Reduced inorganic sulfur in sediments of the mariculture region of Sanggou Bay, China
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ABSTRACT: Reduced inorganic sulfur (RIS) and organic matter (OM) in a mariculture region (Sanggou Bay, China; SGB) and at a reference station without mariculture were determined to assess the influence of mariculture on sulfide accumulation and the benthic environment. To this end, sediment acid-volatile sulfide (AVS), pyrite sulfur (pyrite-S), elemental sulfur (ES), OM, porosity, reactive iron, and pore water sulfate were measured. The results indicate that the concentration of RIS was negatively correlated with dissolved oxygen concentration. Principal component analysis showed that sulfide distribution was influenced by sediment porosity, OM, and reactive iron concentration. In addition, sulfide distribution was influenced by water current and water depth. More sulfide content accumulated at an oyster monoculture site than at a scallop/kelp polyculture site and a kelp monoculture site. We found no significant difference in ES concentration among the 3 mariculture types. While no significant influence on benthic OM accumulation was observed, except slightly enhanced reactivity of the OM (making it easily decomposable), mariculture activities in SGB significantly promoted sulfide accumulation compared to the reference station. However, there was no potential threat of toxic sulfide to the benthic biomass in SGB.
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INTRODUCTION

World aquaculture output increased continuously from 1950 to 2011, and now accounts for 41.3% of the global supply of fish, crustaceans, and mollusks (FAO 2012). However, despite improved production efficiency, scallop and oyster mariculture generates massive quantities of biological deposits enriched with organic matter (OM) (Carlsson et al. 2009). The accumulation of these organic-rich deposits in sediments of mariculture regions stimulates benthic mineralization and oxygen depletion (Carlsson et al. 2009), and consequently promotes dissimilatory sulfate reduction. Major environmental and ecological issues arising from sulfate reduction include the accumulation of toxic sulfide (e.g. H2S), acid-volatile sulfide (AVS), pyrite sulfide (pyrite-S), and elemental sulfur (ES) in the impacted sediments (Otero et al. 2006, Gao et al. 2013) and nutrient release to the overlying water (Hyun et al. 2013). The toxic sulfide can threaten benthic macrofauna and its diversity (Yokoyama 2003). Moreover, the dissolved sulfide in sediments is highly reactive and is rapidly re-oxidized by oxygen, nitrate, or oxidized Fe and Mn, resulting in the deterioration of anoxic conditions. In addition, studies of reduced inorganic sulfur (RIS, e.g. AVS, pyrite-S, and ES) in sediments can contribute to better understanding of benthic miner-
alization processes related to sulfate reduction, the impacts of sulfate reduction on other element cycles (e.g. N and P cycles), and the biogeochemical behavior of trace elements in marine ecosystems (Huerta-Diaz et al. 1998, Lückge et al. 1999, Burton et al. 2006). Therefore, extensive studies related to sulfide, especially the RIS in sediments, have been conducted worldwide, including in mariculture regions (Yokoyama 2003, Holmkvist et al. 2011, Gao et al. 2013, Hyun et al. 2013, Kraal et al. 2013, Zhu et al. 2013).

Environmental responses of Sanggou Bay (SGB), Shandong Province, China, to mariculture have received more attention in recent years (Zhang et al. 2009), including nutrient conditions and benthic nutrient fluxes (Sun et al. 2010, Ning et al. 2016, this Theme Section), natural sedimentation (Cai et al. 2003), and phytoplankton (Lu et al. 2015). However, reports about the impact of mariculture on benthic biogeochemistry, especially sulfur chemistry in SGB, still remains poor. Considering that the concentration and distribution of RIS species are strongly influenced by OM inputs and thus by mariculture, high concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and ES in sediments receiving high OM inputs may be well coupled to each other, and the spatial coupling may be used to trace mariculture influence. To test this hypothesis, we quantified RIS and OM characteristics in sediments of SGB and analyzed their spatial patterns. The spatial patterns were then used to trace potential mariculture impacts on sediment biogeochemistry and the benthic environment of the bay. Other factors influencing sulfide distributions were also addressed in this work.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study site**

