Adult recaptures of farmed Atlantic salmon post-smolts allowed to escape during summer

Ove T. Skilbrei*

Institute of Marine Research, PO Box 1870 Nordnes, 5817 Bergen, Norway

ABSTRACT: The influence of time of escape on survival to adulthood was tested in farmed Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* smolt and post-smolts. Escape events were simulated in a small fjord in western Norway during and after the natural period of smolt migration by releasing 6 groups of individually tagged smolts and post-smolts (~2000 fish in each group) every second week from 27 May to 5 August 2005. With the exception of a lower return rate of the first released group (0.31 %), the recapture rates were very similar (0.85 to 1.05 %) and did not vary significantly with time of release. Of the 100 recaptures, 57 % were 1-sea-winter (1SW) salmon, 25 % were 2SW and 18 % returned as 3SW salmon. Release date did not influence the sea age but affected the weights of the recaptured adults moderately. Fifty-four percent of the fish were recaptured in the vicinity of the release site, most of them in the freshwater effluent from a hydropower plant. The rest were spread along the coast of Norway and in rivers (26 % of the distant recaptures) over distances of 100s of kilometres. The present study shows that farmed salmon escaped during their first summer in sea cages — after the natural time for smolt migration in spring — are still capable of adopting the marine migratory pattern of their wild conspecifics. This suggests that escapes at this time of the year are a hazard to the conservation of wild salmon populations.
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INTRODUCTION

Atlantic salmon farming has grown rapidly since the mid-1960s, and has been linked to declines in natural populations (Ford & Myers 2008). The incidence of escaped farmed salmon tends to be higher in the vicinity of concentrations of fish farms (Fiske et al. 2006). If the escapees manage to interbreed (Lura & Sægrov 1991, Naylor et al. 2005, Jonsson & Jonsson 2006), they may interfere with the genetic structure of wild stocks because of genetic differences between wild and domesticated strains (McGinnity et al. 2003, Skaala et al. 2005, 2006, Hindar et al. 2006, Ferguson et al. 2007). Farmed salmon that escape from cages have thus become a problem both for the fish-farming industry itself and for the conservation of wild stocks.

Most Atlantic salmon populations have a well-defined migratory cycle: the majority of the young salmon leave the river as smolts in spring when they are 1 to 5 yr old and migrate to their feeding grounds in the open sea, where they remain for 1 yr (1-sea-winter fish, 1SW) or longer (2 to 3SW) before returning to their home river to spawn (Youngson & Hay 1996). Just prior to the smolt run, the fish develop the ability to osmoregulate in seawater during the smoltification process (Hoar 1976). Post-smolt is the life stage from the time the smolt departs from the river until the end of its first winter at sea.

Studies of spawning behaviour have shown that it is very likely that a fish escaping as a young salmon, at the smolt stage, will display spawning behaviour when maturing that is more similar to that of wild salmon than to farmed salmon that have escaped from net pens just before their entry into rivers (Fleming et al. 1996, 1997). Their outward appearance will also be comparable to wild salmon, due to less pronounced fin
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erosions and other environmentally induced external signs typical of cultured fish in cages (Fiske et al. 2005). Scale readings of fish caught in rivers led the Directorate of Fisheries to assume that a large proportion of escaped farmed salmon in Norwegian rivers had escaped at smolt stage (Sægrov & Urdal 2006). In order to minimize the risk of smolt escapes, a number of national regulations regarding aquaculture operational procedures came into force in 2008, among them the obligation to implement a risk analysis for handling and transporting of smolts and to ensure that the mesh size used in net pens is correctly adapted to the size of the fish (e.g. Regulation 2008-06-17 No. 822).

There is no evidence to indicate that escaped smolts survive and grow to adult size if they do not follow the migration pattern of wild salmon into the open sea. The onset of migratory behaviour in wild fish is typically timed with the season and/or some physiological factor that triggers migration to feeding or spawning grounds (Smith 1985). Wild smolts in western Europe usually leave rivers in spring and early summer (Hvidsten et al. 1998, Byrne et al. 2003). The recapture rates of adults released as smolts and post-smolts have been in accordance with the timing of the migration of wild smolts to the open sea. Hansen & Jonsson (1989) released the offspring of wild parents on various dates and found that the tag recapture rate peaked for smolts released in spring. They observed that survival rates in the sea were low for hatchery-reared fish released before or after the natural period of migration of the wild smolts and suggested that the window for smolt migration was open for only a short period in spring and closed gradually in the course of the summer.

