

Quantification and prediction of the impact of fishing on epifaunal communities

G. I. Lambert^{1,*}, S. Jennings^{2,3}, M. J. Kaiser¹, H. Hinz¹, J. G. Hiddink¹

¹School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, LL59 5AB, UK

²Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk, NR33 0HT, UK

³School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK

*Email: g.lambert@bangor.ac.uk

Marine Ecology Progress Series 430:71–86 (2011)

Supplement. This supplement contains a list of species that were identified on the photographs, their taxonomical and functional classification as used in the paper as well as the equations used to estimate their biomass. It also contains the statistics of the responses of epifaunal species to environmental factors

Table S1. List of species, or species groups, representing the top 90% of the cumulative biomass by substratum type. Indeterminate species were grouped as indet. A number was given to species that could be identified but not assigned to taxa. Total biomass represented the cumulative biomass calculated from the analysis of the photographs

Substratum	Species	Total biomass (g)	Percentage	Cumulative percentage
Mud	<i>Alcyonium digitatum</i>	101.28	23	23
	Anemones indet.	89.09	21	44
	<i>Nemertesia</i> spp.	76.36	18	62
	Sponges indet.	54.44	13	74
	<i>Cellaria</i> spp.	36.94	9	83
	<i>Sertularella</i> spp.	18.2	4	87
	Hydroids indet.	16.04	4	91
Maerl	<i>Alcyonium digitatum</i>	131.37	42	42
	Hydroid 5	45	14	56
	<i>Myxilla incrustans</i>	23.59	8	64
	<i>Dysidea fragilis</i>	22.55	7	71
	Sponges indet.	13.54	4	75
	<i>Modiolus modiolus</i>	12.56	4	79
	Anemones indet.	12.12	4	83
	Sponge 8	6.43	2	85
	<i>Hemimycale columella</i>	5.76	2	87
	Hydroid 6	5.73	2	89
<i>Aequipecten opercularis</i>	5.1	2	91	
Sand	<i>Cellaria fistulosa</i>	77.01	40	40
	Sponges indet.	28.72	15	55
	Hydroids indet.	25.32	13	68
	<i>Alcyonidium diaphanum</i>	17.45	9	77

	Anemones indet.	12.1	6	83
	<i>Cellaria</i> spp.	7.92	4	87
	<i>Abietinaria abietina</i>	7.55	4	91
Sand-shell	<i>Alcyonium digitatum</i>	182.8	34	34
	<i>Abietinaria abietina</i>	89.46	16	50
	<i>Clavelina lepadiformis</i>	77.35	14	64
	<i>Halecium halecinum</i>	52.41	10	74
	<i>Kirchenpaueria pinnata</i>	50.86	9	83
	<i>Urticina felina</i>	36.92	7	90
Mixed gravel with pebbles	<i>Alcyonium digitatum</i>	2351.26	53	53
	<i>Alcyonidium diaphanum</i>	1008.25	23	76
	<i>Nemertesia antennina</i>	473.77	11	87
	Anemones indet.	187.72	4	91
Mixed gravel with cobbles	<i>Alcyonium digitatum</i>	2484.18	45	45
	<i>Cellaria sinuosa</i>	759.87	14	59
	<i>Nemertesia antennina</i>	632.11	12	71
	Hydroids indet.	419.09	8	79
	Sponges indet.	207.4	4	82
	Anemones indet.	133.87	2	85
	<i>Flustra foliacea</i>	111.42	2	87
	<i>Urticina</i> spp.	89.62	2	89
	<i>Nemertesia</i> spp.	58.54	1	90
Hard	<i>Hydrallmania falcata</i>	668.68	47	47
	<i>Alcyonium digitatum</i>	181.82	13	59
	<i>Alcyonidium diaphanum</i>	176.48	12	72
	<i>Urticina</i> spp.	141.43	9	81
	<i>Abietinaria abietina</i>	65.46	5	85
	<i>Nemertesia antennina</i>	63.53	4	90
	Hydroids indet.	20.72	1	91

Table S2. Taxonomical and functional groups of species from Table S1

Species	Phylum	Class	Body plan	Body shape
<i>Abietinaria abietina</i>	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Aequipecten opercularis</i>	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Solitary	Hard body
<i>Alcyonidium diaphanum</i>	Bryozoa	Gymnolaemata	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Alcyonium digitatum</i>	Cnidaria	Anthozoa	Colonial	Flexible
Anemones indet.	Cnidaria	Anthozoa	Solitary/Colonial	Flexible
<i>Cellaria fistulosa</i>	Bryozoa	Gymnolaemata	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Cellaria sinuosa</i>	Bryozoa	Gymnolaemata	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Cellaria</i> spp.	Bryozoa	Gymnolaemata	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Clavelina lepadiformis</i>	Chordata	Ascidacea	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Dysidea fragilis</i>	Porifera	Desmospongiae	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Flustra foliacea</i>	Bryozoa	Gymnolaemata	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Halecium halecinum</i>	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Hemimycale columella</i>	Porifera	Desmospongiae	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Hydrallmania falcata</i>	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible

