INTRODUCTION

Mysids belonging to the genus *Neomysis* (Mysidae, Crustacea) occur abundantly in lagoons and estuaries of the subarctic north-western Pacific coast (Pecheneva et al. 2002, Yamada et al. 2007, Yusa & Goshima 2011). *Neomysis* is an omnivore, feeding on detritus, algae and animal prey (Baldo-Kost & Knight 1975, Siegfried & Kopache 1980, Fockedey & Mees 1999). The success of *Neomysis* in coastal habitats is largely attributed to its ability to adapt to variable environments, typically represented by a tolerance to low salinity and a wide range of water temperatures (Simmons & Knight 1975, Pezzack & Corey 1982, Roast et al. 1999). Mysids in coastal lagoons at high latitudes, however, experience particularly harsh environments during the winter, when, in addition to below-freezing temperatures, water surfaces are covered with seasonal sea ice, resulting in dramatically decreased primary productivity in the water column (Tada et al. 1993). Secondary production by planktonic copepods is also depressed (Fortier et al. 1995). Nevertheless, mysids are often the most dominant organisms in terms of biomass in the zooplankton community under seasonal sea ice (Fukuchi et al. 1979, Fortier & Fortier 1997). These observations indicate that mysids are important components of the ecosystem; however, the detailed ecology of mysids under sea ice has not been fully elucidated, partly due to the difficulty of quantitative sampling (see Fukuchi et al. 1979). In this study, we investigated vertical distribution and feeding habits of *Neomysis* mirabilis under seasonal sea ice in a subarctic lagoon of northern Japan.
Neomysis mirabilis (Czerniaevsky, 1882) below seasonal sea ice, in order to examine the mechanisms by which they maintain their high abundance during the productively poor winters in subarctic coastal lagoons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling was conducted at Saroma-ko lagoon (44° 10’ N, 143° 45’ E) in eastern Hokkaido, northern Japan (Fig. 1). Saroma-ko lagoon covers an area of about 152 km², and is the southernmost locale where sea ice forms in the northern hemisphere (Taguchi & Takahashi 1993). In normal years, 30 to 40 cm thick ice covers the lagoon from December to late March, resulting in low light conditions in the water column. During this period, the production of ice algae, which is well adapted to such environments, surpasses that of phytoplankton and benthic microalgae (McMinn et al. 2005). In addition, the study site contains one of the largest eelgrass Zostera marina beds in the world (Katsuki et al. 2009); the eelgrass and its associated epiphytes are an important food source for aquatic animals (e.g. Aya & Kudo 2007, Yamada et al. 2010).

Vertical distribution patterns and feeding habits of Neomysis mirabilis were investigated at 2 sampling stations in Saroma-ko lagoon: Stn A, 100 m from shore at a depth of 2 m with a bottom covered with eelgrass beds; and Stn B, 600 m from shore at a depth of 5 m, characterized by a muddy bottom lacking vegetation (Fig. 1). Both stations were covered by 35 cm thick sea ice. Mysids were sampled from 3 layers: near the bottom, immediately under the sea ice, and in between. A conical plankton net (30 cm diameter, 330 µm mesh) was used to sample the upper 2 layers in the water column, and a sledge net (10 cm high × 30 cm wide, with 330 µm mesh) was used for near-bottom sampling. During all sampling events, the gear was attached to a rope, forming a loop between 2 holes that were 20 m apart in the ice, and towed horizontally with a constant towing speed (ca. 0.7 m s⁻¹). Spherical buoys mounted on the frame of the plankton net allowed us to sample the layer immediately under the ice. To sample the middle layer, the plankton net was towed between stainless steel eye bolts attached to a square post that was vertically set in the ice holes. The positions of the eye bolts were adjusted to the middle point of the sampling site depths (1 and 2.5 m for Stns A and B, respectively), and the looped rope was tensioned between the eye bolts when towing the plankton net, which was fastened between the looped rope, in order to sample at a constant depth in the water column. Filtered water volume was estimated as the product of the distance between holes and the area of net opening or sledge mouth. Samples were taken every 3 h for 24 h, from 07:00 h on 27 February to 07:00 h on 28 February 1998, although sampling at Stn B was not conducted after 22:00 h due to thick fog which prevented us from reaching the sampling station. All collected samples were immediately frozen in dry ice and kept at −80°C in the dark until analysis. In the laboratory, the frozen samples were thawed using chilled, filtered seawater, and the mysids were identified and counted using a dissecting microscope under dim light.

