INTRODUCTION

The finless porpoise *Neophocaena phocaenoides* inhabits the coastal waters of the Indo-Pacific Oceans (Reeves et al. 1997). Of the 3 subspecies of finless porpoises that are found in Chinese waters (Gao & Zhou 1995), the Yangtze finless porpoise *Neophocaena phocaenoides asiaeorientalis* is the only subspecies which lives in fresh water. Its habitat is restricted to a 1700 km section of the Yangtze River (from Yichang to Shanghai) and the adjoining lakes. The population size of this porpoise has been rapidly declining due to illegal fishing, pollution, transportation, dam construction, and other detrimental human activities (Wang et al. 2006, Wang 2009). As a result, this marine mammal is an endangered species and is listed in the *IUCN Red Data Book* as a threatened species (Hilton-Taylor 2000).

Infectious diseases significantly impact cetacean populations (Van Bressem et al. 2009). In harbor porpoises, high exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) increases the risk of mortality from infectious disease (Hall et al. 2006). Potential important micro-parasites of cetaceans are *Helicobacter* spp. (Van Bressem et al. 2009), which are members of the *Epsilonproteobacteria*. These bacteria are Gram-negative, microaerobic, fusiform, or slightly curved to spiral, and motile (Fox 2002). *Helicobacter* spp. are able to naturally colonize the lower intestinal tract of an animal host and can cause typhlitis or colitis. In the majority of cases, helicobacter infections produce subclinical inflammation in a naturally infected host. However, significant inflammation and even cancer can result in some cases. For example, *H. pylori* is able to colonize the stomach in humans and has been shown to cause
peptic ulcer disease, gastritis, and gastric cancer (Mar-
shall 2002). In ferrets, *H. mustelae* can cause chronic
gastritis (Fox et al. 1990). *H. cetorum* has been isolated
from the fecal material and main stomach of both
stranded and captive cetaceans. In cetaceans, the bac-
terium is associated with gastritis (Harper et al.
2002a,b). However, *H. cetorum* infection has also been
demonstrated in clinically healthy cetaceans (Harper
et al. 2000).

PCR with *Helicobacter cetorum*-specific primers has
been used to detect *H. cetorum* in the fecal material or
gastric fluid of a wide range of captive and wild
cetaceans, including the Atlantic bottlenose dolphin
*Tursiops truncatus*, the Atlantic white-sided dolphin
*Lagenorhynchus acutus*, the Pacific white-sided dol-
phin *L. obliquidens*, and the beluga whale Delphi-
napterus leucas (Harper et al. 2000). Recently, *Helici-
obacter* was detected in the digestive tract of a
stranded Atlantic spotted dolphin *Stenella frontalis
*(Suárez et al. 2010). However, to date no *Helicobacter*
spp. have been detected in porpoises.

The intestinal microbiota play an important role
in health and disease of the host, and intestinal patho-
gens can certainly negatively impact cetacean popula-
tions (Falk et al. 1998, Birkun 2002, Van Bressem et al.
2009). The development of disease is influenced by the
intestinal microbiota, as well as the immune status
and the genetic makeup of the host (Falk et al. 1998,
provide nutritional substrates, aid in modulating the
immune system, and help prevent infections by intesti-
nal pathogens, all to the benefit of the host (Falk et al.
1998, Hooper et al. 2001). Understanding the intesti-
nal microbiota has a direct bearing on our ability to manage
and maintain health in humans and in cetaceans
(Dethlefsen et al. 2006, Van Bressem et al. 2009). Al-
though several studies have suggested that the intesti-
nal microbiota differs along various regions of the gas-
trointestinal tract (Suchodolski et al. 2005, Ritchie et al.
2008), fecal samples are much easier and more practi-
cal to collect. Therefore, in the present study we exam-
ined the presence of *Helicobacter* in the fecal material
of the Yangtze finless porpoise in an attempt to learn
more about the health of this endangered species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample collection.** Fecal samples were collected
between 2 and 11 March 2010 from 12 Yangtze finless
porpoises living in the wild at Poyang Lake. Six were
females and ranged in age from 0.1 to 13.8 yr. Six por-
poises were male and ranged in age from 1.0 to 7.3 yr.
Fecal material was also collected from 1 porpoise
during a routine medical examination in June 2010.
This porpoise was born and has resided in the Wuhan
Baiji Dolphinarium since July 2005 (Wang et al. 2005).
Table 1 outlines the important characteristics of the
porpoises used in this study.

