INTRODUCTION

Recent data suggest that infectious diseases are increasing in both incidence and severity in the ocean (Harvell et al. 2004, Ward & Lafferty 2004). The effects of marine diseases can be especially pronounced when these diseases negatively impact ecosystem engineers such as reef-building corals (Aronson & Precht 2001), sea urchins (Feehan & Scheibling 2014), oysters (Mann et al. 2009), abalone (reviewed by Burge et al. 2014), and seagrasses (Cottam 1933, Short et al. 1987). Ecosystem engineers provide habi-
tat and ecosystem services, and understanding the factors that lead to increased prevalence and severity of disease in these species is critical for conservation of marine biodiversity and ecosystem function in the face of our changing oceans.

Wasting disease is one of many causes of global seagrass declines and threatens the numerous ecosystem functions provided by seagrass (Orth et al. 2006, Sullivan et al. 2013). Caused by intracellular infection of the host mesophyll by opportunistic protists of the genus *Labyrinthula*, wasting disease affects several species of seagrass (Muehlstein et al. 1988, 1991, Sullivan et al. 2013). Disease outbreaks have the potential to cause rapid, dramatic population declines, which can compromise the ecosystem services provided by these plants. In the early 1930s, an outbreak along the Atlantic coasts of North America and Europe resulted in up to 90% mortality of eelgrass *Zostera marina* populations (Cottam 1933, Short et al. 1987). Smaller, more isolated epidemics have been documented in *Z. marina* populations along the Atlantic and Pacific coasts of North America since the 1980s (Short et al. 1987, Groner et al. 2014). However, aside from extreme outbreaks, the impact of chronic infections on seagrass declines is relatively unknown. Loss of seagrass habitats compromises habitat for economically valuable invertebrates, fish, and birds, and affects the ecosystem services provided by these systems, including the stabilization of of coastal sediments, biological filtration of terrestrial-derived nutrients, carbon sequestration, and local buffering of ocean pH (Barbier et al. 2011, Fourqurean et al. 2012, Plummer et al. 2013).

Targeted monitoring of diseases and their associated risk factors is critical for early detection and mitigation of marine diseases (e.g. Groner et al. 2015). For many opportunistic pathogens, environmental risk factors cause disease by compromising physiological host defenses (Burge et al. 2013). Recent studies have identified salinity, temperature, and turbidity as factors contributing to wasting disease (McKone & Tanner 2009, Bull et al. 2012, Groner et al. 2014). A potentially overlooked facilitator of disease from opportunistic pathogens is host population structure. Defenses against pathogens often change during development and may be compromised by stressors such as density, reduced light penetration, for example as caused by growth of epiphytes on leaves, or an individual’s microbial community (Kazan & Manners 2009). Indeed, recent studies have shown that leaf age and plant size are important determinants of disease in eelgrass (Groner et al. 2014).

Defenses of eelgrass against *Labyrinthula* are not well understood; however, phenolic compounds may play a role (Buchsbaum et al. 1990, Vergeer et al. 1995, Vergeer & Develi 1997, Sneed 2005, Trevathan-Tackett et al. 2015). Phenolic compounds produced by *Z. marina* have been correlated with resistance to wasting disease (Buchsbaum et al. 1990) and are capable of inhibiting growth of *Labyrinthula* *in vitro* (Vergeer et al. 1995). However, it is not known whether phenols produced *in vivo* are capable of inhibiting infections.

Since the early 1990s, a number of eelgrass beds in the Salish Sea, located in the northeast Pacific Ocean, have experienced periodic mortalities and are declining from unknown causes (Wyllie-Echeverria et al. 2003, 2010, Dowty et al. 2010, Washington State Department of Natural Resources 2015). Hypothesized causes for these declines include wasting disease and sulfide toxicity (Dooley et al. 2013, Groner et al. 2014). Wasting disease has long been observed in this system (Muehlstein et al. 1991, Muehlstein 1992), and pathogenic strains of *L. zosterae* have been isolated from diseased leaves (Groner et al. 2014).

