Consumption of labile dissolved organic matter by limnetic bacterioplankton: the relative significance of amino acids and carbohydrates
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ABSTRACT: We studied the seasonal dynamics and consumption by planktonic bacteria of the labile pools of dissolved organic carbon (DOC\textsubscript{lab}), dissolved amino acids (TDAA\textsubscript{lab}), and dissolved carbohydrates (TDCHO\textsubscript{lab}) in Lake Constance, Germany, between March and October 1994. The labile pools were defined as those fractions consumed by bacteria in 1 μm filtered samples over 4 d. DOC\textsubscript{lab} varied from 0.064 to 0.606 mg C l\textsuperscript{-1} and constituted 3 to 36% of bulk DOC, which ranged between 1.45 and 2.3 mg C l\textsuperscript{-1}. Proportion of DOC\textsubscript{lab}>13% occurred during the phyttoplankton spring bloom, whereas later in the year <13% of bulk DOC was labile. The bacterial growth efficiency, i.e. the ratio of biomass produced over DOC consumed, ranged from 8 to 57% with a mean of 23% and no obvious seasonal trend. TDAA\textsubscript{lab} constituted 10 to 73% of bulk TDAA and 5 to 95% of DOC\textsubscript{lab}, respectively, with highest proportions during the spring bloom, the clear-water phase (not of DOC\textsubscript{lab}), and a phytoplankton bloom in July. TDCHO\textsubscript{lab} constituted 24 to 73% of bulk TDCHO and 5 to 86% of DOC\textsubscript{lab}, respectively, with highest proportions during the clear-water phase and in September. TDAA\textsubscript{lab} and TDCHO\textsubscript{lab} were positively correlated to bulk TDAA and bulk TDCHO, respectively. Even though both substrate classes were the major components of the labile DOC and together constituted 47 to 100% of DOC\textsubscript{lab} in 75% of the experiments, they were not utilized to equal amounts but relative proportions varied considerably from May to September. Both substrates often were also utilized differently for biosynthetic and energy requirements as demonstrated by respiration measurements of \textsuperscript{14}C-amino acids and \textsuperscript{14}C-glucose. During the spring bloom amino acids were respired preferentially, whereas during the summer bloom glucose was respired preferentially. Turnover times of amino acids and glucose also demonstrated that both substrate classes were utilized differently because turnover times of glucose were consistently longer than those of amino acids except in surface waters from mid-July until September.
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INTRODUCTION

The large pool of dissolved organic matter (DOM) in aquatic ecosystems is composed of a labile fraction which is turned over rapidly, i.e. within days, and a recalcitrant fraction with a slow turnover time of weeks, years, decades and even longer time scales (Hedges 1992, Münster 1993, Søndergaard & Middelboe 1995). Even though various sources contribute to the inputs of DOM to aquatic ecosystems, such as phytoplankton primary production (Baines & Pace 1991), sloppy feeding and egestion by zooplankton (Lampert 1978, Strom et al. 1997), and allochthonous DOM (Tranvik 1988, Volk et al. 1997), consumption by heterotrophic planktonic bacteria is the most significant sink for the DOM pool. Depending on the trophic state and the seasonal situation of the system and its allochthonous inputs the labile fraction can comprise from <5 to ca 30% of the total DOM in marine, riverine, and lacustrine environments (Tran-

The most important components of the labile DOM are dissolved free (DFAA) and combined amino acids (DCAA) and dissolved free (DFCHO) and combined carbohydrates (DCCHO). Many studies were conducted during the last decades which examined the bacterial consumption and turnover of DFAA and DCAA over space and time (e.g. Jørgensen 1987, Coffin 1989, Simon & Rosenstock 1992, Jørgensen et al. 1993, Keil & Kirchman 1993, Rosenstock & Simon 1993, Middelboe et al. 1995). Very few studies have examined the bacterial turnover and consumption of DFCHO (Jørgensen 1990, Jørgensen & Jensen 1994, Rich et al. 1996) and DCCHO (Jørgensen & Jensen 1994, Tranvik & Jørgensen 1995, Hanisch et al. 1996, Jørgensen et al. 1998), and there is still very little information on the seasonal dynamics of the bacterial consumption of total dissolved carbohydrates (TDCHO). Even less information exists about the relative significance of total dissolved amino acids (TDAA) and TDCHO as bacterial substrates on the basis of simultaneous measurements. Only in a few experiments has the bacterial consumption of TDAA and TDCHO been measured simultaneously (Schweitzer & Simon 1995, Tranvik & Jørgensen 1995, Hanisch et al. 1996, Volk et al. 1997, Jørgensen et al. 1998). In order to better understand the relative significance of amino acids and carbohydrates as substrates for bacterial growth more studies covering various trophic and seasonal situations have to be carried out which examine the labile fractions of TDAA and TDCHO and their simultaneous consumption.