SGB, a semi-enclosed bay located in the western Yellow Sea (YS) (Fig. 1a), occupies a total area of approximately 144 km² and has a mean depth of 7.5 m. SGB is the most important integrated multi-trophic aquaculture location in northern China. Suspended multi-species aquaculture of Farrer’s scallop *Chlamys farreri*, Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*, and kombu kelp *Saccharina japonica* is well developed in SGB, occupying almost 67% of the total aquaculture area (Zhang et al. 2009), and annually supplies 15 000 and 84 500 t of scallop and kelp, respectively. Three mariculture models for scallop and kelp are currently employed in SGB, viz. monoculture of scallop, monoculture of kelp,
and polyculture of scallop/kelp. The scallop-dominant polyculture system was implemented to maximise economic gains from aquaculture. The culture ratio for scallop and kelp is 2:1, and details of the maricultural facilities can be found in Fang et al. (1996). Mariculture densities for scallop and kelp are reduced to 2/3 and 2/5 compared to their monoculture densities, respectively. Biodeposition rates have increased rapidly along with the expansion of maricultural activities, and can be up to 278.8 g m⁻² d⁻¹ (Cai et al. 2003). In integrated multi-trophic aquaculture systems, kelp assimilates nutrients and CO₂, converting them into potentially valuable biomass. Scallop cultivation can stimulate carbon migration from the water column to the sediment through filter-feeding and biodeposition (Chopin et al. 2008). Thus, SGB provides an excellent opportunity to investigate the influence of integrated multi-trophic aquaculture systems on RIS in sediments.

**Sampling**

Field expeditions aboard the RV ‘Lurong Fisher 65580’ were conducted during April 2013 in SGB. To elucidate the impacts of mariculture on RIS accumulation, 27 surface and 2 core sediments in different mariculture regions were sampled using a box corer (Fig. 1b), and a station without mariculture in the YS from Kang et al. (2014) was selected as a reference station (Stn A02; Fig. 1a). The physico-chemical characteristics were fairly similar between SGB and the YS. Previous studies showed that the nutrient conditions (Sun et al. 2010), OM and biogenic elements (e.g. matrix-bound phosphine) (Li et al. 2010), and total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations in sediment and benthic effluxes in SGB (Ning et al. 2016) are comparable to those in the YS. During the sampling process, each sediment core was sectioned on board in a nitrogen atmosphere (to prevent oxidation) at 1 cm intervals in the top 10 cm, and at 2 cm intervals in the remainder of the core (cores from Stn MC were sectioned only at 2 cm intervals for pore water extraction). The subsamples were immediately placed in plastic ziplock bags with air excluded. Pore water was extracted using Rhizon soil moisture samplers (Liu et al. 2011) and placed in polypropylene plastic bottles. All samples were stored in the dark at −20°C (Lasorsa & Casas 1996) and analyzed immediately upon return to the laboratory. The near-bottom water (1 m distance to bottom) was sampled for temperature and salinity determination.

**Analyses**

Temperature and salinity of near-bottom water were determined *in situ* using a multi-parameter water quality analyzer (Multi 350i, WTW). The concentration of dissolved oxygen (DO) in near-bottom water was measured using the Winkler titration method (Bryan et al. 1976). OM content in sediments was determined by weight loss upon ignition of the dried sediment at 550°C for 4 h (Santisteban et al. 2004), with a precision (relative standard deviation, RSD) of 1.0% (n = 5). Porosity was determined by weight change before and after freeze-drying the sediment. The determination of reactive Fe (FeR) followed Zhu et al. (2012), using 0.2 g of dry sediment with 25 ml of 50 g l⁻¹ sodium dithionite (buffered with 0.2 M sodium citrate and 0.35 M acetic acid to pH 4.8), and shaking for 2 h for FeR extraction. The extracts were measured by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (Thermo 6300). The relative deviations of parallel determinations were less than 5.0% (n = 5). The RIS concentration in sediments comprised the combined concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and ES, which were measured using the cold diffusion method followed by iodometric titration of the sulfide collected in alkaline zinc solution (Hsieh et al. 2002); the analytical precision was 4.3, 1.8, and 1.7% (n = 5) for the 3 measurements, respectively (Kang et al. 2014). The pore water sulfate was determined using an indirect titration method (Howarth 1978) that had an analytical precision of 0.2% (n = 5).