In this study, our primary objectives were to identify eelgrass beds with high disease prevalence and to quantify risk factors for disease that could occur at the host level. We surveyed 11 sites throughout the San Juan Archipelago and Puget Sound (i.e. the central Salish Sea). We focused on the role of 3 factors in altering disease prevalence: shoot length, shoot density, and biofouling of leaves by epiphytes. We hypothesized that increases in each of these factors would lead to increased disease prevalence. A second objective of our study was to quantify the relationship between phenolic compounds and disease. We hypothesized that, across sites, diseased leaves would have greater phenolic concentration than healthy leaves.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field survey**

We sampled 11 sites in the San Juan Archipelago and Northern Puget Sound regions of the Salish Sea in Washington state, USA, between 19 and 24 July 2013 (Fig. 1). We focused on this region because declines in the distribution and density of *Zostera marina* were documented at several sites in the early 2000s (Wyllie-Echeverria et al. 2003, 2010, Ferrier & Berry 2010). Some of these sites show evidence of population decline, while others have remained stable (Fig. 1, Table 1).
At each site, data were collected along 3 transects (each 50 m long) laid 2 m apart and parallel to the shore in the intertidal region, with the middle transect at approximately −1 m Mean Lower Low Water. Each 50 m transect was divided into 10 m sections (n = 5), and in each section, the second oldest leaf was collected from 10 randomly selected shoots. We surveyed the second oldest intact leaf in a shoot to standardize our measurements. We picked this leaf to be conservative, since the older leaves were slightly senescent and much more likely to have lesions, while the youngest leaves may not have been present long enough to present lesions even if the shoot was diseased. In order to determine site-level differences in population density, we also counted the number of shoots in 3 quadrats (0.12 m² each) per transect (at 0, 25, and 50 m). The mean density for each transect was used in the analyses.

Collected leaves were placed in coolers and taken to the Seagrass Lab, Friday Harbor Laboratories, WA, USA, for further measurement. For each leaf, we measured length and width, and described disease status (healthy or diseased) based on visual characteristics. Leaves were diagnosed with wasting disease if they had lesions with irregular, dark, necrotic centers surrounded by a black border (e.g. Burdick et al. 1993, Groner et al. 2014). For 2 of the leaves collected in each 10 m section, we measured the dry weight of all attached epiphytes. We scraped off all epiphytes from both sides of the leaves onto weigh paper and dried these samples in a drying oven until the mass remained constant (minimum of 24 h). We
then divided the dry weight of the epiphytes by the surface area of the leaf they were collected from to calculate the surface area-standardized epiphyte load. In order to understand the relationship between disease and phenolic content in these populations, we quantified phenolic concentration in 3 visually healthy and 3 visually diseased leaves that were collected along each transect. The processing of leaves described above typically occurred within 12 h of collection. Plants were kept in bags on ice to prevent further development of disease between collection and processing.

**Phenolic measurements**

Eelgrass samples frozen at −80°C were transported to the Shannon Point Marine Center in Anacortes, WA, where they were lyophilized and ground to a fine powder with a SPEX mixer/mill. Samples of approximately 10 mg were weighed and extracted in 80% methanol overnight in darkness at −80°C. The extracts were spun down with a centrifuge, and a 50 µl aliquot of each extract was diluted with 950 µl of ANSI Type I water. Three replicate 100 µl aliquots of each diluted sample were dispensed into the cells of a 96-well micro plate. Forty µl of 40% Folin & Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent (Sigma F9252) were then dispensed into each cell of the microplate. The plates were incubated at 50°C with shaking for 5 min, then 100 µl of 2 N sodium carbonate were added to each well. The absorbance at 765 nm was read after 30 min of incubation. Caffeic acid was initially used as a standard for these assays to generate phenolic measurements that were caffeic acid equivalents, because a native standard was not available at the time the analyses were run. A native standard was produced at a later time and was standardized to caffeic acid (see Fig. S1 in Supplement 1, available at www.int-res.com/articles/supp/d118p159_supp/). Because of the strong linear relationship (R² = 0.994, p < 0.001) between absorbances obtained with the native standard and absorbances obtained with caffeic acid, we then used this regression to convert the concentrations of phenolic compounds obtained using caffeic acid to concentrations of phenolic compounds based on the native standard. Although the native standard was likely to contain some non-phenolic metabolites, it was more representative of the phenolic compounds in *Z. marina* than the caffeic acid standard.

