Culture and identification of Desulfovibrio spp. from corals infected by black band disease on Dominican and Florida Keys reefs
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ABSTRACT: Black band disease (BBD) of corals is characterized as a pathogenic microbial consortium composed of a wide variety of microorganisms. Together, many of these microorganisms contribute to an active sulfur cycle that produces anoxia and high levels of sulfide adjacent to the coral surface, conditions that are lethal to coral tissue. Sulfate-reducing bacteria, as sulfide producers, are an important component of the sulfur cycle and the black band community. Previous molecular survey studies have shown multiple Desulfovibrio species present in BBD but with limited consistency between bacterial species and infections. In this study we compared 16S rRNA gene sequences of sulfate-reducing bacteria selectively cultured from 6 BBD bands on 4 coral species, Diploria clivosa, D. strigosa, D. labyrinthiformes, and Siderastrea siderea, in the Florida Keys and Dominica. The 16S rRNA gene sequences were obtained through direct sequencing of PCR products or by cloning. A BLAST search revealed that 8 out of 10 cultures sequenced were highly homologous to Desulfovibrio sp. strain TBP-1, a strain originally isolated from marine sediment. Although the remaining 2 sequences were less homologous to Desulfovibrio sp. strain TBP-1, they did not match any other sulfate-reducing (or other) species in GenBank.
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INTRODUCTION

Black band disease (BBD) of scleractinian corals is considered to be an important factor in the decline and community restructuring of coral reefs (Rosenberg & Loya 2004). This disease directly causes coral tissue lysis as it progresses over an infected coral colony, leading to either partial or complete death of the colony. Not only is coral tissue destroyed by the disease, but it has been shown that scleractinian coral recruitment onto the newly available substrate of exposed coral skeleton produced by BBD is negligible (Edmunds 1991, Bruckner & Bruckner 1997, Kuta & Richardson 1997), further reducing coral cover on reefs (Kuta & Richardson 1996).


The complexity of the BBD microbial community has recently been explored using molecular techniques,
which revealed a high degree of microbial diversity and variability among infections (Cooney et al. 2002, Frias-Lopez et al. 2002, 2003). However, the molecular techniques used in these studies, while providing a broad survey of the BBD microbial community, may not account for all bacteria present, particularly those present at low densities.

BBD has an active sulfur cycle similar to that found in microbial mats in extreme environments such as hot-spring outflows and hypersaline ponds (Carlton & Richardson 1995). Both BBD and such microbial mats contain anoxic and sulfide-rich microenvironments at depth in the mat. In the case of BBD, this zone is adjacent to the coral tissue, a condition which has been shown to cause coral tissue death (Richardson et al. 1997). In BBD, the source of this sulfide is biological, the result of dissimilatory sulfate reduction (Richardson 2004). SRB are ecologically significant in marine or hypersaline microbial mat environments where, as the dominant bacteria in the anaerobic zone, they may oxidize up to 50% of total organic carbon in support of sulfate respiration (Howarth & Hobbie 1982, Jørgensen 1982). In seawater, the concentration of sulfate is abundant at approximately 28 mM (Hansen 1993, Odom 1993), providing a sufficient sulfate supply for sulfate respiration (Howarth & Hobbie 1982, Jørgensen 2004). SRB are ecologically significant in marine or hypersaline microbial mat environments where, as the dominant bacteria in the anaerobic zone, they may oxidize up to 50% of total organic carbon in support of sulfate respiration (Howarth & Hobbie 1982, Jørgensen 1982). In seawater, the concentration of sulfate is abundant at approximately 28 mM (Hansen 1993, Odom 1993), providing a sufficient sulfate supply for SRB to outcompete methanogens in an anaerobic microenvironment, and thus act as terminal oxidizers in the anaerobic degradation of organic matter to CO₂ (Jørgensen & Fenchel 1974). In a marine anoxic environment, the influx of organic matter can be a controlling factor for the rate of sulfate reduction (Jørgensen 1982).

