Survey of protozoan, helminth and viral infections in shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus* and prawn *Macrobrachium acanthurus* native to the Jamapa River region, Mexico
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ABSTRACT: We surveyed protozoan and metazoan parasites as well as white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and infectious hypodermal hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV) in white shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus* and the palaemonid prawn *Macrobrachium acanthurus* native to the lower Jamapa River region of Veracruz, Mexico. The presence of parasites and the infection parameters were evaluated in 113 palaemonid prawns collected during the northwind (n = 45), rainy (n = 38) and dry seasons (n = 30) between October 2007 and July 2008, and in 91 shrimp collected in the rainy season between May and June 2008. In *L. setiferus*, ciliates of the subclass Apostomatia (*Ascophrys* sp.) were evident in gills, and third-stage larvae of the nematode *Physocephalus sexalatus* were evident in the stomach. Cestodes of the genus *Prochristianella* were evident in the hepatopancreas, while some gregarines of the genus *Nematopsis*, as well as unidentified larval cestodes, were observed in the intestine. Histology identified *Ascophrys* sp. in association with gill necrosis and tissue melanization. Slight inflammation was observed in intestinal epithelium near cestode larvae. In *M. acanthurus*, epibionts of the protozoans *Epistylis* sp., *Acineta* sp. and *Lagenophrys* sp. were observed under uropods, periopods and pleopods. An unidentified ciliate of the Apostomatia was also found in the gills, and *Nematopsis* was identified in the intestine. No histopathology was observed in association with these parasites. Moreover, neither WSSV nor IHHNV were detected by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in any of the *L. setiferus* or *M. acanthurus* analysed.
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prawns (*Macrobrachium acanthurus*, *M. carcinus* and *M. heterochirus*) are also fished commercially, with palaemonid prawns being more valuable than shrimp, even though catch volumes are significantly lower (Lorán-Nuñez & Martínez-Isunza 2002).

The health status of cultured and wild shrimp has been reported from some areas of Mexico (Chávez-Sánchez et al. 2002, Vidal Martínez et al. 2002, López-Téllez et al. 2009), but little is known about the health status of palaemonid prawns. For instance, white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV) have caused serious outbreaks of disease in shrimp farmed in the Americas (Lightner 1996 p. 304, Aguirre-Guzmán & Ascencio-Valle 2000), but whether infections exist in *Macrobrachium acanthurus* native to Mexico has not been determined.

We report the results of a survey to determine the presence of WSSV and IHHNV, as well as epibionts and parasites, in shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus* and palaemonid prawn *Macrobrachium acanthurus* native to the lower Jamapa River region of Veracruz, Mexico.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Samples.** Sampling sites for shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus* and palaemonid prawn *Macrobrachium acanthurus* were located in an area 1 to 5 km upstream of the mouth of the Jamapa River in Veracruz State, Mexico (between 19° 05’ 27.20” N, 96° 08’ 25.30” W and 19° 06’ 16.23” N, 96° 06’ 46.94” W). This part of the river is subject to wastewater discharge from nearby houses and aquaculture farms. Palaemonid prawns (n = 113) were collected between October 2007 and July 2008 in the northwind season (November to February) (n = 45), the dry season (March to June) (n = 38) and the rainy season (July to October) (n = 30). Prawns were trapped in cylindrical, metal-mesh traps (20 cm diameter × 40 cm in height) containing raw fish bait (10 cm × 10 cm). Two traps were placed at each of 5 sampling sites about 3 m from shore (total = 10 traps) and left for 12 h. Based on epidemiological tables for a given population size of ≥10000, a minimum sample size of 60 individuals per season was chosen to detect a prevalence of infection down to 10% at a confidence level ≥95% (Lightner 1996 p. 304). Using a 1 inch mesh cast net, shrimp (n = 91) were caught at night during the rainy season while making their seasonal migration from wetlands to the sea. All crustaceans were transported alive to the Instituto Tecnológico de Boca del Río, Veracruz, in plastic containers filled with water from the river, aerated continually, until they were sampled.

**Parasitology.** A total of 30 shrimp and 90 palaemonid prawns (30 per season) were examined for parasites. Total length (TL, cm) from the tip of the rostrum to the distal telson, total weight (g) and gender, based on the presence of reproductive or telic appendages, were recorded. Epibionts and parasites were identified and quantified by light microscopy using 10×, 40× and 100× objectives. All shrimp and prawns were dissected, and the cuticle, appendages, gills, muscle, digestive tract, hepatopancreas and intestine were examined. Parasites were identified based on descriptions of species morphology (Couch 1983, Moravec et al. 1995, Lightner 1996 p. 304, Vidal-Martínez et al. 2002, Fernández-Leborans et al. 2006).