As an index of feeding activity, diel changes in gut pigment content were determined. Specimens were rinsed with filtered seawater and then dipped into 4 ml of N,N-dimethylformamide to extract the gut pigments (Suzuki & Ishimaru 1990). Between 5 and 40 extraction bottles were prepared for each sampling time, depending on the number of mysids in the samples. The extraction bottles were kept at −20°C in darkness until analysis (>24 h). Pigment concentrations were measured using a Turner Model 111 fluorometer. Gut pigment content was expressed as
chlorophyll $a$ (chl $a$) + phaeopigments in a chl $a$-equivalent weight per body dry weight (DW) to take into account variations in body size. Body DW was estimated after Shushkina et al. (1971) from body length, which was measured under the microscope. Prey items of the mysids were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JSM-T100). The specimens were fixed with 4% glutaraldehyde immediately after thawing. Under a dissecting microscope, the foregut was carefully removed and divided using the dry fracturing method (Toda et al. 1989). The guts of 10 mysids from the day (13:00 h) and 10 mysids from the night (22:00 h) were examined. The mean (±SD) total lengths (TL) of the specimens from which stomach contents were examined were 12.3 ± 1.3 and 11.7 ± 1.3 mm for day and night, respectively.

In order to investigate potential food items in the environment, the taxonomic characteristics of microalgae (phytoplankton, ice algae, and epiphytes on the eelgrass) were examined at Stn A. Phytoplankton was collected from the ice−water interface (surface) and the near-bottom layer using a Niskin bottle. Ice algae were collected from an ice core that was taken in the vicinity of the sampling hole, using an ice core sampler. Leaves of $Z$. marina were obtained from the ice hole at Stn A, and the microalgal mats (epiphytes) on the leaves were collected by scraping the surface of each leaf with a knife and suspending it in filtered seawater. All samples were preserved in 4% Lugol’s solution. Taxonomic identification and enumeration of algal species was conducted using light microscopy. Over 400 cells were counted in each sample to avoid the effect of sample size on the relative abundances of each species.

Based on the diel changes in mean gut pigment, the grazing rate on algal prey was estimated for different size classes using the gut fluorescence method (Mackas & Bohrer 1976). The gut evacuation rate at the in situ temperature (−1°C) was estimated as 0.1572 h$^{-1}$ based on the temperature-dependent relationship in $Mysis relicta$, with a $Q_{10}$ function of 1.93 (Chipp 1998). Although $M$. relicta is a freshwater species, its size and the temperature of its habitat are similar to that of $N$. mirabilis. Carbon grazing rates were estimated using a C:chl $a$ ratio of 47.63 (De Jonge 1980). The daily metabolic requirement of $N$. mirabilis (µg C ind.$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) at −1°C was estimated from its DW (µg ind.$^{-1}$) using an empirical allometric relationship (Ikeda 1985) for the oxygen consumption rate, RO (µl O$_2$ ind.$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$). The estimated RO was converted to respiratory carbon equivalents, RC (µg C ind.$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) as RC = RO × RQ × 12 / 22.4 × 24, where RQ (respiratory quotient) is the molar ratio of carbon produced to oxygen utilized, 12 is the atomic weight of carbon, 22.4 is the molar volume of an ideal gas at standard temperature and pressure, and 24 is the number of hours per day. We used an RQ of 0.97, assuming a protein-based metabolism (Gnaiger 1983). The assimilation efficiency was assumed to be 80%, which is a general value for algal prey (Takahashi 2004).

### RESULTS

Four species of mysids, including 3 species of Neomysis, were collected from under the sea ice (Table 1). $N$. mirabilis was the most dominant species, accounting for 90% of the total mysid population, and occurred almost exclusively at the near-shore station (Stn A; eelgrass bed), regardless of the time of day. Second in dominance was $N$. awatschenesis (Brandt, 1851), which accounted for 8% of the total catch; its occurrence was also limited to the near-bottom layer. The other species were not dominant, and their occurrence was limited to Stn A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sampling layer</th>
<th>Stn A (near-shore) Day (n = 5)</th>
<th>Night (n = 4)</th>
<th>Stn B (off-shore) Day (n = 4)</th>
<th>Night (n = 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neomysis mirabilis</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4.5 ± 4.8$^a$</td>
<td>9.4 ± 5.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.4$^a$</td>
<td>21.6 ± 10.8$^b$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.5$^a$</td>
<td>8.8 ± 8.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neomysis awatshensis</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neomysis czerniawskii</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreoacanthomysis sherenki</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Diel and vertical variation in mean (±SD) density of mysids (ind. m$^{-3}$) collected at 2 sampling sites during the day and at night in Saroma-ko lagoon. The superscript lowercase letters indicate a significant difference between sampling layers (p < 0.01, 1-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post-hoc test). S: surface (under sea-ice), M: middle layer, B: near bottom, n: number of sampling times, (−) no occurrence.
near-shore station day and night. In contrast, a small number of *N. czerniavskii* (Derzhavin, 1913) were only captured at night at the offshore station (Stn B, muddy bottom). Another uncommon species, *Boreoancanthomysis schrencki* (Czerniavsky, 1882), was collected from Stn A during the day and was only found at Stn B at night.

