Life history variation along a salinity gradient in coastal marshes
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ABSTRACT: Coastal habitats are susceptible to changes in the environment associated with alterations in salinity. A field study was conducted on natural populations of the sailfin molly Poecilia latipinna, the western mosquitofish Gambusia affinis and the least killifish Heterandria formosa collected from coastal marsh sites along a salinity gradient, to investigate the influence of salinity on body condition and reproductive life history traits. In brackish marsh sites male P. latipinna had the best body conditions, while females had similar body conditions across all 3 marsh types. Female P. latipinna had greater reproductive allotment and fecundity in brackish marshes, where this fish was most abundant. Specimens of G. affinis collected from fresh marsh sites had less favorable body condition, and females had lower reproductive allotment and fecundity than those collected from higher salinities. While G. affinis was more abundant in freshwater marshes than in higher salinity marshes, this higher abundance did not correspond with a better body condition or higher reproductive effort, suggesting that G. affinis may be stressed in freshwater. There was no difference in the conditions between (both male and female) H. formosa from fresh and intermediate marshes. Female H. formosa from fresh marshes had a similar reproductive allotment and lower fecundity than those from intermediate marshes. The 3 species exhibited different life history patterns along the salinity gradient, and some of these patterns conflicted with expectations based on species abundances. When assessing habitat quality along an environmental gradient, measures of abundance should be accompanied by more sensitive indicators of environmental stress.
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INTRODUCTION

Coastal habitats are continually changing because they lie at the interface between land and sea. This dynamic interaction makes coastal systems highly vulnerable to natural disturbances and climate change. Coastal environments are affected by storms, waves, and rainwater runoff, and changes in water temperature, currents, winds, and solar radiance, as well as sea level (Bindoff et al. 2007, Nicholls et al. 2007). Salinity levels may also change, since tropical storms, prevailing winds, and rising sea levels can drive saltwater inland into lower-salinity coastal areas (Titus & Richman 2001, Nicholls et al. 2007). This saltwater intrusion is expected to become more prevalent as climates warm and sea levels rise, especially in areas such as the northern Gulf of Mexico that have a shallow coastal slope (Titus & Richman 2001). Louisiana is experiencing some of the highest rates of relative sea level rise (i.e. eustatic sea level coupled with subsidence) globally (1.0 to 1.2 cm yr−2) (Penland & Ramsey 1990, Bindoff et al. 2007). Tidally influenced coastal
marshes are delineated along an environmental gradient, with salinity levels decreasing farther inland (Odum 1988, Mitsch & Gosselink 2000) and are susceptible to saltwater intrusion.

Changes in salinity can stress aquatic organisms residing in coastal marshes. It may be possible to detect salinity stress before it reaches lethal levels by examining variation in key life history traits of resident organisms along a salinity gradient. Salinity changes may result in stress due to the demands of maintaining osmotic balance (Nordlie 2006). The energetic costs of dealing with stress and concurrently maintaining homeostasis may constitute a substantial portion of the organism’s energy budget and leave less energy available for other functions (i.e. locomotion, growth, and reproduction) (Sibly & Calow 1989). As much as 25% of the energy remaining after basic maintenance requirements are met may be required to cope with a mild or brief disturbance (Wedemeyer et al. 1990). Life history theory predicts that energy should then be reallocated to physiological maintenance of an organism at the expense of growth and reproduction (Sibly & Calow 1989, Stearns 1992). This response to stress could be detected by observing tactical changes in key life history traits such as age and size at maturity, reproductive allotment, and number and size of offspring (Roff 1992, Stearns 1992). Even population declines as observed in fishes (Adams et al. 2003) and amphibians (Blaustein & Kiesecker 2002) may be due to exposure to sublethal stress affecting the survival and reproduction of a population’s members. The stress may reduce an individual’s health and performance, which directly influences its fitness by way of reduction in reproduction and/or survival through increased susceptibility to disease or predation (Wedemeyer et al. 1990). Tradeoffs between responses to salinity stress and biotic factors such as competition or predation can influence the occurrence of species and thus community structure (Dunson & Travis 1991).