**Calculations**

The rate constant of OM decomposition, which was calculated according to Wei et al. (2003), has been used to discuss the impact of OM reactivity (ease of decomposition) on sulfate reduction in the YS and the East China Sea (Kang et al. 2014).

To calculate the sulfate reduction rates (SRRs), we assumed that the OM in sediments was oxidized by sulfate-reducing bacteria based on first-order kinetics, which was performed on the rate function. The sulfate profile was best expressed by assuming that the sulfate concentration decreased exponentially with depth (Jørgensen 1978, Bowles et al. 2014). The rate function \( f(x) = a \cdot e^{-bx} \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are constants and \( x \) is depth) was obtained by fitting the sulfate profile using an exponential decay (Jørgensen 1978, Bowles et al. 2014). The SRR at a given depth was then calculated based on the rate function, and the depth-integrated SRR was calculated. To facili-
tate consistent comparisons, the SRR was integrated to the bottom of the sulfate reduction zone (the integrated depth determined from extrapolations according the rate function).

Statistics

All statistical tests were performed using SPSS 19 software. Prior to each statistical analysis, the normality and homoscedasticity of the data were tested. One-way ANOVAs were applied to normalized data showing homogeneous variance. A 1-way ANOVA was used to assess differences in the OM and sulfide concentrations among various mariculture areas in the SGB. For all statistical tests, a probability of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. In factor analysis, principal component analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation was used to study the relationship among measured parameters. The number of principal components in the PCA model was established by considering only those with an eigenvalue > 1.0 (Reid & Spencer 2009).

RESULTS

Physical and chemical parameters of near-bottom water in SGB

The spatial distribution of temperature, salinity, and the degree of DO saturation in near-bottom water is shown in Fig. 2. The temperature of near-bottom water ranged from 6.1 to 9.9°C (mean ± SD 7.8 ± 1.2°C) and decreased from the inner bay to the mouth. Salinity ranged from 30.10 to 31.44 (30.71 ± 0.49) and decreased from the mouth to the inner bay.

There was a low-salinity zone in the west and southwest of the bay, near the Guhe River and Bahe reservoir. DO saturation ranged from 87.6 to 108.6% (mean 95.5%), and was higher at the mouth than in the inner bay. There was a slightly lower DO zone from southeast to northwest of the bay.

Quality and quantity of OM in sediments of SGB and YS

The OM concentration ranged from 0.9 to 9.2% (mean 5.4%) in surface sediment of SGB, and increased from the mouth of the bay (4.08 ± 0.95%) to the inner bay (6.26 ± 2.08%; Table 1), particularly in the scallop and oyster monoculture areas (Fig. 3a). The OM concentration decreased to a minimum of 3.5% with increasing depth in the deep layer at Stns MC and ST1 (Fig. 3b). The OM rapidly decreased in the upper 7 cm and then remained stable with depth at Stn A02. The rate constant of OM decomposition was 0.072, 0.033, and 0.001 yr⁻¹ at Stns MC, ST1, and A02, respectively, which indicated that the OM reactivity of Stn MC was the highest among the 3 stations.

Sulfate in pore waters and sulphate reduction rate in SGB and YS

The pore water sulfate concentration was high and fluctuated with increasing depth at Stns ST1, MC, and A02 (Fig. 4). The depth-integrated SRR was 1.89 and 0.54 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (X. Kang et al. unpubl. data) at Stns ST1 and A02, respectively. The SRR at Stn MC was not calculated because of the limited available pore water sulfate data.
Content of FeR in surface sediments of SGB showed a wide range from 20.7 to 102.1 µmol g⁻¹. A peak value was observed in the scallop monoculture region (Fig. 5a). This spatial distribution was generally coupled to OM (Fig. 3a). The concentrations (in µmol g⁻¹) of FeR were 26.0–93.6 (mean 60.6) at Stn MC, 18.0–148.3 (mean 62.0) at Stn ST1, and 29.8–126.1 (mean 82.5) at Stn A02, and all exhibited a decrease with greater core depth (Fig. 5b).

Sediment porosity of surface and core sediments of SGB and YS

The porosity of surface sediment ranged from 0.40 to 0.77 in SGB, presenting higher values in the inner bay with oyster or scallop monoculture and lower values in the mouth of the bay with kelp monoculture (Fig. 6a). The porosity at Stns ST1, MC, and A02 all exhibited a decrease with greater core depth, especially at Stn MC. The porosity at Stn ST1 decreased in the upper 5 cm and then remained stable with depth (Fig. 6b).