*Desulfovibrio* spp. are commonly found in marine, estuarine and freshwater environments that are both anaerobic and reducing (Widdel & Pfennig 1984). Although SRB carry out a potentially integral role in the etiology of BBD by producing toxic sulfide, characterization of SRB associated with BBD has been limited to date. SRB in BBD were first postulated to be *Desulfovibrio* spp. in the 1970s (Garrett & Ducklow 1975). This was supported with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analyses using SRB probes and confocal laser microscopy of the BBD microbial community (Schnell et al. 1996). Maximal growth of enrichment cultures of SRB from BBD on hydrogen and lactate, when compared with other electron donors, supported their identification as *Desulfovibrio* spp. (Schnell et al. 1996).

Two studies using culture-independent cloning of the 16S rRNA genes from BBD-associated microbial communities have shown a high diversity of bacteria within the disease consortium (Cooney et al. 2002, Frias-Lopez et al. 2002). These studies identified a variety of *Desulfovibrio* and other sulfate-reducing genera present in BBD infections. Most of the cloned strains were <97.5% similar in sequence homology to the closest related GenBank deposit, and only partial gene sequences were used. Therefore, these results are subject to some sequence bias and are probably not indicative of a strict identification at the species level (Stackebrandt & Goebel 1994). Bacterial strains with >97.5% homology to *Desulfovibrio salexigens* and *Desulfovibrio* sp. strain TBP-1 were found in one survey of partial bacterial sequences cloned from different BBD infections on different coral species in Curacao (Frias-Lopez et al. 2002). No single *Desulfovibrio* species was common to the different infections.

SRB were also found on denuded coral skeleton behind BBD infections (Frias-Lopez et al. 2002). One clone, sequenced from the bacterial community on denuded coral skeleton, had 99% homology to *Desulfovibrio* sp. strain TBP-1. Although multiple *Desulfovibrio* species were found per sample from both BBD and denuded coral skeleton behind the band, no single *Desulfovibrio* species was found on more than 1 infection (Frias-Lopez et al. 2002). Additional clones were reported as <97.5% homologous to *D. zosterae* and *D. alaskensis*, respectively. Clones identified as most closely matching other genera of SRB were also sequenced from denuded coral skeleton behind the band, but again at <97.5% homology, thus not sufficient for a positive identification. These included *Desulfotrichus oceanens* strain Asv26, *Desulfobatrum* sp. strain BG14, *Desulfovibrio halophila*, *Desulforhopalus singaporensis*, *Desulfofrigus* fragile, and *Desulfbacterium* catecholicum (Frias-Lopez et al. 2002).

Perhaps the most obvious explanation for the variation in *Desulfovibrio* species among BBD infections is that SRB fill an ecophysiological niche rather than a species-specific niche in BBD. Given the bias inherent in the techniques used, more *Desulfovibrio* species may, in fact, have been present in the disease band than were cloned or sequenced; thus only a subsample of species present were detected in each sample. While theoretically providing a broad survey of the composition and variability of the BBD community, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based approach employed by these studies has an inherent bias for under-representation of bacteria that may be present at low densities. The question thus remains as to which, if any, microbial components of the disease are conserved between individual BBD infections and among various geographic areas.

The objective of this study was to culture and specifically select for *Desulfovibrio* spp. from different BBD infections on reefs in different regions of the Caribbean. The 16S rRNA gene sequences were used to compare the samples from this study to those found
in previous BBD studies as well as to environmental SRB not associated with BBD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field sampling. Active BBD was sampled in 2 locations in the Florida Keys—Picture Reef in Biscayne National Park (BNP) and Algae Reef in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS)—plus 1 reef in Dominica: Tarou Point (Fig. 1). Six infected corals of 4 coral species, *Diploria clivosa*, *D. labyrinthiformes*, *D. strigosa*, and *Siderastrea siderea*, were sampled (Table 1). BBD samples were collected *in situ* on the reef by a SCUBA diver in July and September 2000. Sampling was conducted using sterile 10 ml syringes to directly collect BBD. Upon return to the boat, samples in sealed syringes were maintained at ambient seawater temperature in coolers with low light, and then transported or shipped to the laboratory for immediate culture.