The following 2 questions are important for assessing the risks of escapes of farmed salmon. (1) At what time during the season do cultured post-smolts begin to migrate if they escape, and (2) do they survive to adulthood? The first issue has been previously studied by surveying the migratory behaviour of smolts and post-smolts released on various dates from May to October in a small Norwegian fjord (Skilbrei 2010). The fish released in May and in late June migrated rapidly out of the fjord during the first few days, with a behavioural pattern similar to the migratory performance of smolts released in other areas (Thorstad et al. 2004, LaCroix 2008). The rate of movement out of the fjord slowed down in mid-August and dropped radically in September and October, when the dispersal out of the fjord took many weeks or even months. It was therefore suggested that migratory performance is not limited to the smolt stage, but that farmed post-smolts may also be able to display marine migratory behaviour if they escape during their first summer in sea cages (Skilbrei 2010). However, this previous study did not shed light on the next important issue concerning survival in sea.

The present study was designed as a detailed study of the effect of time of escape — during the first summer in sea cages — on survival and potential migratory behaviour. On the basis of current knowledge of natural migratory behaviour obtained from release experiments with the offspring of wild salmon (Hansen & Jonsson 1989), it was assumed that recaptures, reflecting migratory performance, of adults experimentally released as post-smolts would decline with progressing release time during their first summer in sea cages. Knowledge of the relationship between escape time and the development of migratory behaviour could be useful for management if efforts are to be made to reduce the negative interactions with wild fish, but such data on timing have not hitherto been reported for a farmed strain that has been kept in culture for many generations. The present study reports adult recaptures of individually tagged smolts and post-smolts of a farmed strain that were tagged and released from a fish farm every second week from May to August 2005 in a small fjord in western Norway.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fish tagging and releases.** The fish were of the domesticated Aqua Gen strain that is widely used in fish farming in Norway and were produced at the hatchery at Matre Research Station (Institute of Marine Research). One-year-old smolts were reared in outdoor 5 m diameter tanks. Six release groups of ~2000 fish each were tagged with T-bar anchor tags (Hallprint). They were released every other week from 27 May to 5 August 2005 (Table 1) from sea-cages at a fish farm 1 km from Matre Research Station, at the head of the 21 km long Masfjord in western Norway. A salinity gradient established at the site due to the freshwater effluent from a nearby hydropower plant. The mean daily salinities were 14.4 ± 3.4 (±SD), 23.3 ± 4.0 and 28.4 ± 1.5 at depths of 1, 3 and 5 m, respectively, from 19 May to 5 August. The locality has been described in more detail by Skilbrei (2010), who studied the migratory behaviour of smolts and post-smolts that were released from the same fish farm in 2008.

The 2 first release groups were tagged with T-bar anchor tags on 3 and 4 May in freshwater. Fish were anaesthetized with MS-222 and length and weight measured (weights measured only of an exemplary sub-sample of fish). Physiological measurements to evaluate smolt quality were not made, but it was assumed that smolt development was advanced as the fish were silvery and had to be treated carefully during tagging due to loose scales. Mean (±SD) length and weight of the fish were 17.0 ± 1.2 cm and 54.6 ± 13.4 g, respectively.
Tagged and untagged fish were exposed to higher salinities on 19 and 20 May, when they were dip-netted over to transport tanks, transported to 5.5 m deep cages and towed to the sea-cage facility in separate transports. Mortality was observed in Release group 1 (n = 50 fish) during the first days in cages. Mortality was negligible in Release group 2 (n = 2). A veterinarian checked dead fish on 23 May, but found no evidence of disease and concluded that the mortality was probably due to handling and/or stress during transport. The appetite and general behaviour of the fish appeared to be normal at that date.

