Clearance effects of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* on the fish-killing algae *Chattonella marina* and *Chattonella antiqua*
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ABSTRACT: We exposed Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* to the harmful algal species, *Chattonella marina* and *C. antiqua*, at various initial algal cell densities for up to 24 h. None of the oysters died, even after exposure to high cell densities of both *Chattonella* species. Oysters filtered *Chattonella* from the aquarium water within 24 h, depending on initial algal cell densities. The oyster clearance rates peaked at a specific cell density for each algal species (about 100 cells ml⁻¹ for *C. marina* and about 10 cells ml⁻¹ for *C. antiqua*). However, because of differences in cell sizes between the algal species, the algal biovolume per unit water volume varied widely at the same cell density. The relationships between clearance rates and biovolumes of exposed algae were similar between the 2 algal species; clearance rates by the oysters peaked at about 1.0 × 10⁶ μm³ mm⁻³. The filtration activity of the oysters was fundamentally affected by their exposure to algal biovolume and not algal cell density. No histological lesions were found after the oysters were exposed to either *Chattonella* species. Furthermore, immunohistological observations of the digestive glands of the oysters and analysis of their phytopigment content revealed that *Chattonella* cells that were cleared from the water during exposure entered the cytoplasm of the oyster digestive glands by phagocytosis.
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INTRODUCTION

*Chattonella* (Raphidophyceae) are harmful flagellate algae that kill many wild and farmed fishes in coastal waters around the world (Landsberg 2002). The first report of massive blooms of *Chattonella (C. marina)* accompanied by mass mortality of fish was from the Malabar Coast, India (Subrahmanyan 1954 as cited in Imai et al. 1998). Red tides of *Chattonella* causing massive fish kills have been recorded thereafter in Japan, North and South America, Europe, China, Australia, Southeast Asia and Russia (Okaichi 1989, Hallegraeff et al. 1998, Marshall & Hallegraeff 1999, Backe-Hansen et al. 2001, Bourdelais et al. 2002). Among the 6 *Chattonella* species with global distributions (Hallegraeff & Hara 2003), in Japan *C. marina* and *C. antiqua* caused particularly severe damage to fish aquaculture in the Seto Inland Sea from the 1970s through the mid-1980s (Imai et al. 1998, 2006). After the 1990s, red tide frequencies of these species decreased in this area (Imai et al. 2006), but gradually increased in Ariake and Yatsushiro bays in Kyushu in southern Japan. Recently, mass mortalities of fish and shellfish during the bloom periods of both species have been major concerns around these bays. Because red tide outbreaks in these areas are often accompanied by water column anoxia and rising water temperature, the environmental factors that specifically affect the mortality...
of marine organisms have not yet been conclusively determined. The genus *Chattonella* is widely known to be toxic to fish and is especially harmful to young yellowtail *Seriola quinquergadiata* Temminck & Schlegel (Shimada et al. 1983, Toyoshima et al. 1985). However, there is no universal agreement on the mechanisms of toxicity of this genus (Landsberg 2002). One proposed mechanism is the production of brevetoxin or brevetoxin-like compounds, which have been demonstrated in laboratory cultures of *C. marina* and *C. antiqua* (Ahmed et al. 1995, Khan et al. 1996). A second proposed mechanism is that *Chattonella* cells also generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide anions (O$_2^-$), hydrogen peroxide molecules (H$_2$O$_2$) and hydroxyl radicals (·OH) (Shimada et al. 1989, 1991, 1993, Oda et al. 1992a,b, 1994, 1995, 1997, 1998, Tanaka et al. 1992, 1994, Kawano et al. 1996). These products may damage the cell membranes of fish, especially the cell membrane of gill tissue, leading to death. A third proposed mechanism is that the mucus present on *Chattonella* cell surfaces adheres to the gills of the fish and kills them by interfering with their respiration (Hada 1974, Jenkinson et al. 2007).

Although the toxic effects of *Chattonella* species have been well studied in marine fishes, there are few studies on the effects of *Chattonella* on bivalves (Kim et al. 2004, Keppler et al. 2006, Alexander et al. 2008), and no studies have examined the clearance activity of bivalves when they are exposed to various cell densities of *Chattonella* species. We observed the survival of oysters after outbreaks of *Chattonella* blooms in Ariake Bay and know of no report of massive mortality of oysters during the blooms.

