Development of in situ hybridization and RT-PCR assay for the detection of a nodavirus (PvNV) that causes muscle necrosis in Penaeus vannamei
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ABSTRACT: A nodavirus (tentatively named PvNV, Penaeus vannamei nodavirus) that causes muscle necrosis in P. vannamei was found in Belize in 2004. From 2004 to 2006, shrimp samples collected from Belize exhibited clinical signs, white, opaque lesions in the tails and histopathology similar to those of shrimps infected by infectious myonecrosis virus (IMNV). Histological examination revealed multifocal necrosis and hemocytic fibrosis in the skeletal muscle. In addition, basophilic, cytoplasmic inclusions were found in striated muscle, lymphoid organ and connective tissues. However, IMNV was not detected in these shrimps by either RT-PCR or in situ hybridization, suggesting that these lesions may be caused by another RNA virus. Thus, a cDNA library was constructed from total RNA extracted from hemolymph collected from infected shrimp. One clone (designated PvNV-4) with a 928 bp insert was sequenced and found to be similar (69% similarity when comparing the translated amino acid sequences) to the capsid protein gene of MrNV (Macrobrachium rosenbergii nodavirus). The insert of PvNV-4 was labeled with digoxigenin-11-deoxyuridine triphosphate (dUTP) and hybridized to tissue sections of P. vannamei with muscle necrosis collected in Belize and from laboratory bioassays. The samples were positive for PvNV infection. Positively reacting tissues included skeletal muscle, connective tissues, the lymphoid organ, and hemocytes in the heart and gills. In addition, we experimentally infected both P. vannamei and P. monodon with PvNV prepared from Belize samples. A nested RT-PCR assay developed from the PvNV-4 cloned sequence showed that both species are susceptible to PvNV infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Muscle necrosis in shrimp often results in the appearance of white and opaque lesions in the tail muscle in response to environmental factors, such as sudden changes in temperature, salinity, or other stress (Lightner 1988). Shrimp culture techniques have greatly improved in recent years and stress-related muscle necrosis is now quickly identified and properly managed to reduce production losses. During the past few years, virus infection has also been found to cause muscle necrosis in cultured shrimp. An example is MrNV (Macrobrachium rosenbergii nodavirus) infection in freshwater prawns (Arcier et al. 1999, Qian et al. 2003, Bonami et al. 2005, Shekhar et al. 2006), causing a disease referred to as white tail disease. Another virus that infects marine shrimp is IMNV (infectious myonecrosis virus) (Poulos et al. 2006). Both viral infections lead to mortalities, up to 100% from MrNV infection and 20 to 60% for IMNV (Nunes et al. 2004, Tang et al. 2005).

In 2004, another virus that causes muscle necrosis was found in Penaeus vannamei cultured in Belize. Infection with this virus resulted in a 50% reduction in production in some ponds at the affected farm. Through cDNA synthesis, cloning and sequencing, we identified the virus as a nodavirus that is related to...
MrNV. To provide diagnostic tools, we have developed an in situ hybridization method and a nested RT-PCR assay which are specific for *P. vannamei* nodavirus (PvNV).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Shrimp, PvNV isolate, generation of inoculum and infected tissues.** Shrimp taxonomy was according to Holthius (1980). The PvNV-infected tissues used in this study was obtained in 2005 in Belize from farm-raised *Penaeus vannamei* that were exhibiting signs of muscle necrosis. The inoculum was made from the heads of these shrimp, which were stored frozen at −70°C at the University of Arizona. Soft tissues were minced, homogenized in TN buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 400 mM NaCl, pH 7.4), and clarified by centrifugation at 3000 × g for 30 min. The tissue homogenate was aliquoted and stored at −70°C. Prior to injection, the tissue homogenate was diluted (v/v 1:20) with 2% saline, filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane, and injected into the muscle of specific-pathogen-free (SPF) *P. vannamei* Kona stock, Oceanic Institute, Hawaii, Wyban et al. (1992). To generate the infected tissues for feeding experiments, the inoculated shrimp were maintained in seawater at 26 to 28°C for 4 wk. At termination, shrimp were collected and stored at −70°C. Samples of the cephalothoraces were fixed in Davidson’s alcohol formalin acetic acid (AFA) fixative for 24 h, then changed to 70% ethanol according to the procedures of Bell & Lightner (1988). Paraffin sections were stained with Mayer-Bennet haematoxylin and eosin-phloxine (H&E). Histological examination was used to confirm that shrimp were infected with PvNV only. Shrimp sampled for electron microscopic study were preserved in 6% phosphate-buffered glutaraldehyde and processed for examination as described in Bonnami et al. (1992). The sections were mounted on the grids and examined under a Philips CM12 electron microscope.