The fish of Releases 3 and 4 were randomly selected from the 2 cages that housed the untagged fish. They were tagged and moved to 2 new cages at the fish farm on 13 to 17 June. The mean (±SD) length and weight of the fish were 18.2 ± 1.4 cm and 67.1 ± 15.7 g, respectively. The last 2 release groups were tagged on 14 and 15 July when the fish averaged 20.5 ± 1.4 cm in length, and weighed 92.5 ± 19.0 g.

The letters HI (Norwegian acronym for the Institute of Marine Research), the IMR internet address (imr.no) and postal code were printed on the T-bar tags in addition to an individual alphanumeric code. The monetary reward was 100 NOK per T-bar anchor tag returned. Information about the reward was available on the IMR Internet home page.

**Statistical analysis.** The LOGISTIC Procedure of the SAS Software Package version 9.1 (SAS Institute) was used to fit a Generalized Linear Model (GLM) (McCullagh & Nelder 1989), with a logistic link function to test for differences in (1) the probability of fish being recaptured (binomial response variable) with release date and sea age, and (2) the probability of fish being recaptured with release date and locality of recapture; and sea age as category variables and smolt length as a covariate:

$$w = I + A_{date} + B_{SW} + SS + A_{date} \times B_{SW} \quad (3)$$

where SS is standardized smolt length. SS gives the relative size of the individual compared to the other members of the group and was calculated from the formula:

$$SS = (L - L)/SD,$$

where L is the length of the individual, L is mean length in a specific release group and SD is standard deviation within the group.

**RESULTS**

Recapture rates and the sea age of the 6 groups released on various dates during the summer were very similar to each other, except for a lower recapture rate of the fish released in May (Fig. 1). The probability of being recaptured was independent of the release date (Binomial Response Model, Eq. 1: Wald statistics, $W = 5.3, df = 5, p_{date} = 0.38$), but the recaptures of the first release deviated significantly from the model ($W_{May} = 5.1, df = 1, p_{May} < 0.05$). More fish returned as 1SW fish and sea age had a significant effect on the probability of recapture ($W_{SW} = 18.6, df = 2, p_{SW} < 0.0001$; Fig. 1). The insignificant interaction term between release date and sea age ($W_{date \times SW} = 5.0, df = 3, p_{date \times SW} = 0.89$) implies that sea age did not vary with time of release.

Sea age clearly affected the weights of the fish recaptured (Eq. 3: $R^2 = 0.87, F = 26.9, F_{SW} = 188$, with $df = 2, p_{SW} < 0.0001$). Smolt size did not ($F_{SS} = 0.1, df = 1, p_{SS} = 0.74$), but release date ($F_{date} = 3.1, df = 5, p_{date} < 0.05$) and its interaction with sea age ($F_{date \times SW} = 2.0,
df = 10, \( p_{\text{date}} \times \text{SW} < 0.05 \)) significantly influenced the weights of the recaptured fish. The main reason for these significant effects was slightly lower growth in the sea of the smolts released at the 2 last release dates. The differences in weight were not significant within the 3 sea age classes. The mean (±SD) size of the 1SW salmon that were released as smolts in late July and early August was 1.50 ± 0.36 kg, and the mean size of those released earlier (27 May, 10 June, 24 June, and 8 July) was 1.61 ± 0.57 kg (Student \( t \)-test, \( p = 0.46 \)). The corresponding weights (means ± SD) of the 2SW and 3SW salmon were 4.21 ± 1.19 versus 4.76 ± 0.80 kg (\( p = 0.21 \)) for the late releases, and 7.07 ± 0.98 versus 9.44 ± 2.85 kg (\( p = 0.053 \)) for earlier releases, respectively.

Of all fish recaptured, 54% were from Masfjord (Table 1). The majority were recaptured within 1 km of the release site (51 of 54 fish). Most of them were angled where the freshwater effluent from the hydropower plant drains to the inner bay of the fjord (42 fish) (this is a popular site for angling salmon and requires a fishing license). Four entered the Matre River at the head of the Masfjord, 0.8 km from the release site, and 1 was captured in a small river 4 km away.