The aims of the present study were to determine whether oysters are as adversely affected by *Chattonella* as are fishes, and whether oysters can filter *Chattonella* cells. To achieve these aims, we exposed Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* to *Chattonella marina* and *Chattonella antiqua* under laboratory conditions.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Algal strains and oyster.** The algal cultures used in the present study included *Chaetoceros gracilis*, *Chattonella antiqua* and *Chattonella marina*. As bivalve feed, concentrated *C. gracilis* diatoms were supplied by the Tasaki Institute for Marine Biological Research. These diatoms were stored in the dark at 4°C for up to 2 wk until used for the experiments. *C. marina* (strain CmA10707) and *C. antiqua* (CaA24808) were isolated from blooms in Isahaya Bay, Nagasaki, Japan (32° 53’ 20” N, 130° 12’ 00” E) in July 2007 and August 2008, respectively. The stock cultures of each were maintained in 100 ml flasks containing 50 ml modified SWM-3 medium (Yamasaki et al. 2007) at 20°C under 150 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with a 14 h light:10 h dark cycle. We identified these 2 strains as genus *Chattonella* by the PCR assay developed by Connell (2002) (see Fig. A1 in Appendix 1). It was recently proposed that *C. marina* and *C. antiqua* could be the same species, based on genetic diversity studies (Connell 2000, Hosoi-Tanabe et al. 2006, Kamikawa et al. 2007, Demura et al. 2009). However, the differences in morphological features between these 2 *Chattonella* species, especially cell volume (Table 1), provided an obvious distinction during the present study. Therefore, we treated the 2 strains as separate species, which were easily distinguishable under an inverted optical microscope. The morphological features of these strains, listed in Table 1, resembled those observed by Hosoi-Tanabe et al. (2006) and Demura et al. (2009); *C. antiqua* was relatively oblate and had a posterior tail (Fig. 1a), and *C. marina* was oblong to obovoid and also had a posterior tail (Fig. 1b). *C. antiqua* cells were the largest among the algae used in the present study (Fig. 1, Table 1). The cell volumes were calculated by the method of Sun & Liu (2003).

The oysters used for the experiments had shell lengths of 6.0 to 7.5 cm and were farmed in Nagasaki, Japan. Prior to the experiments, the oysters were kept at 23°C in an indoor tank filled with seawater that was filtered first through sand and then through a 5 μm pore size cartridge filter (Micro-Wynd II Filter Cartridge, CUNO K.K.). The stored oysters were fed a mixture of *Chaetoceros gracilis* and a commercial artificial feed (Nosan Shellfish Micron M1, Nosan).

**Toxicity of *Chattonella* strains to fish.** To determine toxicity to fish, *Chattonella marina* and *C. antiqua* were each exposed to black rockfish *Sebastes inermis*. Twenty fish apiece were placed into 2 polycarbonate cylinders (35 cm diameter × 30 cm height), each containing 27 l of filtered seawater (5 μm pore size, Micro-Wynd II Filter Cartridge, CUNO K.K.). A 3 l volume of *C. marina* culture was inoculated into 1 test cylinder,

---

### Table 1. Mean cell size (±SD for length and width) of phytoplankton used in the present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Cell length (μm)</th>
<th>Cell width (μm)</th>
<th>Cell volume (μm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Chattonella antiqua</em> (CaA24808)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>83.6 ± 8.7</td>
<td>27.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>29.4 × 10$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chattonella marina</em> (CmA10707)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>18.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.7 × 10$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chaetoceros gracilis</em></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.0 × 10$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and 3 l of fresh modified SWM-3 medium was added to the other cylinder as the control. The inoculum size was adjusted to yield about $3.0 \times 10^3$ cells ml$^{-1}$ of the phytoplankton. The seawater in the cylinders was gently aerated to ensure homogeneous distribution of the cells. After 24 h, the number of dead fish was determined for each chamber. For assessing toxicity of $C. antiqua$ to fish, the experimental design was the same as above, except that 10 fish were used. This experiment was not replicated.

