ABSTRACT: Here we examine the seasonal distribution of marine bacterioplankton species in the northern Baltic Sea. The population density of different bacteria was determined by whole-genome DNA hybridization to community DNA. During spring, concomitant with the phytoplankton spring bloom and its decay, the bacterial community was dominated by 5 bacterial species belonging to the Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides phylum. Together they accounted for up to 43\% of the intact cells as indicated by the number of nucleoid-containing cells at this time of year (20\% of the total bacterial counts). In late June a number of new bacteria proliferated to form a summer community. The dominant bacteria during summer were largely members of the α-Proteobacteria, with a significant contribution of Sphingomonas and Caulobacter species. The capacity of these species to cope with oligotrophic growth conditions may explain their success at this time of year, when low PO₄ concentrations limit bacterial growth. The different communities were reflected in the correlation between bacterial production and temperature. During summer significantly lower levels of production at corresponding temperatures were found compared to spring and autumn. We suggest this to be a result of different physiological predisposition of the dominant bacteria during the respective seasons. A compilation of current research demonstrated the consistent finding of a low or moderate diversity in the marine environment. Thus, an understanding of how variability in physiological capacities among dominant bacterial groups results in niche differentiation is conceivable.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacterioplankton diversity and distribution have attracted considerable attention following the proliferation of molecular techniques, gradually revealing the identity of dominant microorganisms in aquatic environments (Rehnstam et al. 1993, Fuhrman et al. 1994, González & Moran 1997, Pinhassi et al. 1997, Suzuki et al. 1997, Pernthaler et al. 1998). From an ecological perspective this means that the presence of bacteria can now be studied in relation to biotic and abiotic factors. Examples of this development can be seen in several recent studies. The distribution of 2 γ-Proteobacteria, Comamonas acidovorans and Aeromonas hydrophila, could be explained by their different capacities to degrade organic matter, and by their ability to cope with protistan grazing (Weinbauer & Höflé 1998). Pinhassi et al. (1999) demonstrated rapid growth of specific bacteria, in response to the addition of protein to seawater, resulting in a succession of bacterial species. Murray et al. (1998) found a negative correlation between archaeal rRNA levels and chlorophyll a concentrations in Antarctic coastal waters, suggestive of competitive exclusion by Bacteria of the often ‘extreme’ Archaea during summer conditions (Murray et al. 1998).

In the aquatic environment the presence of bacterioplankton must be considered in both spatial and temporal dimensions. One example of varying horizontal distribution of bacteria is the bacterial species composition in Tomales Bay and San Francisco Bay. In these environments it has been suggested that differences in metabolic properties of the bacterial communities could be explained by differences in the distribution of specific bacterial populations (Hollibaugh 1994). This observation was later confirmed by comparing the banding pattern of bacterial 16S rDNA fragments from
community DNA collected in the 2 bays (Murray et al. 1996). Also, stratification of bacterial populations has been demonstrated, suggesting that microorganisms with different preferences may occupy niches described by their vertical distribution (Giovannoni et al. 1996, Gordon & Giovannoni 1996, Wright et al. 1997).

In the central Pacific bacteria related to Chlorobium are present in high frequencies below the deep chlorophyll maximum (Gordon & Giovannoni 1996). This is an environment with stable physical conditions, where the ubiquitous presence of these populations over time is conceivable. In temperate waters there is a strong seasonal variation in physical parameters, causing a significant seasonal succession in the phytoplankton community. This is also a likely scenario for bacterioplankton and indeed seasonal variation in community structure of pelagic prokaryotes has been demonstrated (Pinhassi et al. 1997, Tuomi et al. 1997, Pernthaler et al. 1998).