**Histology.** Groups of 15 shrimp caught in the rainy season, and 45 palaemonid prawns (15 per season), were fixed for 48 h in Davidson’s solution and processed for embedding in paraffin wax; 5 µm tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Light microscopy was used to identify and to describe pathologies (Bell & Lightner 1988 p. 114, Lightner 1996 p. 304).

**Detection of viruses by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).** DNA was isolated from the gill and hepatopancreas tissues of 15 shrimp and 90 palaemonid prawns (30 per season) using a Wizard Genomic DNA kit (Promega). DNA was amplified using IQ2000 PCR kits (GeneReach) for detection of WSSV and IHHNV using the protocols specified by the manufacturer. Negative and positive controls were used in each test.

**Infection parameters.** The prevalence and severity of infection were quantified according to Bush et al. (1997). The criteria for pathology, proposed by Lightner (1996 p. 304) and Chávez-Sánchez et al. (2002), were based on an ascending scale of severity ranging from 1 to 4.

**Statistical analysis.** Significant differences in the seasonal prevalence of parasites were identified using a χ² analysis. Seasonal differences in the abundance of parasites were identified with a 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; 95% confidence level) after log (x+1) transformation of the data (STATISTICA V 7.0 software).

**RESULTS**

**Biometrics**

Geometric means of shrimp TL and weight were 10.1 ± 2.1 cm and 7.4 ± 5.6 g, respectively. Of the
shrimp caught, 43% were juveniles for which gender was difficult to determine. Of the sub-adults, 37% were male and 20% were female. The geometric means of the palaemonid prawn TL and weight were 6.6 ± 1.4 cm and 5.6 ± 4.0 g, respectively. Juvenile prawns were trapped in each season (dry 60.5%; northwind 78.3%; rainy 53.3%). No males were trapped in the dry season.

**Parasitology**

Gregarines of the genus *Nematopsis* were observed in the anterior and medial intestine of 15 (17%) of the 91 shrimp examined, and cestodes of the genus *Prochristianella* were found within the hepatopancreas of 1% of the shrimp. Third-stage larvae of the nematode *Physocephalus sexalatus* (Fig. 1) were observed in the stomach of 3 (3%) shrimp. The gills of 45 (49%) shrimp harbored colonies of the *Ascophrys* sp. epibiont (Table 1). Larvae of an unidentified cestode were also observed in the intestine of 43 (47%) shrimp; this cestode had the highest average intensity, and the highest degree of infection, while *P. sexalatus* had the lowest (average intensity of 1, and 1 degree of infection).

Three protozoan epibionts were observed in palaemonid prawns. *Epistylis* sp. occurred on pleopods, periopods and uropods (Fig. 2A); *Lagenophrys* sp. occurred on gills and uropods (Fig. 2B); and *Acineta* sp. occurred mainly on periopods (Fig. 2C). An unidentified ciliate of the subclass *Apostomatia* was also observed in gill tissue (Fig. 3A), and a gregarine endoparasite—in both its schizont form (trophozoite with 2 divisions) (Fig. 4) and gametocyte form (not shown)—was observed in the anterior and medial intestine.