**Experimental design for the exposure experiments.**
To examine the effect of $Chattonella$ species on the filtration ability of adult oysters, oysters ($n = 6$ oysters for each cell density) were exposed to 5 initial cell densities for each of 3 phytoplankton species ($Chaetoceros gracilis$: $1.5 \times 10^5$, $3.0 \times 10^5$, $1.0 \times 10^6$, $2.0 \times 10^6$ and $3.0 \times 10^6$ cells ml$^{-1}$; $Chattonella marina$ and $C. antiqua$: $5.0 \times 10^2$, $1.0 \times 10^3$, $2.5 \times 10^3$, $5.0 \times 10^3$ and $1.0 \times 10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$). $Chaetoceros gracilis$, which is generally prey for bivalves, was used as a control in the feeding experiments. The clearance rate of individual oysters was calculated from hourly measurements of algal cell density in each aquarium (see below).

**Exposure procedure.** Each aquarium (15 cm length $\times$ 15 cm width $\times$ 24 cm height) contained 2 l of filtered seawater, and 1 oyster was placed into each of the 6 aquaria (these aquaria are hereafter called the ‘exposure section’) (Fig. 2). Similarly, 1 aquarium without any oysters (hereafter called the ‘control section’) was set up so that the conditions of the phytoplankton cells could be observed, because spindle-shaped active cells of $Chattonella marina$ and $C. antiqua$ have harmful effects on fish, but spherical and nonmotile cells do not (Tanaka et al. 1992, Hishida et al. 1998). The oysters were stored under dim light at 24 $\pm$ 1°C, and this temperature was maintained by temperature-controlled water (23°C) that flowed through an outer container (55 cm length $\times$ 85 cm width $\times$ 20 cm height, Fig. 2). After the oysters were placed in the aquaria, they were left to stand for 30 min; then algal culture was inoculated into all 7 aquaria ($n = 6$ aquaria in the exposure section and $n = 1$ aquarium in the control section) at 5 different initial cell densities (see above) for each aquarium. Before adding the inoculum, an amount of seawater equal to the inoculum volume was drained from each aquarium. The seawater in the aquaria was gently aerated to ensure homogeneous distribution of phytoplankton. Hourly measurements of phytoplankton were continued until almost no algal cells remained in the aquaria or for up to 24 h, whichever came first. At the end of all of the exposure experiments, the dry soft body weights of the oysters were measured. Body tissues were dried to a constant weight for 24 to 72 h at 60°C. The rates of phytoplankton clearance by the oysters were calculated from the hourly decrease in cell density of phytoplankton according to the following equation:
where \( CI \) is the clearance rate (l h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) dry weight [DW]), \( V \) is the volume of water in the aquarium (l), \( N_t \) is the cell density of phytoplankton at the beginning of the period (cells ml\(^{-1}\)), \( N_{t+\Delta t} \) is the cell density of phytoplankton at the end of the period (cells ml\(^{-1}\)), \( n \) is the number of oysters, \( \Delta t \) is the duration of the time interval (t, \( t + \Delta t \)) (h) and \( W \) is the dry soft body weight of the oysters (g). The values of the selectable variables were exactly \( n = 1 \), \( \Delta t = 1 \), and \( V = 2 \).

**Determination of Chaetoceros gracilis cell density.**

\( C. \) gracilis cell densities were determined by measuring in vivo chlorophyll \( a \) (chl \( a \)) fluorescence with a fluorometer (model 8000-010, Turner Designs), because counting this minuscule organism under an inverted light microscope is so complex and difficult. Although the number of \( C. \) gracilis cells per unit water volume did not increase during these experiments (as confirmed by direct count with a light microscope), the in vivo chl \( a \) fluorescence per unit water volume increased slightly with time. This increase was caused by an increase in the chl \( a \) content per cell of the diatom. We therefore calculated a correction coefficient to keep the in vivo chl \( a \) fluorescence of the control section constant:

\[
\text{Correction coefficient} = F_0/F_1 \tag{2}
\]

where \( F_0 \) is the in vivo chl \( a \) fluorescence of \( C. \) gracilis in the control section at the beginning of exposure and \( F_1 \) is the in vivo chl \( a \) fluorescence after time \( t \) (h). We corrected the cell density values of the exposure section by multiplying them by the correction coefficient.

