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ABSTRACT: Sewage pollution is an increasing problem in tropical marine environments. In this review we synthesize present knowledge of the effects of sewage pollution on coral-reef communities, and suggest directions for future research. A wide range of sewage impacts on coral-reef communities has been reported. Little or no impact has been observed on some reefs in well-flushed waters that receive small quantities of effluent, whereas large discharges of effluent into poorly-flushed lagoons and bays have caused major changes in species composition and abundance. The 3 components of sewage effluent most detrimental to coral communities are nutrients, sediments, and toxic substances. Nutrient enrichment by sewage effluent may enhance benthic algal biomass and primary production in the water column. Increased primary production in the water column favors benthic filter-feeding invertebrates which, with the benthic algae, may out-compete corals and other reef-building organisms. Anthropogenic inputs of dissolved nutrients and organic particulate matter may also depress oxygen levels. While heavy sediment loads on corals may be lethal, lesser quantities may inhibit growth, cause changes in the growth forms of colonies, decrease coral cover, alter species composition of reef-building organisms, and inhibit coral recruitment. Toxic substances may induce metabolic changes in corals, decrease rates of growth and reproduction, or reduce viability of corals. Although further research is needed on all three major components of sewage effluent, the most critical need is for comprehensive, long-term studies of sewage impacts. The combined effects of particulate and toxic substances are especially poorly documented at this time.

INTRODUCTION

Sewage pollution of coral reefs has been recognized as a major environmental problem for some time (e.g. Doty, 1969; Banner, 1974). Regions where sewage pollution of coral reefs has been documented include the Red Sea (Walker and Ormond, 1982), the wider Caribbean (Barnes, 1973; Rodriguez, 1981), Hawaii (Smith et al., 1981), and the Caroline Islands (Hardy and Hardy, 1972; Tsuda et al., 1975; Amesbury et al., 1976). The problem is more prevalent than these few accounts would indicate, since it is infrequently reported (Hardy and Hardy, 1972). This review synthesizes present knowledge of sewage pollution effects on coral-reef ecosystems, identifies problems of special concern, and suggests directions for future research.

Coral-reef ecosystems are extremely sensitive to environmental perturbations. This high sensitivity is linked to 3 factors. First, corals have narrow physiological tolerance ranges for environmental conditions (Johannes, 1975; Endean, 1976). Any variation of physical-chemical conditions outside these narrow tolerance ranges could be detrimental to coral growth and survival (Johannes and Betzer, 1975; Endean, 1976; Pearson, 1981). Second, the interactions of key reef species (e.g. plant-herbivore relations, algae-coral competition) are susceptible to pollutant stresses (Johannes and Betzer, 1975). The destruction of hermatypic corals by pollution leads to the eventual demise of many reef species dependent on living corals for food, shelter, and refuge from predators (Johannes, 1975). Lastly, the effects of toxic substances may be enhanced at high water temperatures common in coral reef environments (Johannes and Betzer, 1975). Documented effects of high temperatures include increased solubility, faster biotic uptake, and greater toxicity.

The potential impacts of sewage effluent on coral-
Reef communities may be classified into 3 broad categories representing separate but interacting processes: nutrient enrichment, sedimentation, and toxicity. In the first section of this paper, we discuss case histories of sewage pollution in coral-reef systems. Next, the effects of nutrient enrichment, sedimentation, and toxicity are discussed individually to provide a better understanding of the mechanisms producing different kinds of impacts. After a brief consideration of coral-reef recovery from disturbance, our current understanding of sewage pollution in relation to coral reefs is discussed, and areas for further research are suggested.

**CASE HISTORIES**

Most field studies investigating sewage-pollution effects on coral-reef ecosystems have been short-term and limited in scope. In addition, some studies have been poorly designed, thereby limiting the reliability of the data. One sewage-stressed reef ecosystem has been studied through time and merits extensive discussion: Kaneohe Bay, Oahu, Hawaii. Kaneohe Bay will be discussed in detail after the pertinent findings of short-term studies are reviewed.

**Short-term studies**

Increased abundances of benthic algae and filter-feeding invertebrates other than corals have been observed in several reef ecosystems subjected to chronic sewage pollution: e.g. Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea (Walker and Ormond, 1982), offshore from Waianae, Oahu, Hawaii (Reed et al., 1977), and Mamala Bay, Hawaii (Dollar, 1980). Barnes (1973) observed evidence of chemical stress, nutrient enrichment, solids deposition, and bacterial contamination on coral reefs subjected to low volumes of sewage effluent from small hotels in Jamaica. The most common response to sewage loading was an increase in benthic algae and filter-feeding invertebrates such as bryozoans, sponges, and tunicates, with a corresponding decrease in the diversity and abundance of hermatypic corals.

