaments had increased to 43.8 ± 4.9%; however, this was not found to be statistically significant compared to Day 2 (p = 0.085). AGD lesions were not present in control fish.

DISCUSSION

Although there are many pathological studies regarding disease and disease development, there is very little concerning the physiological consequences of disease status and the physiological changes which occur during the progression of an actual infection. In
many studies the mechanisms underlying mortality are often inferred from the pathological findings and are not commonly measured or investigated. AGD for instance, which only affects the gill, was initially thought to cause respiratory failure; however, the combined results of Powell et al. (2000), Fisk et al. (2002) and the present study do not support this hypothesis. In this study, significant respiratory changes in response to AGD occurred at approximately 7 d post-infection and coincided with a significant increase in the percentage of gill filaments displaying AGD lesions. Therefore, it is not surprising that no major significant treatment effects were found in Series I. Histological analysis of gills taken from Series I fish revealed that AGD lesions were present by 48 h; however, these lesions, often seen in association with amoebae, were small and few in number. Therefore, considering the respiratory changes seen in Series II fish at the same time point, the lesions were probably not at a level sufficient to induce pathological respiratory effects.

Arterial pH ($pH_a$) in fish exposed to amoebae (Series I) was significantly elevated at 48 h compared to the 0 h time point. This also occurred in fish from Series II, with a significant elevation in caudal blood pH by Day 2. These results suggest that following exposure to amoebae fish initially undergo alkalosis. Examination of the acid–base diagram from fish in Series I exposed to amoebae indicated that this initial alkalosis, which appeared to be primarily respiratory in nature, may have developed from hyperventilation, since $PCO_2$ values steadily dropped from 6 h onwards. In a previous study, ventilation rates between AGD-affected and naïve salmon were not found to be significantly different (Powell et al. 2000); however, while ventilation rates were not recorded in this study, it is possible that the addition of amoebae to the system and subsequent attachment to secondary gill lamellae may have initially stimulated mucus production and hyperventilation.

Increased mucus production, via significant elevations in mucus cell numbers, has also been noted in association with AGD (Munday et al. 1990, Nowak & Munday 1994, Adams & Nowak 2003, Roberts & Powell 2003), and, although gill mucus production does not appear to greatly influence $O_2$ uptake in rainbow trout exposed to a chemical gill irritant, there is evidence to suggest that it does impair $CO_2$ excretion (Powell & Perry 1996, 1997, 1999), and this could lead to an acute acid–base disturbance. Although caudal blood pH was significantly elevated in Series II fish by Day 2, it appeared to fall from Day 7 onwards and consistently decreased over the remainder of the sampling period. Additionally, the percentage of lesions affecting primary gill filaments became significantly elevated, compared to Day 2 (onset of disease), from Day 7 onwards. The apparent acidosis that developed in Series II fish may therefore be related to a decrease in functional gill surface area and indicate the initial development of the characteristic acidosis previously reported for AGD-affected salmon (Powell et al. 2000, Powell & Nowak 2003). Powell et al. (2000) found that compared to naïve individuals, AGD-affected salmon displayed a significantly elevated $PCO_2$ and significantly lower pH and $PO_2$, which indicated respiratory acidosis prior to a graded hypoxic challenge. Although it was shown that AGD-affected fish were acidic, Powell et al. (2000) found that even during graded hypoxia, to a level of approximately 25% saturation, the presence of AGD did not contribute to respiratory failure, despite the fact that gas transfer was impaired under normoxic conditions. It was therefore suggested that infected fish were able to defend respiration via physiological mechanisms such as increased blood flow and perfusion within the gill.

The actual effect of AGD lesions on gill blood flow is not currently understood; however, pathological studies of AGD have shown that amoeba presence and attachment to gill filaments initially results in hyperplasia of epithelial cells (Adams & Nowak 2003). Epithelial cells play a prominent role in respiratory gas transfer (Lin & Randall 1995); thus, any alterations in epithelial thickness can potentially decrease functional surface area for transfer of respiratory gases. Therefore, an increase in the blood–water diffusion distance may be expected to influence normal respiratory processes and induce pathological changes (Perry & McDonald 1993). There is evidence to suggest that the gill is not fully ventilated or equally perfused at any one time. In rainbow trout, Booth (1978) suggested that only about 60% of all lamellae are perfused at rest. Therefore, there is substantial scope for recruitment of previously under-perfused filaments (Booth 1979), recruitment or redistribution of blood flow to peripheral lamellae (Booth 1979, Olson 1979), and perfusion of distal areas of the lamellae (Farrell et al. 1980, Tuurala et al. 1984) via hyperventilation (Smith & Jones 1982, Jensen et al. 1993) and/or cardiovascular adjustments (Booth 1979, Soivio & Tuurala 1981) to compensate for any reductions or impairment of normal functional gill surface area. In this study, AGD lesions were shown to affect >90% of primary lamellae by Day 16; therefore, considering that no major respiratory disturbance was noted, it is possible that lamellar recruitment mediated any respiratory compromise caused by AGD lesions, thus allowing fish to defend respiration.

It is possible that increased HCT and Hb levels also mediate respiratory disturbance in AGD-affected
salmon. Arterial blood was repeatedly taken from fish in Series I, and this sampling regime was most likely responsible for the significant decreases seen in both haematocrit and haemoglobin. Fish exposed to amoebae from Series II, however, displayed significantly elevated haematocrit levels at 16 d post-infection. Hb also appeared to increase following amoeba exposure; however, this response reached a plateau at Day 4, and no significant differences were found with respect to the pre-Day 0 sample. HCT and Hb both directly influence the ability of the blood to carry O2, and are a major determinant of arterial O2 content (Gallaugher & Farrell 1998). Therefore, significant HCT elevations may help to mitigate any possible O2 uptake limitations, which potentially occur at the level of the gill. For reasons unknown, control reference points were significantly elevated compared to the experimental treatment; however, as control Hb values were similar to those of the AGD group, it is possible that increased HCT levels relate to red blood cell swelling, as indicated by the significantly lower MCHC values.

In conclusion, this study was aimed to track the respiratory responses and, more specifically, the onset of acidosis in Atlantic salmon exposed and subsequently infected with Neoparamoeba pemaquidensis, the causative agent of AGD. Results have suggested that the previously seen respiratory acidosis occurs when functional gill surface area becomes compromised beyond the point of respiratory defense that may include greater perfusion or a redistribution of blood within the gill and elevations in both HCT and Hb. In this study, the characteristic acidosis appeared to coincide with a time period in which a significant increase in the number of affected gill filaments was seen; however, the functional gas-exchange abilities of lesioned areas still require further investigation. Although fish in this study showed up to 90% AGD-affected filaments, the corresponding respiratory results do not reflect major acid–base disturbance. Experimental infections of AGD are typically far more aggressive compared to wild infections due to the increased pathogen loading that fish in culture conditions would not normally experience (Adams & Nowak 2001). Although the precise cause of AGD-associated mortality remains unknown, this, along with the results from the present study, suggests that AGD-associated mortality in cultured fish is not caused by respiratory failure. Powell et al. (2002a,b) have suggested AGD may possibly be associated with cardiovascular dysfunction, perhaps due in part to possible cytopathic effects of the amoebae (Butler & Nowak 2004); however, the actual cardiovascular responses of Atlantic salmon to infection, or extracellular amoeba products, have not been examined.
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