Some of the most common resident fishes inhabiting the vegetated margins of fresh and brackish marshes of the northern Gulf of Mexico are the salininf molly *Poecilia latipinna*, the western mosquitofish *Gambusia affinis*, and the least killifish *Heterandria formosa* (Martin 1980, Gelwick et al. 2001, Lorenz & Serafy 2006). These 3 species of livebearing fishes belong to the family Poeciliidae (Rosen & Bailey 1963). After a lengthy step-wise acclimation process, all 3 species can tolerate a relatively broad range of salinity levels, at least for a short period of time (Meffe & Snelson 1989). *H. formosa* is the least tolerant of elevated salinity levels, with a tolerance range of 0 to 20 ppt (S. Martin pers. obs.), followed by *G. affinis*, with a range of 0 to 58.5 ppt (Chervinski 1983), and *P. latipinna* is the most tolerant (0 to 80 ppt) (Nordlie et al. 1992). Even though these 3 species occur along a salinity gradient of coastal marshes in Louisiana, their relative abundances differ with salinity: *H. formosa* and *G. affinis* occur at higher densities at fresh marshes, while *P. latipinna* occurs at higher densities in intermediate and brackish marshes (A. T. Hitch et al. unpublished data). These salinity preferences may not, however, be static, as Purcell et al. (2008) reported that *G. affinis* from brackish marshes were locally adapted to elevated salinity. Poeciliids may serve as a good indicator species of environmental change given their opportunistic life history strategy (Winemiller & Rose 1992) through their plastic and quantifiable life history traits.

Some teleosts can only successfully reproduce within a more narrow range of salinities in which they occur (Griffith 1974). Because accelerated sea level rise and consequent saltwater intrusion might negatively affect coastal marsh fish populations, our objective was to examine the variation in body condition and reproductive life history traits in natural populations of poeciliids that reside along a salinity gradient of coastal marshes. If the local salinity creates chronic sublethal stress, then the energy allocated to additional osmoregulation and the response to stress could reduce the resources allocated to reproduction. The diverted energy allocated towards maintenance could affect life history traits such as adult size, body condition, reproductive allotment, fecundity, as well as the timing and level of offspring provisioning (i.e. lecithotrophy or matrotrophy). The 3 species in the present study differ in various characteristics: (1) salinity tolerance: *Heterandria formosa* < *Gambusia affinis* < *Poecilia latipinna*, (2) maternal provisioning: *G. affinis* < *P. latipinna* < *H. formosa* (Reznick & Miles 1989), and (3) body size: *H. formosa* < *G. affinis* < *P. latipinna*. On the basis of these differences, and assuming that salinity stress is the major factor affecting differences in interspecific energy allocation along a salinity gradient, we predicted that *H. formosa* and *G. affinis* should be in better body condition, show higher reproductive allotment and produce more and larger offspring in freshwater marshes, while *P. latipinna* should perform better in habitats with higher salinity levels such as brackish marshes. In addition to our results, we will discuss how factors varying along the gradient in association with salinity might confound these predictions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field collections.** Individuals of *Gambusia affinis*, *Heterandria formosa*, and *Poecilia latipinna* were collected from May to August 2005 from populations along a coastal salinity gradient from fresh (0 to 1 ppt), intermediate (1 to 8 ppt), to brackish (8 to 15 ppt) marshes.
in the Terrebonne Basin of southeastern Louisiana (Fig. 1). Five sites were sampled in each marsh type. We chose this region of coastal Louisiana due to its history of saltwater intrusion events and high susceptibility to relative sea level rise (Penland & Ramsey 1990, Louisiana Coastal Wetlands Conservation and Restoration Task Force and the Wetlands Conservation and Restoration Authority 1998). Because salinity levels can be highly variable in coastal marshes, we used the dominant emergent marsh vegetation as a proxy for the average salinity at a site (Visser et al. 1998). The dominant emergent vegetation at our fresh marsh sites (0 to 1 ppt) was *Panicum hemitomon* and *Typha latifolia*. Intermediate marsh sites (1 to 8 ppt) were dominated by *Sagittaria lancifolia* and *Scirpus americanus*, and brackish marsh sites (8 to 15 ppt) were dominated by *Spartina patens* and *Juncus romerianus*. Additionally, at each site, we measured the water temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration, and salinity with a YSI 85 meter (Table 1); marsh communities were associated with observed levels of salinity in the expected fashion.