Sulfur species in surface and core sediments of SGB and YS

The concentrations (in µmol g⁻¹) of AVS, pyrite-S, and ES in surface sediments of SGB were 0.20–12.56 (mean 2.20), 0.57–51.52 (mean 17.17), and 0.16–1.10 (mean 0.49), respectively. The differences in AVS, ES, and pyrite-S between stations in the SGB were significant at the 95% confidence level. The AVS showed high concentrations in the southwest part of the bay (with oyster monoculture; Fig. 7). Compared...
with AVS and pyrite-S, the concentrations of ES were lower throughout the bay, especially at the mouth. Pyrite-S was the predominant sulfide mineral in sediments of the bay, accounting for 58.2 to 96.9% (mean 85.0%) of the RIS, and its concentration was particularly high in the northwest (with scallop monoculture) and south part (with oyster monoculture) of the bay.

The variations in AVS, ES, and pyrite-S with depth were significant at the 95% confidence level. The AVS concentration was <12.56 µmol g⁻¹ in core sediments of SGB, as its accumulation was limited by transformation to pyrite-S. At Stn ST1, the AVS concentration gradually increased in the upper 7 cm and then stabilized, while the pyrite-S concentration peaked at 2–3 cm depth, then leveled off below this depth (Fig. 8). At Stn MC, we observed 2 peaks of AVS and pyrite-S concentration. The ES concentration was stable with increasing depth at Stns ST1 and MC; pyrite-S was the primary sulfide at these 2 stations. For Stn A02, the AVS concentration was low and only peaked at 8 cm. ES and pyrite-S increased with depth at this station and were comparable to SGB. The distribution of ES was similar to that of pyrite-S at Stn A02. Pearson’s correlation analysis revealed a significant positive correlation between the ES and pyrite-S concentrations (r = 0.62, p < 0.001).

**PCA**

We performed PCAs of sulfur speciation, OM, Fe_R and porosity. The total variances explained by the first 3 and 2 principal components were 86.45% and
78.04%, respectively, for surface and core sediments. The degree of association between each variable and each principal component was given by its loading on that principal component.

For surface sediments (Table 2), PC1 accounted for 38.17% of the total variance and was correlated primarily with ES, pyrite-S, and RIS. PC2 accounted for 32.83% of the total variance and correlated with FeR, OM, and porosity. PC3 accounted for 15.45% of the total variance and was primarily characterized by a positive loading of AVS and a negative loading of ES.

For core sediments (Table 3), PC1 accounted for 55.20% of the total variance, and was positively associated with AVS, pyrite-S, and RIS, and negatively correlated with OM, FeR, and porosity. PC2 accounted for 22.84% of the total variance and was positively correlated with ES and negatively correlated with AVS.

DISCUSSION

Factors controlling RIS partitioning and spatial distribution

Sulfide formation is influenced by many factors, including oxygen concentration, reactivity and quantity of OM, sediment grain size (Martínez-García et al. 2015), presence of reactive iron buried in sediments, the amount of sulfate in pore water (Berner 1984), and other factors.

Fig. 6. Porosity of (a) surface and (b) core sediments of Sanggou Bay and the Yellow Sea (see Fig. 1 for station locations)

Fig. 7. Horizontal distributions of acid-volatile sulfide (AVS), elemental sulfur (ES), and pyrite-S (µmol g⁻¹) in surface sediments of Sanggou Bay
Oxygen concentration

Sulfate reduction is a strictly anaerobic process and mainly occurs in anoxic environments (Berner 1982, Jørgensen 1982, Aller & Rude 1988). However, the primary effects of aerobic environments on sulfate reduction are through the re-oxidation of sulfide and the activity of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB). Aerobic mineralization may take place in oxic surface sediments in SGB. The extent of aerobic mineralization depends on the DO concentration in bottom water, which subsequently influences the extent of sulfate reduction (Brüchert et al. 2003). The SRR has been shown to be negatively correlated with bottom water oxygen concentration in the seasonally hypoxic Eckernförde Bay in the Baltic Sea (Bertics et al. 2013). In the present study, slightly lower DO concentrations were found in the scallop and oyster monoculture areas of SGB (Fig. 2c), which were related to the relatively high oxygen consumption associated with the process of OM decomposition. Low DO concentrations would have facilitated the activity of SRB and reduced the possibility of sulfide re-oxidation, leading to high concentrations of sulfide (especially the AVS and pyrite-S) in the scallop and oyster monoculture areas of SGB (Table 1). Thus, the DO concentration might regulate the distribution of RIS. Pearson’s correlation analysis indicated a significant negative correlation between the DO concentration and the RIS concentration ($r = -0.48$, $p < 0.05$), indicating that the RIS concentration increased with decreasing DO concentration.