When substrates are consumed, they are partly used for biosynthesis and partly for energy requirements, i.e. respired. Quite a few studies measured the respiration of either amino acids (e.g. Jørgensen 1987, Simon & Tilzer 1987, Coffin 1989, Suttle et al. 1991) or monosaccharides (Berman & Gerber 1980, Riemann et al. 1982, Jørgensen & Jensen 1994), showing that the relative respiration as percent of gross uptake can vary greatly. However, so far there is only very scarce information on the relative respiration of both amino acids and monosaccharides based on simultaneous measurements (Berman & Gerber 1980, Suttle et al. 1991, Tranvik & Jørgensen 1995). Such measurements are important to understand the control of the relative utilization and preferences of these labile DOM components for biosynthetic and energy requirements of planktonic bacteria.

We measured the consumption of TDAA and TDCHO by planktonic bacteria from March to October 1994 in mesotrophic Lake Constance, Germany, together with bacterial growth dynamics and determined the labile and recalcitrant fractions of both classes of organic compounds. We also studied the turnover and respiration of amino acids and monosaccharides to examine when and under which conditions amino acids or carbohydrates are preferred for biosynthetic or energy requirements.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was carried out between March and October 1994 at the center and deepest point of Lake Überlingen, the northwestern fjord-like arm of prealpine Lake Constance, adjacent to Austria, Germany and Switzerland. Lake Constance is a mesotrophic and warm-monomictic lake with a surface area of 539 km² and maximum and mean depths of 254 and 100 m, respectively. Lake Überlingen has maximum and mean depths of 147 and 90 m, respectively. Lake Constance underwent oligotrophication during the last 15 yr due to an effective reduction of the P-load (Güde et al. 1998). Plankton dynamics have been studied extensively during the recent past (e.g. Gaedke & Straile 1994, Weisse & Müller 1998, Simon et al. 1998). Samples were collected with a clean van Dorn bottle at 2, 8 and 20 m and immediately transferred into clean 1 and 2 l polyethylene bottles and kept in a cooling box until further processing in the lab, which was not later than 2 h after sampling. From mid-July until the end of the study samples were collected at 40 instead of 20 m to make sure that the true hypolimnion was sampled and not the metalimnion because pronounced internal seiches occur in Lake Überlingen in summer when the lake is stratified.

To study the consumption of DOC, TDAA and TDCHO by planktonic bacteria 1 μm prefiltered samples were incubated for 90 to 100 h at in situ temperature in the dark and subsampled periodically for measurements of bacterial numbers and cell volumes and concentrations of DOC, TDAA and TDCHO. Therefore, samples from 2 m were prefiltered through 1 μm Nuclepore filters by gravity to minimize substrate enrichment due to leaking and damage of algae and other protists. After every 100 ml the filter was replaced by a new one to avoid clogging. The experiments were run in duplicates of 500 ml. A total of 12 experiments were carried out but only 10 experiments measured the consumption of TDAA, and 4 experiments measured the consumption of TDCHO. Usually the duplicates exhibited the same patterns of bacterial growth and DOC consumption over time and they
agreed within 20%. Because with duplicates no standard deviation can be determined the data are presented as mean values and the range of the duplicates (Table 1, see Figs. 2 & 4).

The labile fractions of DOC (DOC_lab), TDAA (TDAA_lab) and TDCHO (TDCHO_lab) were defined according to Middelboe & Søndergaard (1993) as the amount consumed in the 1 µm filtrates until the bacterial growth reached the stationary phase. The residual concentrations were considered as the recalcitrant fractions.