Sampling sites for each marsh type were at least 2 km apart. The dispersion of the sites is fairly similar; the largest distances between sites were 14.5 km in fresh marsh, 13 km in intermediate marsh, and 11 km in brackish marsh (Fig. 1). Sampling was limited to portions of the marsh to which we could obtain legal access, and the distribution of sites in the brackish marsh was somewhat constrained by the limited distribution of *Gambusia affinis* in that marsh type.

Individuals of each species were collected at each sampling site using dip nets (33 × 43 cm frame, with a 46 cm deep bag of 3 mm mesh) along the marsh shoreline in the emergent vegetation and in shallow areas (>1 m deep) containing submerged aquatic vegetation. Insufficient *Heterandria formosa* were collected from brackish marsh sites; therefore, we report only data for this species collected from fresh and intermediate marshes. Fishes were brought back to the field station at Mandalay National Wildlife Refuge (Houma, LA), then euthanized with MS-222, and fixed in 10% buffered formalin solution. After 72 h, the specimens were rinsed with water and preserved in 70% ethanol for subsequent life history analyses.

The preserved specimens were sorted by species and gender. The criteria for sexual maturity of males was the presence of a fully formed gonopodium (Turner 1941), while for females it was the presence of an anal spot (i.e. dark concentration of melanophores appearing above the gonoduct; Farr & Travis 1986, Constantz 1989). We measured the standard length (SL) of fish using digital calipers to the nearest
The developmental stage of embryos was determined following the interpretation of Haynes (1995) of the 6-stage classification proposed by Reznick (1981). For each gravid female, embryos of the same developmental stage were pooled, dried in a gravity oven at 60°C for 24 h, and measured for dry mass to the nearest 0.01 mg using a digital, analytical balance. The dry mass of pooled embryo was then divided by the number of individual embryos within that group (i.e. processed together but data expressed as average mass per embryo). The relationship between SL and dry body mass (i.e. slope of the regression line) was used as an index of body condition (Anderson & Neumann 1996). For each species, gravid females from each site were assessed for their reproductive traits, except GSI, which is already normalized by female size.

### Table 1. Means (and ranges) for water quality parameters measured at each of the 5 sample sites within fresh, intermediate and brackish marshes, with overall mean ± 1 SE for each marsh type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marsh type</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Salinity (ppt)</th>
<th>Dissolved oxygen (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Water temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17 (0.1–0.2)</td>
<td>3.47 (0.6–7.2)</td>
<td>26.73 (23.4–31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.83 (0.7–1.0)</td>
<td>4.34 (0.4–12.1)</td>
<td>26.07 (15.2–32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.37 (0.1–0.6)</td>
<td>3.35 (0.4–9.0)</td>
<td>23.83 (13.9–30.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.80 (0.6–1.0)</td>
<td>1.83 (0.7–4.0)</td>
<td>23.90 (14.9–29.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.47 (0.2–0.9)</td>
<td>2.36 (0.8–4.4)</td>
<td>23.07 (18.5–26.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.09</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.94</td>
<td>24.72 ± 1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.73 (1.2–2.1)</td>
<td>3.80 (1.1–5.4)</td>
<td>25.63 (22.7–30.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.30 (1.4–3.2)</td>
<td>3.11 (0.6–6.8)</td>
<td>27.77 (23.3–32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.87 (1.6–2.1)</td>
<td>3.33 (0.4–6.1)</td>
<td>28.17 (23.1–33.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.87 (1.6–4.1)</td>
<td>3.18 (0.3–7.2)</td>
<td>25.77 (15.4–31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.83 (1.5–4.3)</td>
<td>3.13 (0.3–5.7)</td>
<td>27.10 (15.1–33.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.24</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.76</td>
<td>26.89 ± 1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackish</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.97 (8.1–10.7)</td>
<td>3.35 (0.3–5.1)</td>
<td>27.10 (26.5–27.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.67 (7.9–9.4)</td>
<td>5.25 (0.7–8.4)</td>
<td>27.77 (26.8–28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.77 (8.0–9.7)</td>
<td>4.45 (0.7–8.5)</td>
<td>27.30 (25.2–29.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.73 (8.9–10.9)</td>
<td>6.40 (0.7–10.2)</td>
<td>26.50 (23.7–28.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.47 (8.8–12.8)</td>
<td>3.56 (0.1–6.3)</td>
<td>26.87 (22.0–30.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>9.32 ± 0.35</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.89</td>
<td>27.11 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS Enterprise Guide 4.1 (SAS Institute 2007). Sample sizes for each treatment combination were unequal due to differences in abundances of mature individuals at the time of collection. No interspecific or intersexual analyses were conducted. Due to size differences between species and to control for any sexual size dimorphism, males and females were analyzed separately for each species. The hierarchical experimental design consisted of 5 sampling sites within each of 3 marsh types (fresh, intermediate, and brackish marshes). We sampled fish from 5 populations in each marsh type to avoid representing a marsh type by a single sample. Because of the need to control for covariates such as SL or brood size, individuals were the unit of replication. A nested analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to address lack of independence of individuals relative to the population samples within marsh type. We used this ANCOVA analysis to examine effects of marsh type, each covariate, and interaction between the covariate and marsh type for each of the following traits: body condition of males and females, number of offspring, size, number of simultaneous broods, and number of offspring per brood.

