

The following supplement accompanies the article

Evolution of maternal provisioning in ophiuroid echinoderms: characterisation of egg composition in planktotrophic and lecithotrophic developers

Inke Falkner, Mary A. Sewell, Maria Byrne*

*Corresponding author: mbyrne@anatomy.usyd.edu.au

Marine Ecology Progress Series 525: 1–13 (2015)

Supplement.

Table S1 shows details of lipid (per lipid class) and protein content in the eggs of ten ophiuroid species, four with planktotrophic development and six with lecithotrophic development. Table S2 is a summary list of current data available on the biochemistry of eggs in 56 species across the Echinodermata. Table S3 presents data on the content of diacylglycerol ether (DAGE) and triacylglycerol (TAG) in the eggs of the ophiuroids investigated here with lecithotrophic development and the ratio between these lipid classes.

Table S1 Mean egg lipid and protein content in ng on a per egg basis \pm SE for four ophiuroids with planktotrophic and six ophiuroids with lecithotrophic development. AH, aliphatic hydrocarbon; WE, wax ester; ME, methyl ester; TAG, triacylglycerol; DAGE, diacylglycerol ether; ST, sterol; AMPL, acetone-mobile polar lipids; PL, phospholipid; Nd = not detected; each lipid class is also presented as percentage of total lipid content.

	PLANKTOTROPH				LECITHOTROPH					
	<i>O. dentata</i>	<i>O. resiliens</i>	<i>O. fasciata</i>	<i>O. antipodum</i>	<i>O. schayeri</i>	<i>C. canaliculata</i>	<i>C. pulchra</i>	<i>O. ramsayi</i>	<i>O. endeani</i>	<i>O. elegans</i>
AH	0.8 \pm 0.2 6.9%	0.4 \pm 0.01 3.2%	3.4 \pm 0.7 10.1%	1.5 \pm 0.4 3.5%	30.1 \pm 3.8 2.2%	4.8 0.3%	10.7 \pm 1.4 0.4%	68.0 \pm 15.8 1.1%	90.3 \pm 12.5 1.5%	57.0 \pm 3.3 0.4%
WE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.9 \pm 3.3 3.0%	132.4 8.6%	288.6 \pm 15.9 10.2%	238.6 \pm 1.7 4.0%	4842.3 \pm 380.9 80.9%	10413.4 \pm 329.1 79.8%
ME	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.7 3.9%	106.6 \pm 8.4 3.8%	147.6 \pm 8.7 2.5%	0.0	0.0
TAG	4.7 \pm 0.2 38.2%	5.6 \pm 0.5 48.4%	10.9 \pm 0.3 32.6%	11.7 \pm 1.2 28.2%	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	932.7 \pm 51.1 7.2%
DAGE / TAG	Nd	Nd	Nd	Nd	923.7 \pm 41.4 67.2%	1117.0 72.8%	2102.7 \pm 100.1 74.2%	4744.1 \pm 58.0 79.7%	169.8 \pm 30.3 2.8%	Nd
ST	0.4 \pm 0.1 3.0%	0.5 \pm 0.02 3.9%	2.7 \pm 0.1 8.1%	2.1 \pm 0.2 5.0%	29.3 \pm 1.0 2.1%	29.2 1.9%	52.8 \pm 4.3 1.8%	62.5 \pm 8.9 1.1%	75.9 \pm 10.7 1.3%	116.7 \pm 5.6 0.9%
AMPL	1.7 \pm 0.4 14.2%	1.1 \pm 0.1 9.5%	2.0 \pm 0.3 5.9%	8.6 \pm 0.4 20.8%	86.1 \pm 14.2 6.3%	33.5 2.2%	74.9 \pm 10.9 2.6%	495.5 \pm 25.8 8.3%	369.0 \pm 48.1 6.2%	504.9 \pm 8.5 3.9%
PL	4.6 \pm 0.2 37.7%	4.0 \pm 0.1 35.0%	14.4 \pm 1.2 43.3%	17.6 \pm 3.2 42.5%	264.2 \pm 12.2 19.2%	158.5 10.3%	197.7 \pm 27.8 7.0%	198.5 \pm 2.2 3.3%	437.1 \pm 4.6 7.3%	1018.5 \pm 58.0 7.8%
Structural lipids (ST; AMPL; PL)	6.6 \pm 0.5 54.9%	5.6 \pm 0.1 48.4%	19.1 \pm 1.0 57.3%	28.3 \pm 2.7 68.3%	396.5 \pm 18.4 27.6%	221.2 14.4%	324.6 \pm 32.3 11.4%	756.4 \pm 14.7 12.7%	1008.4 \pm 9.9 14.8%	1640.1 \pm 72.0 12.6%
Storage lipids (AH; WE; ME; TAG; DAGE)	5.5 \pm 0.8 45.1%	5.9 \pm 0.4 51.6%	14.2 \pm 0.8 42.7%	13.2 \pm 1.5 31.7%	1006.8 \pm 49.4 72.4%	1314.0 85.6%	2519.1 \pm 148.9 88.6%	5198.4 \pm 81.3 87.3%	5155.5 \pm 430.3 85.2%	11531.8 \pm 614.2 87.4%
TOTAL lipid	12.1 \pm 0.8	11.5 \pm 0.5	33.4 \pm 1.2	41.4 \pm 4.2	1403.3 \pm 63.4	1535.2	2843.7 \pm 161.9	5954.9 \pm 96.1	6163.9 \pm 172.4	13193.5 \pm 563.9
TOTAL protein (ng / egg)	72.2 \pm 2.8	52.3 \pm 1.0	113.8 \pm 10.5	132.9 \pm 8.2	1086.9 \pm 46.4	1699.9	1687.6 \pm 26.4	2517.2 \pm 107.2	4529.0 \pm 527.7	4820.7 \pm 174.2