The fringing reef near Aqaba, Jordan, is affected by a sewage discharge (primary treatment; unknown flow) and by sediment deposition from an apatite ore loading facility. Although the relative importance of sewage pollution and apatite loss from ships was not determined, spatial effects of the sewage discharge were apparent. An increase in algal cover (species of *Ulva* and *Enteromorpha*), a decrease in coral diversity, and an increase in small grazing molluscs were 'obvious' from 5 m 'upstream' to 50 m 'downstream' of the outfall (Walker and Ormond, 1982). Walker and Ormond (1982) found that the death rate of coral tissue near the outfall was 4 to 5 times the death rate observed in a control area. Mortality may have been related to inhibition of calcification by high phosphate concentration, to stress caused by high sediment loads, or to localized bacterial infection triggered by the sewage effluent (Walker and Ormond, 1982). Dead portions of colonies were covered with filamentous algae, which trapped sediment and further stressed adjacent coral tissues. *Stylophora pistillata*, a fast-growing opportunistic species (Loya, 1976a), was the only remaining abundant coral species.

Few substantial effects of sewage on coral reef communities have been demonstrated in well-flushed waters along open coasts. Reed et al. (1977) observed enhanced abundances of frondose algae near the outfall diffuser at Waianae, Oahu, Hawaii, but the distributions and abundances of corals, fishes, and small molluscs were not noticeably affected by the 4.5 × 10^2 m^3 d^-1 discharge. In a similar study conducted by Russo et al. (1979) off Mokapu, Oahu, coral coverage and algal biomass appeared unaffected within the first year of sewage discharge (3.7 × 10^4 m^3 d^-1 of primary treated sewage effluent). However, other biological changes were observed near the outfall and attributed to the discharge, including increased diversity and abundance of fishes, increased abundance of filter-feeding bryozoans, increased abundance of small molluscs, and changes in the abundances of key species and small molluscs. Short-term studies conducted within a year after initiation of small sewage discharges, i.e. effluent flows less than about 1.9 × 10^4 m^3 d^-1, have revealed little or no damage to coral reef communities (e.g. Tsuda et al., 1975). These data suggest that although algal populations increase rapidly in response to sewage enrichment, community-level impacts on corals may require a year or more to develop after the discharge begins.

**Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii**

The effects of sewage enrichment on coral-reef communities in Kaneohe Bay and their initial recovery following diversion of wastewaters are particularly well documented (Maragos and Chave, 1973; Banner, 1974; Laws and Redalje, 1979, 1982; Smith et al., 1981). Kaneohe Bay received major sewage inputs for about 30 yr (Laws, 1981). Sewage discharge rates increased from about 5.7 × 10^2 to 3.2 × 10^3 m^3 d^-1 between 1950 and 1977, with most of the wastewaters receiving secondary treatment after 1963. In 1977 and 1978, wastewaters from the Kailua-Kaneohe and Marine Corps
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**Sewage impacts**

As a consequence of nutrient enrichment by sewage discharges into southern Kaneohe Bay, phytoplankton biomass and total primary productivity were enhanced, and water clarity was reduced (Laws and Redalje, 1979; Smith et al., 1981). For example, the average chlorophyll a concentration near the outfall (OF sector in Fig. 1) was 6.7 times the average value for

Benthic communities in Kaneohe Bay were affected by sewage effluent in several ways. Coral cover, taxonomic richness, and net calcification rates decreased (Banner, 1974; Kinsey, 1979; Smith et al., 1981). Biomass of the algae *Ulva* and *Hydroclathrus* increased in the OF and SE sectors, and biomass of the bubble alga *Dictyosphaeria cavernosa* increased in the CE sector (Soegiarto, 1973; Banner, 1974; Smith et al., 1981). In general, benthic community structure shifted away from corals and coral associates toward filter feeders, such as sponges and zoanthids (Maragos, 1972; Smith et al., 1981). Sewage influenced the coral reefs of central Kaneohe Bay indirectly by stimulating the growth of *Dictyosphaeria cavernosa* (Smith et al., 1981). This green bubble alga kills corals (especially *Porites compressa*) by forming thick mats which smother all underlying reef organisms (Maragos and Chave, 1973; Banner, 1974). *D. cavernosa* failed to dominate benthic communities in the northwest sector, possibly because of more intense grazing pressure and better flushing there (Maragos, pers. comm.). Living corals transplanted to the south bay were quickly out-competed by tunicates, bryozoans, sponges, sabellid worms, and other filter-feeders (Maragos, 1972). Maragos (1972) observed that the survival time of transplanted corals was directly proportional to distance from the sewage outfalls. Sorokin (1973) found that sulfide levels in the sediments increased, and the