In fishes, fecundity generally increases with female size (Roff 1992). Female SL and/or the number of offspring were used as covariates in ANCOVAs for all reproductive traits, except GSI, which is already normalized by female size. ANCOVA has been shown to be more appropriate for controlling the effects of body size on body condition than other condition indices (Packard & Boardman 1988). We included GSI because of its widespread use in evaluations of the reproductive biology of poeciliids. Whenever a significant correlation was detected between a dependent variable and female SL or the number of embryos per female, we reported the least-squares means (±1 SE) for the results of the ANCOVA, controlling for the effects of the covariate. Data that did not meet the assumptions of the ANCOVA were log transformed, and these transformations had the desired effect in all cases.
Because superfetation was common in *Heterandria formosa*, we used the REPEATED function in PROC MIXED (SAS Institute 2007). Each developmental stage (Stages 3 to 6) was treated as a repeated measurement of embryo mass from the same female. This approach allowed us to control for the lack of independence in embryo mass between broods of different developmental stages within an individual female. Whenever a significant difference was detected, a Tukey’s post hoc multiple comparison test was conducted to find where the differences were between treatment effects. Interactions were removed from the models if they were not statistically significant ($\alpha = 0.05$), and the remaining effects were assessed for these reduced models.

**RESULTS**

*Poecilia latipinna*

Male *P. latipinna* exhibited a significant length–mass relationship ($F_{1,184} = 1344.93; p < 0.001$), but there was no significant interaction between log SL and marsh type on log dry mass (i.e. slopes were not significantly different; $F_{2,184} = 0.65; p = 0.521$; Fig. 2a). The difference in body condition was determined by comparing least-square means after accounting for SL, given the parallel slopes of the regression lines. Males from brackish marshes had higher dry mass for their given SL (i.e. index of body condition) than those from fresh marshes ($F_{2,184} = 3.94; p = 0.027$; Fig. 2a,b). Female *P. latipinna* exhibited a significant length–mass relationship ($F_{2,336} = 6976.84; p < 0.001$), but there was no significant interaction between log SL and marsh type on log dry mass (i.e. slopes were equal; $F_{2,334} = 0.38; p = 0.687$; Fig. 2c). Females exhibited a similar index of body condition across marsh types ($F_{2,336} = 0.40; p = 0.677$; Fig. 2c,d). When the slopes of regression lines were parallel, differences in female body condition were determined by the least-square means of dry somatic mass (e.g. Fig. 2c,d).

The amount of energy that females allocated to reproduction, measured as the GSI, differed between fish from the 3 marsh types ($F_{2,334} = 4.02; p < 0.001$). Females from brackish marshes exhibited a greater GSI than those from fresh marshes (Fig. 3a). The total number of offspring carried by a female was dependent on the female’s SL (Fig. 3b), but this relationship was independent of the marsh type from which the fish were collected (i.e. slopes were not significantly different; $F_{2,334} = 0.73; p = 0.484$). For their given size,
females from brackish marshes had more offspring than those from fresh and intermediate marshes ($F_{2,334} = 3.56; p = 0.049; \text{Fig. 3c}$). There was no significant interaction between SL and marsh type for the number of Stage 5 embryos carried by a female ($F_{2,100} = 0.02; p = 0.979$). Females produced more Stage 5 embryos for their given SL in brackish marshes compared to intermediate and fresh marshes ($F_{2,100} = 7.04; p = 0.001; \text{Table 2}$). Females from brackish marshes carried more Stage 5 embryos compared to those from intermediate marshes. There was no significant interaction between the number of Stage 5 embryos and marsh type on size (i.e. measured as dry mass) of Stage 5 embryos ($F_{2,100} = 2.97; p = 0.058$). For a given number of Stage 5 embryos, there were no significant differences in the size of Stage 5 embryos among the different marsh types ($F_{2,100} = 2.26; p = 0.109$).