Table S2 Lipid and protein data of echinoderm eggs according to Jaeckle (1995), Sewell & Manahan (2001) expanded with recent data from Prowse et al. (2008), McAlister & Moran (2012, 2013), Whitehill & Moran (2012) and this study.

Species	ln egg volume (µl)	ln lipid content (µg/egg)	ln protein content (µg/egg)	Reference	
<i>Arbacia punctulata</i>	-8.5502	-4.4397	-3.7942	Jaeckle 1995	
<i>Lytechinus variegatus</i>	-7.2075	-3.2808	-2.5096		
<i>Asterias forbesi</i>	-6.5177	-2.7646	-1.6296		
<i>Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis</i>	-6.2369	-2.1286	-1.4271		
<i>Luidia clathrata</i>	-6.0129	-1.8643	-0.9623		
<i>Cucumaria curata</i>	-0.647	3.9646	4.4613		
<i>Abatus shackletoni</i>	1.3871	5.8371	4.9442		
<i>Abatus cordatus</i>	0.2974	5.3073	5.2632		
<i>Anasterias perrieri</i>	0.0936	5.5778	5.3816		
<i>Anasterias rupicola</i>	0.231	5.9151	6.275		
<i>Abatus nimrodi</i>	-0.1001	6.6008	5.8437		
<i>Perknaster fuscus</i>	2.4418	7.3475	6.3553		
<i>Notasterias armata</i>	2.4311	7.5959	7.0193		
<i>Diplasterias brucei</i>	3.1454	7.7309	7.017		
<i>Diplasterias meridionalis</i>	1.0318	7.6066	7.8184	George et al. 1997	
<i>Arbacia lixula</i>	-8.3349	-4.2687	-2.7181		
<i>Paracentrotus lividus</i>	-7.7994	-3.8167	-2.9004		
<i>Echinometra lucunter</i>	-7.3698	-3.6889	-3.442		
<i>Encope michelini</i>	-5.2785	-0.3425	-0.9676		
<i>Stylocidaris lineata</i>	-7.2644	-3.1942	-3.2968		
<i>Aspidodiadema jacobyi</i>	-7.6211	-3.1236	-3.6497		
<i>Archaeopneustes histrix</i>	-6.6531	-2.3969	-2.7031		
<i>Coelopleurus floridanus</i>	-7.1309	-2.3969	-2.2349		
<i>Echinaster modestus</i>	-1.4313	4.1912	3.902		
<i>Echinaster spinulosus</i>	-1.1712	4.1174	2.8679		George provided data
<i>Odontaster validus</i>	-5.2	-1.0446	-1.2853		Sewell and Manahan (2001)
<i>Sterechinus neumayeri</i>	-5.69	-1.9301	-1.5563		
<i>Evechinus chloroticus</i>	-7.9726	-3.3700			
<i>Patiriella regularis</i>	-6.0323	-2.1078	-1.1482	Prowse et al. (2008)	
<i>Meridiastra mortenseni</i>	-4.9337	-1.159	-0.1175		
<i>Meridiastra oriens</i>	-3.3962	1.8111	0.8584		
<i>Meridiastra calcar</i>	-3.2861	1.8869	1.1322		
<i>Meridiastra gunnii</i>	-3.1701	2.195	1.1047		
<i>Parvulastra exigua</i>	-3.5132	1.3633	1.5893		
<i>Diadema antillarum</i>	-8.6226	-4.6254	-3.917	McAlister & Moran (2012)	