**Determination of Chattonella marina and \( C. \) antiqua cell density.**

The cell densities of the 2 Chattonella species were determined by using an inverted light microscope (ECLIPSE E400, Nikon) at 40\( \times \) magnification. Every hour, 1.0 to 3.5 ml samples were collected from each aquarium and whole vegetative cells of \( C. \) marina or \( C. \) antiqua in 5 to 1000 \( \mu \)l subsamples were counted in a Sedgwick-Rafter counting chamber.

**Analysis of phytopigment in the digestive glands of oysters and immunohistological observation of oyster tissue.**

The digestive glands were analyzed for the presence of phytopigments that were exposed to \( C. \) gracilis (initial cell density: \( 3.0 \times 10^5 \) cells ml\(^{-1}\)), Chattonella marina (\( 5.0 \times 10^5 \) cells ml\(^{-1}\)) or \( C. \) antiqua (\( 2.5 \times 10^3 \) cells ml\(^{-1}\)) (\( n = 5 \) oysters for each alga). After 12 h, the exposed oysters were dissected and a piece of digestive gland was isolated from each. The analyses of phytopigments (chl \( a \) and phaeophytin) in the digestive glands were performed according to the method of Numaguchi (2001). Each piece of the wet digestive gland tissue was weighed, soaked in 10 ml of 90% acetone in the dark at 4\(^{\circ}\)C for 24 h and then centrifuged at 2300 \( \times \) \( g \) for 20 min to extract the pigment. About 3 ml of the supernatant was measured with a spectrophotometer at wavelengths of 665 and 750 nm to determine chl \( a \) content.

To determine the phaeophytin content another 5 ml of the supernatant was analyzed at the same wavelengths after addition of 50 \( \mu \)l of 4 N HCl. The chl \( a \) and phaeophytin contents (\( \mu \)g g\(^{-1}\)) were determined based on the equations developed by Strickland & Parsons (1968), according to the following modified formulae:

\[
\text{Chlorophyll } a = \frac{26.7 \cdot (E_0 - E_a) \cdot v}{M \cdot I} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{Phaeophytin} = \frac{26.7 \cdot (1.7E_a - E_0) \cdot v}{M \cdot I} \tag{4}
\]

where \( E_0 \) is the difference between the absorbancies at 665 and 750 nm, \( E_a \) is the difference between the absorbancies at these wavelengths after addition of 50 \( \mu \)l of 4 N HCl to the supernatant, \( v \) (ml) is the volume of acetone used for the pigment extraction, \( M \) (g) is the weight of the digestive gland sample, and \( I \) (cm) is the path length of the quartz cell. In addition to the 15 exposed oysters, the digestive glands of 5 fasted oysters were analyzed in the same manner as the initial control.

We also examined whether Chattonella cells could damage oyster tissue. The oysters used for this histological and immunohistochemical examination were the same oysters that were used for the above phyto-pigment analysis. The gills, mantle and digestive gland of the oysters were fixed in 10% formalin in seawater, dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. The oysters that were exposed to Chaetoceros gracilis (\( 3.0 \times 10^5 \) cells ml\(^{-1}\)) were used as the negative control and the fasted oysters were used as the initial control; all groups were processed in the same manner. For histological examination, 4 \( \mu \)m thick paraffin sections of tissues were stained with Mayer’s haematoxylin and eosin. For immunohistochemical analysis, the sections of digestive glands were treated with \( H_2O_2 \) in methanol (0.02% v/v) for 10 min, rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4, Nissui) and then treated with normal goat serum (10% w/v in PBS) to block nonspecific antibody binding. Next, the sections of the digestive glands were treated with a primary antibody...
(rabbit serum anti-Chattonella marina or anti-C. antiqua) at a dilution of 1:500 in PBS for 1 h at 37°C, while the control sections were incubated with normal unimmunized rabbit serum at the same dilution. The sections were washed with PBS and then treated with a biotinylated goat antibody to rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) (SAB Kit, Histofine, Nichirei) for 10 min at room temperature. The sections were washed again with PBS and treated with streptavidin-peroxidase complex reagent (SAB Kit, Histofine) for 5 min at room temperature. Next, the sections were washed with PBS and then exposed to 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB, Dako) as a substrate. The sections for immunohistochemistry were counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin and dehydrated through graded levels of alcohol. The sections were then cleared with xylene and mounted on a MKG-S slide (Matsunami Glass Industries). Observations of the sections under an inverted light microscope (ECLIPSE E400, Nikon) were recorded, and the localization patterns were determined based on the intensity of negative (−) and positive (+) immunostaining.