Brood and offspring sizes are listed in Table 2.

### Table 2. *Poecilia latipinna, Gambusia affinis* and *Heterandria formosa*. Least-squares means (±1 SE) for offspring number (controlling for female standard length) and offspring size (controlling for offspring number at Stage 5 in embryonic development). *P. latipinna* and *G. affinis* were collected from fresh, intermediate and brackish marshes, while *H. formosa* were collected only from fresh and intermediate marshes ($N$ = female sample size)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marsh type</th>
<th>Offspring number</th>
<th>Offspring mass (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Poecilia latipinna</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackish</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gambusia affinis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackish</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterandria formosa</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Gambusia affinis

Male *G. affinis* exhibited a significant length–mass relationship ($F_{1,619} = 1325.18; p < 0.001$), but there was no significant interaction between SL and marsh type on log dry mass (i.e. slopes are not significantly different; $F_{2,619} = 1.82; p = 0.162; \text{Fig. 4a}$). Males from fresh marshes had a lower index of body condition than those from intermediate and brackish marshes ($F_{2,619} = 9.42; p < 0.0001; \text{Fig. 4a,b}$). Female *G. affinis* exhibited a significant length–mass relationship ($F_{1,419} = 7839.78; p < 0.0001$), but there was no significant interaction between log SL and marsh type on log dry somatic mass (i.e. slopes are equal; $F_{2,419} = 0.46; p = 0.634; \text{Fig. 4c}$). Females’ index of body condition increased with increasing salinity levels ($F_{2,419} = 9.78; p = 0.003; \text{Fig. 4c,d}$).

We did detect significant differences among fish from different marsh types for GSI ($F_{2,420} = 14.42; p < 0.001$). Females allocated more resources for reproduction with increasing salinity levels (Fig. 5a). There was no significant interaction between SL and marsh type for the total number of offspring carried by a female.
(i.e. slopes are not significantly different; $F_{2,420} = 2.59; p = 0.076$; Fig. 5b). For their given size, females from fresh to intermediate to brackish marshes produced increasingly more offspring ($F_{2,420} = 5.02; p = 0.008$; Fig. 5c). Significant interaction was detected between SL and marsh type for the number of Stage 5 embryos carried by a female ($F_{2,258} = 3.74; p = 0.025$). Females produced more Stage 5 embryos for their given SL in brackish marshes compared to fresh and intermediate marshes ($F_{2,258} = 3.07; p = 0.041$) (Table 2). We detected significant interaction between the number of Stage 5 embryos and marsh type on the dry mass of Stage 5 embryos ($F_{2,258} = 4.40; p = 0.013$).

**Heterandria formosa**

Male *H. formosa* exhibited a significant length–mass relationship ($F_{1,258} = 524.00; p < 0.001$), but there was no significant interaction between SL and marsh type on log dry mass (i.e. slopes are not significantly different; $F_{1,258} = 1.30; p = 0.256$; Fig. 6a). Males from fresh and intermediate marshes did not differ in body condition index ($F_{1,258} = 1.04; p = 0.312$; Fig. 6a,b). Female *H. formosa* exhibited a significant length–mass relationship ($F_{1,215} = 1457.17; p < 0.0001$), but this relationship was similar among females from different marsh types ($F_{1,215} = 2.06; p = 0.153$; Fig. 6c,d).