---

**Table 1. Responses of ecosystem variables to sewage diversion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Intensity of response and magnitude of spatial gradient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate response to sewage diversion, Moderate spatial gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolved inorganic nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particulate organic carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phytoplankton biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phytoplankton growth rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phytoplankton dry weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lagoon floor nitrogen release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Large response to diversion, Wide range of spatial gradients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissolved inorganic phosphorus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hard bottom algal biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hard bottom cryptofaunal biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lagoon floor biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Slight response to diversion, Small to large north-south gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detritus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zooplankton structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benthic algal structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benthic macrofauna structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fish structure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* From Smith et al. (1981)
anaerobic layer expanded closer to the Kaneohe sewage outfall, suggesting that a toxic effect contributed to the demise of corals and the absence of *Dictyosphereria* in the southern basin. Low levels of available light probably restricted the growth of *Dictyosphereria* in the southern basin as well (Smith et al., 1981; Maragos, pers. comm.).

Fish communities on reef slopes and crests exhibited substantial differences among the various sectors of Kaneohe Bay. By the late 1970s, planktivorous species such as *Stolephorus purpureus* and *Panesus insularum* dominated the fish communities of the outfall sector and the central sector (Clarke, 1973; Brock et al., 1979). This contrasts with other reefs, which are generally dominated by predators (Goldman and Talbot, 1976). Six species resided in the southern basin, compared with 43 species in the central sector and 40 species in the northern sector (Smith et al., 1981). Presumably, this pattern resulted from the absence of living corals and reduced habitat complexity of the southern sector.

**Recovery after sewage diversion**

Smith et al. (1981) studied the responses of physical, chemical, and biological variables to sewage diversion. These variables fell into 3 response categories depending on the degree of change from the prediversion period (Jan, 1976, through Nov, 1977) to the postdiversion period (Dec, 1977, through Aug, 1979) and the magnitude of a north-south spatial gradient (Table 1). Particulate and dissolved inorganic materials, phytoplankton, and microheterotrophic masses in Group 1 exhibited gradients from high values near the outfall to low values in the northern basin (Smith et al., 1981). These parameters decreased rapidly within 1 to 2 yr following sewage diversion, possibly returning to baseline values (Smith et al., 1981). However, Laws (1981) and Laws and Redalje (1982) suggested that nutrients released from organic-rich sediments may have continued to support chlorophyll a biomass and nutrient levels above baseline values throughout the postdiversion period.

The second group of variables, including dissolved inorganic phosphorus and several benthic biomass measures, showed a large decrease after sewage diversion. The large responses of benthic biomass variables reflect the decreased influx of particulate matter to the benthos, compared with that contributed previously from a water column enriched with sewage nutrients. However, Smith et al. (1981) reported that benthic conditions at the end of their postdiversion survey did not match the ‘presewage’ baseline.

The third group of variables showed little response to sewage diversion. The slight change in community structure variables from prediversion to postdiversion periods indicates that benthic communities did not have sufficient time to return to a predischarge condition (Smith et al., 1981). Disintegration of reef rock, which was linked to shifts in reef community metabolism, could account for a delay in recovery. Moreover, slow recruitment by most coral populations precludes rapid succession and recovery of reef communities. By mid-1981, partial recovery of the coral communities was evident (Smith et al., 1981). As of July 1983, coral coverage had increased substantially in the previously impacted portions of Kaneohe Bay (Maragos, pers. comm.). The pollution-sensitive coral species *Pocillopora damicornis* has recently invaded the southern basin, where only relatively tolerant species of *Montipora* and *Porites* were found during the sewage discharge period, e.g. in the 1971 survey of Maragos (1972).

**MECHANISMS OF SEWAGE IMPACTS ON CORAL REEFS**

**Nutrient enrichment**

Nutrient enrichment of coral-reef communities produces a variety of direct and indirect effects. At low levels of nutrient input, primary production of benthic algae is enhanced without affecting biomass, species composition, or trophic structure (Kinsey and Domm, 1974). Moderate levels of enrichment cause increased primary production and biomass in both phytoplankton and benthic algal populations (Laws and Redalje, 1979; Smith et al., 1981). With increasing nutrient inputs, shifts in species dominance often lead to blooms of nuisance algae, especially planktonic flagellates (Clutter, 1972; Mahoney and McLaughlin, 1977) and benthic green or blue-green algae (Banner, 1974; Snedaker, pers. comm.). Some opportunistic algae are extremely sensitive to nutrient enrichment. For example, the green bubble alga *Dictyosphereria cavernosa* responded to sewage inputs at distances of over 10 km from the outfalls in Kaneohe Bay (Banner, 1974). Although enhanced plant growth and sewage particles around outfalls often attract grazing fishes (Johannes, 1975; Russo, 1982), algal blooms may persist even in the presence of enhanced grazer populations (Walker and Ormond, 1982).

