INTRODUCTION

Koi herpesvirus (KHV) is a newly recognised virus associated with mortality in common carp Cyprinus carpio and koi carp Cyprinus carpio koi in the United States, Israel, South Africa, the European Union, Japan and South-East Asia (Bretzinger et al. 1999, Hedrick et al. 2000, Neukirch & Kunz 2001, Way et al. 2001, 2004, Perelberg et al. 2003, Chien et al. 2004, Sano et al. 2004, Tu et al. 2004). This virus has also been reported in England, but it is not considered endemic. With the large number of koi carp imports to England and the high number of fish movements within the country, there is concern that this virus will become widely established. Introduction of KHV to naïve wild common carp populations has the potential to be detrimental to these populations.

It is unlikely that fish would be transferred into fisheries during a clinical outbreak of KHV as mortality can be as high as 90% (Hedrick et al. 2000, Perelberg et al. 2003); however, it is possible that fish that have previously been exposed to the virus, and have since recovered, could be moved to fisheries. If fish can become latent carriers of KHV and subsequently shed the virus, it could be problematic.

An important characteristic of herpesviruses is their ability to persist in their natural hosts, including those with natural or vaccine-induced immunity (Kucuktas & Brady 1999). The virus remains dormant and non-infectious for long periods, but can be reactivated to become pathogenic with the host subsequently showing clinical signs (even mortality). The mechanism for reactivation of herpesviruses remains unknown; however, it is believed that the host’s physiological state plays an important role (Roizman & Pellet 2001). The reactivation of the virus may facilitate horizontal transmission from host to other susceptible animals.

Little is known about latency of KHV (Gray et al. 2002, Walster 2003). If KHV is similar to other herpesviruses, such as cyprinid herpesvirus (CHV) and channel catfish virus (CCV), as suggested by Watlzek et al. (2005), then it is likely to persist in its host. Survivors of a disease outbreak with these 2 other viruses become asymptomatic carriers with specific neutralising antibodies and can excrete virus (Hedrick et al. 1987, Gray et al. 1999, Sano et al. 1993). Gilad et al. (2003) demonstrated that fish exposed to KHV at 13°C did not succumb to disease until after the water temperature was increased to 23°C, suggesting that under some conditions the
virus can infect fish and remain in an inactive state until conditions are more favourable.

Exposure of carp to KHV at non-permissive temperatures (less than 17°C or greater than 28°C) has been used in the Koi carp industry to induce immunity to the virus (Ronen et al. 2003). Data suggest that at the high end of the non-permissive temperature range, exposed fish develop specific immunity, which upon re-challenge, affords protection. However, there is much debate as to whether this strategy of inducing a ‘natural immunity’ produces latent infections in fish (Walter 2003), and whether these fish can subsequently transmit the virus to naïve fish.

The objectives of this study were to determine whether KHV can persist in common carp after initial virus exposure, and whether the virus can be transmitted to naïve fish by co-habitation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Virus isolate.** The UK D-132 Koi herpesvirus isolate (CEFAS) was used to challenge fish in both exposure experiments in this study. The virus was propagated on a koi fin cell line (Hedrick et al. 2000).

**Fish maintenance.** Fig. 1 provides a schematic diagram of the experimental tanks used in this study. All tanks, unless otherwise stated, were on a flow-through system utilizing approximately 2 l min⁻¹ of de-chlorinated bore-hole water. Fish were fed a maintenance diet of 1% bodyweight d⁻¹ throughout the experiment. Fish were monitored for mortalities 2 times d⁻¹ during the course of the study.

**KHV Exposure 1. Fish:** One thousand common carp were purchased from a private farm with no history of KHV. The fish ranged from juveniles (aged ~1 yr), weighing between 25 and 30 g, to older fish that weighed between 150 and 200 g. One hundred fish were placed in each of two 300 l tanks (Tanks 1A and 2A) and served as controls. The 800 remaining fish were placed in a 900 l tank (Tank 1B).

Prior to starting the study, fish were brought up to a temperature of 21°C from 10°C (1°C d⁻¹ for 11 d). Ten fish were euthanized prior to exposure to KHV and blood was collected from the caudal vein. Serum was tested for KHV-specific antibodies using an ELISA (see protocol below).