In prawns, the unidentified ciliate was highly prevalent (91 to 100%) during all seasons, and *Epistylis* sp. (58 to 89%) and gregarines (13 to 57%) were common (Table 2). In addition, *Lagenophrys* sp. was prevalent (60 to 70% prawns) only in the dry and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Average intensity ± SD</th>
<th>Degree of infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Nematopsis</em> sp.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16 ± 8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ascophrys</em> sp.</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified cestode</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>80 ± 24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Prochristianella</em> sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 ± 0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Physocephalus sexalatus</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Infection by various parasites in wild white shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus* (*n* = 91). Degree of infection according to Lightner (1996 p. 304) and Chávez-Sánchez et al. (2002)
rainy seasons, and *Actineta* sp. was observed only in some (20%) prawns in the rainy season. Except for the unidentified ciliate (*p* < 0.05), no significant differences in prevalence of parasites occurred during each season. The average intensity was highest with *Episty lis* sp. (14.45) followed by the unidentified cili-
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**Fig. 2.** Epibionts described from the palaemonid prawn *Macrobrachium acanthurus*. (A) *Episty lis* sp. observed on uropods (arrow). (B) *Lagenophrys* sp. from gills (arrow). (C) Dorsal view of *Acineta* sp. on the surface of periopods (40×)
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**Fig. 3.** (A) Unidentified ciliate of the subclass Apostomatia from gill tissue (10×). (B) Histological section of gills; no apparent damage has been produced by the presence of the ciliate (arrows) (10×)
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**Fig. 4.** Schizont form of the endoparasite gregarine *Nematopsis* sp. (10×)
Table 2. Infection by various parasites in the palaemonid prawn *Macrobrachium acanthurus*. Northwind, n = 45; Dry, n = 38; Rainy, n = 30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Epistyliis</th>
<th>Lagenophrys</th>
<th>Acineta</th>
<th>Gregarine</th>
<th>Ciliate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwind</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$ statistic</td>
<td>11.22$^a$</td>
<td>48.25$^a$</td>
<td>17.53$^a$</td>
<td>21.90$^a$</td>
<td>2.47$^{b,c}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average intensity (±SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwind</td>
<td>14.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>11.7 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>5.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>9.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy</td>
<td>6.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>12.6 ± 7.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>8.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>15.7 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwind</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Indicates that the $\chi^2$ statistic value is less than the table value (5.991)

Histology

A low-grade inflammatory reaction in the epithelium was observed near the location of the unidentified cestode. Colonies of the epibiont *Ascophrys* sp. in gills were associated with signs of cellular necrosis and tissue melanization, as were the colonies of *Acineta* sp. ciliates. Among the palaemonid prawns examined, only a single ciliate was found in gill lamellae, with apparently no histological damage in the adjacent tissue (Fig. 3B).

PCR for WSSV and IHNV

All shrimp and palaemonid prawns analysed were PCR-negative for WSSV and IHNV.

DISCUSSION

The presence of juvenile and adult individuals of the palaemonid prawn *Macrobrachium acanthurus* and the shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus* in the study area of the Jamapa River region in Mexico confirmed that this is a natural habitat for these species (Ismael & New 2000). The 4 parasites (*Ascophrys* sp., *Nematopsis* sp., *Prochristianella* sp. larvae, and the unidentified cestode) detected in *L. setiferus* have been reported previously in wild and farmed penaeid shrimp as well as in other crustaceans from the Gulf of Mexico (Aldrich 1965, Lightner 1996 p. 304, Chávez-Sánchez et al. 2002, Vidal-Martínez et al. 2002, 2006, López-Téllez et al. 2009). However, the infection parameters that we observed showed some differences. For example, *Prochristianella* sp. was seen in only 1 of the 91 shrimp examined, compared to a prevalence of 33 to 94% reported previously (Aldrich 1965, Chávez-Sánchez et al. 2002, Vidal-Martínez et al. 2002). *Ascophrys* was also more prevalent in the *L. setiferus* that we examined (49%) compared with the figure reported previously (3%) (Chávez-Sánchez et al. 2002). These differences could be due to many factors, including sample size and environmental conditions at sampling locations near the mouth of the Jamapa River; for instance, shrimp at these sampling locations had most likely migrated recently from wetland brackish water (18 ppt) to more saline water environments (Jiménez-Badillo 2006).

As wild and domestic mammals of the family Suidae are primary hosts of *Physocyphalus sexalatus* (Molin 1860), it was of some interest that third-stage nematode larvae were detected in a few (3%) of the shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus*. Adult stages can occur in tapirs, chickens, armadillos, horses, cows and lago- morphs (Goldberg et al. 1994, Nganga et al. 2008), and third-stage larvae can occur in fish which act as a paratenic host (Moravec et al. 1995). However, due to its low prevalence (3%) and low infection score (2), whether *L. setiferus* shrimp can be a paratenic host for *P. sexalatus*, or whether the detection of this nematode followed a purely accidental transmission caused by nearby human activities, remains to be determined.

About 80% of shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus* that we examined exhibited low-grade cell necrosis and tissue melanization in gills similar to that reported by Chávez-Sánchez et al. (2002), who attributed such histolopathology to the ciliate *Ascophrys*. Although the degree of infection was low (score = 1), this could be sufficient for *L. setiferus* to mount a defence response to the chitinase produced by ciliates of the subclass Apostomatia — an enzyme which erodes the
host cuticle (Bradbury & Goyal 1976) and stimulates hemocytic infiltration and inflammation at the site of attachment as well as in the surrounding tissue (Couch 1983, Edgerton et al. 2002).