Since 2004, shrimp with white tails have been collected from the Belize farm, fixed in Davidson’s AFA solution and sent to our laboratory. These samples were processed for H&E staining and histological examination.

**Experimental infection of PvNV in Penaeus vannamei and P. monodon.**

Two species of penaeid shrimp were used for the experimental infection study, viz. SPF *P. vannamei* (Kona stock, Oceanic Institute) and *P. monodon* (Moana Technologies, Hawaii; shrimp were tested at our laboratory and demonstrated to be free of the major shrimp pathogens listed by the Office International des Epizooties). For the injection study, 20 individuals of each species (2 g mean weight) were injected with a PvNV inoculum as described above. A volume of 100 µl of inoculum was injected into each shrimp. They were then maintained on a pelleted ration (Rangen 35%) for 4 wk. At the end of the bioassay, shrimp were sampled for histological examination, in situ hybridization analysis and RT-PCR (Table 1). For the time-course study of *P. monodon*, 15 shrimp (2 g mean weight) were injected with PvNV inoculum, then maintained on a pelleted ration. Two shrimp were sacrificed at each time point (2 h, Days 1, 2, 3, 5, 10 and 15), and hemolymph and pleopods were sampled for RNA extraction with a High Pure RNA tissue kit (Roche) followed by RT-PCR analysis.

For per os infection, 20 *Penaeus vannamei* (0.1 g mean weight) and 30 *P. monodon* (0.1 g mean weight) individuals were fed minced PvNV-infected tissues, generated as described above, at 10% of total body weight once daily for 5 d. Thereafter, the shrimp were maintained on a pelleted ration for 4 wk. At the end of the bioassay, shrimp were sampled for nested RT-PCR analysis (Table 1).

**Synthesis and cloning of PvNV cDNA.** Total RNA was extracted from the hemolymph of PvNV-infected shrimp using TRIzol LS (Invitrogen). These shrimp were generated through injection infection as described above. The cDNA was synthesized using the SuperScript Choice system (Invitrogen) and ligated into pSport 1 (Invitrogen) plasmid. The recombinant plasmids were transformed into competent *Escherichia coli* DH5α cells, and clones containing cDNA inserts were selected by colony-PCR with the procedure described by Tang et al. (2005). PCR amplicons were purified and then sequenced at the University of Arizona sequencing facility.

**Probe labeling and in situ hybridization.** One cDNA clone (PvNV-4) with a 928 bp insert (GenBank acces-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of challenge</th>
<th>Histology/ISH</th>
<th>RT-PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. vannamei</em></td>
<td>Positive/Positive</td>
<td>Positive (1-step, 9/9*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Positive (1- and 2-step, 3/5*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per os</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. monodon</em></td>
<td>Negative/Negative</td>
<td>Positive (1-step, 5/5*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Positive (1- and 2-step, 3/5*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per os</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number positive out of number of shrimp tested*
sion no. EF137180) was selected for generation of a probe for in situ hybridization (ISH). The gene probe was labeled with digoxigenin-11-deoxyuridine triphosphate (dUTP) (Roche) in a PCR reaction according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primers used for the labeling reaction were \( \text{Pr}^3\text{NV883F} \) (5’-AACAAACAATCGTGGAAC-3’) and \( \text{Pr}^3\text{NV883R} \) (5’-TACCAGCCTGTGACTGGAAA-3’) that generated a 883 bp amplicon. Following PCR, the digoxigenin-labeled DNA probe was precipitated with ethanol, re-suspended in water, and stored at –20°C.

The Davidson’s AFA-fixed shrimp were processed, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned (4 µm thick) in accordance with standard methods (Lightner 1996). After deparaffinization, hydration, Proteinase K digestion, and post-fixation, sections were overlaid with 250 µl of hybridization solution (4 × SSC, 50% formamide, 1 × Denhardt’s solution, 5% dextran sulfate, 0.5 µg ml–1 salmon sperm DNA) containing the \( \text{Pr}^3\text{NV} \) probe (0.2 µg ml–1), and hybridized overnight at 42°C. Final detection was performed with anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Roche) that was visualized using nitroblue tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate.