Reactivity of OM

The reactivity and quantity of OM are important factors controlling sulfate reduction (Berner 1984). The labile OM in mariculture regions would favor sulfate reduction (Otero et al. 2006). Although the reactivity of OM in SGB was not measured directly, it can be evaluated by calculating the TOC:total nitrogen (TN) ratio. Holmer & Kristensen (1992) noted...
that OM with a low TOC:TN ratio (5.7–7.0) can be decomposed rapidly, within several months of closure of a fish farm. The TOC:TN ratio of OM in sediments of SGB has been reported to be in the range of 7.04 to 8.93 (Zhang et al. 2006), which is similar to the mean TOC:TN ratio (6.6) of labile OM in the ocean (Babbin et al. 2014). The decreased OM concentration in the top 4 cm of sediment at Stn ST1 might be related to decomposition of kelp mariculture debris and scallop fecal material. Compared to Stn ST1, a continuous decline in the OM concentration from the sediment surface to 7 cm depth was found at Stn MC, suggesting somewhat higher reactivity of OM in oyster monoculture areas. The high OM decomposition rate constant found at Stn MC also confirmed this phenomenon. The low decomposable characteristics of OM at Stn A02 are also confirmed by the low OM decomposition rate constant (0.001 yr⁻¹).

Sulfate reduction

Sulfate reduction is independent of the sulfate concentration until the concentration is <3 mM (Boudreau & Westrich 1984). High concentrations of sulfate in pore water of the sediments of SGB and the reference station (A02) showed that sulfate was not a limiting factor for sulfate reduction. The SRR at Stn ST1 was higher than that at Stn A02, which might be related to the high reactivity of OM in the mariculture regions (Otero et al. 2006). The SRR in sediments of SGB was much lower than that in a marine fish farm (9–34 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) in Kolding Fjord, Denmark, with higher OM concentration (up to 23%) in sediments (Holmer & Kristensen 1992).

Other factors that influence sulfide distribution

Sediment grain size often influences the OM decomposition and sulfide accumulation in marine ecosystems (Martinez-Garcia et al. 2015). The grain size was not determined in this study, and data of the porosity were used here because finer-grain sediments tend to have higher porosities than coarser materials (Buckingham 2005). Our PCA results showed that porosity could influence the distribution of Fe₈ and OM in surface and core sediments, and subsequently affected the profiles of AVS and pyrite-S. In addition, Fe₈ was closely associated with OM-rich sediments. AVS could oxidize to ES, and the latter had a significant influence on the accumulation of pyrite-S in surface sediments, as highlighted by the PCA results (Table 2). The high ratio (>3) of pyrite-S to AVS in the core sediments and the PCA results both showed that the AVS could convert to pyrite-S effectively.

The current velocity can also influence the sulfide distribution; for example, the decreased current velocity (up to 54%) (Grant & Bacher 2001) caused by the presence of dense mariculture restricted the DO exchange between SGB and the water outside the bay. In addition, the movement of OM could also be influenced by the current. These 2 cases can influence the sulfate reduction, and consequently influence the sulfide distribution. Our results showed that high concentrations of sulfide distribution coupled well with lower current velocity (Grant & Bacher 2001). Furthermore, the sulfide distribution could also be influenced by water depth, and there was a significant negative correlation between the RIS concentration and water depth (Pearson r = −0.41, p < 0.05).