A non-invasive method was applied to collect fecal
samples following the steps described below. Firstly,
the porpoise was kept in a suitable environment and in
a stable position. The anus was cleaned using 70% etha-
nol, and a sterile soft plastic tube 4 mm in diam-
eter was inserted through the anus and allowed to travel
about 20 cm into the intestine. The tube was then
removed, along with any fecal material collected, and
cut into sections. Sections that did not contain fecal
material were discarded, and sections containing fecal
material were placed in a sterilized 1.5 ml Eppendorf
tube. All capture, handling, and sampling operations
were approved by the Administrative Department of
Fisheries Management of Jiangxi Province, where
Poyang Lake is located. All samples were kept in con-

Table 1. *Neophocaena phocaenoides asiaeorientalis*. Information on the sampled Yangtze finless porpoises used in this study.
Age was estimated by body length according to experimental formulas described by Zhang (1992). PYL: Poyang Lake,
WHBD: Wuhan Baiji Dolphinarium; F: female; M: male

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal code</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Body length (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10PYF1</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Well nourished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYF2</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Neonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYF4</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>Well nourished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYF6</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Well nourished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYF8</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYF10</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>141.0</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYM1</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Skinny adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYM4</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Yearling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYM5</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>151.5</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Well nourished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYM6</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYM7</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PYM8</td>
<td>PYL</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>WHBD</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PCR of fecal samples using Helicobacter-specific primers. DNA was extracted from feces using the ZR Fecal DNA kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Two PCR amplifications were done. The first amplification was done using all 13 DNA samples. We used the *Helicobacter*-specific primers F0 5’-GAG TTT GAT CCT GGC TCA GAG-3’ and R0 5’-AAC CTT CAT CCT CCA CGC-3’, which amplify an approximately 400 bp fragment of the 16S rRNA gene (Goldman et al. 2009). The PCR mixture with a final volume of 25 µl contained 1 × Taq polymerase buffer, 0.5 µM of each primer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.25 U Taq DNA polymerase (Biostar), and 2 µl (80 ng) fecal DNA. The PCR cycling conditions included an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 59°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min using a TC-5000 gradient thermo cycler (Bibby Scientific). A 10 µl aliquot was subject to electrophoresis through a 2.0% (wt/vol) agarose gel which contained ethidium bromide. PCR products were visualized under UV light.

16S rRNA gene clone library construction. The second amplification was done with 1 DNA sample, isolated from the fecal material of a female Yangtze finless porpoise living in the wild. The universal primers F1D 5’-AGA TTT GAT CCT GGC TCA GAG-3’, positions 7 to 26 in the *Escherichia coli* 16S rRNA gene, and R2P 5’-ACG GCT ACC TTG TTA CGA CGT-3’, positions 1513 to 1494, were used. This primer combination produces an approximate 1500 bp fragment (Weisburg et al. 1991). The PCR mixture with a final volume of 25 µl contained 1 × Taq polymerase buffer, 0.5 µM of each primer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.25 U Taq DNA polymerase (Biostar), and 2 µl fecal DNA. The PCR cycling conditions included an initial denaturation at 95°C for 6 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 56°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

An approximately 1500 bp PCR product was purified with the DNA Purification Kit (Biotekie) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Purified PCR products were cloned into pMD18-T vectors (Takara) and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α competent cells following the manufacturer’s recommendation. Clones were checked for a correct insert size by PCR. The PCR mixture with a final volume of 15 µl contained 1 × Taq polymerase buffer, 0.5 µM of universal T-vector primers (M13+ and M13−), 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.2 U Taq DNA polymerase (Biostar), and 2 µl bacterial supernatant. The PCR cycling conditions included an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 54°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 2 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Sequencing was then done with the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit (Applied Biosystem) using M13 forward and reverse primers on an ABI® 3730 automated DNA sequencer. In total, 5 positive clones were sequenced.

Data analysis. Sequences were assembled using the software DNA Baser version 3 (HeracleSoftware) and edited to remove vector contamination and primer sequences. For sequence identification, cloned sequences were compared to the 16S rRNA of existing organisms using the NCBI database and the Ribosomal Database Project Classifier. For phylogenetic analysis, 16S rRNA sequences were retrieved from GenBank of different *Helicobacter* species. All sequences were edited to a common length and aligned using the CLUSTAL-W program. The program MEGA version 4 (Tamura et al. 2007) was used to create a phylogenetic tree by the neighbor-joining method. To test the stability of the groups, a bootstrap analysis of 10 000 replications was done.

