INTRODUCTION

The foraging behaviour of animals depends largely on the distribution, abundance, mobility and predictability of their food resources (Bell 1991). During the breeding season, locating food is a particular problem for many bird species that have dependent offspring at the nest: their foraging range is limited by the need to return repeatedly to the nest, and they need to meet the chicks' nutritional requirements in addition to their own (Carlson & Moreno 1985, Kacelnik & Cuthill 1990). Seabirds face particular difficulties in this respect because marine food resources have a sparse, patchy and often unpredictable distribution (Ashmole 1971, Irons 1998). Procellariiform seabirds have well-developed olfactory systems and may be able to detect prey at long distances using olfactory cues (Nevitt 2000). However, other species can probably detect prey only at a relatively close range. Thus, adults may...
need to travel long distances from the nest in search of prey, making foraging trips of several hours to several days duration and travelling up to several hundred km or more from the breeding site (Jouventin & Weimerskirch 1990, Kooyman et al. 1999, Prince et al. 1999).

Learning how to forage may be a protracted process for seabirds and in most species, sexual maturation is delayed for a number of years, during which time foraging efficiency increases (Burger 1980, Greig et al. 1983, Phillips & Hamer 1999). Part of this increase may be due to birds learning the whereabouts of spatially and temporally predictable sources of prey (Cairns & Schneider 1990, Hull et al. 1997). Individuals could increase their foraging efficiency by returning repeatedly to these locations, and such foraging area fidelity has been demonstrated in some coastal-feeding species (Becker et al. 1993, Benvenuti et al. 1998, Irons 1998). However, other species apparently show little foraging area fidelity (Wanless et al. 1990, 1991), and it is not known how widespread such area faithfulness is among different species, or indeed whether it is shown by pelagic-feeding species. Foraging area fidelity could also differ between colonies of a single species in relation to regional differences in the distribution and predictability of prey, but this is not currently proven.

The northern gannet Morus bassanus is the largest pelagic seabird to breed in the North Atlantic (adult body mass ca 3 kg; Nelson 1978). It has an energetically expensive mode of flight which results in high mass-specific energy expenditure during foraging trips (Birt-Friesen et al. 1989). Thus, efficient location of prey is likely to be particularly important to this species. Pelagic fish such as mackerel Scomber scombrus and herring Clupea harengus are important constituents in the diet of gannets (Martin 1989, Hamer et al. 2000). In the North Sea there are a number of traditional commercial fishing grounds for these fish, which are heavily exploited by pelagic stern trawlers during the summer months (April to September: Nelson 1978, Figs 4.4.5–14 in Camphuysen et al. 1995a), corresponding with the gannets’ breeding season. Presumably these are sites where the relative abundance and spatial predictability of prey is high, and gannets exploiting these resources, whether catching the fish for themselves or feeding on discards from commercial trawlers, might be expected to display a high degree of foraging area fidelity. By contrast, in the Celtic Sea there appear to be no such traditional summer fishing grounds for pelagic trawlers. Whilst there are large spawning areas for herring along the southern Irish coast, the herring stock is widely distributed offshore during the summer, and only migrates inshore to spawn during November and January (Berrow et al. 1998). This suggests a more uniform distribution of prey in the Celtic Sea during the gannets’ breeding season. Therefore, gannets foraging in this region might be expected to display a lower foraging area fidelity.

This study uses satellite telemetry to compare the foraging behaviour of gannets in the North Sea (at the Bass Rock, SE Scotland; 56° 6’ N, 2° 36’ W) and in the Celtic Sea (at Great Saltee Island, SE Ireland; 52° 7’ N, 6° 37’ W). These 2 colonies are separated by a minimum distance by sea of about 1200 km. The diets and foraging locations of adults at the Bass Rock were described by Hamer et al. (2000), but individual variation in foraging behaviour and feeding locations was not investigated. Here, we assess how both the degree of foraging area fidelity exhibited by individual adults and the behaviour of birds during foraging trips differed between colonies, and relate this to likely differences in the patchiness of their prey in surrounding waters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fieldwork took place from 14 June to 28 August 1998 at the Bass Rock and from 24 June to 17 July 1999 at Great Saltee. In both years chick-rearing adults from nests with hatching dates ± 2 wk from the mode were captured at the nest using a roach pole with a brass noose. A platform terminal transmitter (PTT; Microwave Telemetry Inc., Columbia, MD) weighing 30 g (ca 1% of adult mass) and with a duty-cycle of continuous transmission was then attached to each bird with self-amalgamating tape (RS Components, Corby, UK). To minimise drag during flight and prevent tags being displaced during plunge-diving, tags were attached to the underside of the 4 central tail feathers, close to the base of the tail with the aerial pointing upwards through the feathers. Attachment of tags took approximately 5 min; after release, every bird returned to the nest almost immediately, usually within 5 min. Birds were then tracked for 14 to 23 d each (mean = 16 d), after which time the tag was removed. Tags had no adverse effects on foraging trip durations or adult mass (Hamer et al. 2000).