To obtain the native standard, 10 g of lyophilized, ground *Z. marina* collected from Ship Harbor in July 2014 were extracted once in 1 l of 70% acetone containing 5.5 mM ascorbic acid, and twice in 1 l of 80% methanol containing 5.5 mM ascorbic acid. The extracts were combined and the solvents were evaporated under vacuum with a rotary evaporator until only 200 ml of aqueous extract remained. The extract was partitioned 3 times with hexanes to remove non-polar metabolites. The remaining aqueous extract was mixed with 50 ml of Sephadex LH-20 (Sigma GE17-0090) and placed into a Kontes 4.8 cm diameter chromatography column. Non-phenolic metabolites were removed by washing with 3 column vol-

---

**Table 1. Survey site locations and known information on population trends and summaries (means ± SD) of eelgrass *Zostera marina* shoot density and length, epiphyte biomass, and wasting disease prevalence at each site. ID numbers as in Fig. 1; (-) sites where population status has not been assessed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°W)</th>
<th>Population trend(s)</th>
<th>Shoot density (m³)</th>
<th>Shoot length (cm)</th>
<th>Epiphytes (mg cm⁻² of shoot)</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Beach Haven</td>
<td>48° 41.460’</td>
<td>122° 57.120’</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>297 ± 129</td>
<td>84.6 ± 22.9</td>
<td>1.17 ± 1.12</td>
<td>6 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>False Bay</td>
<td>48° 28.975’</td>
<td>123° 04.451’</td>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>136 ± 42</td>
<td>57.4 ± 15.0</td>
<td>3.37 ± 1.85</td>
<td>47 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fisherman Bay</td>
<td>48° 31.572’</td>
<td>122° 55.088’</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>213 ± 29</td>
<td>59.6 ± 15.8</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.46</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Indian Cove</td>
<td>48° 33.773’</td>
<td>122° 56.078’</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>336 ± 106</td>
<td>81.0 ± 17.8</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.28</td>
<td>65 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mosquito Pass</td>
<td>48° 35.346’</td>
<td>123° 10.208’</td>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>214 ± 4</td>
<td>84.9 ± 19.3</td>
<td>3.70 ± 2.19</td>
<td>57 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>North Cove</td>
<td>48° 42.287’</td>
<td>123° 03.221’</td>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>542 ± 31</td>
<td>63.8 ± 12.0</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.49</td>
<td>22 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Padilla Bay</td>
<td>48° 29.690’</td>
<td>122° 29.244’</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>358 ± 13</td>
<td>58.7 ± 10.7</td>
<td>1.10 ± 1.49</td>
<td>79 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Picnic Cove</td>
<td>48° 33.942’</td>
<td>122° 55.448’</td>
<td>Declining, Stable</td>
<td>75 ± 43</td>
<td>66.9 ± 13.7</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.72</td>
<td>44 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Shallow Bay</td>
<td>48° 45.613’</td>
<td>122° 54.892’</td>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>19 ± 19</td>
<td>75.4 ± 17.1</td>
<td>1.46 ± 1.16</td>
<td>9 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ship Harbor</td>
<td>48° 30.307’</td>
<td>122° 40.202’</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>158 ± 102</td>
<td>73.4 ± 16.1</td>
<td>4.91 ± 2.64</td>
<td>43 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Shoal Bay</td>
<td>48° 33.215’</td>
<td>122° 52.499’</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>164 ± 6</td>
<td>70.3 ± 11.9</td>
<td>1.49 ± 3.38</td>
<td>42 ± 23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Population status estimated from shoot density data collected by Wyllie-Echeverria et al. (2010, or unpubl.)

bPopulation status estimated from data collected by Washington State Department of Natural Resources (2015)
umes of water. The phenolic compounds were then eluted with 3 column volumes of methanol. Water (100 ml) was added to the methanol fraction, and the methanol was evaporated under vacuum. The remaining aqueous extract was partitioned with 1:1 ethyl acetate:hexanes to remove any remaining non-polar metabolites. Any remaining solvents in the aqueous fraction were then evaporated under vacuum. The fraction was frozen to –80°C and lyophilized to produce brownish-yellow colored flakes.