Enrichment and isolation of sulfate-reducers. The contents of the sampling syringes were inoculated directly into anoxic vials of Sulfate API Broth (Difco Laboratories) made with natural seawater. Prior to autoclaving and inoculation, air was removed from the sealed vials in alternating cycles of vacuum and N$_2$ injected through a syringe apparatus. Media were always reduced prior to inoculation with either titanium NTA or 200 mM H$_2$S (final concentration) until a resazaurin indicator in the media indicated reducing (–Eh) conditions. Sulfate API media contains, per liter of distilled H$_2$O, bacto yeast extract (1.0 g), ascorbic acid (0.1 g), sodium lactate (5.3 g), magnesium sulfate (0.2 g), dipotassium phosphate (0.01 g), ferrous ammonium sulfate (0.1 g), and sodium chloride (10.0 g). Inoculated vials were visually monitored for an intense black FeS precipitate, indicative of bacterial sulfate reduction.

Standard microbiological techniques were used for culture of SRB from BBD. Subsampling of original inoculations and plating were carried out within a Coy anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory Products) containing approximately 90% N$_2$:10% H$_2$ and trace amounts of CO$_2$. Subsamples were inoculated into new vials of Sulfate API Broth. For isolation of individual colonies, agar plates were made with Sulfate API Broth, modified iron sulfate (Postgate 1984), or Postgate’s B media (Postgate 1979), each using filtered (Whatman no. 1) or autoclaved seawater, Instant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling location</th>
<th>Coral species</th>
<th>Culture identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Picture Reef, Biscayne</td>
<td><em>Diploria clivosa</em></td>
<td>BBD-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Park, Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td>BBD-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td><em>D. strigosa</em></td>
<td>BBD-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Siderastrea siderea</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>BBD-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>BBD-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>BBD-11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>BBD-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>BBD-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae Reef, Florida Keys</td>
<td><em>Diploria labyrinthiformis</em></td>
<td>BBD-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Marine Sanctuary, Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Sampling location and host coral species for black band disease (BBD) sulfate-reducing bacteria culturing and sequencing

Fig. 1. Sampling sites (A) in the Florida Keys and (B) on the island of Dominica. BNP: Biscayne National Park; FKNMS: Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary.
Ocean, or distilled water with addition of NaCl. Single colonies producing a strong FeS precipitate were picked using a sterile glass pipette and transferred to Sulfate API Broth. Broth cultures that produced an FeS precipitate were re-inoculated onto modified iron sulfate agar plates and again subsampled into sealed anaerobic vials of Sulfate API Broth prior to removal from the anaerobic chamber.

**DNA extraction and sequencing.** Total DNA was extracted from each SRB culture using a Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories) and was PCR amplified on an MJ Research PTC 200 thermal cylinder. The PCR reaction consisted of 1× buffer; 2.5 mM MgSO₄; 0.25 µM dNTPs; 0.5 µM forward primer; 0.5 µM reverse primer; 5 U µl⁻¹ Tfi or Taq polymerase (Promega); 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA, fraction V) and DEPC H₂O to 20 µl. To each reaction tube, 1 µl DNA was added. Cycling parameters were: 94°C for 2 min; 30 cycles of: 94°C for 2 min, 55°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 30 s; followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Universal bacterial polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers used were [5′→3′] forward primer: FD1 (AGAGTTTGATCCTGATCATG) and reverse primer: RD1 (AAGGAGGTGATCCTGAGCC) (Weisburg et al. 1991), obtained from Gibco Life Technologies. Negative controls contained no DNA. Positive controls included cultures from this study that had previously been amplified with the given primer set, and a known *Desulfovibrio* strain, *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* subspecies *desulfuricans* DSM 1924 (DSMZ – Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen). PCR products were visualized on a 1% agarose gel in TBE buffer stained with ethidium bromide. PCR fragments were purified for sequencing using Qiagen Qiaquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Three primers that were partially sequenced from direct PCR were also cloned to avoid any bias in phylogenetic analysis from culture impurity. In each case the 16S rRNA gene PCR fragment from FD1 to RD1 was cloned using a TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s recommended protocols. The plasmid insert was sequenced with M13F and M13R primers (Invitrogen). Other primers used to sequence the PCR product included: [5′→3′] FD1, 519R (G[G/T]ATTACCGCGGCA G/A/T)GCTG) (Lane et al. 1985), 926F (AAACTYAA AG(A/T)AATTGACGG) (Britschgi & Fallon 1994), and RD1 (Weisburg et al. 1991). Optimal primers were designed using the OLG0 Primer Analysis Software (Molecular Biology Insights) and obtained from Gibco Life Technologies. These were [5′→3′] 514F (CACTG GCGTGA AACG), 1040R (CTCTGTGCGGGGACCTT), and 1056F (AAGTCCCCGCAACAGG). Cycle sequencing was done on an MJ Research PTC 200 using the Big Dye terminator Cycle Sequencing kit version L (Perkin-Elmer) and run on an ABI Prism™ 377 Automated DNA Sequencer (Perkin-Elmer).