Despite the rapid discovery of large numbers of marine bacterial species a low bacterial diversity has been demonstrated in marine bacterioplankton compared to soil communities. In soil, different techniques such as DNA reannealing kinetics and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) profiling consistently yield much higher diversity than in aquatic samples (Ferris et al. 1996, Murray et al. 1996, Övreås et al. 1997, 1998, Ritz et al. 1997). Since early work on natural bacterial diversity was done in soil, results showing a much less diverse community in aquatic systems have initially been surprising. However a low or moderate diversity in aquatic samples has also been suggested from theoretical considerations based on the number of bacteriophages versus bacterial numbers (Thingstad & Lignell 1997). The implication for the marine pelagic environment is that at each site and moment in time a limited number of dominant bacterial species should be expected.

In the present study we extend an earlier analysis using whole-genome probes to determine the distribution of marine bacteria (Pinhassi et al. 1997). Here we report changes in the density of different bacterial populations in relation to changing environmental conditions. Furthermore, a presentation of their identity is given in addition to a discussion on factors structuring the bacterial community.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling. Seawater samples were collected from a depth of 4 m at Stn NB1, a routine sampling station in the northern Baltic Sea (63° 30' N, 19° 48' E), during 1995. Sampling was done every second week from April to October, and once a month during the winter season (November to March). Bacterial production and temperature measurements from 1992 to 1994 and 1996 are also included. Sampling was performed as part of the Marine Environmental Monitoring Program funded by the Swedish EPA. Primary data are stored in a database at the Umeå Marine Science Center, Sweden. Temperature and salinity were measured with a Seonsertec UCM 40 MK II probe. Nutrients were determined with a Technicon TRAACS 800 autoanalyser by standard methods. Methodology for primary production and chlorophyll a has been described previously (Andersson et al. 1996).

Bacterial production. Bacterial production was determined using the [3H]thymidine incorporation method (Fuhrman & Azam 1986), as modified by Smith & Azam (1992). Triplicate samples of 1.5 ml were incubated at in situ temperatures for 1 to 2 h with 10 nM [3H]thymidine (final concentration). The standard deviation for incorporation of the radioactive label was ±10% of the mean. To convert moles of incorporated thymidine to the number of cells produced a conversion factor of 1 × 10^18 cells mol⁻¹ was applied to the samples. The conversion factor has previously been determined experimentally at Stn NB1 (Zweifel et al. 1993).

Enumeration of bacteria. Total counts of bacteria were determined by fluorescent staining. Cells preserved in 0.2 μm filtered formalddehyde (4% final concentration) were filtered onto 0.2 μm black polycarbonate filters (Micron Separations Inc.) at 100 mm Hg, and stained for 5 min with a 0.003% acridine orange solution (Hobbie et al. 1977). Samples were counted within 2 d after sampling. In the routine sampling (1992 to 1996) 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was used for enumeration of bacteria (Porter & Feig 1980). Numbers of nucleoid-containing bacteria (NUCC) were quantified by staining the cells with DAPI and destaining the unspecifically bound DAPI with 2-Propanol (Zweifel et al. 1995). The original protocol showed good staining due to the low salinity at the sampling site (<6%). The number of fields (typically 20) were varied to maintain a standard error of the microscopic enumeration to <5%. The number of colony forming units (CFU) was determined by plating 100 μl of undiluted seawater and 2x, 5x, 10x, and 20x diluted seawater samples in triplicates on Zobell agar plates (Zobell 1946). The plates were incubated at 15°C in the dark. On some sampling occasions additional plates were incubated at 5°C and in situ temperature, for comparison, revealing no difference in final CFU.

Density of specific bacteria. The density of specific bacteria was determined by the species density protocol according to Pinhassi et al. (1997) using whole genome DNA hybridization to community DNA. For discussion on limitations of the method see Pinhassi.
et al. (1997). The procedure was as follows: during 10 sampling occasions from 6 April to 18 October 1995, approximately 20 l of seawater was collected from 4 m depth at Stn NB1. The seawater was kept at in situ temperature and brought to the laboratory where samples were processed within 4 h after collection. Different isolates were collected from the Zobell agar plates used for the determination of CFU. The isolates were crosshybridized to weed out duplicates, and genomic DNA was prepared from each unique cell culture. Labeling of the chromosomal DNA for use as probes was performed using a Nick translation kit (Promega) and α-32P-dATP (Ammersham), giving a specific activity of 0.1 to 1 × 10^8 cpm µg⁻¹. Probes were purified from free nucleotides using spin columns (Pharmacia).