Further analyses of distribution patterns and gut pigment contents were conducted for the most abundant species, *N. mirabilis*, at Stn A. During the day, *N. mirabilis* was distributed throughout the water column, although its mean density tended to increase with increasing distance from the bottom (Table 1). At night, the density of *N. mirabilis* was 2 to 5 times that of the day throughout the water column (Table 1). All individuals collected were immature males, and the mean TL of the mysids collected at night (10.5 mm) was significantly smaller than that of the mysids collected during the day (11.5 mm; Student’s *t*-test, *p* < 0.05; Fig. 2A). This difference was attributed to an increase of smaller individuals in the middle and near-bottom layers at night (Fig. 2B).

The gut pigment contents of *N. mirabilis* varied widely, from 0.6 to 130.8 ng chl a mg DW⁻¹, and the mean value at night was significantly lower than that during the day (6.2 vs. 9.5 ng chl a mg DW⁻¹, respectively; Student’s *t*-test, *p* < 0.05; Fig. 2C). A significant difference in gut pigment contents was found in

![Fig. 2. Diel and spatial variations in body length and gut pigment content in a *Neomysis mirabilis* population at a near-shore eelgrass bed (Stn A) in Saroma-ko lagoon under seasonal sea ice. (A) Diel changes in mean body length; (B) spatio-temporal variation in mean body length; (C) diel changes in mean gut pigment content; (D) spatio-temporal variations in mean gut pigment content. Crosses in the box and whisker plots indicate the mean, the central line in the box is the median, and the box limits are the 25 and 75% quartiles. The whiskers cover 5 to 95% of the data, and dots indicate outliers. **indicates a significant difference between 2 bars (*p* < 0.05; Student’s *t*-test). Different lowercase letters indicate a significant difference between 2 bars (*p* < 0.05; 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test).](image-url)
the middle layer population between day and night (1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test, p < 0.05; Fig. 2D).

All *N. mirabilis* stomachs examined by SEM were full of prey items, regardless of sampling time (Fig. 3A). Benthic pennate diatoms *Cocconeis* spp. were the most common throughout the diel cycle (Fig. 3B). Other pennate diatoms, such as *Synedra* spp. (Fig. 3C) and *Navicula* spp. (Fig. 3D) were found in 30 and 10% of individuals collected during the day and night, respectively. Fragments of crustaceans, including benthic harpacticoid copepods (Fig. 3E,F), were also found in some individuals, but their frequency was relatively low (<10%). A few dinoflagellates or ciliates were found in 10% of individuals collected during the day.

![Scanning electron microscope photographs](image)

**Fig. 3.** Scanning electron microscope photographs of the foregut contents of *Neomysis mirabilis* collected in near-shore eelgrass beds under seasonal sea ice. (A) Whole view of stomach contents; (B) unidentified material, and the diatom *Cocconeis* spp.; (C) *Synedra* spp.; (D) *Navicula* spp.; (E) abdomen of harpacticoid copepods; (F) unidentified crustacean parts.
Microscopic observations of microalgae in their various habitats under the sea ice showed that assemblages of epiphytes on the eelgrass were distinctly different from those of phytoplankton and ice algae assemblages (Fig. 4). Epiphytes on eelgrass were dominated by *Cocconeis* spp., which accounted for 85% of the total cells studied, with small numbers of *Odontera* spp., *Syne- dra* spp., *Navicula* spp. and *Pleurosigma* spp. In contrast, the diatoms *Fragilariopsis* spp. and *Detonula* spp. were dominant in phytoplankton and ice algae assemblages, accounting for more than 60% of the total cells investigated, whereas the occurrence of *Cocconeis* spp. in the phytoplankton and ice algae assemblages was extremely limited (<3% of the total cells studied).

Daily carbon ingestion by *N. mirabilis* in the form of algal prey was variable, depending on size, and in general their importance as an energy source increased with mysid size (Table 2). For example, for medium-sized (10.6 mm TL) and large specimens (13.1 mm), the daily ingestion of algal prey fulfilled their metabolic requirements for respiration, whereas in small specimens (7.8 mm), the daily ingestion of algae only satisfied 70% of their metabolic requirements.