**Sequence analysis.** The gene sequences obtained in this study from both cloning and direct-PCR were compared with those in the GenBank database using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Only cloned sequences obtained in this study were used for phylogenetic comparisons. Twenty-eight sequences of *Desulfovibrio* spp. and 8 sequences of delta proteobacterium were extracted from GenBank and used in phylogenetic comparisons. Seven sequences of SRB were also included for use as outgroups. Many of these sequences were chosen because they were homologous to sequences from this study or to sequences obtained in previous BBD molecular studies (Cooney et al. 2002, Frias-Lopez et al. 2002). Others were chosen as a random sample of complete or nearly complete *Desulfovibrio* spp. 16S rRNA gene sequences present in the GenBank database. In addition, 8 species of unidentified bacterial 16S rRNA genes from previous molecular studies of BBD and from bacteria found on denuded coral adjacent to the band were extracted from GenBank based on the published results of Cooney et al. (2002) and Frias-Lopez et al. (2002). All 16S rRNA gene sequences that were the most homologous to *Desulfovibrio* found in BBD infections in this study and in other BBD studies (Cooney et al. 2002, Frias-Lopez et al. 2002) were compared to *Desulfovibrio* sequences in GenBank.

*Desulfovibrio* spp. sequences were aligned on ClustalX (Thompson et al. 1997) with the cloned sequences obtained from this study. Alignments were visually checked on both ClustalX and MacClade (Maddison & Maddison 2000). Analyses and tree construction were done using PAUP 4.0 (Swofford 1999). Heuristic search parameters were as follows: starting trees were obtained via neighbor-joining, sequences were added as-is, keeping best trees only, and the tree-bisection-recombination (TBR) branch swapping algorithm and steepest descent were used. Bootstrap support was obtained for 1000 replicates. Outgroups were assigned to be species other than *Desulfo vibrio*. These included *Desulfofrigus fragile Desulfobotulus* sp. BG14, *Desulfuri cans oceanense* strain Asv26, *Desulfolocella halophila*, *Desulfo bacterium catecholicum*, and *Desulforhopalus singaporensis*. A neighbor-joining algorithm with a Jukes-Cantor distance measure was used.

Reference 16S rDNA sequences extracted from the GenBank database used in phylogenetic analysis follow: (1) *Desulfovibrio* spp.: *Desulfovibrio*. sp. D1 A318380, *Desulfovibrio* sp. D4 AF192155, *Desulfovibrio* sp. FD1 AJ251631, *Desulfovibrio* sp. strain KH2 X93147, *Desulfovibrio* sp. Met 82 AY062930, *Desulfovibrio* sp. PCP1 AF194417, *Desulfovibrio* sp. TBP-1 AF090830, *Desulfovibrio* sp. ‘Bendigo A’ AF131234, *Desulfovibrio* sp. strain STL 1 X99501, *D. acrylicus* U32578, *D. aespo-
ensis X95230, D. africanaus X99236, D. alaskensis Y11984, D. alcoholovorans AF053751, D. aminophilus AF067964, D. desulfuricans subsp. desulfuricans strain Essex 6 AF192153, D. desulfuricans subsp. desulfuricans strain MB AF192154, D. faerberiensis U42221, D. gabbennisi U31080, D. giganteus AF418170, D. halophilus U48243, D. indenensis Y99054, D. magneticus D43944, D. mexicancense AF227984, D. oryzae AF273083, D. profundus AF418172, D. salxigensis M34401, D. vietnamen sis X93994, D. zosterae Y18049. (2) Other bacterial species: CD12A12 AF441965, CD13B10 AF441876, delta proteobacterium RS15 AJ289743, delta proteobacterium RS64 AJ289756, SRB LZK1 AF216645, uncultured bacterium 128-3-12 AF473924, uncultured delta proteobacterium 128-11 AF473908, uncultured delta proteobacterium 128-12 AF473909, uncultured delta proteobacterium 34-25 AF473968, Desulfobotulus sp. BG14 U58470, Desulfolocella halophila AF022936, Desulfolobacterium catecholicum AJ237602, Desulfolirigus fragile AF099065, Desulfotrigus oceanense strain ASV26 AF099064, Desulforhopalus singaporensis AF118453

