Viral and bacterial serology of six free-ranging bearded seals *Erignathus barbatus*
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ABSTRACT: Serum or heparinized plasma samples were obtained from 3 male (2 adult and 1 weaned calf) and 3 adult female free-ranging bearded seals *Erignathus barbatus* in May of 1994, 1995, or 1996. Blood samples were obtained from animals taken in subsistence hunts near St. Lawrence Island, Alaska and screened for antibodies to a suite of bacteria and viruses potentially pathogenic for pinnipeds and/or humans. No samples had detectable antibodies to *Brucella* spp., Phocine distemper virus, influenza A virus or caliciviruses (San Miguel sea lion virus strains 1, 2, and 4 to 13, vesicular exanthema of swine serotypes A48, B51, C52, D53, E54, F55, G55, H54, I55, J56, K54, 1934B, and Tillamook and Walrus calicivirus). One seal had a low titer of 100 to *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *grippotyphosa*.
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INTRODUCTION

Bearded seals *Erignathus barbatus* are an important subsistence resource for native Alaskans living along the Bering and Chukchi Sea coasts, with an estimated annual harvest of 6788 animals (Angliss & Lodge 2002). There have been no comprehensive health surveys of this species. However, various bacteria and viruses have caused either localized or widespread morbidity or mortality in other free-ranging pinniped populations. Infections reported include *Leptospira* spp. (Gulland 1999), *Brucella* spp. (Nielson et al. 1996, 2001, Tryland et al. 1999), phocine distemper virus (PDV) (Duignan 1999), caliciviruses (Barlough et al. 1986), influenza A virus (Geraci et al. 1982, Danner et al. 1998), and phocid herpesvirus (Zarnke et al. 1997). Although bearded seals have been included in some pinniped infectious disease exposure surveys (Barlough et al. 1987, 1988, Osterhaus et al. 1988, Zarnke et al. 1997, Danner et al. 1998, Tryland et al. 1999), there have been no studies specifically examining exposure of bearded seals to multiple infectious organisms. Our objectives were to determine antibody levels to potential pinniped pathogens or zoonotic organisms in free ranging bearded seals collected opportunistically in the process of monitoring the Pacific walrus *Odobenus rosmarus divergens* harvest from 1994 to 1996. Testing was conducted for 5 *Leptospira interrogans* serovars, *Brucella* spp., PDV, 26 calicivirus strains, and influenza A virus as previously described for Pacific walrus (Calle et al. 2002).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Blood samples were collected from 3 male (2 adult and 1 weaned calf) and 3 adult female bearded seals harvested by native Alaskans during May 1994, 1995...

or 1996 in the Bering Sea west and southwest of Gambell (63.781° N, 171.736° W), St. Lawrence Island, Alaska (Table 1, Fig. 1). Collection of sample material (blood for infectious disease serology testing and organs for contaminant level determination) was authorized by US National Marine Fisheries Service permits 797 and 839. Blood samples were collected into plain or sodium heparin tubes from either freely flowing wounds or from the heart within 5 min post mortem and held at ambient temperature (−5 to +5°C) until processed. Samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 1500 \( \times g \) with a portable centrifuge within 7 h of collection. Time from centrifugation to freezing of serum or plasma varied from 0.1 to 7.9 h (mean: 1.5 h). In the field, plasma and serum were stored in chest freezers or portable liquid nitrogen tanks for 2 to 3 wk until transferred to a freezer (−20 or −70°C) for intermediate storage prior to shipment by overnight delivery to analysis laboratories, as previously described (Calle et al. 2002).

Serology for 5 \textit{Leptospira interrogans} serovars (canciola, hardjo, grippotyphosa, icterohaemorrhagiae/copenhageni, pomona) was performed at a veterinary diagnostic laboratory (New York State Diagnostic Laboratory, College of Veterinary Medicine, Ithaca, New York, USA) by the microscopic agglutination test (MAT) (Cole et al. 1979, Rubin et al. 1981, Ellinghausen et al. 1984). A positive test was defined as 50% or more of the live \textit{Leptospira} antigen/cells agglutinating at a screening dilution of 1:100, with titers of samples reacting at this dilution determined by assay of serial dilutions of samples. \textit{Brucella} spp., PDV, and calicivirus serologies were performed at the Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory at the Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory, USDA, APHIS-VS-NVSL, Plum Island, New York, USA (FADDL). Undiluted samples were tested by the card test (Miller et al. 1999) for antibodies to \textit{B. abortus}. If any granularity was observed, the sample was tested for antibodies to \textit{B. abortus} by the tube agglutination test (Miller et al. 1999) using dilutions of 1:25, 1:50, 1:100 and 1:200. Samples agglutinating the slurry completely at 1:25 and incompletely at 1:50 were considered positive for antibodies to \textit{Brucella}. Samples were serially diluted from 1:20 to 1:160 and tested by virus neutralization for antibodies to PDV (Duignan et al. 1994). Control wells observed to be contaminated by bacteria or to be non-specifically toxic to Vero cells were recorded as toxic and the sample was regarded as negative at the dilution tested. Test wells exhibiting cytopathic effects typical of the virus were recorded as positive. Samples were serially diluted from 1:20 to 1:180 and tested by virus neutralization tests for antibodies to a panel of caliciviruses. Samples from all 6 animals were tested for San Miguel sea lion virus strains 1, 2, 4 to 13 and vesicular exanthema of swine strains A48, B51, C52, D53, E54, F55, G55, H54, I55, J56, K54, 1934B, and from 5 animals for Tillamook and Walrus calicivirus (O’Hara et al. 1998). Determinations of the toxicities and endpoint titers of the sample were as described for PDV serological testing. Samples were considered positive for antibodies to a serotype of calicivirus if the endpoint titer was ≥32. Samples from 3 animals were tested at the National Veterinary Services Laboratories, Ames, Iowa, USA (NVSL) for antibodies to influenza A virus by the agar gel immunodiffusion (AGID) test. Samples precipitating the test antigen and forming a line of identity with the reference reagent serum were considered positive.