Data provided by PTTs were processed using the ARGOS facility (CNES, France) and analysed following Hamer et al. (2000). We used only high-quality locations (Class A and above; see Hamer et al. 2000), giving maximum SDs on estimated locations of 6.9 km at the Bass Rock and 5.9 km at Great Saltee, which were small in comparison to distances travelled (see ‘Results’). Durations of foraging trips were calculated from the time of the first position fix after the bird had left the colony until the time of the first fix after it had returned. This was done only for birds with at least 8 fixes d⁻¹, giving a maximum average error of ±3 h for departure and arrival times. Average travel
speed during each of these trips was calculated as
twice the slope of the linear regression of maximum
distance from the colony plotted against trip duration.

In order to examine movements over shorter inter-
vals within the total foraging ranges of birds, we esti-
mated travel speeds during short sections of each trip
as the distance between consecutive pairs of locations
divided by the time elapsed between them. In view of
the maximum SDs on locations (mentioned earlier),
very short intervals between locations could produce
erroneous estimates of speed. To avoid this problem
we used only pairs of locations at sea separated by
more than 1 h.

To examine variation in the foraging locations of
individual birds, we used data recorded at average
intervals of ≤3 h over a minimum of 6 consecutive for-
aging trips. This interval was sufficiently short to give
an accurate representation of the tracks taken by birds
at sea and we used the furthest recorded location from
the colony during each of these trips to provide infor-
mation on trip destinations (Hamer et al. 2000). For
each bird from each colony, we calculated a mean des-
tination bearing, and used angular deviation, equiva-

tant to standard deviation in linear data (ranges from
0 to 81.0°; Zar 1984) to measure how consistent birds
were in their destination bearings on successive trips.
Differences in bearings among birds were tested using
nonparametric ANOVA of angular distances of bear-

ing from North, following Wallraff (1979) and Zar
(1984). We also investigated consistency in distances
travelled on successive trips using repeatability analy-

sis (Zar 1984), and quantified this consistency for each
bird in terms of the coefficient of variation in maximum
distance from the colony (SD × 100/mean).

RESULTS

At the Bass Rock, we obtained a total of 1327 high-
quality locations (≤14 tag⁻¹ d⁻¹ with a mean of 6 tag⁻¹
 d⁻¹) from 17 chick-rearing adults, with 68% of loca-
tions at sea and 32% at the colony. At Great Saltee we
obtained a total of 489 locations of Class A (6 tag⁻¹ d⁻¹
on average) from 5 adults, with 61% of locations at sea
and 39% at the colony. Less than 2% of locations at
either colony were over mainland, and these were all
within one SD of distance (see ‘Materials and methods’
above) from the coast.

Trip durations and destinations

Nine birds made 64 trips from the Bass Rock; 5 birds
made 54 trips from Great Saltee, with average intervals
between locations of ≤3 h over 6 or more consecutive
foraging trips (mean = 7.1; 10.8 consecutive trips per
bird at the Bass Rock and Great Saltee respectively). At
the Bass Rock, mean duration of these trips was 31.3 h
(SD ± 11.0, range = 13.1 to 84.0 h) and the mean dis-
tance to destination was 223 km (SD ± 95, range = 39 to
540 km). Destinations of foraging trips covered a wide
area of ocean encompassing >200 000 km² within the
north-west, west and central North Sea (Fig. 1).

Mean trip duration at Great Saltee was significantly
shorter than at the Bass Rock (11.9 h ± 6.7, range = 2.8
to 42.8 h; t-test using mean values for each bird: t₁₂ =
10.5, p < 0.001), as was the mean distance to destina-
tion (89 km ± 49, range = 14 to 238 km; t₁₂ = 9.5, p <
0.001). Destinations of foraging trips from Great Saltee
encompassed an area of about 45 000 km² between the
coasts of NW Wales, SW England and southern Ireland
(Fig. 1). This was about 1/4 of the area covered by birds
from the Bass Rock.