RESULTS

PCR results, using *Helicobacter*-specific primers, showed amplification of the expected 400 bp fragment for the majority of fecal samples of Yangtze finless porpoises living in the wild and from 1 porpoise (TT) living in captivity (Fig. 1). For the porpoises living in the wild, the fecal samples of all 6 females (F1, F2, F4, F6, F8, and F10) and 2 males (M4 and M8) showed definite positive PCR results. The fecal samples of 2 males (M6 and M7) gave negative PCR results, whereas 2 other males (M1 and M5) showed inconclusive results. Universal primers were used to amplify the 16S rRNA gene from the bacterial DNA isolated from the fecal material of 1 Yangtze finless porpoise, F2 (Fig. 1), to construct a clone library. Three different sequences from the clone library (R2-1, R2-9, and R2-10; GenBank accession numbers HQ335340–HQ335342) were obtained, and using the Ribosomal Database Classifier, the phylogenetic affiliation for all 3 clones was determined to be the genus *Helicobacter*. Pairwise sequence comparisons to sequences deposited in the GenBank nucleotide database using the BLAST algorithm indicated that both R2-1 and R2-9 showed 99% identity to *H. cetorum* partial 16S rRNA gene, isolate 24/M74/10/09 (FN565165) and to *Helicobacter* sp. MIT 99-5657 (AF292377) 16S rRNA gene, partial sequence. Sequence R2-10 showed 98% identity to *H. cetorum* partial 16S rRNA gene, isolate 22/M47/10/08 (FN565163). Isolates 22/M47/10/08 and 24/M74/10/09 were both obtained from common dolphins inhabiting the southwest coast of England (A. M. Whatmore pers. comm.). MIT 99-5657 was obtained...
from the gastric mucosa of 2 stranded Atlantic white-sided dolphins *Lagenorhynchus acutus* (Harper et al. 2000).

The specialized BLAST alignment tool was then used to compare nucleotide differences in sequences R2-1, R2-9, and R2-10. Alignment of the sequences revealed that R2-1 and R2-9 differed by 5 bases. Sequences R2-1 and R2-10 differed at 29 positions, and sequences R2-9 and R2-10 differed at 26 positions. A tree illustrating the phylogenetic location of sequences R2-1, R2-9, and R2-10 compared to 9 other species of the genus *Helicobacter* is shown in Fig. 2.

In this study, only a small number of positive clones were sequenced from the clone library. However, 3 of the 5 clones sequenced showed identity to *Helicobacter*. This unexpected observation led us to focus the remainder of this study on *Helicobacter*. Subsequent studies will address the bacterial diversity found within the clone libraries from the porpoise fecal samples.

**DISCUSSION**

In this preliminary study, we confirmed the presence of *Helicobacter* spp. in the fecal material of several Yangtze finless porpoises. We also identified that *H. cetorum* was present in 1 fecal sample. A phylogenetic tree of the three 16S rRNA sequences (R2-1, R2-9, and R2-10) showed a similar phylogenetic location, clustering near *H. cetorum*. Interestingly, the partial 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Helicobacter* sp. MIT 99-5657 is 100% identical to *H. cetorum* partial 16S rRNA gene, isolate 24/M74/10/09. Isolates 24/M74/10/09 and 22/M47/10/08 are 99% identical (data not shown).

*Helicobacter cetorum* is able to effectively colonize several different cetaceans, including many different species of dolphins, the beluga whale, and now the Yangtze finless porpoise. How the mechanisms of *Helicobacter* transmission function is a question which must be addressed. In a recent study, *Helicobacter* spp. DNA was detected in aquatic environments. It was suggested that regurgitated fish otoliths and perhaps other tissues caused bacterial contamination of the water, which aided in the transmission of *Helicobacter* in marine mammals (Goldman et al. 2009). In our study, the fecal material of 1 porpoise living in captivity tested positive for the presence of *Helicobacter* spp. The porpoises housed in the Wuhan Baiji Dolphinarium are commonly fed different species of carp. Perhaps some carp are infected with *Helicobacter* and the infection is passed to the porpoises as a result of ingesting the fish. In addition, according to our rearing and autopsy experiences over the past 20 yr, peptic ulcer disease and gastritis are the most common diseases which cause Yangtze finless porpoises to become malnourished or even die. Both the morbidity and mortality...
rate are higher than 50% for porpoises living in the wild, and the morbidity rate is even higher for porpoises living in captivity. Based on current knowledge about gastric helicobacter infections, it is probable that the Helicobacter spp. and H. cetorum identified in this study play a role in the etiopathogenesis of gastritis in porpoises. Future studies examining the pathogenesis of H. cetorum could help in understanding the potential role of this bacterium in the health of these animals. However, future studies will need to be done to address both of these topics. For example, when gastric mucosa tissue becomes available from autopsied Yangtze finless porpoises, the tissues will be assessed by PCR for Helicobacter spp. and H. cetorum. Most importantly, a non-invasive molecular procedure, such as quantitative PCR using Helicobacter spp. and H. cetorum-specific primers, will be developed to diagnose this kind of pathogen in fecal material. Infected endangered finless porpoises will be identified and treated to prevent these animals from suffering, becoming malnourished, and possibly dying.
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