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Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in the live frog trade of Telmatobius (Anura: Ceratophryidae) in the tropical Andes
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ABSTRACT: Species of frogs in the genus Telmatobius are traded and sold for human consumption in the Andes and in coastal cities of Peru and Bolivia. These frogs are harvested from wild populations. We report high prevalence of infection by the pathogenic fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in live frogs purchased at the main market in Cusco, Peru, from January 2008 to January 2010. We suggest that the transport of native anurans through the live frog trade could facilitate the spread of this fungus among Andean frogs. Because many neotropical taxa are known to be susceptible to chytridiomycosis, the presence of a large reservoir of infection in the frog trade poses a significant threat to amphibian conservation.
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INTRODUCTION

The emerging infectious disease chytridiomycosis, caused by the fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd), has been linked to amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide (Berger et al. 1998, Longcore et al. 1999, Lips et al. 2006). This fungus infects the skin of amphibians, causing osmotic imbalance leading to cardiac arrest (Voyles et al. 2009). Amphibian species differ in their vulnerability and resistance to chytridiomycosis (Alford & Richards 1999, Daszak et al. 2003, Woodhams et al. 2007), but this disease often causes catastrophic and quick declines of species-rich amphibian faunas (Lips et al. 2006).

Neotropical montane amphibians have declined or collapsed over the past 3 decades (Lips 1998, Bustamante et al. 2005, La Marca et al. 2005, Catenazzi et al. in press). In at least one case in Central America, Bd caused the collapse of a highly diverse amphibian fauna on a single mountain (22 of 46 species disappeared; Lips et al. 2006). Little is known about how Bd is spreading, but Lips et al. (2008) proposed that the temporal pattern of these declines reflects the epidemic spread of Bd from multiple sites of introduction in Central and South America. According to this hypothesis, Bd began infecting amphibians in the Andes of Peru and Bolivia around the year 2000, causing local and regional species extirpations. In support of this hypothesis, Bd has recently been discovered in this region in a number of amphibian species (Seimon et al. 2007, Barrionuevo et al. 2008, Venegas et al. 2008). Moreover, Catenazzi et al. (in press) reported Bd in 16 frog species surveyed between 2008 and 2010 in southeastern Peru.

The mechanism for the introduction and spread of Bd is still unknown, but one theory that has been proposed is that Bd is spread by live trade in amphibians for human consumption (Daszak et al. 2003, Weldon et al. 2004, Schloegel et al. 2009). Most of the attention has so far been focused on farming and trade in Litho-
*bates catesbeianus* (Ranidae; Daszak et al. 2004, Garner et al. 2006). This species is known to carry *Bd*, yet it is not known to die from chytridiomycosis, and is raised in farms around the world, including in South America (Mazzoni et al. 2003, Hanselmann et al. 2004, FAO 2009: www.fao.org/fishery/topic/2017/en). In this paper we investigate whether trade in native Andean species (captured in the wild, but traded alive) could be a source of *Bd* for wild populations.

Andean frogs in the genera *Telmatobius* and *Batrachophrynus* are traded in montane and coastal cities for food and because of presumed magical or medicinal properties (Lehr 2000, Angulo 2008a). The frogs are sold live, freshly skinned and degutted, dried, or prepared in frog ‘shakes,’ cooked in soups, or fried (Angulo 2008a). Presumed medicinal properties of frogs include treatment of neurological disorders or symptoms, anemia, prostate problems, menopausal disorders, and asthma in Cusco (Angulo 2008a) and treatment of respiratory diseases, arthritis, diabetes, frigidity, and hair loss, as well as aphrodisiac action, in Lima (Lehr 2000).

Peru and Bolivia harbor the highest diversity of *Telmatobius* species (Lehr 2005). These frogs are threatened by habitat destruction and modification, contamination from mining and agricultural practices, harvesting, introduced fish, and disease (Angulo 2008b, De la Riva & Lavilla 2008, von May et al. 2008). The 3 *Telmatobius* spp. known from Ecuador were extirpated in the late 1980s and early 1990s and are now presumed to be extinct (Merino-Viteri et al. 2005). Symptoms of chytridiomycosis were observed in the last *Telmatobius* specimens collected in this region (Merino-Viteri et al. 2005). Chytridiomycosis has since been implicated in population declines of *T. marmoratus* from Peru (Seimon et al. 2007) and 2 *Telmatobius* spp. from Argentina (Barrionuevo & Mangione 2006).