Statistical analysis. Data for phytopigments in digestive glands were analyzed for statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) between the initial control and each experimental section by means of a t-test using SPSS 10.0 for Windows (SPSS). The values for these data are expressed as mean ± SD.

RESULTS

Mortality of fish and oysters

No black rockfish in any of the control cylinders had died after 24 h. In contrast, 4 of 20 fish exposed to Chattonella marina (20%) and 7 of 10 fish exposed to C. antiqua (70%) died within 24 h. No oysters exposed to C. marina or C. antiqua had died after 24 h.

Time-dependent decline in algal cell density with feeding by oyster

At low initial Chaetoceros gracilis cell densities (1.5 × 10^5 and 3.0 × 10^5 cells ml⁻¹), the oysters filtered and cleared the algal cells within 2 h; the algal cell densities in the aquaria declined to about 0.3% of each initial exposure density (Fig. 3a,b). At 1.0 × 10^6 cells ml⁻¹, the cell densities in most of the aquaria began to decrease just after the cells were inoculated, and almost all of the cells had been cleared within 14 h; the algal cell densities declined to 2.3% of the initial exposure density (Fig. 3c). At high cell densities (2.0 × 10^6 and 3.0 × 10^6 cells ml⁻¹), this alga was slowly removed by oyster filtration until cell density reached about 1.5 to 2.5 × 10^6 cells ml⁻¹ and then was removed relatively quickly once the cell density became lower (Fig. 3d,e).

Fig. 3. Chaetoceros gracilis. Cell density over time with or without feeding by 1 oyster in 2 l of seawater at various initial algal densities (a–e). The controls indicate density of the diatom numbers in the aquaria without any oysters. Error bars indicate SE of the mean (n = 6)
Within 24 h, the oysters cleared most *C. gracilis* at high initial cell densities (2.0 × 10^6 and 3.0 × 10^6 cells ml⁻¹); the algal cell densities in aquaria declined to 6.8 and 13.5% of initial values, respectively (Fig. 3d,e).

Interestingly, every observed cell of *Chattonella marina* in the control aquaria had a slight loss of motility (data not shown), but no morphological defects were observed. In the exposure sections, at initial densities of 5.0 × 10^2 to 5.0 × 10^3 cells ml⁻¹, the oysters filtered nearly all of the *C. marina* cells just after inoculation into the aquaria; the algal cell densities in aquaria declined to below 0.3% of each initial exposure density (Fig. 4a–d). At an initial density of 1.0 × 10^4 cells ml⁻¹, oyster filtration slowly removed the cells until they reached a density of 7.0 to 9.0 × 10^3 cells ml⁻¹ (time span: up to 8 h), and then clearance proceeded relatively quickly once the cell density became lower. Within 24 h, oyster filtration had decreased the cell densities of *C. marina* to 6.8% of initial exposure densities (Fig. 4e).

As with *Chattonella marina*, every *C. antiqua* cell observed in the control aquaria had a slight loss of motility, but no morphological defects were observed. In the exposure sections, oysters filtered fewer *C. antiqua* cells than they filtered the other 2 algal species used in the present study. At initial densities of 5.0 × 10^2 cells ml⁻¹, oysters filtered the cells to 11.9% of initial exposure density (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, at initial cell densities of 1.0 × 10^3 and 2.5 × 10^3 cells ml⁻¹, the cells decreased in all aquaria, but 33.7 and 25.1% of initial exposure densities remained at 24 h (Fig. 4b,c). At 5.0 × 10^3 cells ml⁻¹, the oysters filtered this alga, but at 24 h 60.0% of the initial exposure densities remained in the water (Fig. 4d). At 1.0 × 10^4 cells ml⁻¹, 87.4% of the initial exposure density remained in the water column at 24 h (Fig. 4e).