Mariculture impacts on sulfide accumulation and the benthic environment

Mariculture impacts on sulfide accumulation

Although in addition to mariculture, natural factors can also impact the sulfide accumulation in sediments, the weak impact of natural factors could be ignored in our study area. For example, river input carries an annual sediment load of up to 17.1 × 10⁴ t, with a mean OM concentration of 4.5% in sediment of rivers around SGB (Xia 1991), which was lower than that in SGB (5.4%). RIS concentration in sediment of the Xiaoluo River (the second largest river around SGB) was determined in our previous study (X. Kang et al. unpubl.), and concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and ES were 0.56, 6.63, and 0.66 µmol g⁻¹, respectively, much lower than those in SGB. Thus, natural factors were not considered in the current study; instead, we focused on the influence of mariculture on sulfide accumulation.

The concentrations of sulfide in various mariculture areas are shown in Table 1. We found no significant difference in the AVS concentration between any 2 regions, except between the oyster monoculture and the scallop/kelp polyculture areas. The AVS concentration in the oyster monoculture areas was significantly higher (by a factor of 3.74) than in the scallop/kelp polyculture areas (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). AVS concentrations accumulated in oyster monoculture areas were 1.5-fold higher than values in the scallop/kelp polyculture areas owing to dense
mariculture. This additional AVS accumulation might be related to the sedimentation rate in the oyster monoculture areas, which was significantly higher than in the scallop/kelp polyculture areas in spring (Cai et al. 2003). High sedimentation rates favor the retention of AVS in sediments (Gagnon et al. 1995). In addition, dense mariculture also influences the current velocity, which consequently influences the AVS accumulation. We observed no significant difference in the pyrite-S and RIS concentrations between 2 randomly selected regions, except between the oyster and kelp monoculture areas. The pyrite-S and RIS concentrations in the oyster monoculture areas were significantly higher than in the kelp monoculture areas (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), with the mean concentrations in the former areas being higher by a factor of 2.0. The higher concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and RIS in the oyster monoculture areas were related to the higher OM and lower DO concentrations (Table 1). In addition, release of DO to the water column through photosynthesis would be occurring in the kelp monoculture areas. There was no significant difference in the ES concentration between 2 randomly selected regions. It may be that as an intermediate form of sulfide, ES is reduced to H2S under reducing conditions, and oxidized to sulfate in oxic environments (Lovley & Phillips 1994).

Mariculture also influences sulfur accumulation in core sediments. Pyrite-S and RIS were significantly higher at Stn MC than Stn ST1 (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), although the OM was significantly lower at MC compared to ST1 (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). This phenomenon may have been induced by the higher reactivity of OM at Stn MC. However, there was no significant difference in AVS, ES, and FeR between Stns ST1 and MC (1-way ANOVA, p > 0.05). AVS, pyrite-S, and RIS concentrations at Stns ST1 and MC were significantly higher than at the reference station (A02) (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). However, there was no significant difference of FeR among Stns ST1, MC, and A02 (1-way ANOVA, p > 0.05). In addition, the OM at ST1 and MC was significantly lower than at A02 (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). The relatively lower OM at Stns ST1 and MC induced high concentrations of AVS, pyrite-S, and RIS and may be related to its high reactivity owing to mariculture. ES was significantly higher at A02 than at MC and ST1 (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), which might be related to the AVS oxidation.

Compared with the RIS concentration in other mariculture areas (Table 4), the AVS concentration in SGB was in the same range as reported for Laizhou Bay, Zhangzi Island, for scallop and sea cucumber mariculture (Gao et al. 2013), Bohai Bay for clam mariculture (Jiang et al. 2005), and Jiaozhou Bay for clam and shrimp mariculture (Huo et al. 2001). Hyun et al. (2013) compiled the SRRs for various mariculture regions, and noted that SRR was related to sedimentation rates of organic carbon, hanging mussel biomass, the length of time a farm had been in operation, and temperature. It is difficult to say which factor(s) accounts for the similar concentrations of RIS among these mariculture areas. In general, the RIS concentrations in shellfish farms (including scallop, oyster, and clam farms) were lower than in fish farms, including the Dapengao Bay fish cage mariculture farm (Gan et al. 2003). The difference in RIS concentration between shellfish and fish farms is largely because of the higher sedimentation rate of food pellets in fish farms, which provide additional OM. Compared with non-mariculture regions, such as the adjacent YS (Pu et al. 2008, Kang et al. 2014), there was no significant accumulation of AVS in SGB. The RIS concentration in SGB was lower than that in the East China Sea (Lin et al. 2002, Kang et al. 2014), the Black Sea (Holmkvist et al. 2011), and the Mediterranean Sea (Henneke et al. 1997). As SGB is an integrated multi-trophic aquaculture bay, kelp may assimilate nutrients and release DO into the water column through photosynthesis. The lower TOC concentrations and aerobic environment may explain the lower RIS concentrations in SGB.