Samples for bacterial numbers, fixed with 2% Formalin, were counted on black 0.2 µm Nuclepore filters by epifluorescence microscopy with a Nikon microscope (Labophot 2A) after staining with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) according to Porter & Feig (1980). Bacterial cell sizes were determined with a semi-automatic image analysis system (AI-Tectron, Düsseldorf, Germany) on magnified photomicrographs, taken with a Nikon FX-350X camera on a KODAK 400 Tmax film. From the measured length (l) and width (w) the cell volume \( V \) was calculated as \( V = \frac{4}{3} \pi \times w^2 \times \frac{1}{2} \times (l - w) \). Comparisons with acridine orange-stained samples showed that the cell volume was underestimated by 7% in DAPI-stained samples. Thus, the cell volume was multiplied by 1.075. Cell carbon was calculated from the cell volume according to Simon & Azam (1989).

Bacterial production was measured by the incorporation of \(^{14}C\)-leucine (Leu) according to Kirchman et al. (1985) and Simon & Azam (1989). Four subsamples of 5 ml were transferred into clean polystyrene test tubes and labelled with \(^{14}C\)-leucine (310 mCi mmol\(^{-1}\), Amersham) at a final concentration of 30 nM, which maximizes incorporation rates in Lake Constance (Simon & Rosenstock 1992). One sample served as a blank and was immediately fixed with Formalin (2% final concentration) and the others were incubated for 1 h in the dark at in situ temperature and fixed thereafter. The samples were then filtered onto 0.45 µm nitrocellulose filters (Whatmann no. 1) fixed in a wire hook inside the neck of the flask. The carbon uptake was measured according to Hobbie & Crawford (1969).

After acidification of the sample in the closed flask by a syringe through a silicon septum with phosphoric acid the evolved \(^{14}\)CO\(_2\) was trapped in accordions, folded and phenethylamine-soaked filter paper (Whatmann no. 1) fixed in a wire hook inside the neck of the flask. The acidified samples were shaken overnight such that >98% of the \(^{14}\)CO\(_2\) produced was trapped in the filter paper. The filter paper was radioassayed by liquid scintillation counting. The turnover rate was calculated as: 

\[
\text{Turnover rate} = \frac{\text{dpm}_{\text{net}} + \text{dpm}_{\text{resp}}}{\text{dpm}_{\text{add}}} 
\]

where \(\text{dpm}_{\text{net}}\) and \(\text{dpm}_{\text{resp}}\) are the amounts of tracer taken up and respired per hour and \(\text{dpm}_{\text{add}}\) is the amount of label added. The turnover time was calculated as the inverse of the turnover rate. Respiration is given as percent of gross uptake (net uptake + respiration).

Turnover and respiration rates of \(^{14}C\)-glucose (304 mCi mmol\(^{-1}\), Amersham) were determined in the same way as those of amino acids and at the same added final concentration of 5 nM. The CV of the triplicate measurements of uptake and respiration of amino acids and glucose was always <0.20 and in 80% of the experiments <0.10.

DOC was analyzed in triplicates with a Dohrmann DC-180 TOC analyzer which works on the basis of wet oxidation. The samples, and also those for the analyses of TDAA and TDCHO (see below), were prefiltered.

### Table 1. Growth efficiencies of bacterioplankton in Lake Constance measured as the ratio of increase in bacterial biomass over decrease in DOC and over time in 1 µm filtered samples from 2 m depth. Mean values and range of duplicates are given

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Growth efficiency</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26 April</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.08–0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 May</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.22–0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 May</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.19–0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 May</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06–0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 June</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14–0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 June</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.26–0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 July</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24–0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 July</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.21–0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 August</td>
<td>0.57*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 August</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06–0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 September</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18–0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 October</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.10–0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data of only 1 experiment available
The study started prior to the onset of the phytoplankton spring bloom in late March. During April and May the lake warmed up and became thermally more and more stratified as indicated by the temperature gradually increasing to 14°C. The highest temperature was reached in early July and slowly decreased thereafter to 13°C towards the end of October. The seasonal dynamics of the phytoplankton were characterized by the spring bloom with its maximum from late April to early May, the clear-water phase in June with chlorophyll a concentrations below 5 μg l⁻¹, and by a summer bloom with highest chlorophyll concentrations at 2 m in late June (Fig. 1) and at 3 to 6 m in July (not shown). Bacterioplankton growth during the phytoplankton spring bloom peaked towards its decline in late May, when the annual maxima of bacterial numbers and production of 1 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ and 3.7 μg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively, were reached (Fig. 1). Thereafter, bacterial production dropped sharply in the clear-water phase but increased again towards the end of June and remained at 2 to 3 μg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹ until the end of August. Bacterial growth rates roughly followed the pattern of bacterial production but exhibited highest rates of 1.0 d⁻¹ in early July. At 20 and 40 m depth, bacterial numbers, rates of production and growth were always reduced as compared to 2 and 8 m (see Table 3). The mean bacterial cell volume varied between 0.036 and 0.065 μm³, with highest values during the clear-water phase and lowest values in fall. The seasonal mean was 0.050 μm³.