RESULTS

Successful enrichment cultures of SRB from BBD turned black after approximately 2 to 3 d, indicating the precipitation of FeS. The best culture results were obtained using modified iron sulfate media in natural seawater. Although every BBD sample inoculated into enrichment media eventually indicated sulfate-reduction, the limiting factor for this study was the appearance of single colonies for selection. Although a variety of BBD bacteria grew on the media, only single black colonies were selected on solid media for transfer to new media. Ten cultures were used in this study.

The 16S rRNA gene sequences were obtained from 3 cloned isolates, and 8 sequences were obtained directly from the PCR product from cultures. Of the 3 cloned 16S rRNA gene sequences, 2 nearly complete sequences were obtained. When aligned, both of these (BBD-3.1 from Biscayne National Park and BBD-11.2 from Dominica, cultured from Diploria clivosa and Siderastrea siderea, respectively; see Table 1) were 99% homologous to Desulfovibrio sp. TBP-1, and 98% homologous to D. acrylicus and Desulfovibrio sp. PCP-1 (Table 2). BBD-3.1 from this study was very closely related to 2 partial bacterial sequences cloned from BBD in a previous study (Frias-Lopez et al. 2002) and deposited as CD12A12 and CD13B10 (Fig. 2). BBD-13.1 showed a lower degree of relatedness to the same group. The BLAST result for the 3rd cloned 633 bp sequence (BBD-13.1 cultured from BBD on S. siderea in Dominica) was 96% homologous to Desulfovibrio sp. TBP-1, and 95% homologous to D. acrylicus (Table 2). BBD-11.2, D. acrylicus, and Desulfovibrio sp. strain TBP-1 grouped separately, but with a low degree of support to each other (Fig. 2). The degree of separation between the cluster containing BBD-11.2, D. acrylicus, and Desulfovibrio sp. strain TBP-1 and that containing BBD-3.1, 13.1, CD12A12, and CD12B13 remains an unresolved poltomy. This is indicative of the lack of resolution within this genus when using the 16S rRNA gene as a phylogenetic marker.

Sequencing directly from PCR products yielded 8 partial 16S rRNA gene sequences from SRB cultures of 6 different BBD infections from 4 different coral species. Six of these sequences, representing 5 out of 6 infections sampled, revealed >97% homology in a BLAST search to 2 uncultured delta proteobacterium clones, CD13B10 and CD12A12, both cloned from BBD on Montastrea cavernosa in Curaçao by Frias-Lopez et al. (2002). Only 1 BBD sample, BBD-15 cultured from BBD on Siderastrea siderea in Dominica, did not yield a SRB with a high degree of homology (<97.5%) to Desulfovibrio spp. in GenBank. No relationship was supported between infected coral species and BBD SRB species cultured. The partial sequences obtained in this study from direct PCR were not used in phylogenetic analysis to avoid compounding bias from trying to extrapolate relatedness of short sequences to longer or more complete sequences.