Through its effects on production and biomass of algae, moderate nutrient enrichment often influences coral communities indirectly. Elevated phytoplankton populations may stress hermatypic corals in two ways. First, reduced light penetration affects coral nutrition, growth, and survival through negative impacts on the zooxanthellae (Smith et al., 1981). Second, increased
Sedimentation

Suspended solids in receiving waters for sewage discharges originate from 3 sources: particles contained in effluents, particulate organic matter produced by nutrient enrichment, and natural seston. The relative importance of these sources depends on wastewater treatment level. Because quantitative measurements of solid deposition rates and sedimentation effects are unavailable for sewage discharges in coral reef environments, the following discussion emphasizes the sedimentation of particulate matter derived from terrestrial runoff, dredging and filling activities, and resuspension of bottom sediments. It should be recognized, however, that the physical, chemical, and toxicological characteristics of sewage solids differ substantially from particulates derived from other sources.

Sediment rejection by corals and tolerance to sedimentation

Coral polyps reject sediment landing on the surface of the colony by 4 mechanisms: polyp distension by uptake of water through the stomodeum, tentacular movements, ciliary action, and mucus production (Smith and Jokiel, 1975; Schuhmacher, 1977; Randall and Birkeland, 1978). In shallow waters of both regions, higher sedimentation rates, which are beyond the upper ends of the quoted ranges, may be found.

The ability of corals to reject sediment is limited by several factors, such that a heavy coating of sediments or complete burial for more than several hours is lethal to most coral colonies (Edmondson, 1928; Marshall and Orr, 1931; Roy and Smith, 1971; Rogers, 1983). Studies by Hubbard and Pocock (1972) and Bak and Eigenshuizen (1976) suggest that coral colonies are unable to coordinate transport of sediment off the colony by the shortest possible route. For those species in which removal is uncoordinated, the sediment rejection process is expected to be more efficient in small colonies than in large colonies (Dodge and Vaisnys, 1977). Second, silt (< 62 μm) is the largest particle size effec-
Table 2. Sensitivity of some common coral species to sedimentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acropora cervicornis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Hubbard and Pocock (1972); Rogers (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora corymbosa</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bennett (1971)<em>; Brown (1972)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora hyacinthus</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bennett (1971)<em>; Brown (1972)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acropora palmata</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Rogers (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Acropora spp.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bennett (1971)<em>; Brown (1972)</em>; Hubbard and Pocock (1972); Ott (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agaricia agaricites</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bak (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploria strigosa</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Rogers (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungia spp</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Edmondson (1928); Marshall and Orr (1931)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madracis marabilis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bak (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manicina areolata</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Yonge (1935)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montastrea annularis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Rogers (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montastrea cavernosa</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bak and Elgershuizen (1976); Lasker (1980); Loya (1976b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocillopora spp.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Edmondson (1928); Marshall and Orr (1931); Bennett (1971)<em>; Brown (1972)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porites astreoides</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bak (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Porites spp.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Edmondson (1928); Bennett (1971)<em>; Brown (1972)</em>; Hubbard and Pocock (1972); Ott (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderastrea radians</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Ott (1975); Loya (1976b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderastrea siderea</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Bak and Elgershuizen (1976); Loya (1976b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As cited by Endean (1976)

Sediment removal by many coral species (Hubbard and Pocock, 1972). Larger size fractions, which are removed by some species but not others, must be transported by polyp distension or tentacular movement rather than by relatively weak ciliary action.

Species sensitivities to sedimentation are determined by the sediment-trapping properties of the colony and the ability of individual polyps to reject settled materials. Horizontal plate-like colonies and massive growth forms present large, stable surfaces for the interception and retention of settling solids. Conversely, vertical plates and upright branching forms are less likely to retain sediments (Bak and Elgershuizen, 1976; Dryer and Logan, 1978; Rogers, 1983). Tall polyps and convex colonies are also less susceptible to sediment accumulation than are other growth forms (Lasker, 1980). Although the efficiency of sediment rejection has been related to skeletal geometry and polyp morphology, polyp behavior may supersede morphological limitations (Bak and Elgershuizen, 1976).