DOC concentrations were highest at the maximum of the spring bloom, reaching 2.3 mg C l⁻¹ (Fig. 2). A second peak occurred in late July at the end of the
summer phytoplankton bloom. In general, DOC concentrations did not exhibit large seasonal changes, ranging only from 1.45 to 2.3 mg C l⁻¹.

**Bacterial consumption of labile DOM and growth efficiency**

The concentration of labile DOC as determined by bacterial DOC consumption in 1 µm filtered samples over time varied between 0.064 and 0.606 mg C l⁻¹ (Fig. 2) and comprised 5 to 36% of total DOC. Proportions exceeding 13% were measured during the spring bloom, whereas only <13% of total DOC was labile during the remaining period.

During the incubations of the 1 µm filtered samples bacteria grew in numbers and size and consumed measurable amounts of DOC (Fig. 3). The increase in bacterial biomass was predominantly due to cell multiplication, and the cell volume always increased less than 2-fold. There was not always a continuous decrease in DOC concentrations over time, though, presumably because bacteria shifted from utilizing TDCHO to TDAA and vice versa, and also sometimes due to excretion of TDCHO (see below). The growth efficiency, i.e. the ratio of bacterial biomass produced divided by the amount of DOC consumed, varied from 8 to 57% but 11 out of 12 experiments yielded values <40% (Table 1). The overall mean growth efficiency was 23%. There was no systematic covariation of the growth efficiency with any other bacterial parameter seasonally.

TDAA and TDCHO always comprised a substantial proportion of the labile DOM. Labile TDAA comprised 30 to 73% of bulk TDAA with highest proportions during the spring bloom, the clear-water phase and in late July (Fig. 4). Concentrations of labile TDAA and bulk TDAA were significantly and closely correlated (Fig. 5, p < 0.01). Labile TDAA constituted a variable fraction of the labile DOC, comprising 5 to 95% of it with most values ranging between 12 and 32% (Fig. 4). Highest values occurred during the spring bloom and in late July. There was, however, no significant correlation between concentrations of labile TDAA and labile DOC (p > 0.05).

In 4 experiments we also measured the bacterial consumption of labile TDCHO which constituted between 24 and 73% of bulk TDCHO and between 5 and 86% of DOClab (Table 2). Labile TDCHO and TDAA together constituted 48 to 108% of DOClab (Table 2). The experiments showed that TDAA and...
TDCHO were not consumed in equal amounts, neither during the time course of the experiments nor seasonally (Fig. 3). Whereas on May 3 TDCHO were consumed during the initial phase of the incubation prior to the period of cell growth and excreted thereafter, TDAA were consumed during the time when bacteria were growing. On June 14 TDCHO were the dominant substrates for bacterial growth and TDAA made up only 20% of the substrates utilized (Table 2). Both substrates were excreted during the later growth phase as reflected also by an enhanced DOC concentration at 65 h. TDAA were the dominant substrates on May 3 and on July 5, whereas in the other experiments TDCHO comprised 80% of the identified substrates utilized. Concentrations of labile TDCHO and bulk TDCHO exhibited a significant positive correlation \( (r^2 = 0.85, n = 4, p < 0.01) \).

**Bacterial turnover and respiration of DFAA and glucose**

The turnover of DFAA and glucose exhibited systematic differences and varied greatly. The turnover time of DFAA ranged from <6 h at 2 and 8 m in July and August to 100 to 250 h during the spring bloom and at 20 and 40 m (Fig. 6). It was faster than that of glucose by a factor of 5 to 10 except at 2 and 8 m from mid-July until September, when differences were less than 2-fold. The turnover time of glucose exceeded 200 h from March until mid-July at 2 and 8 m except for a brief period during the spring bloom (Fig. 6). At 20 and 40 m it was always longer than 100 h with highest values exceeding even 1000 h. The systematic differences between the turnover times of DFAA and glucose are also evident from their seasonal means (Table 3).