**Algal clearance rates**

Oyster clearance of phytoplankton was highest at a specific cell density that varied among the algal species used in the present study: about 100 cells ml⁻¹ for *Chattonella marina*, about 10 cells ml⁻¹ for *C. antiqua* and about 10 000 cells ml⁻¹ for *Chaetoceros gracilis* (Fig. 5a). Moreover, the clearance rates of the oysters gradually declined with increases in algal cell density above these specific values (Fig. 5a). Because cell volume was clearly different among the algal species used in the present study (Table 1), we also examined the relationships between the clearance rates and phytoplankton biovolumes. To determine the biovolumes, we converted the cell density of each alga to cell volume per unit water volume. The peak clearance rate was obtained at a biovolume of about 1.0 × 10^6 μm³ mm⁻³ for all 3 species of algae (Fig. 5b); however, the
peak clearance rate was remarkably lower for oysters exposed to *C. antiqua* (14.4 l h⁻¹ g⁻¹ DW) than for oysters exposed to *C. marina* (34.0 l h⁻¹ g⁻¹ DW) and *C. gracilis* (26.7 l h⁻¹ g⁻¹ DW).

**Phytopigment in the oyster digestive glands and immunohistological observations of the oyster tissue**

The amounts of chl *a* and phaeophytin in oysters exposed to *Chattonella marina* (65.7 ± 55.5 and 376.1 ± 201.7 μg g⁻¹, respectively; mean ± SD, n = 5) or *Chaetoceros gracilis* (46.2 ± 34.7 and 568.8 ± 414.5 μg g⁻¹) were significantly higher than those in feed-deprived oysters (2.0 ± 2.9 and 8.3 ± 3.4 μg g⁻¹, initial control), except for chl *a* in *C. marina*, which was higher than the control, but the difference was not significant (*t*-test: p = 0.061) due to variability among replicates (Fig. 6). The oysters filtered very few *Chattonella antiqua* cells during the 12 h exposure period; the cell density of this alga decreased from 2564 ± 145 to 2275 ± 90 cells ml⁻¹ (mean ± SD, n = 5). Consequently, the phytopigment content in digestive glands of the oysters was relatively low. Nevertheless, the amounts of chl *a* and phaeophytin in the oysters exposed to *C. antiqua* (6.0 ± 2.6 and 28.1 ± 19.5 μg g⁻¹, respectively) were more than triple the amounts in the unfed control oysters, though these differences were not significant.

No histological lesions were found in the gills, mantle and digestive gland of the oysters exposed for 12 h in either the experimental groups or control groups. Immunohistological analysis of the digestive glands of the oysters detected strong immunoperoxidase positive reactions in all of the oysters exposed to *Chattonella marina* and *C. antiqua*. Immunoreactivity was confined exclusively to the cytoplasm of the epithelial
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*Fig. 5. Chattonella antiqua, C. marina and Chaetoceros gracilis. The effect of (a) cell density and (b) biovolume of the 3 algal species on clearance rates by oysters. The density of cells was defined as the average of the densities of phytoplankton cells at the beginning (N₀) and end (N₀+Δt) of each 1 h time period.*

![Figure 6](image)

*Fig. 6. Crassostrea gigas. Content (μg g⁻¹) of (a) chlorophyll *a* and (b) phaeophytin in digestive glands of oysters after exposure to various phytoplankton for 12 h. The initial density was the amount of phytopigments in the digestive glands of the oysters before exposure to experimental algae. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 5). *: Significant difference from initial control oysters in *t*-test (p < 0.05).*
cells of the digestive gland (Fig. 7). However, no immunoreactions were observed in either the initial or negative control oysters.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, 20 and 70% of black rockfish died after 24 h exposure to $3.0 \times 10^3$ cells ml$^{-1}$ of *Chattonella marina* and *C. antiqua*, respectively. However, there was no mortality among the oysters that were exposed to $1.0 \times 10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$ of either *Chattonella* species for 24 h. Moreover, no histological damage was found in the gills, mantles, or digestive glands of the oysters. These observations show that *Chattonella* cells do not directly harm oysters via mechanisms such as production of brevetoxin, brevetoxin-like compounds or ROS. Keppler et al. (2006) reported that another *Chattonella* species, *C. subsalsa*, has sublethal effects on the oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. Direct exposure to *C. subsalsa* caused lysosome destabilization of *C. virginica*, as did exposure to at least 1 *Chattonella* toxin, brevetoxin (Keppler et al. 2006). Lysosome damage can affect the ability of cells to survive various stressors, because this organelle is involved in cell defense and repair (Ringwood et al. 1998). However, the lysosomal destabilization is a sensitive physiological indicator of several types of stressors in oysters in both field and laboratory settings (Ringwood et al. 1998). Therefore, it is uncertain whether the lysosomal destabilization of oysters observed by Keppler et al. (2006) was caused by *C. subsalsa* brevetoxin or the other stressors during incubation. Baden (1989) and Plakas et al. (2002) noted that brevetoxins are lipid-soluble polyether molecules that are readily accumulated and metabolized by bivalves. Furthermore, neither laboratory nor field data indicate that agents toxic to fish (such as brevetoxins or ROS) can be produced in sufficiently high levels during *Chattonella* blooms to cause fish gill damage or fish mortality (Tang et al. 2005, Woo et al. 2006). Thus, our observations support the conclusions reported by previous studies that *Chattonella* toxins (such as brevetoxins and ROS) have little effect on fish and bivalve mortality (Baden 1989, Plakas et al. 2002, Tang et al. 2005, Woo et al. 2006).