### RESULTS

No seals had antibody titers to \textit{Brucella} spp., PDV, influenza A or any calicivirus strain. One adult male

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G94-9001</td>
<td>63.667° N, 172.003° W</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G94-9002</td>
<td>63.667° N, 172.003° W</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G95-0746</td>
<td>63.535° N, 172.736° W</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G96-9001</td>
<td>63.461° N, 172.681° W</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G96-9002</td>
<td>63.816° N, 172.243° W</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G96-9003</td>
<td>63.819° N, 171.940° W</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Calf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
seal (G969001) had a low titer of 100 to *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *grippotyphosa* and all were negative for antibodies to the other *L. interrogans* serovars.

**DISCUSSION**

The low *Leptospira* titer observed might represent exposure to *Leptospira interrogans* serovar *grippotyphosa*, cross-reaction between serovars of *Leptospira* that were not assayed for in this study, or non-specific responses (Calle et al. 2002). California sea lions *Zalophus californianus* with titers to *L. interrogans* serovar *grippotyphosa* have been observed (Gulland et al. 1996, Godinez et al. 1999). Renal disease in Pacific harbor seals *Phoca vitulina richardsi* and asymptomatic infection in elephant seals *Mirounga angustirostris* with this serovar have been documented (Stamper et al. 1998). Sympatric Pacific walrus have also demonstrated titers to *L. interrogans* serovar *grippotyphosa* (Calle et al. 2002). Bearded seals have not previously been determined serologically positive for *L. interrogans* serovars. *L. interrogans* serovar *pomona* infection is common in northern fur seals *Callorhinus ursinus* (Smith et al. 1977) and California sea lions (Gulland 1999), but no serological evidence of infection with this serovar was observed in these bearded seals.

Both Atlantic and Pacific pinnipeds with serological evidence of *Brucella* spp. infection have been reported (Nielson et al. 1996, 2001, Tryland et al. 1999), although bearded seals were not positive (Tryland et al. 1999). In a previous survey, no Pacific walrus sympatric with these bearded seals had *Brucella* spp. antibodies (Calle et al. 2002).

Harbor seal *Phoca vitulina* and grey seal *Halichoerus grypus* PDV epizootics have been recorded (Osterhaus et al. 1988, Duignan 1999). Antibodies to PDV have been reported in Atlantic walrus *Odobenus rosmarus rosmarus* (Duignan et al. 1994), but not in Pacific walrus (Osterhaus et al. 1988, Calle et al. 2002) nor in a number of other Arctic pinniped species tested, including the bearded seal (Osterhaus et al. 1988). Eastern Arctic species, however, have antibodies to PDV (Duignan et al. 1997). At this time there is no evidence that PDV has been introduced to North American Pacific coast pinniped populations (Duignan et al. 1995, Kennedy 1998, Ham-Lamme et al. 1999).

Based upon virus isolation or serological surveys, multiple serotypes of influenza A virus have been documented in Atlantic harbor and gray seals (Webster et al. 1981, Geraci et al. 1982), but there is no serological evidence of influenza in northern fur seals sampled from the Bering Sea, Pacific Ocean, and Sea of Okhotsk (Webster et al. 1981). In a survey of Alaskan pinniped species (including Pacific walrus and bearded seal) (Danner et al. 1998), only 1 ringed seal *Phoca hispida* had antibody to influenza A. In contrast, a serological survey of Pacific walrus (Calle et al. 2002) provided evidence of exposure to a range of influenza A strains.

There are multiple reports documenting calicivirus exposure in a range of eastern Pacific, Arctic or Bering Sea marine mammals (Barlough et al. 1986, 1987, 1988, O’Hara et al. 1998, Calle et al. 2002). Although it appears that some of these calicivirus strains may be enzootic in several marine mammal species in the Arctic ecosystem, none of the bearded seals sampled displayed serological evidence of calicivirus infection, nor did bearded seals in other studies (Barlough et al. 1987, 1988).

*Leptospira, Brucella*, caliciviruses and influenza A virus are potential zoonotic diseases. Influenza A conjunctivitis has occurred in biologists and veterinarians working with infected pinnipeds (Webster et al. 1981). Humans have also been reported to develop either clinical leptospirosis or *Leptospira* antibody titers after exposure to *Leptospira*-infected pinnipeds (Gulland 1999). Investigators have developed calicivirus antibodies after working with infected pinnipeds (Barlough et al. 1986). Infected pinnipeds also pose a potential health risk to the Inupiat and Yupik hunters who utilize them as food sources (Calle et al. 2002).

Our study is the first to examine exposure of bearded seals to a range of infectious agents, contributes to knowledge of the population’s exposure to potentially pathogenic bacteria and viruses, and establishes baseline exposure status. There were too few animals tested to adequately assess potential differences in exposure based on age, sex, or collection location. The results suggest that the population may not have been exposed to influenza A viruses, caliciviruses, PDV, *Brucella* spp., and has had only limited, if any, exposure to *Leptospira* spp. As has been postulated for other immunologically naive pinniped populations, the bearded seal population could be adversely affected were novel pathogens introduced (Duignan et al. 1994, 1995, Danner et al. 1998, Kennedy 1998, Ham-Lamme et al. 1999, Calle et al. 2002); this could have adverse consequences for both bearded seal populations and native Alaskan subsistence.
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