**RESULTS**

**Histology and clinical signs of \( \text{Pr}^3\text{NV} \) infection**

Histological examination of samples of *Penaeus vannamei* with white tails sent from a shrimp farm in Belize showed multifocal necrosis in the skeletal muscle accompanied by hemocytic congestion, fibrocytic inflammation, and phagocytosis (Fig. 1A). Basophilic inclusions were found within the muscle fibers (Fig. 1B) and in the lymphoid organ (Fig. 1C). In addition, lymphoid organ spheroids were observed. The major histopathological characteristics presented by these Belize shrimp were very similar to those associated with infection by IMNV. However, we carried out ISH and RT-PCR with the Davidson’s fixed and alcohol preserved samples, and IMNV was not detected.

To determine whether another infectious agent was causing the IMNV-like muscle necrosis, an inoculum was prepared from the frozen samples and injected into SPF *Penaeus vannamei* in a laboratory bioassay. At Day 14 after injection, the shrimp showed white, opaque lesions in the tail muscle (Fig. 2). Histo-
logical examination confirmed the presence of muscle necrosis and lymphoid organ spheroids, indicating that the muscle necrosis was caused by an infectious agent.

**Ultrastructure of PvNV**

Transmission electron microscopy examination of the lymphoid organ sections from the infected *Penaeus vannamei* showed the presence of intracytoplasmic inclusions with paracrystalline arrays of the virus particles (Fig. 3). These particles are nodavirus-like and approximately 22 nm (n = 20) in diameter.

**Cloning of PvNV genomic cDNA**

The presence of intracytoplasmic inclusions suggested that this agent may be a RNA virus. We constructed a cDNA library from RNA extracted from the hemolymph of infected shrimp. One clone (designated as *Pv*NV-4) containing a 928 bp insert was sequenced and analyzed using Blastn at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) web site (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast), but no significant matches were found. However, a search with Blastp found that the translated amino acid sequence (243 out of the 309 aa) had a 69% similarity and an expect value (E) of $2 \times 10^{-65}$ to the amino acid sequence of *Mr*NV (GenBank NP_919038) (Fig. 4). This result indicated that the Belize agent was most likely a nodavirus, and it was tentatively named *Pv*NV. The viral insert contained in the *Pv*NV-4 clone was located within the capsid protein-coding region.

**In situ hybridization of PvNV**

The insert of *Pv*NV-4 was labeled with digoxigenin and used to detect *Pv*NV in situ in the infected *Penaeus vannamei* generated from the laboratory bioassays. Basophilic inclusions were found in the connective tissues (Fig. 5A), which reacted intensely to the probe (Fig. 5B). The probe appears to be highly specific. No reaction was seen in any of the tissues prepared from healthy, uninfected shrimp or from those infected with IMNV, white spot syndrome virus (WSSV), infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV), Taura syndrome virus (TSV), or yellow head virus (YHV). Other tissues reacting to the probe included the lymphoid organ, heart, gills, and skeletal muscle in the tail (Fig. 5C–F). In lymphoid organ tubules (Fig. 5C), the probe reacted most intensely in the outermost layer of stromal matrix cells; the central endothe-
Experimental infection and PvNV RT-PCR assay

By laboratory injection and per os challenge, both *Penaeus monodon* and *P. vannamei* were susceptible to *PvNV* infection. After injection, 50% of the *P. vannamei* showed white tails by Day 11 post-injection, but there were no mortalities throughout the 4 wk bioassay. Histological examination and ISH confirmed skeletal muscle necrosis (Table 1). Injected *P. monodon* did not display white tails or muscle necrosis. Although ISH tests were also negative, *PvNV* was detected by RT-PCR (see below).

A nested RT-PCR assay showed that *Penaeus monodon* and *P. vannamei* were infected with *PvNV* from either injection or per os exposure (Fig. 6, Table 1). In the injection challenges, samples from each species were all strongly positive after the 1-step of RT-PCR (Fig. 6, lanes 1 and 2). The shrimp from the per os exposure showed much weaker RT-PCR reactions (Fig. 6, lanes 3 and 5). Stronger bands were observed after the 2-step PCR (Fig. 6, lanes 4 and 6). In the per os challenge, only 3 out of 5 sampled shrimp from each species were positive (Table 1). No mortality was observed in per os challenge bioassays with either species.