**In vivo exposure:** Fish were maintained at 2 different temperature profiles, closely resembling summer and winter water temperatures in English fisheries (see Figs. 2 & 3 for temperature profiles). All 800 experimental fish were exposed to approximately 10⁴ plaque-forming units (pfu) ml⁻¹ of KHV for 2 h in a static bath at 21°C. After 2 h, flow was returned to the tank. Three hundred fish were moved to a separate 900 l tank (Tank 2B) and the temperature was dropped to 12°C over a period of 4 d. The remaining fish were left at 21°C for 15 d after which time the temperature was lowered to 12°C (1°C d⁻¹) to ensure survivors (Tank 1B). One control tank (Tank 1A) was kept at 21°C for 15 d, similar to Tank 1B while the other was dropped to 12°C over a 4 d period, similar to experimental Tank 2B. Samples of gill were taken from a sub-

---

**Fig. 1. Cyprinus carpio.** Schematic diagram depicting the different experimental tanks used in this study. (A) First exposure to koi herpesvirus (KHV); (B) second exposure to KHV. Fish in Tank 3A were the source of the naïve fish in Tank 2D. These fish served as controls for Tank 2D during the cohabitation period (6 wk).
sample of the fish that died, and tested by PCR to confirm KHV (see protocol below).

Samples of kidney tissue taken from 3 fish from the control tank (Tank 2A) were inoculated onto tryptone soya agar, on 2 separate occasions, to rule-out bacterial disease. Gill and skin wet mounts were examined on a regular basis during mortality events to diagnose parasite infections.

**Co-habitation procedure:** Low initial mortality scenario: Ten days after initial exposure to KHV the fish in Tank 2B were moved to 2 separate 300 l tanks (Tanks 2C and 2D) (150 fish tank$^{-1}$). Tank 2C was used
for monitoring the KHV-specific antibody response (results reported elsewhere), while Tank 2D was the source of KHV-exposed fish for this co-habitation study. The fish were held at 12°C for 125 d, after which the temperature was raised 1°C d⁻¹ over 6 d to 18°C. When the tanks reached 18°C the co-habitation trial was initiated in Tank 2D. Fifty naïve (unexposed) fish from a stock tank were fin-clipped for identification purposes and transferred to Tank 2D. Twenty fish from the naïve stock population were tested for KHV by PCR and for KHV antibodies prior to co-habitation with the survivors. After 18 d, the temperature was raised to 23°C (1°C d⁻¹) (see Fig. 2 for temperature profile).

Gill tissue was collected from fish that died in Tanks 2A, 2C and 2D, 2 fish from Tank 2C and 4 fish in the co-habitation Tank 2D (2 with clipped fins and 2 without) were tested for KHV by PCR. Clinical signs were recorded for all mortalities. The experiment was terminated 135 d after the naïve fish were introduced to Tank 2D. At the end of the study, 10 control fish were bled and serum was collected for KHV-antibodies using an ELISA (see protocol below).

**High initial mortality scenario:** Fish in Tanks 1A and 1B, which were kept at 21°C for 15 d after KHV exposure and subsequently maintained at 12°C for 59 d, were brought back up to 19°C over 6 d. Sixty-two days after exposure, approximately 2 mo after the mortality associated with KHV had subsided, the 5 initial survivors from Tank 1B were moved to a new 300 l tank (Tank 1C). Eighty days after initial exposure, 30 naïve fish were transferred from control Tank 1A to Tank 1C that contained the KHV survivors. Prior to introducing the naïve fish to this tank, the fish were fin-clipped and 10 control fish were tested for KHV by PCR. Eighty-eight days into the co-habitation study, the 5 remaining survivors in tank 1C were anaesthetised with benzoicaine (40 mg l⁻¹; Arcos Organics) and injected with cortisol (0.2 mg g⁻¹; Sigma) intra-peritoneally. Ten control fish in Tank 1A were also injected with cortisol and fin-clipped.

After 17 wk the temperature in Tanks 1A and 1C was increased from 18 to 23°C over a 5 d period. Fish were monitored for mortality and the experiment was terminated 194 d after co-habitation began, 274 d after the initial KHV exposure. Upon termination, blood was collected from all surviving fin-clipped fish (n = 4) and 15 non-fin-clipped fish in Tank 1C, as well as 14 control fish from Tank 1A. The serum of these fish was tested for KHV-specific antibodies using an ELISA.

**KHV Exposure 2 (repeat of high initial mortality scenario). Fish:** Six hundred common carp were purchased from a private farm with no history of KHV. The fish were juveniles (aged ~1 yr) and weighed between 25 and 30 g. One hundred fish were placed in a 300 l tank which served as a control (Tank 3A). The 500 remaining fish were placed in a 900 l tank (Tank 3B). Before exposure to KHV, fish were acclimated to a temperature of 18°C from 9°C (1°C d⁻¹ for 8 d) and were prophylactically treated for parasites with a flush treatment of formalin at a concentration of 150 ppm. Fish were monitored for surface parasites during the course of the study, which involved lethal samples and subsequent skin and gill scrapes of fish exhibiting flashing behaviour or increased mucus production.