DISCUSSION

The use of culture techniques to assess microorganisms in natural samples vastly underestimates microbial community diversity (Furhman & Campbell 1998). Possibly as few as ≤1% of bacteria present in nature can be cultured (Hicks et al. 1992). In this study, selective culturing techniques were used to specifically enrich for BBD SRB with similar growth requirements (i.e. members of the genus Desulfovibrio) from different BBD infections. Although this approach may have artificially selected for Desulfovibrio spp. found at background levels in BBD infections, perhaps at low levels not necessarily detectable by molecular survey techniques, we believe that this approach addresses the hypothesis that individual Desulfovibrio spp. specifically fill an ecological niche within BBD in different infections. Additional species of SRB may also be important in the BBD microbial consortium, but were not assessed in this study. We found the presence of bacterial species with a high degree of homology to the same Desulfovibrio species, cultured from both Florida Keys samples and from Dominica samples as well as sequenced from a sample in Curaçao (Frias-Lopez et al. 2002). Thus our results suggest that this species may be com-
mon to the BBD microbial community and may play the role of a required BBD consortium member.

The *Desulfovibrio* cultures obtained in this study were presumably able to outcompete other SRB present because of the provision of much higher levels of lactate as opposed to yeast extract. Use of lactate as a preferred carbon source is characteristic of the genus *Desulfovibrio*. Although the yeast extract present in the media is an additional or alternative carbon source, it was present in a much lower amount and thus would not confer a selective advantage for growth of additional BBD sulfate-reducing genera that may be present. Presumably, a wide variety of carbon compounds are produced during the lysis of coral tissue that may potentially be used by representatives of the different genera of sulfate-reducers.

In this study we obtained 10 cultures of SRB from 6 different BBD infections, from 3 different reefs in different areas of the wider Caribbean. All but 2 of the cultures had 16S rRNA gene sequences (partial to almost complete) with >97.5% homologies to *Desulfovibrio* sp. TBP-1 and *D. acrylicus* (Table 2). Six of these sequences were also highly homologous to the uncultured delta proteobacterium clones CD13B10 and CD12A12, both obtained from BBD (Frias-Lopez et al. 2002). Although 2 of our 10 sequences, both from the same *Siderastrea siderea* infection in Dominica, were most homologous to *Desulfovibrio* sp. TBP-1 and *D. acrylicus*, they had <97.5% homology (Stackebrandt & Goebel 1994).

Only partial sequences were obtained from direct PCR sequencing from 8 of our 10 cultures, and in 1 of the 3 clones analyzed in this study. Therefore, direct comparisons of our results to those of the earlier studies (Cooney et al. 2002, Frias-Lopez et al. 2002) are complicated by the fact that the location of the

#### Table 2. 16S rRNA gene sequence homologies from BBD sulfate-reducing bacteria. Both full and partial sequences were used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample identification</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>No. of bases</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
<th>% homology</th>
<th>Source of GenBank sequence with highest homology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBD-2</td>
<td>FD1</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>AY907525</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD13B10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD1</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>AY907526</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD12A12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-3.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>AY750147</td>
<td>99</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-6</td>
<td>FD1</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>AY907527</td>
<td>98–99</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD13B10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD1</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>DQ020004</td>
<td>97–99</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD12A12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97–99</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-10</td>
<td>FD1</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>AY907528</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD13B10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>AY907529</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD12A12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96–99</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95–98</td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-11</td>
<td>FD1</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>AY907530</td>
<td>96–99</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>519R</td>
<td>421</td>
<td></td>
<td>95–98</td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-11.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>AY750148</td>
<td>99</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-13.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>M13</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>AY750149</td>
<td>96</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-15</td>
<td>519R</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>AY907531</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Delta proteobacterium RS64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-16</td>
<td>FD1</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>AY907532</td>
<td>98–99</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD13B10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD1</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>AY907533</td>
<td>98–99</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD12A12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>926F</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>AY907534</td>
<td>97–99</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-19</td>
<td>RD1</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>AY907535</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD13B10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD12A12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBD-22</td>
<td>FD1</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>AY907536</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD13B10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Uncultured delta proteobacterium clone CD12A12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td><em>Desulfovibrio</em> sp. strain TBP-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. acrylicus</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Sequence was cloned. All other sequences were obtained from PCR products