The fish that were recaptured outside Masfjord were dispersed over a large area, from the north to the south of Norway (Fig. 2), and 26% were reported from rivers (Table 1). Most of these rivers were more than 100 km away from the release site, and many of them 100s of kilometres apart from each other (Fig. 2). The numbers of strays were too low to permit statistical analysis of straying rate with time of release, but the data did not suggest that the smolts released at the time of the natural smolt run, in May and June, were more successfully imprinted to the release site than those released in late summer. On the contrary, high proportions of

the 2 groups of fish released in July were recaptured in Masfjord (Table 1). Because of this, the interaction term between release date and the locality of recapture (in Masfjord or farther away) was close to significance when testing the influence of locality on the probability of recapture, (Eq. 2: \( W = 10.9, \text{df} = 5, p_{\text{date}} \times \text{local/distant} = 0.054 \); the other parameters were not significant).

Apart from the adult recaptures, the tags of 3 fish released in August 2005 were recovered in the vicinity of the release site during the following months. One was angled in late August, 1 in November, and 1 tag was found in the stomach of a pollack *Pollachius pollachius* in late November.
DISCUSSION

Sea age at recapture and recapture rates of farmed smolts and post-smolts that were released from sea cages at biweekly intervals throughout their first summer in sea cages were not affected by the time of release. The weights of the recaptured fish declined slightly with the time of release. The lower recapture rate of the earliest release group deviated somewhat from the stable recapture rate of the following releases, but this did not alter the general conclusion of this study.

The reasons for the poorer survival of the first release group are unknown, but handling and transport probably caused mortality in this group just before release and may also have affected the post-release survival as demonstrated in a study by Hansen & Jonsson (1988). Predation in the release fjord may have been higher for this group if members of the group were still influenced by the transport stress and/or did not yet display migratory behaviour, which develops over time in hatchery-reared smolts released from net pens (Skilbrei et al. 1994a,b).

The present recapture data agree well with the results obtained when post-release behaviour was studied at the same location in 2008 (Skilbrei 2010), as both outward migration speed and recapture rates remained high throughout the summer. The poorer migratory performance in September and October in the behavioural study (Skilbrei 2010) may indicate that autumn escapees are less likely to migrate to the oceanic feeding areas of wild salmon.

It is generally believed that the timing of smolt migration, and its variability with latitude, are adaptations that optimise the survival and feeding of the young salmon in the sea (Hvidsten et al. 1998). This view is supported by the higher return rates of smolts released during May and early June in earlier release experiments (Hansen & Jonsson 1989). It was therefore surprising that, in the present study, recapture rates did not drop with progressing time of release. The recapture rates recorded by Hansen & Jonsson (1989) were usually much higher than in the present study, but generally, the survival rates of both wild salmon and released hatchery-reared smolts in the Atlantic Ocean have declined markedly between the 1980s and recent years (Friedland et al. 2009, ICES 2010).

The timing of the migration of wild smolts varies widely and covers the whole period from April to August. In the Burrishole system in western Ireland, the majority of the smolts leave the river within a period of 4 wk from April to June (Byrne et al. 2003). Furthermore, the time of smolt entrance into the sea is up to 6 wk later in northern than southern Norwegian rivers (Hvidsten et al. 1998), and high returns of wild smolts that migrated in early August have been observed in cold rivers in the north of Iceland (Antonsson & Gudjonsson 2002). This variability is usually explained by environmental factors, particularly temperature, in the freshwater environment, and may be interpreted in terms of the smolt entering the sea at the first opportunity in the season, and not necessarily suggesting that there is a window for outward migration that closes at some specific time during the summer.

It remains an open question whether and to what extent the sea environment becomes less favourable for the survival of late migrating post-smolts during the summer. Oceanic variations influence salmon growth and survival (Scarnecchia 1984, Peyronnet et al. 2008), and more rapid growth of smolts in their first summer may increase both survival and the ratio of grilse to 2SW salmon (Scarnecchia et al. 1991, Friedland & Haas 1996, Peyronnet et al. 2007). The similarity in survival and sea age among release groups observed in the present study indicates that the conditions in sea did not change significantly from June to August 2005, and the reduction in the size of the recaptured fish with time of release was very low compared to the 20% weight loss observed in a sea-ranching experiment in which the time of release ranged from May to early June (Skilbrei & Wennevik 2006). The dominance of 1SW relative to multi-sea-winter salmon in recaptures recorded in the present study was not expected as the cultured strains are selected for large size at maturity and are dominated by brood fish from multi-sea-winter salmon populations (Gjedrem et al. 1991). However, age at maturity may be higher in cage-reared than in sea-ranch salmon (Saunders et al. 1983), and multi-sea-winter salmon experience a higher total mortality than 1SW salmon (Jonasson et al. 1994).