Hydroid 5	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
Hydroid 6	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
Hydroids indet.	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Kirchenpaueria pinnata</i>	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Modiolus modiolus</i>	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Solitary	Hard body
<i>Myxilla incrustans</i>	Porifera		Colonial	Flexible
<i>Nemertesia antennina</i>	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Nemertesia</i> spp.	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
<i>Sertularella</i> spp.	Cnidaria	Hydrozoa	Colonial	Flexible
Sponge 8	Porifera		Colonial	Flexible
Sponges indet.	Porifera		Colonial	Flexible
<i>Urticina felina</i>	Cnidaria	Anthozoa	Solitary	Flexible
<i>Urticina</i> spp.	Cnidaria	Anthozoa	Solitary	Flexible

Table S3. Equations used to calculate species biomasses based on photographs measurements. WW: wet weight; AFDW: ash-free dry weight

Organisms	Unit	Equation	x parameter	Details	Conversion factor (Brey 2001)
2D-organism	g WW	$0.001x^2 + 0.046x$	area covered in cm^2	$r^2=0.92$, this paper	
3D-organism	g WW	$0.014x^2 + 0.720x$	area covered in cm^2	$r^2=0.88$, this paper	
^a <i>Balanus crenatus</i>	g AFDW	$\exp(x-2.03)/0.24$	basal diameter in cm	(adapted from Asmus 1987)	Total weight to wet tissue weight = 0.010 AFDW/WW = 0.038
<i>Pecten maximus</i>	g WW	$\exp(-12.14) \times x^{3.22} + \exp(-34.51) \times x^{7.63}$	length in mm	(adapted from Allison 1994)	
<i>Aequipecten opercularis</i>	g WW	$\exp(-9.79) \times x^{2.74} + \exp(-18.93) \times x^{4.51}$	length in mm	(adapted from Allison 1994)	
<i>Modiolus modiolus</i>	g WW	$\exp(-10.88 + 3.00 \times \ln(x))$	length in mm	(adapted from Brown et al. 1976)	
<i>Modiolus modiolus</i>	g WW	$\exp(-9.96 + 3.32 \times \ln(x))$	height in mm	(adapted from Brown et al. 1976)	

^a*Balanus crenatus* is the most abundant species in the UK subtidal waters, so this equation was applied to all barnacles.

Table S4. Results of the quantile regressions of the species' biological measurements on environmental factors: slope estimates and probabilities, referring to Table 4 in the paper. Two slope estimates for the same factor means that the selected model was a polynomial of degree 2

Species	Variable	Factor	Slope	p-value	Quantile
Emergent	Maximum size	Depth	0.176	0.003	95
			-0.002	0.004	
		Tidal velocity	1.598	<0.001	95
		Stratification	-0.059	0.036	95
		Chlorophyll a	3.163	0.021	80
		Turbidity	45.362	0.008	90
	Wave	-0.222	0.022	80	
	Total biomass	Depth	0.103	0.015	90
			-0.001	0.007	
		Tidal velocity	1.589	<0.001	80
			0.481	0.014	
		Stratification	-0.532	0.036	85
		Chlorophyll a	4.670	0.042	90
		Mud	-0.587	0.045	85
Turbidity		11.923	0.014	95	
Wave	-0.890	0.039	95		
Colonial	Maximum size	Depth	0.188	0.007	95
			-0.002	0.012	
		Tidal velocity	1.598	<0.001	95
		Chlorophyll a	2.994	0.019	80
	Total biomass	Turbidity	7.044	0.009	90
			0.077	0.044	
		Depth	-0.001	0.017	85
			1.134	<0.001	
		Tidal velocity	0.915	0.040	80
		Stratification	-0.331	<0.001	80
		Chlorophyll a	8.326	0.045	95
		Turbidity	-153.792	0.022	80
			-42.928	0.019	
		Mud	-0.728	0.025	85
Wave	-0.948	0.031	95		
Solitary	Maximum size	Tidal velocity	0.824	0.006	85
			0.301	0.026	
		Stratification	-0.024	<0.001	95
		Chlorophyll a	2.202	0.007	90
		Turbidity	281.096	0.003	95
	Total biomass	Mud	-808.712	0.008	75
			-0.022	0.022	
		Tidal velocity	0.549	0.004	80
		Stratification	-0.022	0.037	80
		Chlorophyll a	1.654	0.005	95
Hard body	Maximum size	Turbidity	-5.692	0.024	95
			13.506	0.040	
		Mud	-0.287	0.042	80
		Tidal velocity	-0.378	0.007	95
			-0.195	0.012	