The relative proportions of glucose and DFAA respired exhibited large variations even though in general the proportions were in the same range (Fig. 7, Table 3). In April and May during the spring bloom proportions of DFAA respired were higher than those of glucose except in a few cases at 2 and 8 m at the end of April and in early May at its maximum. At the end of May at the highest rates of bacterial production proportions of glucose and DFAA respired were similar at 2 and 8 m, whereas during the clear-water phase at 2 m a higher proportion of DFAA was respired than of glucose. From mid-June until the end of July at 2 and 8 m, however, higher proportions of glucose were respired than of DFAA. From August until October both substrates were respired in equal proportions at these depths. In May and June large variations of the percentage of glucose respired occurred at 20 m without a clear tendency relative to that of DFAA. From late August until mid-September at 40 m, a relatively higher proportion of DFAA as compared to glucose was respired. Due to the non-regular patterns of these inverse relationships over longer time periods they did not show up in statistical evaluations. During the spring bloom and in summer there was a general, even though not statistically significant, tendency of higher respiration percentages of amino acids with depth (Table 3). The same was true for glucose during the spring bloom, whereas in summer the opposite was true.

**Table 2. Bacterial consumption and relative fractions of bulk and labile dissolved carbohydrates (TDCHO, TDCHOlab, labile dissolved amino acids (TDAAlab), and labile DOC measured in 1 μm filtered samples from Lake Constance. The initial concentrations of TDCHO in the experiments are also given (TDCHOinit). TDCHOlab+TDAAlab as % DOClab were calculated on the basis of the hourly rates. Mean values of duplicates are given**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>TDCHOinit (μg C l⁻¹)</th>
<th>TDCHOlab (μg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹)</th>
<th>TDAAlab (μg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹)</th>
<th>DOClab (μg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹)</th>
<th>TDCHOlab+TDAAlab as % DOClab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 May</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Jun</td>
<td>251.8</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Jul</td>
<td>161.1</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Sep</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6. Turnover times of glucose (left panel) and DFAA (right panel) at 2, 8 and 20 or 40 m depth in Lake Constance between late March and October 1994. Due to the scale break the lines between 2 data points of the turnover time of glucose at 2 and 8 m in July appear with different slopes. For abbreviations see Fig. 2

Table 3. Mean values of bacterial production (BP), bacterial cell numbers (BN), bacterial growth rates (growth), turnover times of glucose (T glc) and DFAA (T dfaa), and percent respiration of glucose (Resp glc) and DFAA (Resp dfaa) at 2, 8, and 20 or 40 m depth for the entire investigation period (total season), the spring bloom (Apr 16–May 31), the clear-water phase (Jun 1–20), and the summer-fall period (Jul 1–Oct 31)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2 m</th>
<th>Supply season</th>
<th>Clear-water</th>
<th>Summer-fall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP (µg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.22 ± 1.07</td>
<td>1.55 ± 1.42</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.82</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN (10⁶ ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.55 ± 2.45</td>
<td>7.22 ± 3.28</td>
<td>3.95 ± 2.03</td>
<td>4.29 ± 1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth (d⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T glc (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>360.0 ± 679.0</td>
<td>265.4 ± 197.7</td>
<td>1719.4 ± 1101.0</td>
<td>15.3 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T dfaa (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>34.2 ± 58.5</td>
<td>33.1 ± 41.6</td>
<td>21.6 ± 27.4</td>
<td>10.9 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resp glc (%)</td>
<td>27.5 ± 11.4</td>
<td>25.9 ± 13.7</td>
<td>20.3 ± 25.5</td>
<td>30.3 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resp dfaa (%)</td>
<td>27.0 ± 9.0</td>
<td>28.0 ± 12.2</td>
<td>24.2 ± 6.4</td>
<td>27.6 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP (µg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.85</td>
<td>1.03 ± 1.11</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN (10⁶ ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.97 ± 1.99</td>
<td>5.30 ± 3.15</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.57</td>
<td>4.34 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth (d⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T glc (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>212.5 ± 277.9</td>
<td>266.9 ± 215.4</td>
<td>666.6 ± 314.4</td>
<td>15.1 ± 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T dfaa (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>28.2 ± 44.1</td>
<td>47.6 ± 72.7</td>
<td>22.9 ± 21.0</td>
<td>9.9 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resp glc (%)</td>
<td>28.9 ± 11.9</td>
<td>23.4 ± 13.4</td>
<td>51.5 ± 22.3</td>
<td>29.4 ± 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resp dfaa (%)</td>
<td>29.1 ± 10.9</td>
<td>29.7 ± 14.8</td>
<td>29.5 ± 11.3</td>
<td>27.4 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP (µg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN (10⁶ ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.91</td>
<td>2.35 ± 1.03</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.83</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth (d⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T glc (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>481.0 ± 541.1</td>
<td>661.9 ± 414.8</td>
<td>1358.8 ± 770.9</td>
<td>223.7 ± 64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T dfaa (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>79.9 ± 65.4</td>
<td>78.5 ± 66.8</td>
<td>53.5 ± 43.3</td>
<td>80.0 ± 58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resp glc (%)</td>
<td>23.2 ± 16.8</td>
<td>33.7 ± 26.7</td>
<td>17.6 ± 25.2</td>
<td>21.0 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resp dfaa (%)</td>
<td>34.1 ± 13.6</td>
<td>35.2 ± 12.6</td>
<td>28.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>35.8 ± 16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