Improved estimates of the survival of escaped post-smolts with time of release can only be obtained by repeating the type of experiment conducted in the present study with the aim of describing inter-annual variability. Nonetheless, the present and the earlier behavioural study (Skilbrei 2010) combined show that post-smolts that escape in late summer can exhibit a migration pattern similar to that of wild salmon. This qualitative aspect of post-smolts escaped during the summer is important for the assessments of the risks of escapes. Compared with adult farmed salmon that reappear in rivers shortly after they escaped from net pens, the spawning performance and spawning success of farmed salmon that escape as young fish are believed to be much more similar to those of wild salmon (Fleming et al. 1996, 1997, Hindar et al. 2006). There is evidence of temporal genetic change in wild Atlantic salmon populations probably caused by gene flow from farmed salmon (Skala et al. 2006), which may reduce the fitness and productivity of wild popu-
lutions (McGinnity et al. 2003). Escapes of post-smolts may therefore pose hazards to the management and protection of wild salmon populations.

Hatchery-reared smolts are expected to have a lower survival rate than wild smolts, and both hatchery-rearing and the release in a marine environment tend to increase the proportion of fish being caught in coastal compared with freshwaters (Hansen et al. 1987, Jonsson et al. 2003). In spite of this, a high percentage of the salmon released in the present study was recaptured in the vicinity of the release site. This is in accordance with the observation that the incidence of escaped farmed salmon is higher close to concentrations of fish farms in Norwegian (Fiske et al. 2006) and in North American rivers (Morris et al. 2008). It demonstrates that both smolts and post-smolts released in late summer were imprinted (Hasler & Scholz 1983) to the release site. The salinity gradients at the release site, i.e. the variability in salinity experienced by the fish, may have been of importance for the returns. Variations in environmental conditions may influence the sensitive period for imprinting as the undiversified hatchery environment may fail to stimulate olfactory learning during earlier life stages (Lema & Nevitt 2004). The relatively successful homing to the small Masfjord observed in the present study supports the idea of establishing marine protected areas in fjords (National Salmon Rivers and Salmon Fjords Proposition No. 79 to the Storting (2001–2002)), where aquaculture activity is prohibited or restricted, as a means of reducing the probability of escapees entering rivers that drain into these fjords.

However, the fish that did not return to the release site but entered rivers elsewhere were dispersed over a large area, similar to the dispersal of recaptures following a coastal sea-ranching experiment in the area (Skilbrei & Holm 1998), and to the general spread of catches of homing salmon along the coast of Norway (Hansen et al. 1993). This demonstrates that escaped farmed fish present at one location may be a mixture of fish that have escaped from both adjacent and distant fish farms. Escaped farmed salmon, for example, are capable of crossing the North Sea (Hansen & Youngson 2010). This mixture is a problem for the management of the aquaculture industry and conservation of wild resources, particularly in terms of the regulation of regional aquaculture production in order to reduce the number of escaped farmed fish in local rivers.

CONCLUSIONS

Smolts and post-smolts that escape during their first summer in sea cages present a serious challenge to the management of wild salmon populations. They are very difficult to recapture during outward migration, and the survivors will probably be geographically dispersed when they return as adults. Their spawning performance may be sufficient to present a significant risk of hybridization with wild salmon. Unless these escaped farmed fish can be removed from the rivers, improvements in the procedures and equipment used at fish farms to reduce the chance of escape seem to be the only options available to protect the wild salmon populations, apart from sterilization of farmed fish. However, little is known about the relative incidence of escapees in rivers. This topic needs to be targeted in further studies in order to improve our understanding of the significance and ecological implications of escapes at this life stage.
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