We propose that the trade of live *Telmatobius* frogs could facilitate the spread of *Bd* among wild populations in the tropical Andes. Wild *Telmatobius* frogs are harvested from lakes and streams and transported live to markets located up to hundreds of km away from their collecting sites. *T. culeus*, for example, is transported over several hundred km from Lake Titicaca to the main city market in Cusco in southern Peru (Angulo 2008a) and all the way to Lima (Lehr 2000). Here we report data on the prevalence of infection and zoospore load of *Bd* in *T. marmoratus* frogs sold live for human consumption in Cusco.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We visited the San Pedro market in Cusco, Peru (13°31′16″S, 71°58′57″W, 3410 m above sea level) on 30 January 2008, 11 October 2008, 16 February 2009, and 3 January 2010. During each visit, we purchased 5 live adult *Telmatobius marmoratus* (the only species visibly on sale at the market during our visits) from a stand at the Cusco central market (there was only one stand operating during the course of our study). In addition, in 2009, the vendor donated skins of 9 recently skinned adults, which we also utilized in this study.

Live frogs (~20 to 30 ind. per container) were housed in 10 l plastic buckets filled with water (Fig. 1). The vendor was beheading, skinning, and gutting frogs for customers during visits; recently deceased, skinned frogs ready for consumption were also available at the stand. We recorded price, snout–vent length (SVL), body mass, and sex of each frog. We housed live frogs individually in plastic bags and swabbed them shortly after purchase. We used frog skin swabs and a real-time PCR assay to quantify *Bd* prevalence and infection intensity (Boyle et al. 2004). Swabs (MW113, Medical Wire and Equipment) were stroked across a frog’s skin in a standardized way: 5 strokes on each side of the abdominal midline, 5 strokes on the inner thigh of each hind leg, and 5 strokes on the foot webbing of each hind leg. Swabs were air dried in the field and stored individually in labeled microcentrifuge tubes before PCR analysis. We used standard DNA extraction and real-time PCR methods (Boyle et al. 2004, Hyatt et al. 2007), except that swab extracts were analyzed in singlicate instead of triplicate (Kriger et al. 2005).
We defined infection intensity as the number of ‘zoospore equivalents’ per swab (Z\text{swab}). Zoospore equivalents were calculated by multiplying the genomic equivalent values generated during the real-time PCR by 80; this multiplication accounts for the fact that DNA extracts from swabs were diluted 80-fold during extraction and PCR. For calculations of Bd prevalence, swabs were categorized as positive when zoospore equivalents were ≥1 and Bd-negative when zoospore equivalents were <1. Frogs were euthanized with a ventral cutaneous application of 20% benzocaine gel, fixed in formalin, and placed in 70% ethanol. Specimens have been deposited at the Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima.

RESULTS

All frogs (100%) swabbed between January 2008 and January 2010 were infected with Bd (n = 20; Table 1). Bd-loads (Z\text{swab}) ranged between 9.76 and 101,861.6 (Table 1). We detected Bd zoospores in 8 of 9 skins swabbed in February 2009, but Z\text{swab} was much lower than swabs of live frogs and ranged between 0.04 and 108.00 spores per swab in infected frogs. Three of 26 live frogs that were for sale on 3 January 2010 were dead (Fig. 1), but these frogs were not tested for Bd or examined for symptoms of chytridiomycosis.

The price of 1 live Telmatobius marmoratus frog was 1 Peruvian Nuevo So (PEN) during the 2008 and 2009 visits (equivalent to US$ 0.33 on 30 January 2008, US$ 0.32 on 11 October 2008, and US$ 0.30 on 16 February 2009) and 2 PEN (or 5 PEN for 3 frogs) on 3 January 2010 (equivalent to US$ 0.70 for 1 frog and US$ 1.76 for 3 frogs). SVLs of purchased specimens ranged from 49.0 to 61.5 mm in January 2008, 50.2 to 61.8 mm in October 2008, 54.0 to 65.0 mm in February 2009, and 55.8 to 60.3 mm in January 2010. Most specimens were reproductive males bearing nuptial pads and excrescences, except for a female purchased in February 2009 and 3 females purchased in January 2010. According to the vendor, specimens in October 2008 and February 2009 originated from Ocongate, 65 km east of Cusco.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

All live Telmatobius marmoratus sampled during this study were infected with Bd. This is the first report of captive T. marmoratus harboring infections in the trade of this species for human consumption. The high prevalence in our sampled animals might be explained by the fact that Bd has been known to infect wild populations of this species in the region since at least 2002 (Seimon et al. 2007). Additionally, the high prevalence could also be the result of frogs being housed in close contact with each other (e.g. in plastic buckets filled with water at the market; Fig. 1), which could promote transmission between individuals after capture. Simple measures, such as maintaining frogs in bleached water, could prevent transmission of Bd among individuals. However, these measures are unlikely to be adopted if the frogs for sale do not develop chytridiomycosis and die during the short period between capture in the wild and sale at the market.