Standard curves for each bacterial species were prepared by culturing isolates in Zobell medium (Zobell 1946) at 15°C, and harvesting the bacteria in known numbers onto hybridization membranes. Cultures were grown for 1 to 2 d (approx. 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹) and thereafter 10-fold diluted with sterile 0.2 µm filtered, autoclaved seawater, from the area where the bacteria were originally isolated, and kept at +4°C before enumeration by direct counts and subsequent filtration. Four replicates of each standard curve with 0.5 or 1 × 10⁵, 3 × 10⁵, 6 × 10⁵, 1 × 10⁶, 2 × 10⁶, 5 × 10⁶ cells in a final volume of 10 ml were filtered onto 6 dots simultaneously. The cells were lysed on the hybridization membranes as described below for the seawater samples. The standards for each isolate were hybridized with the corresponding whole genome probe, in the same hybridization flask as the community DNA samples covering the whole sampling season.

Preparation of bacterial community DNA from the seawater samples was performed as follows. Seawater samples were prefiltered through a 2 µm filter (MSI Polycarbonate). We recommend prefiltration to reduce clogging of the hybridization membranes, since 2 µm filtrations do not reduce the number of bacteria. The samples were then filtered with 0.45 µm hybridization membranes (Hybond-N, Amersham) using a blotting apparatus (GIBCO BRL with 6 mm 0 slots) with a modified lid containing twenty-four 10 ml wells, allowing larger sampling volumes. 10 to 20 ml of seawater was filtered at 200 to 300 mm Hg. Less than 0.1% of the bacteria were found to pass the membranes (data not shown). The samples were lysed in the dot-blot following instructions from the membrane manufacturer (Ammersham). First, the slot was covered with 100 µl 0.5 M NaOH for 3 min, the solution was filtered through, and the procedure was repeated. Second, the slot was covered with 100 µl 1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.4 for 5 min, the solution was filtered through, and the procedure was repeated. Finally, the slot was covered with 100 µl 1.5 M NaCl + 0.5 M Tris-HCl pH 7.4 for 5 min, and the solution was filtered through. The membrane was placed on Whatman 3 MM paper and air-dried for 15 min. The DNA was then linked to the membrane by optimal crosslinking (1200 × 10⁰ pJ) in a XL-1000 UV crosslinker according to the manufacturer (Spectronics corporation). Alternatively, the lysis protocol according to Amersham, of placing the membranes directly in the solutions on Saran Wrap™, was also used. The membranes were stored at +4°C until analysis.

The following hybridization conditions were used: The membranes were prehybridized in a solution consisting of 10× Denhardt (50× Denhardt: 1% Ficoll, 1% Polyvinyl pyrrolidone, 1% BSA), 4× sodium sodium citrate (SSC) (20× SSC: 3 M NaCl, 0.3 M Na-citrate), 0.1% SDS, 2 mM EDTA and 100 µg ml⁻¹ Salmon Sperm DNA for at least 2 h at 69°C using a hybridization incubator (Robbins Scientific). 2 µg of the probe was denatured in boiling water for 10 min and added to the hybridization solution. The membranes, community DNA samples and standard for each isolate were hybridized in the same hybridization flask overnight at 69°C. The membranes were washed for 2 × 30 min in 2× SSC + 0.5% SDS at the hybridization temperature and 2 × 5 min in 0.1 × SSC at room temperature. The membranes were wrapped in saran wrap and exposed on a Phosphor-Imager (Molecular Dynamics) for detection of hybridization signal. The relationship between hybridization signal and number of bacteria was obtained from the slope of the standard curve for each isolate.