Foraging locations of individual birds

All 9 tagged birds at the Bass Rock travelled SE of
the colony, with mean bearings of trips ranging from
111° to 137° (Table 1). Destinations of these trips were
mostly between Farne Deep off the coast of NE Eng-
land and Dogger Bank in the south-central North Sea
(Fig. 2; see Hamer et al. 2000 for locations of bathy-
metric features). Successive destination bearings for
individual birds were very similar (mean angular devi-
ation = 6.9°; Table 1) and there were significant differ-

---

**Fig. 1. Morus bassanus.** Foraging ranges and destinations of foraging trips by gannets from the Bass Rock, SE Scotland, and Great Saltee, SE Ireland. (●) Locations of adults at sea; (◆) destination bearings of foraging trips.
ences in bearings among individuals (Kruskal-Wallis 1-way ANOVA of angular distances from north: $\chi^2 = 27.6$, $p = 0.001$). There was significant repeatability in distances travelled by individuals ($F_{8, 39} = 2.4$, $p = 0.03$) with destinations of approximately half the trips by each bird clustered within a 20 km radius (Fig. 2), although there was more variation overall in distances travelled (mean CV = 36%; Table 2) than in destination bearings (Table 1).

Four of the 9 tagged birds at the Bass Rock foraged only SE of the colony but 5 birds also foraged in one other direction further north, with a minimum of 60° between trips in different directions (Table 1). Of these 5 birds, 4 foraged to the NE of the colony (mean bearing = 22 to 46°), mostly in the vicinity of Buchan Deep and Halibut Bank off the east coast of Scotland (Fig. 2) and 1 foraged to the east of the colony (mean bearing = 75°; Table 1). As before, successive trips by individual birds had very similar bearings (mean angular deviation = 5.4°; Table 1), which differed significantly between individuals (Kruskal-Wallis $\chi^2_4 = 10.7$, $p = 0.03$), and whilst destinations of about half the trips by each bird clustered together (Fig. 2), distances to destinations were more variable overall (mean CV = 43%; Table 2) than bearings of trips (Table 1). In all 5 cases where birds foraged in 2 directions, there was only a single change of direction between trips.

At Great Saltee, all 5 tagged birds foraged predominantly to the west of the colony (65% of trips; mean bearing 230 to 260°; Table 1). Destinations of all trips were within 100 km of the southern Irish coast, as far as 10°W (Fig. 1). Successive trips by individuals in this direction (Fig. 2) had similar bearings (mean angular deviation = 10.5°; Table 1) but there was no difference in mean bearing between individuals (Kruskal-Wallis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bird</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bass Rock</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SD CV</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SD CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>167.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>205.8</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>179.5</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>192.6</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>316.8</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>368.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>280.3</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>238.3</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>299.8</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Saltee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. *Morus bassanus*. Examples of foraging tracks and destinations of foraging trips by individual chick-rearing adults at the Bass Rock and Great Saltee. Data are shown for 2 representative adults at each colony; typical bearings and angular deviations illustrate observed patterns. (●, ■) foraging tracks; (●, □) destination bearings of trips for each bird. Bass Rock: circles and squares are Bird 1 and Bird 2 respectively in Tables 1 & 2. Great Saltee: circles and squares are Bird 10 and Bird 11 respectively. Also shown, locations of 2 commercial fishing grounds in the North Sea, around Buchan Deep and Halibut Bank (off E Scotland) and around Farne Deep (off NE England).
χ² = 3.5, p = 0.5). Distances travelled by each bird were highly variable (mean CV = 61%; Table 2) with no significant repeatability (F₄,₂₉ = 0.1, p = 0.98). All 5 birds also made trips to the east of the colony (Figs 1 & 2) but there was little similarity in the bearings of successive trips by individuals in this direction (mean angular deviation = 43.2°; Table 1) and no difference in destination bearings between individuals (χ² = 3.2, p = 0.5). Birds switched between initiating trips in different directions on up to 70% of trips.

**Speeds of travel at sea**

Despite the large difference between colonies in trip durations and distances travelled, there was a highly significant relationship between maximum distance from the colony and trip duration at both the Bass Rock (Fig. 3; F₁,₆₇ = 988.7, p < 0.0001, r² = 0.94) and Great Saltee (Fig. 3; F₁,₅₈ = 305.4, p < 0.0001, r² = 0.84), according to the following equations:

**Bass Rock:**
\[
\text{Max. distance (km)} = 7.05 \text{ (SE ± 0.22) } \times \text{ trip duration (h)}
\]

**Great Saltee:**
\[
\text{Max. distance (km)} = 6.88 \text{ (SE ± 0.39) } \times \text{ trip duration (h)}
\]

Average speed over complete foraging trips was thus equal to 14.1 (SE ± 0.4) km h⁻¹ (twice the slope of Eq. 1) at the Bass Rock and 13.8 (SE ± 0.8) km h⁻¹ (from Eq. 2) at Great Saltee. Analysis of covariance indicated no difference in speed between colonies (F₁,₁₁₆ = 0.6, p = 0.4) and this was confirmed by comparison of the mean travel speeds for individual birds (Bass Rock, mean = 15.7 km h⁻¹, n = 9 birds, SD ± 2.5; Great Saltee, mean = 15.0 km h⁻¹, n = 5, SD ± 2.3; t-test using pooled variance estimate: t₁₂ = 0.52, p = 0.6).