The relative sensitivities of some coral species to sedimentation are given in Table 2. The genera Acropora, Porites and Pocillopora illustrate the variation in rank which may occur among species within a genus. In general, coral species inhabiting the seaward margins of a reef are less tolerant of high sediment loads than species found in nearshore areas (Vaughan, 1916; Marshall and Orr, 1931; Stern and Stickle, 1978).
Individual effects

High concentrations of suspended solids and rapid sedimentation may affect reef biota at the individual, population, and community levels. Sublethal sediment loads from either newly deposited sediments or resuspended sediments may affect coral colonies by decreasing growth rates, possibly leading to the death of all or part of the colony. In Discovery Bay, Jamaica, Aller and Dodge (1974) found that the average growth rate and the maximum growth rate of the coral *Montastrea annularis* were inversely proportional to sediment resuspension values (Fig. 2). The median particle size of resuspended material was less than 0.062 mm. ‘Resuspension values’ also included fresh deposition, but Aller and Dodge (1974) showed that newly-deposited material was a minor component of the sediments collected in their traps. Increased sedimentation associated with a dredging operation conducted from 1941 to 1943 in Castle Harbor, Bermuda, was apparently responsible for decreased growth rates in corals (Dodge and Vaisnys, 1977). Impacts of substrate disruption persisted for several years after cessation of dredging, as a result of periodic sediment resuspension. Eventually, all corals older than 20 yr died throughout the harbor.

Growth inhibition by sublethal sediment loads may be caused by decreased light availability, abrasion, and increased energy expenditure for sediment rejection. High turbidity interferes with light penetration to the bottom and thereby limits photosynthesis of zooxanthellae and, indirectly, coral growth (Roy and Smith, 1971; Maragos et al., 1970). Resuspension values also included fresh deposition, but Aller and Dodge (1974) showed that newly-deposited material was a minor component of the sediments collected in their traps. Increased sedimentation associated with a dredging operation conducted from 1941 to 1943 in Castle Harbor, Bermuda, was apparently responsible for decreased growth rates in corals (Dodge and Vaisnys, 1977). Impacts of substrate disruption persisted for several years after cessation of dredging, as a result of periodic sediment resuspension. Eventually, all corals older than 20 yr died throughout the harbor.

Growth inhibition by sublethal sediment loads may be caused by decreased light availability, abrasion, and increased energy expenditure for sediment rejection. High turbidity interferes with light penetration to the bottom and thereby limits photosynthesis of zooxanthellae and, indirectly, coral growth (Roy and Smith, 1971; Maragos et al., 1970). Resuspension values also included fresh deposition, but Aller and Dodge (1974) showed that newly-deposited material was a minor component of the sediments collected in their traps. Increased sedimentation associated with a dredging operation conducted from 1941 to 1943 in Castle Harbor, Bermuda, was apparently responsible for decreased growth rates in corals (Dodge and Vaisnys, 1977). Impacts of substrate disruption persisted for several years after cessation of dredging, as a result of periodic sediment resuspension. Eventually, all corals older than 20 yr died throughout the harbor.

Abrasion of coral surfaces by sediments or suspended particulates transported by wave surge may contribute to decreases in net rates of tissue growth and calcification (Johannes, 1975; Loya, 1976b; Rogers, 1983). Wiens (1962) concluded that mechanical scour plays a role in the natural destruction of coral reefs, particularly at the reef margins.

Rejection of sediments by corals is energetically expensive. Dallmeyer et al. (1982) demonstrated a significant increase in coral respiration rates during vigorous sediment-cleansing activities. As energy required for sediment removal is diverted from other metabolic functions, growth and reproductive output may be reduced (Aller and Dodge, 1974; Dodge and Vaisnys, 1977; Dallmeyer et al., 1982). Moreover, if coral polyps are occupied with sediment rejection activities, they may be unable to capture zooplankton effectively.

In addition to effects on coral growth rates, high sedimentation rates may modify colony growth towards forms more resistant to sedimentation (Marshall and Orr, 1901; Chappell, 1980). Roy and Smith (1971) concluded that reefs in the clear water areas of Fanning Atoll Lagoon were ecologically different from those in the turbid-water areas. Ramose corals, which intercept less sediment than massive corals of the same surface area, accounted for 55% of the individuals at the turbid site and only 10% of those in the clear-water area. Despite ecological differences, species composition in the 2 areas was similar, with only 4 species being excluded from the turbid-water area (Maragos et al., 1970).

In contrast to the relatively inert calcium carbonate sediments typical of reef environments, much of the particulate and dissolved organic matter discharged in sewage effluent is organic, and is readily decomposed by microbes in the water column or sediments. Because most tropical organisms, including corals, are living near their critical tolerance levels for dissolved oxygen (Kinsey, 1973), depressed oxygen levels caused by decomposition of sewage organics ‘may constitute a significant stress’ (Johannes, 1975). Depression is most critical at night when oxygen levels are usually at their daily minima. Kinsey (1973) indicated that reef communities maintained a constant rate of oxygen consumption (respiration) as ambient dissolved oxygen levels declined to zero in field experiments. However, isolated corals may decrease their respiration rates to survive short periods of anoxia (Yonge et al., 1932).