Mariculture impacts on the benthic environment

One of the main impacts of mariculture on the benthic environment is OM enrichment of sediments through biodeposition. The OM concentration in the scallop monoculture and the scallop/kelp polyculture areas were slightly higher (by factors of 1.49 and 1.35, respectively) than in the kelp monoculture areas (1-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). However, the OM concentration in the surface sediments of SGB was comparable to that in the adjacent YS (Fig. 3) (Kang et al. 2014). Crawford et al. (2003) noted that the effect of shellfish farming on organic enrichment of the seabed was small, and much less than that caused by finfish farming. In addition, the resuspension of surface sediment, driven by wind, waves, and currents, can be observed in spring in SGB (Jiang et al. 2012); these factors can resuspend and move most of the accumulated OM (Holmer & Kristensen 1992). Dissimilatory sulfate reduction through OM decomposition may also be responsible for the low OM concentration in SGB.
The mean benthic carbon oxidation rate in SGB was 8.97 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Z. Ning et al. unpubl. data), calculated from the Redfield stoichiometric C:O\(_2\) ratio (1:1) and oxygen utilization (Ning et al. 2016). Similarly, from the stoichiometric conversion of sulfate reduction to C oxidation (i.e. C:S = 2:1) (Hyun et al. 2013), the contribution of sulfate reduction to total C oxidation was estimated. Calculation of the C oxidation rate, based on the SRR at Stn ST1 and its proportion of the total carbon oxidation rate, suggests that 42.1% of total C oxidation occurs via sulfate reduction in sediments of SGB. In addition, the contribution of denitrification to total C oxidation ranges from 4 to 10% in SGB (Ning et al. 2016). The remaining C oxidation may be a consequence of aerobic respiration, iron reduction, manganese reduction, and methano genesis in SGB. However, the contribution of sulfate reduction to C oxidation in SGB may be underestimated, because Stn ST1 was located in the polyculture area, where sulfate reduction was relatively weak, in part as a result of the low OM concentration. The contribution of sulfate reduction to C oxidation in SGB is higher than that at Stn A02 (30.0%) and lower than that found in July in the Jinhae−Tongyeong coastal mariculture region (64.4%) (Hyun et al. 2013) and that found in May in marine fish farm sediments of Kolding Fjord, Denmark (59.0%) (Holmer & Kristensen 1992).

Among the environmental and ecological issues arising from sulfate reduction in OM-enriched coastal sediments is the release of nutrients, including phosphate and ammonium, into the overlying water (Hyun et al. 2013). The benthic fluxes were −21 to −7.7, 7.7 to 21, 0 to 0.51, −0.030 to 0.27, −1.01 to 0.63, and 0.83 to 1.76 (mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) for DO, CO\(_2\), NH\(_4\), NO\(_X\)\(^-\) (NO\(_3^-\)+NO\(_2^-\)), dissolved organic nitrogen, PO\(_4^3-\), and Si(OH)\(_4\)\(^-\), respectively, in SGB (Ning et al. 2016), and the benthic nutrient fluxes were significantly lower in polyculture areas than in the monoculture areas.

### Ecological implications of sulfide accumulation in SGB

Sulfide tolerance (e.g. H\(_2\)S) of benthic fauna has previously been used to study the ecological significance of sulfide in sediment (Vismann 1991). The viability of fish farming can be at risk from the release of H\(_2\)S via sulfate reduction from sediments to the water column (Yokoyama 2003). Thus, we evaluated the ecological state of SGB according the sulfide distribution. The direct determination of H\(_2\)S was difficult in SGB as a result of the low OM concentration. Hence, we used AVS variations instead of H\(_2\)S to analyze the ecological state of SGB. Previous studies have indicated that the macrobenthic biomass decreases with increasing AVS concentration in sediments, and little macrobenthic biota occurs in sediments when the concentration of AVS is higher than 53.1 µmol g\(^{-1}\) (Yokoyama 2003). However, the concentration of