Speeds of travel over intervals within trips were calculated using consecutive pairs of locations with >1 h between them (see ‘Methods’). The mean of these values at the Bass Rock (18.1 km h⁻¹, n = 797, SD ± 16.6) was very similar to the mean at Great Saltee (17.3 km h⁻¹, n = 237, SD ± 17.2) and there was no difference in the frequency distribution of travel speeds at the 2 colonies (Fig. 4; Kolmogorov-Smirnov 2-sample test: Z = 0.47, n = 1034, p = 0.98). At both the Bass Rock and Great Saltee, the mean speed during hours of darkness was very low (1.6 km h⁻¹, n = 30, SD ± 1.9 and 4.0 km h⁻¹, n = 11, SD ± 5.4 respectively), with much higher speeds during daylight (22.3 km h⁻¹, n = 767, SD ± 31.6 and 21.8 km h⁻¹, n = 226, SD ± 27.3 respectively). At both colonies, speed of travel during hours of daylight was highly dependent on the interval between fixes (Bass Rock, F₁,₇₆₅ = 132.9, p < 0.001, r² = 0.16; Great Saltee, F₁,₂₂₄ = 32.8, p < 0.001, r² = 0.12), according to the following equations:

**Bass Rock:**
\[
\text{Travel speed (km h⁻¹)} = 28.4 \text{ (SE ± 0.9) } - 1.9 \times \text{ interval (h)}
\]

**Great Saltee:**
\[
\text{Travel speed (km h⁻¹)} = 32.7 \text{ (SE ± 2.5) } - 2.6 \times \text{ interval (h)}
\]

There was no difference between colonies in either the slopes or the elevations of these equations (analysis of covariance: slope F₁,₉₈₉ = 3.1, p = 0.1; elevation F₁,₉₉₀ = 1.1, p = 0.3), and travel speed over the shortest intervals (28 and 33 km h⁻¹ at Bass Rock and Great Saltee respectively, Eqs 3 & 4) was about half average flight speed (55 km h⁻¹; Pennycuick 1987) in both cases.

---

**Fig. 3. Morus bassanus.** Relationship between distance to destination and foraging trip duration at the Bass Rock (•, —) and at Great Saltee (○, — — —)

**Saltee, F₁,₂₂₄ = 32.8, p < 0.001, r² = 0.12), according to the following equations:**

**Bass Rock:**
\[
\text{Travel speed (km h⁻¹)} = 28.4 \text{ (SE ± 0.9) } - 1.9 \times \text{ interval (h)}
\]

**Great Saltee:**
\[
\text{Travel speed (km h⁻¹)} = 32.7 \text{ (SE ± 2.5) } - 2.6 \times \text{ interval (h)}
\]

---

**Fig. 4. Morus bassanus.** Frequency distribution of travel speeds at sea for gannets at the Bass Rock (solid bars; n = 797) and Great Saltee (grey bars; n = 237). Travel speeds were calculated using pairs of locations at sea >1 h apart.
DISCUSSION

PTTs had no discernible adverse effects on adults: trip durations of birds at the Bass Rock wearing PTTs did not differ from those of untagged controls and the body masses of tagged birds after 14 to 21 d were no different to those of controls (Hamer et al. 2000). At both colonies, there was a lower proportion of high-quality locations from the colony than at sea (see ‘Results’). This was because signals were blocked or attenuated by physical features of the colony, resulting in poorer visibility of the tags to orbiting satellites when the birds were on land, and not because tagged birds spent any more time at sea than on land.

Foraging ranges at the two colonies

The foraging ranges of adults at both colonies covered a wide area of ocean up to a maximum range of 540 km (mean = 230 km) at the Bass Rock and 240 km (mean = 90 km) at Great Saltee (Fig. 1). Trips from the Bass Rock were principally in the vicinity of the summer commercial pelagic fishing grounds at Farne Deep and Dogger Bank in the SE, and at Buchan Deep and Halibut Bank in the NE (Camphuysen et al. 1995a, 1995b), and so the long trip durations and wide foraging ranges recorded at the Bass Rock in 1998 were probably not unusual for birds at this colony during the 1990s. There are no previous data on foraging ranges of gannets at Great Saltee, but trip durations there in 1999 were similar to those recorded previously for gannets elsewhere (Table 6 in Nelson 1978, Garthe et al. 1999). We are thus reasonably confident that data collected during this study are representative of the trip durations and foraging ranges of birds at the 2 colonies.