**Histology**

We subsampled leaves collected in Indian Cove and False Bay (Fig. 1) to qualitatively confirm visual disease diagnostics with histological analysis. Six healthy and 12 diseased leaves from Indian Cove and 10 healthy and 10 diseased leaves from False Bay were used for this analysis. Assessments of ‘healthy’ or ‘diseased’ were based on visual characteristics as described above. For each diseased leaf, we sampled an area including the leading edge of the lesion and adjacent, visually healthy, tissue. Samples were fixed for 24 h in 4% seawater-buffered formalin, and then stored in 70% ethanol. At Cornell University’s Diagnostic Laboratory, leaves were embedded in paraffin, sectioned (5 µm), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (e.g. Groner et al. 2014). Stained sections were randomized and viewed under a bright field Olympus BH-2 microscope with the Olympus DP-20 camera system. Sections were examined blindly (without demarcation of site or disease status) by a single viewer for the presence/absence of *Labyrinthula* spp. cells or other parasites within the tissues. The number of *Labyrinthula* spp. cells was counted at 40× magnification for three 0.5 mm fields of view on each slide. *Labyrinthula* spp. cells stained purple in contrast to pink plant tissue and were located intracellularly within the mesophyll (e.g. Renn 1936, Porter 1972, Groner et al. 2014). Active *Labyrinthula* spp. cells could be identified by their spindle shape (~15 µm length), and resting *Labyrinthula* spp. cells could be identified by their slightly smaller round shape. In some cases, extracellular mucus was also observed.

**Statistical analyses**

We used mixed effects logistic regression to understand the additive effects of shoot density, shoot-standardized epiphyte biomass, and shoot length on the disease status of each individual. We ran all possible additive combinations of these predictors in separate models and evaluated their fit using Akaike’s information criterion (AIC). We included site as a random intercept in all models.

We used a linear mixed effect model to quantify the effects of shoot length and disease status (e.g. diseased or healthy) on the phenolic concentration (% of dry biomass) of leaves. We ran models with each of these predictor variables separately, both together additively, and both together with an interaction. We then used model selection, minimizing AIC values, to select the best fit model. We included site as a random intercept in all models. The model met the assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity.

All analyses were run in R (R Core Team 2014, v. 3.1.2) using the ‘lme4’ package (Bates et al. 2014). R-scripts and datasets for these analyses are available in Supplements 2 and 3 at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/d118p159_supp/, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**Field data**

In total, 1645 samples were collected from the 11 sites. All sites were diseased; prevalence among sites varied from 6% at Beach Haven to 79% at Padilla Bay (Fig. 1). The geometric mean of disease prevalence across sites was 34%.

The best fitting model included shoot length, shoot density, and epiphyte biomass. The next best fitting model included only shoot length and epiphyte biomass (ΔAIC from best model = 1.3). No other models were strong candidates (ΔAIC from next best model = 6.4). Longer shoots, high shoot density, and high epiphyte biomass on shoots increased the probability of disease (Fig. 2, Table 1). For every 1 cm of shoot length, the odds of being diseased increased by 1.8% (z = −4.61, p < 0.00001). For every additional shoot in a 100 cm² area, the odds of being diseased increased by 19.9% (z = 1.84, p = 0.067). For every additional 1 mg of epiphytes per cm² of shoot, odds of disease increased by 21.9% (z = 2.88, p = 0.003).

**Phenolics**

We processed 175 samples for phenolic concentrations. The best model included disease status (healthy or diseased) as a fixed effect and site as a random intercept (ΔAIC from next best model = 7.5). When diseased and healthy shoots were combined,
the phenolic concentration (mean ± SD) varied across sites from 4.9 ± 0.5% of biomass in False Bay to 14.7 ± 0.9% of biomass in Padilla Bay. Across sites, the mean phenolic concentration was 7.5 ± 0.6% of biomass in healthy leaves and 8.5 ± 0.8% of biomass in diseased leaves. After accounting for random site effects, diseased leaves had an increase in phenolic concentration of 0.76 (in units of % of biomass, $t = 2.33$, $p = 0.021$; Fig. 3). This corresponds to a 10% increase in phenolic concentration in diseased plants relative to plants with no lesions. Shoot length did not improve the model fit and was excluded from the final model.

**DISCUSSION**

Globally, seagrasses are declining at a rate of 7% yr$^{-1}$, and identification of factors contributing to these declines is critical for conservation of seagrasses, the habitats that they create, and the ecosystems services that they provide (Waycott et al. 2009). While in a few instances, recent seagrass declines have been associated with disease (Short & Wyllie-Echeverria 1996), the relation between population decline and disease is more frequently unknown. The prevalence of eelgrass wasting disease varied widely across our study region, from 6 to 79%. This result is similar to findings in the Wadden Sea, where disease prevalence varied from 11 to 80% (Bockelmann et al. 2013). Our study indicates that eelgrass population structure (i.e. shoot length and density) and fouling are correlated with wasting disease in the Salish Sea. This emphasizes the potential for wasting disease to be contributing to observed population declines. Consistent with previous studies (Buchsbaum et al. 1990, Vergeer et al. 1995, Vergeer & Develi 1997), we show a potential role for phenols as a defense against disease.