<i>Diadema mexicanum</i>	-8.7403	-4.8159	-3.99	
<i>Eucidaris tribuloides</i>	-7.7517	-3.9633	-3.2597	
<i>Eucidaris thourarsii</i>	-7.824	-4.0118	-3.135	
<i>Echinometra lucunter</i>	-8.0789	-4.0687	-3.3439	
<i>Echinometra viridis</i>	-7.8753	-4.1734	-3.3298	
<i>Echinometra vanbrunti</i>	-8.6797	-4.667	-3.5099	
<i>Echinometra lucunter</i>	-8.1117	-4.1931	-3.2189	McAlistair & Moran (2013)
<i>Echinometra viridis</i>	-7.7287	-4.0456	-2.9206	
<i>Echinometra vanbrunti</i>	-8.4684	-4.7915	-3.5936	
<i>Ophiocoma alexandri</i>	-8.5823	-4.5008	-3.2189	Whitehill & Moran (2012); authors provided data
<i>Arbacia punctulata</i>	-8.4662	-4.3901	-3.2442	
<i>Ophiocoma dentata</i>	-8.5823	-4.4149	-2.6283	This study
<i>Ophiactis resiliens</i>	-8.2242	-4.4675	-2.9508	
<i>Ophionereis fasciata</i>	-7.4661	-3.4002	-2.1733	
<i>Ophiothrix oliveri</i>	-7.2689	-3.1835	-2.0182	
<i>Ophionereis schayeri</i>	-4.8361	0.3327	0.0833	
<i>Clarcoma canaliculata</i>	-4.6198	0.4287	0.5306	
<i>Clarcoma pulchra</i>	-4.3607	1.0451	0.5233	
<i>Ophiarachnella ramsayi</i>	-3.8659	1.7842	0.9231	
<i>Ophiocoma endeani</i>	-3.7709	1.7587	1.5105	
<i>Ophiarthrum elegans</i>	-3.5419	2.5797	1.5729	

Table S3 Diacylglycerol ether (DAGE) and triacylglycerol (TAG) content in ng on a per egg basis \pm SE for five lecithotrophic developers. *C. canaliculata* has no SE as only one replicate was available. There are no data on DAGE for *Ophiarthrum elegans*.

Species	DAGE content	TAG content	DAGE:TAG ratio
<i>O. schayeri</i>	606.7 \pm 28.7	532.8 \pm 26.3	1.1
<i>C. canaliculata</i>	1282.7	78.4	16.4
<i>C. pulchra</i>	1795.3 \pm 34.8	118 \pm 9.1	15.2
<i>O. ramsayi</i>	8651.5 \pm 632.1	240.9 \pm 19.7	35.9
<i>O. endeani</i>	473.6 \pm 33.2	256.8 \pm 22.4	1.8

LITERATURE CITED

George SB, Young CM, Fenaux L (1997) Proximate composition of eggs and larvae of the sand dollar *Encope michelini* (Agassiz): the advantage of higher investment in plankotrophic eggs. *Invertebr Reprod Dev* 32:11–19

Jaekle WB (1995) Variation in the size, energy content, and biochemical composition of invertebrate eggs: correlates to the mode of larval development. In: McEdward L (ed) *Ecology of marine invertebrate larvae*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, p 49–77

McAlister JS, Moran AL (2012) Relationships among egg size, composition, and energy: a comparative study of geminate sea urchins. *PLoS ONE* 7:e41599

McAlister JS, Moran AL (2013) Effects of variation in egg energy and exogenous food on larval development in congeneric sea urchins. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 490:155–167

Prowse TAA, Sewell MA, Byrne M (2008) Fuels for development: evolution of maternal provisioning in asterinid sea stars. *Mar Biol* 153:337–349

Sewell MA, Manahan TD (2001) Echinoderm eggs: biochemistry and larval biology. In: Barker MF (ed) *Echinoderms 2000*. Swets & Zeitlinger, Lisse, p 55–58

Whitehill EAG, Moran AL (2012) Comparative larval energetics of an ophiuroid and an echinoid echinoderm. *Invertebr Biol* 131:345–354