**In vivo exposure:** Prior to KHV exposure, 20 fish were euthanised and serum was collected for KHV-specific antibody testing. Gill tissue from these fish was sampled for KHV by PCR. Thirty of the 500 fish from Tank 3B were injected intra-peritoneally with 0.2 ml of a viral suspension (in phosphate-buffered saline) that contained approximately 10 virus particles. Injected fish were fin-clipped and returned to the tank. These fish served as the source of virus for the remainder of the population. Of the fish that died initially, 4 were tested for KHV by PCR and virus isolation to confirm exposure. Clinical signs were recorded for all mortalities. Control fish that died during the study were tested for KHV by PCR.

Fish were exposed to KHV at 18°C and were kept at this temperature for 20 d. After 20 d the fish were lowered to 16°C over a period of 2 d and were held at this temperature for 20 d. The temperature was then lowered to 14°C to ensure fish survived the initial infection. The fish were held at this temperature for 20 d and the temperature was lowered again to 12°C; 10 d later, the temperature was lowered to 11°C. Fish were held at 11°C for 50 d before the co-habitation study was initiated. The control fish (Tank 3A) followed the same temperature changes as the exposed fish (see Fig. 4 for the temperature profile).

**Co-habitation procedure:** Twenty-nine days after the mortality associated with KHV had subsided, 78 fish were transferred from control Tank 3A into Tanks 3C and 3D (capacity 300 l) on a re-circulating system (39 fish tank⁻¹), which filtered water at approximately 2.1 l min⁻¹. The temperature in both re-circulation tanks was held at 11°C. The fish in Tank 3C were fin-clipped (anal fin) for identification and 25 KHV survivors from Tank 3B were introduced to the tank. The water temperature in both Tanks 3C and 3D was raised from 11 to 23°C at 1°C d⁻¹. A proportion of the mortalities were tested for KHV by PCR and clinical signs were recorded. The co-habitation was run for 120 d and terminated. At the end of the study 10 fin-clipped and non-fin-clipped fish in Tank 3C, and 10 control fish from Tank 3D, were tested for KHV using PCR.
The remaining fish in Tanks 3A and 3B were monitored over the same time period as the co-habitation tanks and subject to a similar increase in temperature. These fish were used to monitor the KHV-specific antibody response (results presented elsewhere). Mortalities in these tanks were also tested for KHV using PCR. At the time when the second outbreak of KHV was diagnosed in Tank 3B, 22 control fish in Tank 3A were sampled. These fish were tested for KHV using PCR. All PCR-positive tests were confirmed using DNA sequencing. Fish were also tested for KHV-specific antibodies using an ELISA (see protocol below).

**Statistical analysis.** Weekly cumulative mortality was calculated for each tank. When fish were sampled from the tanks the number of fish sampled was subtracted from the denominator the week they were removed. The cumulative mortality curves for tanks with the same temperature profile were illustrated on the same graph. All figures were created in Excel (Windows 2000).

**DNA extraction for PCR.** DNA was extracted using a standard protocol and DNAzol, (In Vitrogen, Life Technologies). Briefly, samples of gill were taken from fish and fixed in 70% ethanol. Tissue was homogenised at a 1/10 dilution in transport media containing antibiotics and calf serum (Sigma). One hundred µl of the homogenised tissue was added to a microcentrifuge tube containing 1 ml DNAzol reagent. The tubes were inverted 5 times at room temperature, left to stand for 5 min, and then centrifuged at 9500 × g for 10 min. One ml of the supernatant was transferred to another microcentrifuge tube containing 0.5 ml of 99 to 100 % ethanol. This solution was then inverted 5 times at room temperature, left to stand for 5 min, then centrifuged for 30 min at 16000 × g. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was rinsed in 1 ml of 70% ethanol in RNase and DNase free water. After centrifuging at 16000 × g for 15 min the ethanol was removed and the pellet was air-dried at room temperature for 5 min or 60°C for 10 s. The pellet was then resuspended in 50 µl of RNase and DNase free water and warmed to 60°C. (The water was added, the tube vortexed and placed at 65°C for 5 min, vortexed again and centrifuged for 10 s.) Samples were extracted in duplicates and stored at −20°C for PCR.