Suffocation due to clogging of gills by algal cells has been proposed as one of the mechanisms of fish death induced by *Chattonella* (Hada 1974, Jenkinson et al. 2007). Hishida et al. (1998) reported the tolerances to *C. marina* of 3 cultured fishes to be 100, 33 and 0% for yellowtail, red sea bream *Pagrus major* and Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*, respectively. These differences in mortality were caused by the different oxygen requirements of the fish species and by their physiological responses to hypoxia; yellowtail has the highest oxygen requirement among these 3 species (Hishida et al. 1998). Hishida (1999) also examined differences in tolerance to *C. marina* among the same 3 fishes from the perspective of gill structure. Yellowtail has the highest density of secondary gill lamellae among the 3 species, followed by red sea bream and Japanese flounder. The density of secondary gill lamellae indicates the sensitivity of the fish to suspended particles; yellowtail is the most susceptible to suspended particles among the 3 species (Hishida 1999). In other words, *Chattonella*-resistant organisms are strong enough to endure severe hypoxic conditions and have a less-clogging gill structure. Correspondingly, oysters should be resistant to *Chattonella* because bivalves generally show variable tolerance to hypoxic/anoxic conditions (de Zwaan et al. 1995, 2002, de Zwaan & Eertman 1996). Furthermore, bivalve gills act not only as respiratory organs but also as filter-feeding organs. Suspended particles on the surfaces of bivalve gills are transferred to the mouth by gill ciliary movement. Thus, suspended particles affect oysters less than they affect fish. This is probably why we found no mortality among oysters that were exposed to *Chattonella* in the present study.
Differences in cell volume among algal species at the same cell density cause wide variation in the algal bio-volume per unit water volume. When the cell density of each alga was converted to biovolume per unit water volume, the relationships between clearance rates and the exposed algal biovolumes were similar among all of the experiments, irrespective of algal species. The peak clearance rates by the oysters occurred at biovolume of about $1.0 \times 10^6 \mu m^3 mm^{-3}$ for all 3 species of algae (Fig. 5b). Moreover, these results indicate that oysters can filter cells of both *Chattonella* species and *Chaetoceros gracilis*, the latter being a typical hatchery food for bivalves. These results also indicate that the filtration activity of the oysters is fundamentally more affected by the biovolume of exposed algae than by the number of algal cells per unit water volume. As various species of phytoplankton are suspended in the marine environment, we may be able to determine the clearance activity of oysters by detecting the biovolume of all the phytoplankton in the field without considering the species of the algae, except where algal species fatally toxic to bivalves (e.g. *Heterocapsa circularisquama* and *Karenia mikimotoi*, Matsuyama et al. 1999) are present.