<sup>b</sup>This sequence was also identified in other BBD samples reported in Frias-Lopez et al. (2002)
sequences of the 16S rRNA gene may not overlap with the exact sequence locations in each study. For example, the SRB BBD-15 (Table 2) was sequenced using primer 519R (thus sequencing more toward the 5’ end of the gene), and therefore was not comparable to the sequences of the 3’ end from the uncultured delta proteobacteria (CD12A12 and CD13B10) cloned from BBD by Frias-Lopez et al. (2002). This lack of overlap is the reason why partial sequences obtained from direct PCR were not included in phylogenetic analysis. Therefore, even though all 3 of these sequences had a high degree of homology to Desulfovibrio sp. TBP-1 and D. acrylicus we cannot conclude that each partial sequence represents the same species because the sequences were located in different regions of the 16S rRNA genes.

An alternative aspect of using partial gene sequence data to study microbial communities is the problem of ubiquitous species that may be routinely identified, but falsely interpreted as important constituents in the niche being studied. For example, both Desulfovibrio sp. TBP-1 and D. acrylicus that were originally
Desulfovibrio sp. TBP-1 and D. acrylicus share 98.9% sequence homology to each other, and both were implicated as important, and perhaps common, members of the BBD microbial consortium. However, these 2 species have different physiological characteristics. D. acrylicus, unlike Desulfovibrio sp. strain TBP-1, can reduce acrylate but cannot grow on lactate and acrylate with >4% NaCl in growth media. Desulfovibrio sp. TBP-1, unlike D. acrylicus, can also grow on fumarate and sulfate (Boyle et al. 1999). This illustrates another limitation of the exclusive use of 16S rRNA gene sequences for identification and analysis of microbial communities in that when more than one species is implicated as present, knowledge of the physiological properties of known species should be considered in interpreting the molecular data. Within this study, clones and PCR products of samples were analyzed in terms of homology to only the 16S rRNA gene. Sequence homology to functional or physiologically related genes would provide more information to more accurately identify species present. The lack of resolution at so many branches in the phylogenetic tree further indicates the lack of resolution of the 16S rRNA gene for this genus. However, the 16S rRNA gene was targeted to allow comparison of our results to other studies of BBD SRB.

Other factors to consider in these types of studies, in particular when different research groups find significant differences in species homologies and low levels of bootstrap support, could be based on the inherent biases of the phylogenetic methods used. The Jukes-Cantor distance analysis has the underlying assumption that all bases are equally likely to vary at the same rate (Gillespie 1986). Given this, phylogenetic bootstrap support and evolutionary branch lengths are likely overestimates of actual values. Parsimony-based methods also underestimate rate variation (Yang 1996). None of the phylogenetic analyses of Desulfovibrio spp. (Devereux et al. 1990, Rooney-Varga et al. 1998, Castro et al. 2000) appear to have taken into account the rate variation of specific base sites with regard to rRNA structure, even though such variation considerably influences evolution (Yang 1996).

In this study, only limited phylogenetic clustering was found between non-BBD related Desulfovibrio species and those found in (or highly homologous to those found in) BBD. In addition, no relationships were shown between Desulfovibrio species from BBD and the species of coral infected. Two Desulfovibrio spp. cultured in this study, BBD-3.1 and BBD-13.1 (Fig. 2), were closely related to 2 clones from a BBD infection on a Montastraea cavernosa in Curacao (Frias-Lopez et al. 2002). However, this group clustered separately (although via an unresolved polytomy) from the cluster including D. acrylicus, Desulfovibrio sp. D1, Desulfovibrio sp. strain TBP-1, BBD-11.2 from this study, and the non-BBD delta proteobacterium RS15, despite high degrees of sequence homology.

In summary, we found evidence that BBD may contain specific SRB species common to BBD infections both on different coral hosts and in different regions of the wider Caribbean. While our findings support the hypothesis that SRB may be filling an ecological niche in BBD, and that there is no coral species specificity, our results can also be interpreted as evidence that there is in fact microbial species specificity. We believe that additional physiological studies aimed at culturing specific functional groups, genera, or even species, supplemented by molecular studies targeting functional gene expression, must be carried out to support BBD microbial community assessment using PCR. Another promising approach is use of real time PCR to quantify the number of microbial species present. Only in this way can we determine which microbial species are functionally important in the natural environment.
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