### Table 4. Concentrations of various sulfur species in the sediments of Sanggou Bay in comparison to other sea regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>AVS (µmol g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Pyrite-S (µmol g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ES (µmol g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>TOC (%/weight)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mariculture present</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanggou Bay</td>
<td>0.20–12.56</td>
<td>0.57–51.52</td>
<td>0.16–1.10</td>
<td>0.48–0.70(^a)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern of Bohai Bay</td>
<td>1.02–13.68</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Jiang et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laizhou Bay</td>
<td>1.22–7.60</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12–2.18</td>
<td>Gao et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhangzi Island</td>
<td>0.71–11.03</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.12–2.18</td>
<td>Gao et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiaozhou Bay</td>
<td>5.06–19.11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Huo et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mariculture absent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Sea</td>
<td>0.02–17.14</td>
<td>0.61–113.1</td>
<td>0–44.4</td>
<td>0.22–0.94</td>
<td>Kang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East China Sea</td>
<td>0.01–25.02</td>
<td>0.61–54.82</td>
<td>0.14–16.84</td>
<td>0.32–1.05</td>
<td>Kang et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Yellow Sea</td>
<td>0–11.14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Pu et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern East China Sea</td>
<td>0–25</td>
<td>0–240</td>
<td>0–16</td>
<td>0.33–15.00</td>
<td>Lin et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Sea</td>
<td>0–36</td>
<td>0–380</td>
<td>0–16</td>
<td>0.50–3.00</td>
<td>Holmkvist et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>50–350</td>
<td>0–25</td>
<td>0.50–9.50</td>
<td>Henneke et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Data from Song et al. (2012)
AVS (range 0.20–12.56 µmol g⁻¹) in sediments never exceeded this critical value in SGB.

Sulfur is also of ecological significance in the energy dynamics of sediments in SGB. The following discussion is based on calculations and assumptions detailed by Howarth (1984). During the process of sulfate reduction, generally 75% of the energy in the OM is transferred and fixed as hydrogen sulfide (Howarth 1984). Therefore, given that 42.1% of the total carbon oxidation was caused by sulfate reduction in SGB sediments, 31.6% (75% of 42.1%) of the energy transferring through the sediment would be conserved as hydrogen sulfide. Most of the hydrogen sulfide quickly forms iron sulfide, of which 80 to 99% is reoxidized under aerobic conditions (Howarth 1984). Based on the given calculation method of Howarth (1984) in Limfjorden sediments, approximately 10.0% of reduced sulfur was assumed to be buried in SGB sediments perpetually, and thus the energy released from reduced sulfur reoxidation would account for 28.4% (90% of 31.6%) of the benthic OM decomposition here. Thus, the released energy would total 1.3 KJ m⁻² d⁻¹, corresponding to a total respiration of 4.6 KJ m⁻² d⁻¹ (0.11 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) in SGB sediments. This released energy could be used by chemolithoautotrophs for CO₂ fixation, with energy utilization efficiency ranging from 21 to 37% in sediments (Howarth 1984). Subsequently, new organic carbon (0.007 to 0.012 g C m⁻² d⁻¹) was input to SGB sediments. This new organic carbon produced at the oxic–anoxic interface by chemolithoautotrophic production could be used as food for benthic animals (Howarth 1984).

CONCLUSION

We found that under the combined effects of mariculture activities and physical factors, the RIS concentration showed spatial differences with mariculture species. Compared with the oyster monoculture area, the relatively low OM and RIS accumulation in the scallop/kelp polyculture areas demonstrated the environmental benefits of this culture practice. We observed no significant influence of mariculture on the benthic environment after several years of mariculture in SGB, although it promoted sulfide accumulation to some extent compared to the reference station. The lower intensity of culture activities, better hydrodynamic conditions, and the polyculture of scallops and kelp might explain the healthy benthic environment in SGB (Zhang et al. 2009). In addition, the ecological state of SGB can be assessed using the RIS concentration in sediment.

Although we investigated the effect of mariculture activities on RIS accumulation, the seasonal evolution of the system was not considered in this study, and should therefore be assessed in future research. In addition, the mariculture facilities should be considered further to better evaluate the influence of mariculture.
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