It is a well-known fact supported by a large number of studies that the DOC pool in pelagic ecosystems is constituted of a recalcitrant and a labile fraction which can comprise between <5 and ca 40% of total DOC (for review see Søndergaard & Middelboe 1995). This review showed that the concentration of labile DOC is positively related to that of total DOC across systems although differently in limnetic, riverine, and marine systems. But also within systems, variations of the absolute concentrations and relative fractions of labile DOC occur even though this is documented only by a few studies. In Danish eutrophic lakes, the absolute concentration of labile DOC was shown to vary from ca 600 to 1400 μg C l⁻¹, comprising <10% of total DOC (Middelboe & Søndergaard 1993, Søndergaard et al. 1995). So it appears remarkable that we found a seasonal variation of the concentration of labile DOC by a factor of 8 and its relative proportion of total DOC varying from 5 to 36%, roughly the total range found in other limnetic systems. The seasonal mean of 13% of our values complies with that of 14% determined by Søndergaard & Middelboe (1995) for all lakes included in their analysis.

The fact that we incubated the 1 μm filtered samples at in situ temperatures may have biased the results at low temperatures, i.e. reduced the consumption of DOC by bacteria because they may have been temperature limited and thus not consumed the labile DOC completely during the incubation time. Simon & Wünsch (1998), however, showed that epilimnetic planktonic bacteria in Lake Constance are well temperature-adapted during the growing season. Therefore, we can exclude a large temperature effect on our results.

Our results showed that labile TDAA were important components of the pools of labile DOC and bulk TDAA. The close and highly significant positive correlation between the concentrations of bulk TDAA and its labile component indicated that with increasing concentrations of TDAA its labile fraction increased relatively. Hence, the dynamics of bulk TDAA seem to be predominantly controlled by that of labile TDAA. As indicated by the intercept with the x-axis at 0.72 μM TDAA (Fig. 5), at this concentration no labile components are available. In fact, concentrations around this value occur in Lake Constance from September to November in the epilimnion and particularly in the hypolimnion (Simon 1998), suggesting that only a minor fraction of TDAA, if any, is labile in these situations. At the spring bloom and in late July labile TDAA constituted >60% of the labile DOC, whereas at other times <25% of the latter were TDAA. These observations, substantiated by the fact that no significant correlation between these parameters existed, indicate that labile TDAA did not generally control the pool of labile DOC.

Labile TDCHO were also an important component of the pools of labile DOC and bulk TDCHO. At times of relatively low rates of bacterial production such as in June during the clear-water phase and in September, they comprised large proportions of both bulk TDCHO and dominated the labile DOC (Table 2). During the spring bloom and in July at high rates of bacterial production they comprised not more than 21% of labile DOC and labile TDAA were dominating. This observation indicates that TDCHO and TDAA are utilized by planktonic bacteria to varying degrees depending on the supply and availability of these substrates and yielding different growth responses (see below). The positive correlation between labile and bulk TDCHO suggested that, as for TDAA, concentrations of bulk TDCHO were largely controlled by that of labile TDCHO and that with increasing concentration of TDCHO its labile fraction relatively increased. However, since this correlation is based only on 4 data points we consider it a preliminary result.