Females from fresh and intermediate marshes had similar GSI ($F_{1,216} = 0.20; p = 0.669$; Fig. 7a). There was a significant relationship between female SL and fecundity ($F_{1,216} = 133.69; p < 0.001$), but we did not detect a significant interaction between female SL and marsh type for the total number of offspring (i.e. slopes are not significantly different; $F_{1,216} = 1.22; p = 0.271$; Fig. 7b). For their given size, females from intermediate marshes had more total offspring than those from fresh marshes ($F_{1,216} = 7.95; p < 0.0001$; Fig. 7c). There was a significant relationship between female SL and the number of Stage 5 embryos carried by a female ($F_{1,202} = 3.74; p = 0.025$), but no significant interaction between female SL and marsh type for the number of Stage 5 embryos carried by a female ($F_{1,202} = 0.18; p = 0.674$). Females collected from intermediate marshes produced more Stage 5 embryos for their given SL compared to those from fresh marshes ($F_{1,202} = 6.51$;
Females from intermediate marshes had a greater number of Stage 5 embryos compared to those from fresh marshes ($F_{1,202} = 4.24; p = 0.041$; Fig. 8b). There was no significant interaction between female SL and marsh type for the number of offspring per brood ($F_{1,202} = 1.23; p = 0.268$). Females from intermediate marshes had a greater number of offspring per brood than those from fresh marshes for their given SL ($F_{1,202} = 10.67; p = 0.001$; Fig. 8c). We also detected a significant interaction between marsh type and developmental stage for the dry mass of embryos in Stages 3 to 6 ($F_{3,214} = 9.51; p < 0.001$). Although there was no significant interaction between developmental stage and marsh type for offspring dry mass ($F_{3,214} = 1.29; p = 0.278$), we did detect significant differences in offspring sizes among fish from the 2 marsh types ($F_{1,214} = 11.41; p = 0.001$). Females from fresh marshes produced larger embryos at later developmental stages compared to females from intermediate marshes (Fig. 9).

**DISCUSSION**

Our primary goal was to document the variation in body condition and reproductive life history traits in natural populations of *Poecilia latipinna*, *Gambusia affinis*, and *Heterandria formosa* collected along a salinity gradient in coastal marshes susceptible to saltwater intrusion. We found significant variation in all traits examined, except for the body condition of *H. formosa* males and females and female *P. latipinna* (Table 3). Our results are congruent with those of Stearns & Sage (1980) and Brown-Peterson & Peterson (1990) for *G. affinis* and Trexler & Travis (1990) for *P. latipinna*. Both the current study and the earlier reports found that females from higher salinity habitats exhibited greater reproductive allotment and fecundity than females collected in nearby lower-salinity sites. This pattern might be expected in sailfin mollies, which are generally most abundant in brackish marshes and have relatively high salinity tolerance. However, it is unclear why this pattern would occur in *G. affinis*, which has lower salinity tolerance and is generally more numerous in freshwater sites. It is uncertain whether differences in the number of embryos (controlling for female size) reflect typical brood sizes (Roff 1992, Stearns 1992) or differences in reproductive cycles among marsh types. The former is the more conventional interpretation, but, in either case, marsh type has an effect on reproductive allocation and fecundity.
Male *Poecilia latipinna* and both male and female *Gambusia affinis* collected from fresh marsh sites had a lower body condition index. Females of both species collected from fresh marshes had lower reproductive allotment and fecundity than those collected from sites with higher salinities (i.e. intermediate and brackish marshes). The combined results suggested that *G. affinis* and *P. latipinna* are better adapted to marshes with higher salinity levels than to fresh marsh environments. Fishes examined here were collected from coastal marshes with salinities ranging from 0 to 12 ppt. These marshes did not only differ in average salinity level, but variability also increased with increasing salinity level of these coastal marsh habitats (Table 1), which has implications for the support of the ‘environmental stability hypothesis’ (Stearns 1983, Abney & Rakocinski 2004). The ‘environmental stability hypothesis’ predicts that more environmentally variable habitats select for individuals that are younger and smaller at maturity, have higher reproductive allotment (per unit body mass), larger brood sizes, and smaller offspring size relative to individuals from more stable habitats (Stearns 1983, 1992).

Purcell et al. (2008) used populations of *Gambusia affinis* collected along a salinity gradient (in the same marshes as our study) to examine the survival times of individual mosquitofish that were exposed to an abrupt and lethal spike in salinity of 25 ppt. They found that *G. affinis* from brackish and intermediate marshes had increased tolerance to salinity stress compared to those from fresh marshes.