**PCR amplification of KHV DNA.** The detection of KHV DNA from fish tissues was done using the oligonucleotide primer set KHV9/5F and KHV9/5R published in Gilad et al. (2002).

A master mix was made containing the following: 5 µl reaction buffer (×10 conc; Sigma), 2.5 µl MgCl$_2$ (50 mM stock), 0.5 µl dNTPs (25 mM of each dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP; Amersham), 0.5 µl KHV9/5R (100 pmol µl$^{-1}$ stock), 0.5 µl KHV9/5F (100 pmol µl$^{-1}$ stock), 2.5 µl BIOTAQ red DNA Polymerase (1 U µl$^{-1}$) (Bioline), and 36.5 µl RNase/DNase free water (BDH). A total of 47.5 µl of this master mix was overlaid with 2 drops of mineral oil (Sigma) and combined with 2.5 µl of extracted DNA sample. The DNA Engine Peltier Thermal Cycler Model (Tetrad2, MJ Research) was used for amplification using 1 cycle of 94°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of 1 min at 95°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C, followed by 1 cycle of 10 min at
72°C. Fifteen µl were loaded onto a 2% agarose gel for electrophoresis. The duplicate samples were run with positive and negative controls and a 100 bp ladder. The KHV product of interest was at 484 bp.

**ELISA for KHV-specific antibodies.** The ELISA used to detect KHV-specific antibodies was similar to that described in Crawford et al. (1999) for channel catfish virus antibodies. In brief, the wells of 96-well microtitre plates (Costar) were coated with purified KHV (UK isolate D-132) grown on koi fin cells (Hedrick et al. 2000). Virus protein was measured after purification through a sucrose gradient using the BCA Protein Assay Kit™ (Pierce) and diluted with carbonate buffer to obtain the standard concentration of 375 µg ml⁻¹. The plate was incubated overnight for 16 h at 25°C then washed for 2 min (Labsystems, Wellwash Ascent™) with 300 µl of PBS. The plates were subsequently blocked with 100 µl of 10% low-fat milk powder (LFM) in PBST and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. After blocking, the plates were washed for 2 min with 300 µl of PBST. Fifty µl of test fish sera, diluted 1:400, 1:800, 1:1600 and 1:3200, was incubated onto the plates in duplicates at 37°C for 1 h. Plates were washed 3 times for 2 min with 300 µl of PBST. Carp immunoglobulin (Ig) was detected by using a mouse anti-carp monoclonal antibody (Aqua Diagnostics), which recognised the heavy chain of the carp Ig molecule. The monoclonal anti-carp antibody was used at 50 µl per well and incubated for 45 min at 37°C. Plates were then washed, as described, after incubation of serum samples. To recognise the bound anti-carp Ig, 50 µl of polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (enzyme) (Dako) at a dilution of 1:1000 in 10% LFM in PBST was used at 50 µl per well and incubated for 45 min at 37°C. Subsequently, the plate was washed as described after incubation of serum samples. To visualise the bound rabbit anti-mouse antibody, the substrate for the conjugated enzyme was made by adding one phosphate-citrate sodium perborate buffer tablet (Sigma) to 100 ml of deionised water. Two tetramethylbenzidine tablets (Sigma) were added to 20 ml of the buffer solution and allowed to dissolve. One hundred µl of this final solution was added to each of the wells and left at room temperature for 5 min. The reaction was subsequently stopped by the addition of 50 µl per well of H₂SO₄. The absorbance was read at 450 nm in an Opsys MR Plate Reader™ (Dynex Technologies). One positive and one negative control were run for each plate in duplicate.

A fish was considered positive for KHV antibodies if the OD reading on the 1:1600 dilution was greater than the average for the negative control +3 SD, and if all other lower dilutions had higher OD readings than the previous dilution.

## RESULTS

### KHV Exposure 1

All control fish tested before exposure to KHV were negative for KHV-specific antibodies by ELISA. None of the control fish in either control Tank 1A or 2A that died during the course of the experiment had signs consistent with KHV, and none of the fish tested for KHV (n = 9) were positive for the virus (using PCR). Furthermore, none of the control fish tested during the study (n = 10) and at the end of the study (n = 10) had antibodies to KHV.

**Low initial-mortality scenario**

Fish in Tank 2B, which were initially exposed to KHV at 21°C and subsequently dropped to 12°C experienced low mortality that began 8 d after exposure, and lasted 38 d. The total mortality over this period was 3% (Fig. 2). Some of the initial mortalities had signs of KHV infection (gill and skin necrosis). One of the 10 fish that died during the initial outbreak of KHV and was tested by PCR was positive for the virus.