As mentioned above, the peak clearance rates by the oysters occurred at a biovolume of about $1.0 \times 10^6 \mu m^3 mm^{-3}$ for all 3 species of algae used in the present study. However, the maximum clearance rate values by oysters of the biovolume of algae was remarkably lower for oysters exposed to either *C. marina* (34.0 l h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ DW) or *Chaetoceros gracilis* (26.7 l h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ DW) (Fig. 5b). According to this result, we suggest this characteristic of *C. antiqua* cells is a possible cause of such a low clearance rate for this alga. Jenkinson et al. (2007) reported that *C. antiqua* cells show the strongest tendency to clog fish gills among several algal species examined (*Skeletonema costatum*, *Chaetoceros* sp., *Thalassiosira minima*, *Heterosigma akashiwo* and *C. antiqua*). It is known that *C. antiqua* cells are coated with thick glycocalyx, and this substance has high viscosity (Yokote & Honjo 1985). Glycocalyces, as well as diffused colloidal extracellular polymeric substances present in *Chattonella* cultures, are major factors that restrict the flow of water through fish gills (Jenkinson et al. 2007). In the present study, both *Chattonella* species would have had glycocalyx coating their cell surfaces. However, *C. antiqua* is more than twice the size of *C. marina* (Table 1); therefore, we speculate that there is probably more glycocalyx on the surfaces of *C. antiqua* cells than on *C. marina* cells, which suggests that the *C. antiqua* cells probably have higher viscosity than the *C. marina* cells. This high viscosity of *C. antiqua* might have been responsible for the low peak clearance rates by the oysters; *C. antiqua* cells adhere easily and slip very little on oyster gill surfaces. However, further study is needed to describe this phenomenon.

Alexander et al. (2008) hypothesized that the raphidophytes *Chattonella sub salsa* and *Heterosigma akashiwo* would not be recognized as prey by the oyster *Crassostrea ariakensis*, based on the investigation of oyster feces and pseudofeces. Globular cells were observed in the feces and pseudofeces of oysters fed *C. subsalsa* and *H. akashiwo*; such globular cells are presumably hemocytes (Alexander et al. 2008). The direction of migration of phagocytic hemocytes is regulated by the nature of endocytosed material (Cheng 1996). Hemocytes would enter the lumen of the alimentary tract to take up nutrients and particles and then, if the endocytosed material was nutritious, migrate into deeper tissues to deliver nutrients. Conversely, hemocytes containing waste or toxic material would migrate out of tissues (Cheng 1996). Although Alexander et al. (2008) speculated that globular cells are hemocytes, there is no evidence to support this speculation. Actually, Alexander et al. (2008) suggested the possibility that the globular cells could be partially digested material such as algae or heterotrophic protists. Thus, it is uncertain whether oysters introduce *Chattonella* cells into their body. In the present study, immunohistological observations of the digestive glands of the oysters and analysis of their phytopigment content revealed that *Chattonella* cells cleared from the water during the exposure period entered the digestive glands of the oysters and were assimilated into their bodies by phagocytosis (Figs. 6 & 7), which show that *Chattonella* cells are assimilated by the oyster.

Consequently, our results indicate that *Chattonella marina* and *C. antiqua* possess no harmful toxins that injure the oyster. In other words, both *Chattonella* species are good food for oysters. Perhaps the oyster is a useful organism that can clear the fish-killing *Chattonella* species from the environment before these harmful algae become abundant.
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Appendix 1.

Fig. A1. Raphidophyceae species-specific PCR amplification products. Lane 1, DynaMarker 100 bp ladder (BioDynamics Laboratory) with band size indicated at the left. Lanes 2 to 5, PCR-generated *Chattonella antiqua* culture products against several primer sets (see below). Lanes 6 to 9, PCR-generated *C. marina* culture products against the same primer sets. Primer sets: Lanes 2 and 6, Raphidophyceae universal primer mix (oBTG-005B/oBTG-006B); Lanes 3 and 7, *C. antiqua/marina/ovata* primer mix (oBTG-005B/oBTG-027); Lanes 4 and 8, *C. subsalsa* primer mix (oBTG-005/oBTG-028); Lanes 5 and 9, *Heterosigma akashiwo* primer mix (oBTG-005/oBTG-030B). See Tables 3 & 5 in Connell (2002) for the primer set names and oligonucleotide sequences. With the Raphidophyceae universal primer mix, the PCR amplification product size of both *C. antiqua* and *C. marina* was 250 bp, which is consistent with the result of *C. antiqua* reported by Connell (2002) and different from those of *Fibrocapsa japonica* (353 bp) and *Olisthodiscus luteus* (354 bp) (Connell 2002). The *C. subsalsa* and *H. akashiwo* primer mixes gave no detectable bands.