Population and community effects

At the population and community levels, increased sedimentation may inhibit population recruitment, cause changes in the relative abundances of coral species, reduce substrate cover by living corals, and reduce species richness (or diversity). Loya (1976b) examined two reefs at Negro-Bank, Puerto Rico, to determine the role of turbidity and sedimentation in control of community structure. Coral diversity and living cover were high at the upper East Reef where average water turbidity and sedimentation were low, but were relatively low at the West Reef where average turbidity and sedimentation were much higher (Fig. 3). The major source of sediment stress appeared to be periodic resuspension and redeposition of fine sediments during and after heavy seas. Species composi-
Toxic effects on corals and other reef organisms may result from one or more of the chemicals commonly found in sewage effluent: e.g. PCBs, metals, chlorine, phosphate, pesticides, and petroleum hydrocarbons.
Fig. 4. Coral species richness, percent cover, and colony size as a function of sedimentation rate, Guam (data from Randall and Birkeland, 1978)
Table 3. Estimated degree of impact on coral communities by various levels of sedimentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sedimentation ratea mg cm⁻² d⁻¹</th>
<th>Degree of impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>Slight to moderateb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased abundance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Altered growth forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased growth rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible reductions in recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible reductions in numbers of species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–50</td>
<td>Moderate to severec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greatly decreased abundance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greatly decreased growth rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predominance of altered growth forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased numbers of species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible invasions of opportunistic species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>Severe to catastrophicd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severely decreased abundance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe degradation of communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Most species excluded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Many colonies die</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recruitment severely reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regeneration slowed or stopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invasion by opportunistic species</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data used to generate this table are for reef communities at moderate depth and moderate exposure. Some variation occurs among authors in the sedimentation rates associated with the degree of impact.

b Dodge et al. (1974); Ott (1975); Loya (1976b); Randall and Birkeland (1978); Lasker (1980)

c Griffin (1974); Loya (1976b); Randall and Birkeland (1978); Morelock et al. (1979); Lasker (1980)

d Randall and Birkeland (1978)

Hydrogen sulfide released from anaerobic sediments is also toxic, and may affect corals and other reef organisms. Concentrations of these chemicals may vary greatly among discharges and through time for a specific discharge. Because of the many combinations of chemicals and chemical concentrations which may occur in sewage effluent, the possibilities for additive, synergistic, and antagonistic effects of these toxic substances are correspondingly great. Yet their effects on corals and other reef organisms have received little attention.

The effects of free residual chlorine on reef organisms were reviewed by Johannes (1975). He cited several studies which indicate that reef fishes are sensitive to chlorine. Davis (1971 as cited by Johannes, 1975) tested the effects of 0.49 mg l⁻¹ chlorine on the planulae of three species of Hawaiian corals, and found that exposure for up to 7 h was not lethal. In 96 h static bioassays, the growth rates of the phytoplankters Chaetoceros gracilis and Dunaliella tertiolecta were reduced by 50% when exposed to initial concentrations of 0.09 to 0.32 ppm of oxidants induced by addition of chlorine as NaOCl (Best et al., 1981). The LC50 for larvae of the coral reef urchin Echinometra mathaei ranged from 0.46 to 0.84 ppm of chlorine-induced oxidants, whereas the LC50 for veligers of Stylocelis longicauda was over 1.95 ppm.

Phosphate pollution has been implicated as a factor contributing to the decline of reef ecosystems in Eilat, Red Sea, an area stressed by both oil and phosphate pollution (Fishelson, 1973; Loya, 1973, 1976c; Fishelson, 1977). Although studies by Loya (1975), Rinkevich and Loya (1977), and Loya and Rinkevich (1979) suggest that chronic oil pollution accounts for a substantial part of the damage observed on the Eilat reef flat, effects of elevated phosphorus levels should not be dismissed. Kinsey and Davies (1979) experimentally enriched a patch reef at One Tree Island, Great Barrier Reef, with phosphate (2 μM) and nitrogen (20 μM urea plus ammonia) for 3 h each day at low tide over a period of 8 mo. They found that reef calcification was reduced by at least 50%, and attributed this suppression to phosphate enrichment.