In 2 of the 4 experiments which simultaneously measured bacterial consumption of labile TDAA and

Fig. 7. Respiration as % of gross uptake of glucose and DFAA at 2, 8 and 20 or 40 m depth in Lake Constance between late March and October 1994. Gross uptake is net uptake + respiration.
TDCHO, these compounds constituted 70 and 100% of the labile DOC whereas in the other 2 they constituted roughly 50% of it. This mass balance confirms that amino acids and carbohydrates are important and often the dominant components of the labile DOC pool. It also indicates, however, that there is a gap at certain times, leaving room for other components or indicating that our analyses missed some of the TDAA and TDCHO. A similar gap in mass balance was reported by Jørgensen et al. (1998) from experiments in a Swedish lake examining bacterial utilization of DOC, TDAA and TDCHO and applying the same analytical procedures as we did. Using a more rigorous vapor-phase hydrolysis procedure of TDAA Keil & Kirchman (1993) yielded higher concentrations of TDAA than using the classic liquid-phase hydrolysis procedure at 110°C for 24 h we applied. However, these authors showed that this additional fraction of TDAA was much more recalcitrant than the one hydrolyzed by the classic procedure. Therefore, we assume that we did not miss a significant fraction of labile TDAA. With respect to hydrolysis of TDCHO we also applied a procedure using HCl and not sulfuric acid as suggested by Pakulski & Benner (1992) which gives higher yields of TDCHO. In contrast to TDAA, this more rigorous procedure to a certain extent yields a readily available fraction as shown by Hanisch et al. (1996), who found that bacteria can sometimes consume only the sulfuric acid-hydrolyzable fraction and not the HCl hydrolyzable one. Even though we are aware of this drawback, we used the HCl hydrolysis procedure due to problems in neutralizing the acidic hydrolysate after sulfuric acid treatment for our HPLC analysis. Further, Mopper et al. (1995) found that the analysis of hydrolyzed polysaccharides by HPLC yields lower concentrations than the analysis by the MBTH method (Johnson & Sieburth 1977), presumably because in addition to neutral hexoses also acidic hexoses and pentoses are detected with the same sensitivity. Hence, we may have missed an unknown fraction of the labile TDCHO due to incomplete hydrolysis and the fact that we only detected neutral monosaccharides by our HPLC analysis. Because in one experiment we could account for 100% of the labile DOC by labile TDAA and TDCHO and in another experiment for 70% we assume, however, that we detected the majority of labile TDCHO in these cases. We can only speculate whether other dissolved organic compounds may also have contributed to the labile DOM pool. Other organic compounds such as dissolved DNA or fatty acids seem not to be important components of the labile DOC pool (Jørgensen et al. 1993, Münster 1993).

An interesting finding was that TDAA appeared to be preferred when high concentrations were available and when bacterioplankton production was high, whereas TDCHO were preferentially consumed at low concentrations of labile TDAA such as during the clear-water phase in June. Hanisch et al. (1996) also found the highest rates of consumption of TDCHO during the clear-water phase. These results agree with findings of Kirchman (1990) who reported that heterotrophic bacteria preferred DFAA against glucose. Also Tranvik & Jørgensen (1995) in mesocosm experiments in 2 Swedish lakes found that amino acids were the preferred substrates for bacterioplankton growth as compared to glucose. On the other hand, Jørgensen et al. (1998) found that in epilimnetic waters of a clear water lake in July TDCHO were the preferred substrates as compared to TDAA whereas in hypolimnetic waters TDAA were favored and TDCHO even excreted. We want to note that in our experiments during the incubation of the 1 µm filtrates both substrate classes were also used differently over time and that TDCHO sometimes were excreted as well. These approaches, however, did not determine whether amino acids or carbohydrates were preferentially used for biosynthetic or energy requirements because they only measured total bacterial consumption, i.e. decrease in concentration over time.