Shoot density and shoot length were positive predictors of disease. Correlations between wasting disease and both shoot density and shoot length have been found in previous field studies. For example, disease was positively correlated with density in European populations of eelgrass (Bull et al. 2012),
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and a field survey of 3 sites in the San Juan Archipelago found that longer shoots had higher levels of infection (Groner et al. 2014). Experimental infections also were more successful on older shoots compared to younger shoots on a single ramet (Groner et al. 2014). While trade-offs between shoot length and shoot density are common (Olesen & Sand-Jensen 1994a, Yang et al. 2013), our model suggests that disease risk may be higher in areas with increased biomass (e.g. beds with short shoots or low density sites with long shoots). It is possible that such populations are at or near their carrying capacity and are experiencing negative density-dependent growth rates as a result of competition or have attained high enough biomass to allow for spread of disease.

The contribution of epiphytes to wasting disease has not been observed previously. One hypothesis for this effect is that the epiphytes cause a reduction in immune defenses by blocking carbon uptake and light, thereby reducing photosynthesis and allocation of resources towards immune defenses (Sand-Jensen 1977). Another hypothesis is that increased nutrients drive the changes in epiphytes, wasting disease, and phenolics. Increased biofouling by epiphytes is frequently indicative of increased nutrient loading (Borum 1985, Williams & Ruckelshaus 1993), and higher nitrogen levels have been associated with reduced production of phenols and increased wasting disease in experimental treatments (Buchbaum et al. 1990). Further research is needed to investigate the mechanism behind this relationship.

While disease prevalence was high at several sites, it is unclear what role this may play at the population level. We hypothesize that wasting disease has 2 important effects on eelgrass beds: (1) to lower overall plant fitness, potentially contributing to population declines; and (2) to increase the decay rate of senescing leaves, remineralizing nutrients for availability in the food web (Raghukumar 2002, Raghukumar & Damare 2011). During warm seasons, leaves are turned over rapidly in eelgrass shoots, and it is likely that the sampled leaves were weeks away from senescing (Olesen & Sand-Jensen 1994b). Nonetheless, fitness costs may be incurred from wasting disease at high-prevalence sites in the Salish Sea. While wasting disease has previously caused rapid population declines (Atlantic coastlines in the 1930s), more work will be needed to pinpoint how much wasting is contributing to the current population declines in the Salish Sea. The high prevalence of wasting disease and extent of recent eelgrass population declines warrants further investigation into these topics.

Our study supports previous field observations of increased phenolic content in diseased seagrasses (Vergeer & Develi 1997). This positive association is consistent with some previous laboratory and mesocosm studies (e.g. Buchbaum et al. 1990, Vergeer et al. 1995, Steele et al. 2005), but not others (McKone & Tanner 2009). Indeed, the wide variation in the association of disease status on phenolic content found across the 11 sites suggests that, in addition to disease, other factors such as nutrient availability, herbivory, and genetics may be influencing the production of phenols.

Phenolic compounds in seagrasses, including *Zostera marina*, are a complex mixture of metabolites that include condensed tannins (proanthocyanidin polymers), phenolic acids, flavonoids, and lignins (Van Alstyne & Padilla in press). In the Salish Sea, the phenolic acids produced by Z. marina include ferulic (4-hydroxy-3-methoxycinnamic), vanillic (4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzoic), p-hydroxybenzoic (4-hydroxybenzoic), caffeic (3,4-dihydroxycinnamic), gallic (3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoic), protocatechuic (3,4-dihydroxybenzoic), and gentisic (2,5-dihydroxybenzoic) acid (Quackenbush et al. 1986, Ferrat et al. 2012). No single assay can measure the concentra-
tions of these structurally diverse compounds. Folin assays, such as the Folin-Ciocalteu assay that was used in this study, provide a measurement of the reducing activity of extracts containing phenolic compounds. This can be used as a proxy for the concentration of the compounds in the plants. The assay measures the ability of hydroxylated aromatic compounds in the extracts to reduce phosphomolybdic and phosphotungstic acid reagents, and reducing ability is thought to be correlated with the biological activity of the compounds (Appel et al. 2001). Because the ability of phenolic compounds to reduce these reagents is dependent on the compounds’ structures, changes in ‘phenolic contents’ of plants, as measured with Folin assays, could result from changes in the amounts of compounds present in the extracts or in their structural types. These assays provide a relatively rapid and inexpensive ‘first look’ at changes in plant biochemistry that correlate with differences in the plants’ environment and disease state, but to understand the specific mechanisms by which plants are responding to pathogens and environmental factors, more detailed analyses of specific compounds (e.g. phenolic acids) or more closely related groups of compounds (e.g. condensed tannins) are needed.