Foraging locations of individuals

Gannets breeding at the Bass Rock foraged either in only one direction (SE of the colony) or at most in 2 distinct directions (SE plus NE or east). Very similar bearings were recorded on successive trips in each direction (Fig. 2) with significant differences in bearings among individuals (Table 1). There was only 1 recorded change in direction between consecutive trips. Thus, birds either foraged repeatedly for ≤16 d on a single bearing or foraged for ≤12 d on a single bearing before switching to a different bearing in a markedly different direction from the colony. These results provide strong evidence that individuals learned and remembered the directions to feeding sites and used that knowledge on subsequent foraging trips.

Distances travelled by birds at the Bass Rock (Table 2) indicated significant repeatability but were more variable than bearings of trips (Table 1). Whilst there were conspicuous clusters of foraging trips, about half of all trips either stopped short or went beyond these clusters on a similar bearing (Fig. 2). Moreover, the mean distance between trip destinations within each cluster was about 20 km (Fig. 2). It has been suggested that the foraging efficiency of seabirds is greatly enhanced by a form of information transfer termed ‘network foraging’, in which individuals locate feeding sites by observing the flight of other birds as they fly towards a feeding flock (Wittenburger & Hunt 1985, Harrison et al. 1991, Clode 1993). Thus, the main foraging strategy at the Bass Rock was probably for birds to set out on a bearing to a known feeding site and continue until they either located prey—possibly including commercial trawlers—for themselves or encountered other birds flying towards a feeding flock.

At Great Saltee, all tagged birds foraged west of the colony along the south coast of Ireland and east of the colony in the southern Irish Sea (Table 1, Fig. 2). Successive trips by individuals to the west of the colony had similar bearings but were very variable in terms of distance to destination (Table 2, Fig. 2). No differences in bearings were recorded between individuals and there was no significant repeatability in distance travelled, whilst successive trips east of the colony had very different bearings (Table 1, Fig. 2). These results indicate a much lower degree of foraging area fidelity for gannets breeding at Great Saltee than for those at the Bass Rock, particularly for trips east of the colony. This presumably reflects a more uniform or less predictable distribution of prey in the Celtic Sea than in the North Sea. Adults at Great Saltee switched between initiating trips to the west and east of the colony on up to 70% of occasions, suggesting that prey were available only intermittently, for instance at certain states of the tide, as was recorded for kittiwakes Rissa tridactyla foraging in Alaska (Irons 1998).

Behaviour during foraging trips

Despite marked differences between colonies in distances to trip destinations, durations of foraging trips and foraging area fidelity, the behaviour of birds during foraging trips, was very similar at the 2 sites. The average speed of travel during foraging trips was al-
most identical (14.1 km h⁻¹ at the Bass Rock; 13.8 km h⁻¹ at Great Saltee, Eqs 1 & 2), even though average trip duration was three times longer at the Bass Rock. In both cases, travel speed during hours of daylight decreased significantly with increasing time intervals between fixes (Eqs 3 & 4). Presumably this is because travel speeds over longer intervals were more affected by deviations from a straight line course and by time that birds spent feeding and resting on the water (Hull et al. 1997). Over the shortest intervals, where estimates were least affected by deviations from a straight line course, the mean travel speed was 29 km h⁻¹ at the Bass Rock (Eq. 3) and 33 km h⁻¹ at Great Saltee (Eq. 4). These figures are both close to half the normal flight speed of gannets (55 km h⁻¹; Pennycuick 1987) indicating that birds at both colonies spent roughly half their time at sea in flight. Garthe et al. (1999) also found from external temperature loggers that birds in Shetland spent about half their time away from the colony in flight, the other half on the sea surface.

Foraging trips by gannets at the Bass Rock were 3 times longer than trips by adults at Great Saltee. Chicks were invariably fed at the end of foraging trips (also recorded by Nelson 1978), indicating that average feeding frequency was 3 times higher at Great Saltee than at the Bass Rock. There was no difference between colonies in chick survival (~80% in both cases) but there may have been a difference in chick growth. Alternatively, meals fed to chicks at the Bass Rock may have been larger or have had a higher caloric density than meals at Great Saltee which would compensate for the lower feeding frequency. Further data are now required to examine the sizes of meals fed to chicks, the foraging success of adults at sea and how birds at the 2 colonies allocated food resources between maintaining their own body condition and feeding their chicks.
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