		Mud	0.264	<0.001	95
		Wave	-0.101	0.010	95
	Total biomass	Depth	0.068	0.024	90
			-0.001	0.025	
		Tidal velocity	1.436	0.031	80
			-0.514	0.041	
Bivalvia	Maximum size	Tidal velocity	-0.371	0.033	95
			-0.195	0.038	
		Mud	0.264	<0.001	95
		Wave	-0.100	0.011	95
Flexible	Maximum size	Depth	0.176	0.003	95
			-0.002	0.004	
		Tidal velocity	1.598	<0.001	95
		Stratification	-0.059	0.025	95
		Chlorophyll a	3.163	0.020	80
		Turbidity	8.446	0.044	95
		Wave	-0.222	0.022	80
	Total biomass	Depth	0.098	0.017	90
			-0.001	0.005	
		Tidal velocity	1.590	<0.001	80
			0.481	0.015	
		Stratification	-0.533	0.036	85
		Chlorophyll a	8.276	0.036	95
		Turbidity	11.923	0.014	95
		Mud	-0.587	0.044	85
		Wave	-0.890	0.039	95
Cnidaria	Maximum size	Tidal velocity	1.171	0.006	95
		Stratification	-0.020	0.030	75
		Chlorophyll a	3.471	0.020	85
		Turbidity	4.790	0.044	90
		Wave	-0.249	0.028	85
	Total biomass	Depth	0.133	0.035	95
			-0.001	0.030	
		Tidal velocity	0.780	0.005	95
		Stratification	-0.045	<0.001	95
		Chlorophyll a	6.304	0.039	95
		Turbidity	11.249	0.004	95
		Mud	-0.471	0.047	80
		Wave	-0.303	0.040	80
Hydrozoa	Maximum size	Tidal velocity	1.707	0.022	90
			0.612	0.048	
		Chlorophyll a	5.304	0.030	90
		Turbidity	7.082	0.021	90
	Total biomass	Depth	-0.022	0.032	75
		Tidal velocity	2.203	0.001	95
			0.714	0.010	
		Stratification	-0.036	0.028	95
		Chlorophyll a	7.896	0.007	95
		Turbidity	908.752	0.022	95
			-2692.902	0.035	
		Mud	-0.898	0.009	95

Anthozoa	Maximum size	Depth	0.113	0.028	95	
		Tidal velocity	-0.002	0.020	85	
		Stratification	0.316	0.029	85	
		Turbidity	-0.044	0.047	90	
		Wave	5.321	0.024	80	
	Total biomass	Depth	-0.252	0.039	85	
		Tidal velocity	0.066	0.020	90	
		Stratification	-0.001	0.007	90	
		Chlorophyll a	0.653	0.031	80	
		Turbidity	-0.024	0.029	95	
		Mud	2.726	0.021	85	
		Wave	24.539	0.030	80	
	Bryozoa	Maximum size	Tidal velocity	-0.035	0.012	90
			Stratification	-0.262	0.029	75
Total biomass		Depth	1.190	0.049	85	
		Tidal velocity	-0.104	0.041	95	
		Stratification	0.047	0.012	95	
		Mud	1.741	0.031	95	
Porifera	Maximum size	Tidal velocity	-0.027	0.014	95	
		%Bivalve	-0.755	0.004	90	
	Total biomass	Tidal velocity	0.393	0.003	75	
		Stratification	0.048	0.008	75	
		Mud	1.796	0.004	95	
		%Bivalve	-0.651	0.005	95	
		Mud	-0.049	<0.001	80	
		%Bivalve	0.001	0.034	80	
Asciidiacea	Maximum size	Depth	0.454	0.067	80	
		Stratification	0.052	0.122	80	
		Mud	-0.008	0.038	85	
	Total biomass	Depth	-0.062	0.004	90	
		Stratification	0.001	0.038	90	
		Mud	-0.270	0.116	95	
		Mud	-0.010	0.023	90	

LITERATURE CITED

- Allison EH (1994) Seasonal growth models for great scallops (*Pecten maximus* (L)) and queen scallops (*Aequipecten opercularis* (L)). *J Shellfish Res* 13:555–564
- Asmus H (1987) Secondary production of an intertidal mussel bed community related to its storage and turnover compartments. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 39:251–266
- Brey T (2001) Population dynamics in benthic invertebrates. A virtual handbook. Version 01.2. Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Germany. www.awi-bremerhaven.de/Benthic/Ecosystem/FoodWeb/Handbook/main.html
- Brown RA, Seed R, Oconnor RJ (1976) Comparison of relative growth in *Cerastoderma* (= *Cardium*) *edule*, *Modiolus modiolus*, and *Mytilus edulis* (Mollusca: Bivalvia). *J Zool* 179:297–315