Phenols are associated with disease resistance in many plants (Nicholson & Hammerschmidt 1992); however, it is unclear whether the association found here is indicative of an adaptive response to infection. Increased production of caffeic acid in response to Labyrinthula spp. infection has been found in Z. marina, and caffeic acid can inhibit growth of Labyrinthula spp. in vitro (Buchsbaum et al. 1990, Vergeer et al. 1995, Vergeer & Develi 1997). In contrast, a potentially non-adaptive pseudo-induction of phenolics in another seagrass species, turtlegrass Thalassia testudinum, is hypothesized to occur when shoots cannot move photosynthates down the leaf past the site of infection (Steele & Valentine 2012, Trevathan-Tackett et al. 2015). This causes carbohydrates to accumulate above the sites of the Labyrinthula spp. infections, where they are then used to synthesize phenolic compounds (Steele et al. 2005). Finally, Labyrinthula may inhibit production of phenols that are capable of inhibiting growth of in vitro strains (e.g. Sneed 2005). Numerous other factors influence phenolic concentrations in plants. Phenol concentration decreases with blade age (Ravn et al. 1994) and is negatively correlated with environmental factors, including turbidity (Sneed 2005), temperature (Vergeer et al. 1995), ocean acidification (Arnold et al. 2012), low salinity (Sneed 2005), and heavy metal contamination (Ferrat et al. 2012). Mechanistic studies that quantify the locations and types of phenols produced over the course of an infection, and how those phenols affect the growth of Labyrinthula under various environmental conditions, are necessary to characterize the roles of these compounds.

The presence of Labyrinthula spp. cells in both diseased and healthy tissue is consistent with opportunistic pathogens, whereby the presence of pathogens is not necessarily indicative of disease (reviewed by Burge et al. 2013). The wide range of cell densities in the histology samples could reflect a heterogeneous distribution of Labyrinthula spp. in the hosts. Indeed, this is the case with sea fan corals infected with the labyrinthulomycete Aplanochytrium (Burge et al. 2012), and indicates that while histology can be an effective qualitative indicator of pathogen presence and infection of host tissues, it is not an accurate method to measure pathogen load, disease state, or strain. This highlights the importance of quantitative PCR methods for quantifying pathogen load in L. zosterae infections. Paired measurements of pathogen load and wasting disease status are necessary to understand whether a specific pathogen load and/or strain is associated with disease status.

We have highlighted the influence of host factors on eelgrass wasting disease prevalence across sites in the central region of the Salish Sea. Many questions remain about what other biotic or abiotic factors contribute to or are necessary for disease expression in Pacific Northwest eelgrass meadows. Other potential risk factors have not been fully evaluated in Pacific eelgrass meadows, including temperature, light, salinity, nutrients, and hydrogen sulfide toxicity (Holmer & Bondgaard 2001, Dooley et al. 2013, Yang et al. 2013, Kaldy 2014). Moreover, while our survey provides data on wasting disease prevalence across 11 sites, it is a single snapshot in time. Longitudinal studies to track disease progression and eelgrass population status over seasonal and multi-year fluctuations are critical for clarifying the relationship between disease and declining eelgrass meadows. Finally, the role of strain variation in the incidence and severity of wasting disease is not well understood, although preliminary evidence suggests that this variation may be substantial (Groner et al. 2014). Nonetheless, our study highlights the potential for wasting disease to cause eelgrass population declines in the Salish Sea and provides impetus and direction for further research. More broadly, the important role of host demography in the epidemiology of eelgrass wasting disease suggests that demo-
graphy may be an important area for research in other marine or terrestrial organisms that are infected with pathogenic labyrinthulomycetes.
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