The unidentified cestode larvae observed in the intestine of the wild Litopenaeus setiferus have been reported previously in this species as well as in wild Farfantepenaeus aztecus (Chávez-Sánchez et al. 2002). A high abundance of this cestode could compromise nutrient absorption, leading to nutritional deficiencies and reduced growth rates.

Gregarines of the genus Nematopsis have been detected in the anterior and medial intestine of shrimp, in particular Cephalolobus penaeae, while Nematopsis penaeae has been associated with histopathology in the intestinal epithelium and hepato-pancreas of wild Litopenaeus setiferus, Farfantepenaeus aztecus and F. duorum, and cultured L. vannamai (Jiménez et al. 2002, Vidal-Martínez et al. 2002, 2006). These organisms damage the intestinal epithelium, interfering with nutrient absorption and thus growth. In cultured juvenile shrimp, severe infections by gregarines can cause physical damage, including abnormal yellow pigmentation along the exoskeleton that can compromise product quality and value (Lightner 1996 p. 304).

The very low prevalence (<1%) of Prochristianella sp. we found in Litopenaeus setiferus contrasts with that (90 to 100%) detected in previous studies of L. setiferus in Mexico (Chávez-Sánchez et al. 2002, Vidal-Martínez et al. 2002, 2006 & López-Téllez et al. 2009). This might be due to the collection of L. setiferus from brackish water rather than from more saline environments (Lightner 1996 p. 304). The constant presence of epibionts (mainly Epistylis sp.), and the ciliate, in shrimp during all 3 seasons was probably promoted by the high levels of organic matter in the area. While no evidence of damage to the hepato-pancreas was observed in association with the larvae of Prochristianella sp., a higher severity of infection in wild L. setiferus and Farfantepenaeus aztecs is known to cause severe damage (Chávez-Sánchez et al. 2002).

The presence of epibionts in the specimens of palaemonid prawn Macrobrachium acanthurus that we examined is consistent with aquatic environments containing high loads of organic matter (Johnson & Bueno 2000). In larval M. rosenbergii, abundant colonization of the exoskeleton by Acineta sp. and Epistylis sp. can hinder molting and growth, causing death in cases of extreme parasitosis (Jayasree et al. 2001). In contrast, Epistylis sp. can occur on the periopods, uropods and eggs of cultured M. rosenbergii and M. tenellum without substantially affecting health. Epibionts of Acineta sp., Epistylis sp., Lagenophrys sp. and Ascothrys sp. are known to infect penaeid shrimp and palaemonid prawns, but they also occur in other crustaceans, such as crabs Portunus pelagicus and Callinectes sapidus (Shields 1992, Ma & Overstreet 2006) and crayfish Pacifastacus leniusculus, Cherax quadricarinatus, Austropotamobius pallipes, Astacus astacus, Procambarus clarkii, Procambarus zonangus (Edgerton et al. 2002), and thus appear to have no strong host preference.

The finding of gregarines on Macrobrachium acanthurus indicates for the first time that, in addition to marine species, infestations can occur in brackish water species. While juvenile and adult stages of this species generally inhabit freshwater environments, it migrates to brackish waters to reproduce, which is where the infestation was probably acquired (Ismael & New 2000).

The absence of PCR-detectable WSSV and IHHNV in either wild shrimp Litopenaeus setiferus or prawn Macrobrachium acanthurus suggests that either the Jamapa River region is free of these viruses or that the prevalence of infection is extremely low, probably because shrimp farming is only now beginning to develop in Veracruz state. This finding is important as both viruses occur in wild and cultured penaeid shrimp elsewhere throughout the Americas; thus, WSSV often causes massive losses in farmed shrimp, especially in Ecuador (Lightner & Redman 1998), and IHHNV seriously affects L. stylirostris fisheries in the northern Gulf of California, Mexico (Pantoja et al. 1999).

As our samples of wild shrimp Litopenaeus setiferus and prawn Macrobrachium acanthurus harbored potentially damaging parasites, including protozoans and helminths, there are risks that these parasites may be translocated if shrimp and/or prawns are collected for use in aquaculture. Although health risks posed by cestodes and helminths are low, due to the complexity of their life cycles, some value might be derived from monitoring for various parasites in cultured and wild crustacean populations along the coast of the Gulf of Mexico as a component of disease-prevention programs. Serious disease caused by these agents could diminish natural crustacean populations, negatively affecting catch volumes and causing economic repercussions to fisheries in the region (Jiménez-Badillo 2006).
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