In the time-course study with *Penaeus monodon*, *PvNV* was detected 2 h after injection, and the level decreased thereafter until Day 3 in pleopods samples and Day 5 in hemolymph samples (Fig. 6B). From these points on, the level of *PvNV* increased continuously until the end of experiment (Day 15).

The nested RT-PCR appeared to be specific for *PvNV*, because it did not cross react with either IMNV, YHV, or TSV. In infected shrimp, *PvNV* was detected in RNA extracted from various tissues including pleopods, head soft tissues, and hemolymph.

**DISCUSSION**

White tail disease in penaeid shrimp can be caused by either IMNV or *PvNV*. Both viruses target primarily the skeletal muscle and result in very similar gross signs, namely a white or opaque tail. Histologically, the diseases are almost indistinguishable, and both are characterized by muscle necrosis and the formation of prominent lymphoid organ spheroids. However, there is a difference in the mortality caused by these 2 viruses. *PvNV* appeared to be less virulent than IMNV, which causes 20% mortality in laboratory-infected *Penaeus vannamei* (Tang et al. 2005). In contrast, *PvNV* did not cause mortality in *P. vannamei* in laboratory infections. Our results also showed that *PvNV* infection was not found in all the per os challenged shrimp; only 3 out of 5 shrimp tested positive by nested RT-PCR. It may be that *PvNV* is a slowly replicating virus, and hence, a 4 wk bioassay period...
may not be sufficient for the infection to reach a level that can be detected by nested RT-PCR. Nevertheless, higher levels of PrNV infection can be found in farm samples, as in the 5 representative samples that tested positive by in situ hybridization. These shrimp were larger (over 10 g), and it is likely that they had been infected with PrNV for a longer period of time. For *P. monodon*, the time-course study showed an increasing level of PrNV 5 d after injection throughout the bioassay, indicating that PrNV was replicating in *P. monodon*. The PrNV present in the inoculum was decreasing by Days 3 to 5; the RT-PCR signals detected at Days 10, 15, and 30 after injection were not from the inoculum.

White tail disease found in the freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* is caused by *MrNV*, a nodavirus. PrNV is related to MrNV based on the similarity of their genome sequences and in histopathology. MrNV was first discovered in Guadeloupe in 1997 (Arcier et al. 1999) and was later found in China, India and Thailand (Qian et al. 2003, Sahul Hameed et al. 2004a, Yonganandhan et al. 2006). MrNV can cause severe mortality (up to 100%) in the post-larval and juvenile stages of freshwater prawns. MrNV is associ-
ated with a satellite virus XSV (extra small virus) (Qian et al. 2003, Sri Widada & Bonami 2004). But the role of XSV in the white tail disease is not clear.

MrNV and associated XSV can infect adult *M. rosenbergii*, but in adults, it does not cause mortality (Sahul Hameed et al. 2004b).

Although *PrNV* did not cause mortality in the laboratory bioassays, it appears to affect survival in grow-out ponds. *PrNV*-related mortality is sporadic, often associated with environmental stress, such as crowding and high temperature. When the shrimp are stocked at a high density (>50 m⁻²), or when the temperature is >32°C, survival in *PrNV*-infected ponds decreases to 40%, with an increase of the food conversion ratio from means of around 1.50 to 3.63. In one Belize farm, survival was reduced from an average of 74% in 2003 (before *PrNV* was detected) to 50% after the appearance of *PrNV* in 2004 (i.e. an estimated 50% production loss for the infected farm). If the infection is not monitored, it may lead to spread of *PrNV* to other farms or countries, and could result in significant production losses in those areas.

Here we report the development of 2 methods for the detection of *PrNV*, viz. ISH and nested RT-PCR. The ISH method can be used to distinguish between *PrNV* and IMNV infections, because the respective probes are not cross-reactive. The nested RT-PCR can be used as a routine diagnostic tool to detect *PrNV* infection that does not cause severe mortality in *Penaeus vannamei*, or where there are no clinical signs or histological lesions, as in the case of infections in *P. monodon*.
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