Fish in Tanks 2A and 2B experienced mortality associated with *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* infection starting on Day 31 of the experiment (diagnosed using skin and gill wet mounts). These tanks were treated for the parasite using 2 formalin bath treatments at 100 and 200 ppm for 1 h, administered 2 d apart. Tank 2A required 4 additional bath treatments with a combination of malachite green (1 ppm) and formalin at 25 ppm for 1 h. The 3 fish tested for KHV from Tank 2A during the disease outbreak were negative by PCR. No significant bacteriological pathogens were detected on 2 separate occasions when fish were tested for bacterial infections.

All 20 naïve fish tested prior to the start of the co-habitation trial were negative for KHV by PCR and for KHV antibodies by ELISA.

Mortality in Tank 2C (co-habitation experimental tank) began 10 d after the introduction of naïve fish, while the water temperature was at 20°C. The initial survivors of KHV began to die 14 d after co-habitation was initiated (30 wk after the initial exposure to KHV), when the temperature was at 23°C (Fig. 2). Thirty-three days after the start of the co-habitation study 100% of the naïve fish and 57% of the initial survivors had died.

All mortalities in the co-habitation trial showed clinical signs of KHV infection (gill and skin necrosis). Both the initial survivors of KHV and the naïve fish tested for KHV from Tank 2D were positive for the virus by PCR. One of the 2 fish tested for KHV in Tank 2C dur-
High initial-mortality scenario

Fish in Tank 1B experienced a 95% mortality within 19 d of exposure (Fig. 3). As a result, only 5 fish remained for the co-habitation trial. Twenty-nine of 34 fish tested by PCR were positive for KHV. All initial mortalities showed clinical signs consistent with KHV (gill and skin necrosis). During this period there were no mortalities in control Tank 1A.

Prior to the introduction of naïve fish from the control tank, 10 control fish were tested for KHV by PCR and declared negative. Only 2 fish died during the co-habitation period. Neither of these showed clinical signs of KHV. One fish was a survivor of the initial challenge with KHV. It was euthanised for welfare reasons after it developed a perforating ulcer from the cortisol injection. The other fish that died in Tank 1B was also negative for KHV. The naïve (n = 15) and control (n = 14) fish were all negative for KHV-specific antibodies at the end of the study. One of the 4 fish that initially survived KHV was positive for antibodies at the end of the study.

KHV Exposure 2
(second high initial-mortality scenario)

All 20 control fish tested for KHV by PCR at the start of the study were negative and none had detectable antibodies to the virus.

Mortality in Tank 3B started 11 d after exposure and peaked on Day 21 (Fig. 4). Mortality continued despite lowering the temperature to 16°C (Fig. 4). Seventy-three percent of the population died and most had clinical signs consistent with KHV. Three of the 4 dead fish tested for KHV by PCR were positive for the virus. At 12°C there were 6 control fish that died or were euthanised for welfare reasons (skin ulcerations). These were negative for external parasites as well as for KHV by PCR.

No fish died in Tanks 3A, 3C, or 3D during the 157 d co-habitation trial despite the increase in temperature from 12 to 23°C. None of the 10 fish in Tank 3D tested at the end of the study were positive for KHV by PCR or had KHV antibodies by ELISA. None of the 10 naïve or survivor fish from Tank 3C were positive for KHV by PCR, but 1 of the survivors and 1 naïve fish in Tank 3C had detectable KHV antibodies.

The fish in Tank 3B began dying 100 d after the initial mortality associated with KHV had subsided (25 wk after initial exposure to KHV) (Fig. 4). All fish had clinical signs consistent with KHV and 4 of the 10 fish tested by PCR were positive for the virus. KHV was confirmed by DNA sequencing. At the end of the study none of the 22 control fish in Tank 3A tested for KHV by PCR were positive for virus or for KHV-specific antibodies by ELISA (n = 20).

DISCUSSION

The findings in this study are consistent with those of other researchers (Gilad et al. 2003, 2004) and suggest that common carp exposed to KHV can, under some circumstances, become persistently infected with the virus. Furthermore, they can shed the virus and infect naïve fish during co-habitation at temperatures above 20°C. Given these findings, the practice of exposing fish to live virus in an attempt to immunise the fish should be used with caution, especially if these fish are to be transferred to other locations and co-habited with naïve fish. The worldwide distribution of KHV within a few years of the first isolation (Bretzinger et al. 1999, Hedrick et al. 2000, Neukirch & Kunz 2001, Way et al. 2001, Perelberg et al. 2003, Sano et al. 2004, Tu et al. 2004) suggests that this virus may have an undetectable latent phase and, through the unregulated sale of live fish, this may have contributed to its international spread.