### Table 3. *Poecilia latipinna*, *Gambusia affinis*, *Heterandria formosa*. Summary of results for dependent variables across marsh types (F: fresh; I: intermediate; B: brackish). Sample sizes in parentheses. $\delta$: male; $\varphi$: female; GSI: gonadosomatic index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$\delta$ Body condition</th>
<th>$\varphi$ Body condition</th>
<th>GSI</th>
<th>Fecundity</th>
<th>Offspring size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Poecilia latipinna</em></td>
<td>(202): F &lt; B</td>
<td>(352): F = I = B</td>
<td>F &lt; B</td>
<td>F = I &lt; B</td>
<td>F = I = B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heterandria formosa</em></td>
<td>(270): F = I</td>
<td>(226): F = I</td>
<td>F = I</td>
<td>F &lt; I</td>
<td>F &gt; I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pared to mosquitofish from freshwater environments and that salinity tolerance had a genetic basis (Purcell et al. 2008). However non-genetic factors also seem to play a role. For instance, when studies include lengthy acclimation periods, levels of salinity tolerance can be much higher than those obtained following abrupt salinity changes. Akin & Neill (2004) suggested that salinities ranging from 0 to 20 ppt do not impact the metabolic capacity of adult *G. affinis*, although they did observe a slight, but significant, reduction in respiration rate at 10 ppt relative to 0 ppt. Following a serial acclimation period of 14 d for every 5 ppt increase in salinity starting at 0 ppt, the plasma osmotic concentrations of a freshwater population of *G. holbrooki* did not differ among fish exposed to salinity levels in the range of from 0 to 10 ppt (Nordlie & Mirandi 1996). Similarly, survivorship did not differ among fish exposed to salinities ranging from 0 to 15 ppt if this exposure was preceded by an acclimation period of 42 d (Nordlie & Mirandi 1996). In *Poecilia latipinna* collected from

---

**Fig. 7.** *Heterandria formosa*. (a) Gonadosomatic index, (b) relationship between standard length and fecundity, and (c) least-squares means (±1 SE) for the number of offspring, adjusted for standard length, for females collected from fresh and intermediate marshes.

**Fig. 8.** *Heterandria formosa*. (a) Relationship between standard length and level of superfetation, (b) least-squares means (±1 SE) for the number of simultaneous broods, adjusted for standard length, and (c) number of offspring per brood, adjusted for the number of broods carried by a female collected from fresh and intermediate marshes.
freshwater and brackish (mean = 15 ppt) sites, the plasma osmotic concentrations did not significantly differ over the range of salinity levels of from 0 to 75 ppt, and survival was affected only for salinities >70 ppt, where the brackish population had a higher survivorship (Nordlie et al. 1992). The environmental gradient along which we collected these fish ranged in salinity from 0.1 to 12.8 ppt, which is within the salinity tolerance limits of all 3 species. Despite their broad salinity tolerances, we did find significant variation in several important life history traits in all 3 species (i.e. body condition, GSI, fecundity, and offspring size).

Leips & Travis (1999) reported variation in life history traits among freshwater populations of *Heterandria formosa*, which they attributed to differences in population densities and predation pressure. Our study is the first known report of life history variation in *H. formosa* along a salinity gradient. Surprisingly, for a freshwater fish, *H. formosa* collected from intermediate marshes had similar body condition index and reproductive allotment to those collected from freshwater marshes. Female *H. formosa* collected from fresh marshes also had a lower fecundity (albeit similar reproductive allotment) compared to those from intermediate marshes. These differences in fecundity, number of simultaneous broods, and number of offspring per brood were due to differences in the relationship between offspring number and size. Female *H. formosa* collected from fresh marshes produced fewer but larger offspring than females from intermediate marshes. Similarity in reproductive allotment, despite differences in offspring sizes and numbers, indicates a trade-off between offspring size and number. The pattern of larger offspring sizes of *H. formosa* in fresh marshes (where they were most abundant) was similar to that reported by Leips & Travis (1999) for least killifish in freshwater habitats with greater population densities.