Our results on respiration of amino acids and glucose gave further indications that both substrate classes often were used differently for energy and biosynthetic requirements. During the spring bloom when utilization of TDAA explained a large fraction of the bacterial carbon demand (Table 2) DFAA were respired to a higher proportion than glucose. In contrast, in July, when utilization of amino acids also explained a large fraction of the bacterial carbon demand (Rosenstock & Simon 1993) glucose was respired to a higher proportion than DFAA. Other factors such as the C:N ratio of amino acids relative to that of the bacterial biomass (Goldman et al. 1987, Jørgensen et al. 1994), the availability of a nitrogen source, e.g. ammonium (Kirchman et al. 1990), and the simultaneous availability of TDCHO (Gardner et al. 1996), and the physiological and/or phenotypical adaptation of the ambient bacterial community to the substrates available may affect the metabolic fate of amino acids and carbohydrates as well. In fact, Schweitzer & Simon (1995) showed that planktonic bacteria in Lake Constance in May during the spring bloom exhibited a higher growth efficiency when utilizing glucose and ammonium as compared to amino acids whereas in June the opposite was true.

We want to point out that the absolute proportions of DFAA and glucose respired we found may have been biased if isotopic equilibrium of the label taken up into the bacterial biomass and thus of the 14CO2 produced was not reached during the time of incubation. Berman & Gerber (1980) and Itturiaga & Zsolnay (1981) emphasize that this phenomenon may lead to underestimated...
rates of uptake and respiration of radioisotopes. The unrealistically low respiration percentages we sometimes measured may have been affected by this problem even though we can not rule out that other unnoticed experimental errors such as incomplete acidification or trapping of the $^{14}$CO$_2$ produced occurred as well.

The overall bacterial respiration of substrates used for growth (1 – growth efficiency) was substantially lower than the proportions of DFAA and glucose respired and ranged between 43 and 92%. This is a further indication that the respired proportions of these 2 major substrate classes were higher than determined by the radiotracer approach or that the respiration of DCAA and DDCHO was higher than that of the respective monomers. The bacterial growth efficiencies ranged between 8 and 57% and thus were in the range found in various other studies (Tranvik 1988a, Kristiansen et al. 1992, Middelboe et al. 1992, Kroer 1993, Middelboe & Søndergaard 1993, Søndergaard & Theil-Nielsen 1997). Even though we found differences in the growth efficiencies at different seasons and substrate supply there was no clear relationship between substrate availability, e.g. concentrations of labile TDAA or TDCHO or bacterial growth rates, and the growth efficiency. Positive relationships have been reported between the growth efficiency and substrata availability (Middelboe & Søndergaard 1993) and between the former and bacterial growth rates (Middelboe et al. 1992, Søndergaard & Theil-Nielsen 1997).

The different dynamics and utilization of amino acids and carbohydrates by the planktonic bacteria became also evident from the different turnover times of DFAA and glucose. The turnover time of the glucose pool was always much greater than that of DFAA except at 2 and 8 m from the end of July until October when both pools were turned over at roughly equal rates. Greater turnover times of glucose relative to amino acids were also reported by Berman & Gerber (1980) from Lake Kinneret, Israel, even though differences were not as pronounced as in Lake Constance. Because concentrations of both DFAA and dissolved free monosaccharides (DFCHO) including glucose are roughly similar in Lake Constance (Simon 1998, Simon et al. 1998), these differences suggest that either release and uptake rates of DFAA are much faster than those of DFCHO or that bacterial utilization of the 2 polymeric pools, from which most of the monomers originate, is different during certain periods and depths. We have no indication that DFCHO are less readily taken up by bacteria than DFAA. However, it is conceivable that at certain seasonal situations coupling between hydrolysis of the polymers and uptake of the monomers differs between the 2 substrate classes or that a large fraction of DCCHO is utilized directly as oligomers (Muir et al. 1985), leaving the DFCHO pool aside whereas uptake of DCAA is channeled mainly through the uptake of DFAA.

In conclusion we have shown that TDAA and TDCHO are major substrates for bacterioplankton growth in Lake Constance and constitute to a great extent the labile DOC pool. Both substrate classes, however, often are utilized differently for biosynthetic and energy requirements and at different seasonal situations depending on their availability and presumably on the physiological and genotypical adaptation of the bacterioplankton community. Therefore, the dynamics of both substrate classes often are different as reflected by the turnover times of DFAA and glucose.
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