It is unlikely that KHV in our co-habitation tanks originated from anywhere other than the survivors of KHV. Virus was never detected in any control tanks in any of the experiments conducted during the course of the study, and the control fish were negative for KHV antibodies at the beginning, during the course of the studies, and at the end, suggesting no exposure to the virus. Antibodies have been reported in fish exposed to KHV by Ronen et al. (2003). We also observed antibodies in the fish from our experimental tanks after the initial disease outbreak had subsided. We used an antibody response to monitor for exposure to KHV, as our cell culture and PCR techniques were not reliable at detecting the virus in sub-clinical fish. Similar findings have been reported by other researchers (Gilad et al. 2002). More recently, Gilad et al. (2004) have detected virus DNA by TaqMan PCR in fish 64 d post-KHV exposure; however, this tool was not available at the time of this study.

It is also unlikely that KHV was reintroduced to our co-habitation tanks from an outside source, given that the water used in the studies was de-chlorinated mains water and KHV is unlikely to survive the treatment.
process. There were also no reports of KHV in other carp tanks maintained in the laboratory during the course of the studies.

Virus persistence did not appear to be a function of initial mortality associated with exposure to KHV. There was a large difference in the mortality rate between fish exposed to KHV at 21°C and maintained at that temperature and fish exposed to KHV at 21°C for a few hours but subsequently maintained at a non-permissive temperature (12°C) (Figs. 2, 3 & 4). Despite this difference, persistent infections and reactivation of KHV appeared to occur in both populations.

Persistence and reactivation of KHV was not demonstrated in all tanks in this study. Only 1 (Tank 3B) of the 3 tanks (Tanks 1C, 3B, and 3C) with survivors of KHV that had a high initial mortality had a second outbreak of disease associated with the virus. In the first exposure study, where fish were maintained at a permissive temperature for 2 wk, only 5 fish survived. It seems unlikely that any of these fish remained infected with the virus, as reactivation could not be induced despite increasing the water temperature to 23°C and stressing fish with intra-peritoneal cortisol injections. Similarly, reactivation could not be induced in one of the tanks in the second exposure study, despite putting fish in a recirculation tank to avoid loss of virus. It seems probable that fish in Tanks 1C and 3C did not succumb to a second disease outbreak because none of the fish were persistently infected with the virus. As with many other viruses, it is likely that only a low percentage of fish within a population remain infected with KHV after the initial exposure.

Both tanks with survivors of KHV that had experienced low initial mortality when exposed to the virus had a second outbreak of disease several months after the first small outbreak had subsided (approximately 200 d after the initial exposure). One of these (Tank 2C) had disease associated with KHV without having naïve fish introduced to the tank, indicating that a susceptible population was not necessary for a second disease outbreak to occur. The second outbreak in Tank 3B provides further evidence that cohabitation with susceptible fish is not necessary for reactivation and propagation of the virus.

Similar to the findings of Gilad et al. (2003), temperature appeared to be a key factor in the reactivation of KHV in this study. In all tanks, the second disease outbreak coincided with a rise in water temperature above the reported permissive temperature for KHV. No other event preceded the reactivation of KHV infection. The temperature range used in this study is similar to that observed in fisheries in the UK, and might explain the apparent increase in KHV cases in the summer months. It is unlikely that a mortality rate of 3%, as was observed at 12°C, would be detected in a large fishery, so exposure to KHV could potentially go undetected until there is an increase in the water temperature. In Israel, mortality events have been reported to increase with regularity when the temperatures reach between 22 and 26°C in spring and autumn (Perelberg et al. 2003).

The findings of this study indicate that reactivation of KHV can occur in fish populations that have never experienced elevated mortality associated with the virus, and that it may occur long after initial exposure to the virus (up to 30 wk). As some fish exposed to KHV appear to become persistently infected with the virus and, under some circumstances, can shed virus again, controlling the spread of KHV requires identification of fish populations that have been exposed to the virus. Detecting these populations may be problematic with the current tools available (Gilad et al. 2002). Future research should focus on methods to identify these populations. Preliminary data from this study suggests antibodies to the virus may be useful for identifying groups of fish that have been exposed to KHV.
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