Life history theory predicts that females with equal reproductive allotment maximize lifetime fitness by producing fewer, larger offspring in stable environments, with higher juvenile mortality or higher levels of resource competition. Conversely, theory predicts that females in fluctuating environments with higher adult mortality or lower levels of resource competition should produce more, smaller offspring (Stearns 1992). Gomes & Monteiro (2007) measured reproductive life history traits of the poeciliid fish *Poecilia vivipara* along a salinity gradient in Brazil and attributed the life history variation to differences in predation regime rather than differences in mean and variance of salinity. Although we collected fishes along a salinity gradient, other abiotic and biotic environmental factors (Dunson & Travis 1991), such as food quantity and quality (Reznick 1990), population density (Hughes 1985, Leips & Travis 1999), and predation pressure (Reznick et al. 1990, Johnson 2001), could covary with salinity and contribute to variation in life history traits. Controlled experiments should be conducted to assess the influence of the many environmental factors that vary along such a complex system as a salinity gradient on life history traits. Moreover, common-environment experiments (Martin 2008) are required to resolve whether variation in life histories were due to phenotypic plasticity or genetic differences between populations (Sibly 1999, Conner & Hartl 2004).

Measure of relative abundance of target species are commonly used to assess habitat quality (Garshelis 2000, Pearce & Ferrier 2001, Johnson 2007). In our study, both sailfin mollies and least killifish appeared to be in better condition in marsh types that also supported higher densities of fish; this is consistent with the expected association (based on theory) between habitat quality and both individual condition and population abundance. However, abundance does not necessarily equal productivity (Pulliam 1988). High abundance may reflect increased immigration rates rather than being indicative of high local productivity (Van Horne 1983). Body condition and life history traits associated with reproduction may be more effective measures of habitat quality. Variation in life history traits of organisms that live along an environmental gradient has important implications for stress tolerance, life history evolution, and the direct impact of abiotic factors on population demographics (Caswell 1983, Sibly & Calow 1989, Stearns 1992). In our study, variation in the suite of traits we measured along a salinity gradient suggests that *Gambusia affinis* is stressed in fresh marshes. We found lower levels of body condition, lowered GSI, and lowered fecundity.
for *G. affinis* in fresh marshes, where these fish were most abundant, indicating that greater abundance did not correspond with better condition and higher reproductive capacity.

Limited evidence exists from other studies to suggest that freshwater would be more stressful to *Gambusia affinis* than those salinities observed in intermediate marshes (Stearns & Sage 1980, Brown-Peterson & Peterson 1990). Additionally, Akin & Neill (2004) did report an increase in respiration rates in *G. affinis* at 0 ppt compared to at 10 ppt (though they did not feel this increased respiration would be stressful to the fish). If salinity is not responsible for our observation and those reported in other studies, some other environmental factor (possibly associated with the salinity level) may be influencing the increased abundance and decreased condition of *G. affinis* in freshwater marsh habitats relative to intermediate marshes. Our findings are similar to those obtained from studies conducted in marsh habitats in Texas (Stearns & Sage 1980) and Mississippi (Brown-Peterson & Peterson 1990), and suggest that the causal mechanism is somewhat consistent across time and space. Given the diverse effects of salinity on other components of marsh systems, it is impossible to do more than speculate on the biotic or abiotic factors that might explain these results if salinity itself is not the causal factor.

Bachman & Rand (2008) reported that salinity stress due to acute, abrupt changes in salinity negatively impacted the survival and development in *Gambusia holbrooki* and *Poecilia latipinna*. Alcaraz & Garcia-Berthou (2007) found that as salinity increased *G. holbrooki* exhibited higher reproductive investment, a lower condition level, and a lower population density. We found that along a salinity gradient from fresh to brackish marshes (0 to 12 ppt), several important life history traits varied in natural populations of poeciliid fishes (Table 3). The patterns in variation of the suite of life history traits we measured did not support causation by any single factor, although these patterns fit best the predictions from the ‘environmental stability hypothesis’. Moreover, in the case of *G. affinis*, embryo size and number (adjusted for SL) were smaller and the body condition level lower, despite higher densities. Further study is required to isolate the primary environmental factor influencing life history variation in these fishes from other potential environmental (e.g. predation pressure, population density, and food availability) and genetic effects (Martin 2008). Small-scale localized disturbance events (e.g. storm surges from tropical storms) and large-scale actions such as sea level rise and climate change will most likely influence the survival and reproduction of organisms that live along a coastal environmental gradient. Studies that examine the effects of salinity stress, such as this present investigation, are essential in understanding how global climate change and sea level rise will impact organisms residing within the coastal zone.
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