The toxicity of pesticides to reef biota has been the subject of a single scientific publication. McCloskey and Chesher (1971) subjected the corals Monastrea annularis, Acropora cervicornis, and Madracis mirabilis to 1,000 ppb mixtures of p,p'-DDT, dieldrin, and Aroclor 1254 (a PCB) in equal proportions. Colonies were also exposed to 10, 100, and 1,000 ppb of each of the three compounds. No changes in feeding behavior, polyp extension, sediment clearing, settling of coral associates, or crystal formation were observed. However, the authors recorded an increase in respiration (R) and a decrease in photosynthesis (P) for all 3 species, such that the P/R ratio fell below 1.0. Photosynthesis remained depressed for up to 4 d, at which time the experiment was terminated. The compensation value (i.e. the light level at which oxygen generated by photosynthesis is equal to oxygen consumed by respiration) also increased with the addition of the organochlorides. The authors concluded that the organochloride mixture affected coral metabolism such that the growth and maintenance of the coral colonies could have been compromised.

Among the toxic substances which may be present in sewage effluent, petroleum hydrocarbons have been the most intensively studied for their effects on reef biota (see Loya and Rinkevich, 1980 and Knap et al., 1983 for reviews). At high concentrations, the water-accommodated fractions of crude oil have been shown to cause mortality in the soft coral Heteroxenia fuscescens (Eisler, 1975; Cohen et al., 1977). However, because petroleum hydrocarbons in sewage effluent...
are not likely to be present in high concentrations, chronic exposure of reef organisms to low petroleum concentrations is of greater concern than acute toxic effects. Brief, low-level exposure to physically and chemically dispersed oil may elicit only temporary behavioral responses from coral colonies (Knap et al., 1983). Long-term studies have not yet been completed.

In addition to toxic substances in the sewage effluent, toxic substances in bottom sediments near sewage outfalls may also affect coral communities. Hydrogen sulfide in bottom sediments increases with proximity to sewage discharge sites as the organic content of the sediments increases (Sorokin, 1973). The release of toxic sulfides from bottom sediments may have been partly responsible for coral mortality and for the low diversity of reef communities in southern Kaneohe Bay (Maragos, 1972; Maragos and Chave, 1973). In some instances, resuspension of sediments during storms may also transfer toxic materials (e.g. PCBs, metals, pesticides) to the water column, increasing the likelihood of affecting reef biota.

Most studies of the effects of toxic substances on coral reef organisms have been short-term (e.g. less than 1 wk). Yet results of several studies of petroleum contamination suggest that sublethal and lethal effects may be delayed or prolonged in some cases. Whereas uptake of petroleum hydrocarbons by corals occurs relatively quickly, depuration does not. Peters et al. (1981) and Knap et al. (1982) reported that depuration of corals did not occur within 2 wk after cessation of exposure. Death of coral tissue following short-term exposure to Bunker C oil also may not occur until two weeks after exposure (Birkeland et al., 1976). The length of time over which delayed effects of toxic substances on coral biota may occur, and the severity of those delayed effects, have yet to be established.

**RECOVERY FROM IMPACTS**

Rates of coral recolonization and recovery from environmental perturbations are influenced by many factors, including the kind of disturbance, species composition of the reef community, location of the damaged habitat, size of the disturbed patch, intensity and frequency of disturbance, availability of a reproductive ‘seed’ population, larval and adult dispersal capabilities, current patterns, availability of substrate for larval settlement, survival of larvae and adults, and productivity of the ecosystem. Because the importance of these and other factors varies among sites, recolonization and recovery times also vary.

Recovery time for a coral reef after disturbance may vary from a few years to decades. Recovery of coral reef communities from small, localized disturbances such as extreme low tides, red tides, low temperatures and moderate storm damage usually requires a decade or less (Loya, 1975; Smith, 1975; Yamaguchi, 1975; Endean, 1976; Loya, 1976c; Shinn, 1976; Pearson, 1981). Small perturbations may increase coral diversity through compensatory mortality of dominant forms (Porter, 1972; Connell, 1978), and may promote asexual reproduction by fragmentation and dispersal of branching forms such as Acropora palmata and A. cervicornis (Highsmith et al., 1980). Following severe damage (e.g. extensive storm damage, volcanic eruption, nuclear explosions, floods, dredging), hermatypic corals may exhibit negligible recolonization even after 20 to 30 yr (Stephenson et al., 1958; Stoddart, 1963, 1969; Grigg and Maragos, 1974; Johannes, 1975; Endean, 1976; Pearson, 1981). In some cases, extreme habitat modification may preclude complete recovery (Johannes, 1975; Endean, 1976; Pearson, 1981). Estimates of recovery times from damage by Acanthaster planci vary from a few years to decades (Pearson and Endean, 1969; Endean, 1971; Branham, 1973; Endean, 1973; Randall, 1973; Pearson, 1974; Endean, 1976; Colgan, 1981; Pearson, 1981), and are probably related to the extent of the damage inflicted and the ecological characteristics of the reef community.