**DISCUSSION**

Among the 4 species of mysids collected in this study, *Neomysis mirabilis* was the most dominant, accounting for 90% of the total mysid population under the sea ice. As found in this study, this species occurs abundantly in eelgrass beds along the coastal zone of the subarctic north-western Pacific (Pecheneva et al. 2002, Yamada et al. 2007, Yusa & Goshima 2011). Zelickman (1974) observed the behaviour of this species in the field, and reported that it formed ribbons or spherical swarms in the eelgrass bed, and remained at the same location for several hours. The present study also found that *N. mirabilis* is located in eelgrass beds throughout the diel cycle under sea ice; however, some individuals exhibited diel migration within, or outside of, the eelgrass beds. For example, the density of *N. mirabilis* in eelgrass beds in the middle layer at night was significantly higher than that during the day (Table 1). Net avoidance by mysids may partly explain this difference; however, a large proportion of the population seemed to nocturnally migrate to the eelgrass beds, since the increase in density was mainly due to an increase in small individuals (Fig. 2A,B) which have a relatively low escape ability (Fleminger & Clutter 1965). Zelickman (1974) reported that *N. mirabilis* swarmed in the shadow of the water’s edge during the day, but disappeared at night. He also observed that in the light, young *N. mirabilis* in an aquarium always stayed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size class</th>
<th>Total length (mm)</th>
<th>Daily algal ingestion (ng chl a ind.(^{-1}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Assimilated carbon (µg C ind.(^{-1}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Metabolic requirement (µg C ind.(^{-1}) d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>% Assimilated carbon to metabolic requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>7.8 (5.1–8.9)</td>
<td>128.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>10.6 (9.1–12.0)</td>
<td>360.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>13.1 (12.2–14.8)</td>
<td>1263.6</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Estimated daily ingestion of algal prey based on gut pigment content in *Neomysis mirabilis* in an eelgrass bed under sea ice. Minimum carbon requirements to maintain metabolism are also noted. Daily algal ingestion was estimated with pigment destruction = 90%. Assimilated carbon was estimated with a C:chl a ratio of 47.63 and an assimilation efficiency of 80%. Metabolic requirements were estimated based on the relationship between body dry weight and oxygen consumption rate (Ikeda 1985).
away from larger individuals. Therefore, we suggest that small *N. mirabilis* migrate into the eelgrass beds at night from shallower habitats, whereas larger individuals remain in the eelgrass beds throughout the diel cycle.

The gut pigment contents of *N. mirabilis* were relatively constant throughout the day, but were significantly lower at night (Fig. 2C). We attributed this decrease to the occurrence of small mysids in the middle and near-bottom layers at night (Table 1, Fig. 3B,D). However, SEM observations revealed that benthic diatoms *Cocconeis* spp. and unidentified amorphous material were the most common prey, regardless of the time of day (Fig. 3). Microscopic analysis revealed that *Cocconeis* spp. only dominated in the eelgrass epiphyte assemblages (Fig. 4), as reported in previous studies (Sieburth & Thomas 1973, Nakaoka et al. 2001). Therefore, we conclude that *N. mirabilis* is primarily an epiphyte grazer under the sea ice during the winter. Daily ingestion, estimated by the gut pigment method, indicated that epiphytes were an adequate food source for metabolism maintenance in medium-sized and large mysids, which were located in the eelgrass beds throughout the day (Table 2). However, our calculations indicated that epiphytes alone were not sufficient to support the metabolic rates of small mysids. *Cocconeis* spp. have a highly adhesive, prostrate form (Moore 1975), and cannot easily be eaten by smaller mysids (ca. <10 mm TL) that do not have fully developed grazing capabilities (Table 2). Therefore, planktonic and benthic copepods are important to small mysids in fulfilling their metabolic requirements. The nocturnal increase of small mysids in the middle and near-bottom layers may be due to foraging for such prey organisms in those areas. Although ontogenetical changes in diet by mysids has been largely studied from the view point of the development of predatory ability on animal prey (Siegfried and Kopache 1980, Branstator et al. 2000, Viherluoto et al. 2000), this study suggests that the importance of animal prey is higher in younger mysids when grazing is a primary foraging mechanism.

This study found that during the winter, *N. mirabilis* depends mainly on eelgrass epiphytes as a food source under the sea ice, in addition to small crustaceans. In general, many mysid species, including *Neomysis*, are known to alternatively adopt 2 different feeding modes: suspension feeding and predation (Mauchline 1980, Takahashi 2004). The results of this study suggest that grazing on epiphytes may be a third alternative in the feeding habits of mysids that inhabit eelgrass beds. The importance of epiphytes as a food source has also been reported in *N. integer* in brackish lakes in the United Kingdom (Irvine et al. 1993). Hasegawa et al. (2007) reported that the density of epiphytes in Akkeshi-ko estuary, south-eastern Hokkaido, reaches its annual maximum in November, just before the sea ice forms. This suggests that epiphytes are the most easily obtainable food items during the winter, when primary and secondary productivity in the water column decreases. Given their wide range of gut fluorescence values, however, dependency on the epiphytes could also vary intra-specifically, particularly in smaller mysids. The flexible feeding habits of *N. mirabilis* (switching feeding modes depending on food availability) are beneficial to maintaining their high biomass, even during the winter, and consequently result in mysids being important prey for commercially important fish and crustaceans, particularly during the winter and spring.
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