The live trade of these native frogs is common throughout the Peruvian Andes, and we propose that this may be an important, but until now overlooked, factor involved in chytridiomycosis epidemics. The transportation of infected, live frogs over long distances could promote the spatio-temporal spread of Bd to naïve populations of amphibian hosts in the Andes. There are many ways this could happen. Water containing zoospores could be released when people transfer frogs between buckets or bags. Frog hunters could also contaminate sites with their boots or trapping equipment. Infected tadpoles or frogs could be translocated or released in the wild after capture, or they could escape. The concentration of frogs for trade could also maintain a reservoir of Bd when infection prevalence is low in wild populations. In addition, the frog trade could contribute to the spread of different genotypes of Bd, some of which might be more virulent than others (Morgan et al. 2007).

Although we could not verify the source of Telmatobius marmoratus individuals sold live in the San Pedro market in Cusco, it is likely that all frogs originated from wild popula-
tions. Captive breeding of 2 larger and economically more valuable species, *T. culeus* and *Batrachophrynus macrostomus*, has been unsuccessful (Pérez Béjar 2005), and there are no reports of attempted breeding of *T. marmoratus*. The putative geographic source of the frogs we purchased at the market, Ocongate, is close to sites with wild *T. marmoratus* populations surveyed by Seimon et al. (2007) and Catenazzi et al. (in press). Field data from Laguna Sibinacocha, approximately 40 km southeast of Ocongate and 100 km southeast of Cusco, reported *Bd* prevalence ranging between 9% (*n* = 11 frogs) and 50% (*n* = 2 frogs) in populations of *T. marmoratus* (Seimon et al. 2007). Catenazzi et al. (under review) found that 63% of tadpoles (*n* = 22) and 2 sampled metamorphs were infected with *Bd* in a stream 34 km east of Ocongate. Both studies reported population declines associated with *Bd* infection.

The lower zoospore loads in skins of recently sacrificed frogs probably reflected the fact that swabbed skins were often incomplete and did not include areas that are known to host large densities of zoospores, such as the pelvic patch and the innermost finger (Puschendorf & Bolaños 2006). Most skin swabs were performed on dorsal skins that are less likely to shed large numbers of zoospores (Puschendorf & Bolaños 2006). It is unclear whether skins are used for other purposes, but potential uses include food for domestic animals or fish bait. Treatments of skins in bleached water before disposal will help reduce the risk that skins facilitate the spread of *Bd*.

It is difficult to evaluate the impact of the frog trade on wild frog populations and its potential to influence *Bd* epidemics in the Andes. Sale of live *Telmatobius* frogs is an informal business with little control from authorities in charge to protect natural resources and to enforce sanitary standards. A vendor interviewed by Angulo (2008a) indicated that she sold about 180 frogs daily and that she placed weekly orders of between 1200 and 2400 frogs. Lehr (2000) reported monthly sales of 40 to 50 frogs from vendors in Lima. Cargos of thousands of frogs are often confiscated in Lima and Puno (INRENA 2003 cited by Angulo 2008a; also see www.correoperu.com.pe/correo/nota.php?txtId=1807&txtSecci_parent=0&txtSecci_id=61&txtNota_id=230120). Conservation biologists concerned with the spread of *Bd* have, until now, focused almost entirely on the international trade in live, farmed frogs, such as *Lithobates catesbeianus* (Schloegel et al. 2009), and have proposed that these live animals serve as vectors for the introduction of *Bd* and ranaviruses into new regions (Mazzoni et al. 2003, Schloegel et al. 2009). We believe that the more localized live trade of amphibians in highly diverse regions such as the Andes may also be playing an important role in the spread of *Bd*.

Although the trade in *Telmatobius* occurs at a much reduced numerical and geographic scale compared to *R. catesbeiana*, the proximity of local *Telmatobius* markets to highly diverse amphibian assemblages (Tropical Andes Biodiversity Hotspot; Myers et al. 2000) poses a significant threat to amphibian conservation in the region. Local trade of live amphibians may also contribute to the spread of *Bd* and may pose a significant threat in other highly diverse amphibian areas throughout the world.
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