Life history strategies of the dominant species and key trophic interactions influence the initial response to natural or anthropogenic perturbations, as well as the direction and timing of coral reef recovery (Loya, 1976a; c; Pearson, 1981; Highsmith, 1982; Porter et al., 1982). In general, recovery of coral reef communities may be fastest on the reef flat and shallow reef front, and slowest in the coral lagoon and seaward reef slope zones (Pearson, 1981). Recovery of exposed coral reefs which are dominated by early successional communities is usually more rapid than recovery of sheltered reefs where communities are closer to ‘climax’ associations (Grigg and Maragos, 1974), because frequent physical disturbance of exposed habitats favors opportunistic species (Loya, 1976a). Recovery of corals may be delayed if grazer populations are depressed, allowing space to be monopolized by benthic algae (Fishelson, 1973; Birkeland, 1977; Sammarco, 1982).

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Sewage effluent is potentially harmful to coral-reef communities. The degree to which reef communities are affected is determined primarily by the quantity and quality of effluent and the hydrography of the receiving waters. Whereas a small discharge into well-flushed waters may impact reef biota little or not at all, a large discharge may cause the disruption of community structure and the eventual erosion of the reef.
through mechanical, chemical, and biological processes.

In undisturbed habitats, coral reefs exhibit a wide range of individual and community responses along gradients of nutrient influx and sedimentation. This range of responses includes modification of coral growth forms and growth rates, and changes in species composition, diversity, and abundance of corals. The kinds of biological responses caused by low to moderate inputs of sewage effluent are similar to those found along a natural enrichment gradient. Slight nutrient enrichment by sewage effluent may stimulate marine productivity, and outfall structures may provide additional habitat for reef biota. Although these changes may be viewed as beneficial, other effects of sewage discharges on reef biota have not been fully documented. For example, subtle changes in reef ecology which may accompany the discharge of toxic substances in sewage effluent warrant further investigation.

Healthy coral communities may exist in chronically turbid waters, especially when strong currents prevent the build-up of sediments on coral surfaces (Marshall and Orr, 1931; Roy and Smith, 1971). Nevertheless, corals exposed to high turbidity or rapid sedimentation are less diverse and less abundant than those at unperturbed sites with clear water (Roy and Smith, 1971; Loya, 1976a; Randall and Birkeland, 1978). Since coral depth distribution is limited by light penetration, increased turbidity levels may produce an apparent shift of coral depth distributions toward shallower waters. The relation of species distributions to light levels is complex (Sheppard, 1982), and a simple response to elevated turbidity is unlikely. Unconsolidated substrates are also unsuitable for settlement and survival of coral recruits (Harrigan, 1972 as cited by Johannes, 1975). Therefore, accumulation of sediment on hard substrates inhibits larval settlement and juvenile coral development (Edmondson, 1928; Maragos, 1972; Dodge and Vaisnys, 1977). The effects of deposited sediments on coral recruits and adults may persist long after the source of primary sedimentation has been reduced or eliminated, due to the periodic resuspension of deposited materials.

Despite the large quantity of information available on the basic ecology of coral reefs, data on anthropogenic impacts are limited. Those studies which have been performed are, with the exception of Kaneohe Bay, short-term and limited in scope. Short-term studies are capable of documenting impacts at a given point in time. They are incapable of relating changes in the level of impact to natural variation in the environment, or to variations in the quantity or quality of a chronic pollutant discharge such as sewage effluent. The relative importances of effluent components (i.e. nutrients, sediments, toxic substances) to observed impacts on reef biota are also difficult to determine in short-term studies. Comprehensive, long-term studies incorporating field surveys, field experiments, and laboratory experiments are needed to understand the relative importances of these factors, and to assess their additive, antagonistic, and synergistic effects. Long-term studies are also needed to document recovery times of reef organisms and communities from sewage impacts, and to understand the processes which mediate and promote recovery.

Among the 3 components of sewage effluent of concern to reef communities, nutrient enrichment and sedimentation have received most study. Unfortunately, most sediment studies have involved sediments from sources other than sewage effluent. These particles may differ considerably in their physical and chemical characteristics from sewage-derived particles, and may affect coral communities differently than do sewage-derived particles. Additional research is needed to assess the direct and indirect effects of sewage-derived particles on coral reef biota. Further research is also needed to assess the effects of toxic substances on reef biota. Except for petroleum hydrocarbons, the effects of toxic substances have been largely ignored, despite the potential for immediate and delayed impacts. Substantial progress in the ability to predict and minimize impacts could be made if it were understood why certain reef species were favored and other reef species were disfavored when subjected to nutrient enrichment, sedimentation, and toxicity from sewage discharges.
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