Cross hybridization between isolates was determined by hybridization of extracted DNA. Blotting of the DNA was carried out in accordance with the membrane manufacturer (Ammersham). The DNA extracts were diluted in 10× SSC and denatured at 95°C for 5 min. They were then transferred to ice and thereafter filtered onto hybridization membranes (Hybond-N, Amersham) using a slot-blot apparatus (GIBCO BRL), after which the DNA was denatured, neutralized, and linked to the membrane as described above for sample preparation. Hybridization was performed as described above.

Continuous culture. The continuous culture experimental system consisted of 2 parallel culture vessels, each containing 1 l, that were separately fed from the medium (representing true replicates). All materials used for the preparation of the continuous cultures were soaked in 1 M HCl and then extensively rinsed with ultra pure water (Millipore Milli-Q). The flow rate was set to achieve a doubling time of 64 h. As medium in the continuous cultures 80 l of seawater was collected from 4 m depth at Stn NB1 in December 1995. The seawater was prefiltered through a GF/F filter.
to reduce clogging and was then 0.2 μm filtered at 100 mm Hg and transferred to 20 l polycarbonate bottles. The culture vessels were inoculated with a predator-free inoculum prepared by gravity filtration 3 times through 0.6 μm polycarbonate filters (MSI). The culture was inoculated to a final abundance of $2.0 \times 10^5$ cells ml$^{-1}$; the bacteria were allowed to grow to the initiation of stationary phase (approximately $2.5 \times 10^6$ cells ml$^{-1}$) in the culture vessels before the flow was turned on. The continuous cultures were kept at 15°C in the dark, representing ambient summer temperatures at Stn NB1. The cultures were run for 3 mo and sampling was made after 3 wk, when numbers had stabilized, and at the end of the 3 mo. Samples were collected from the outflow to avoid unintended perturbation of the cultures. On one occasion samples were collected directly from the vessels, yielding the same numbers.

RESULTS

The abundance of a number of numerically important bacterial species (Table 1) was monitored at a coastal marine station, from the initiation of the productive season until the onset of winter (6 April to 14 November). Tentatively, 2 bacterial communities could be assigned: a spring and a summer community were clearly separated in time, while a third group of bacteria formed a background blanket existing throughout the growth season.

Table 1. Numerically dominant bacteria in the northern Baltic Sea (Stn NB1) during 1995. 16S rRNA sequence similarity values based on nucleotide positions 130 to 500 (Escherichia coli numbering) were obtained by comparison to sequences present in GenBank using the fasta command in the GCG-package

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate name</th>
<th>Access no.</th>
<th>Closest relative in GenBank</th>
<th>Similarity to closest relative (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAL3</td>
<td>U63935</td>
<td>Brevundimonas sp.; AJ227801</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL8</td>
<td>AF182029</td>
<td>Sphingomonas sp.; Z23157</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL11</td>
<td>U63939</td>
<td>Rhizomonas suberifaciens; D13737</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL12</td>
<td>U63944</td>
<td>Flexibacter sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL17</td>
<td>U63943</td>
<td>Cytophaga aquatile; M58764</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL18</td>
<td>U63944</td>
<td>Pseudomonas veronii; AF064460</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL22</td>
<td>U63946</td>
<td>Flavobacterium aquatile; M62797</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL23</td>
<td>U63947</td>
<td>Pseudomonas gessardi; AF074384</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL29</td>
<td>U63950</td>
<td>Marine psychrophile; U85981</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL31</td>
<td>U63951</td>
<td>Pseudomonas anguilliseptica; X99541</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL33</td>
<td>AF182030</td>
<td>Sphingomonas yanoikuyae; U37524</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL35</td>
<td>AF182031</td>
<td>Sphingomonas sp.; U63937</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL37</td>
<td>U59533</td>
<td>Alcaligenes sp.; AJ002802</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL41</td>
<td>U59558</td>
<td>$\gamma$-Proteobacteria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL44</td>
<td>U59658</td>
<td>Sphingomonas capsulata; D16147</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL47</td>
<td>U59661</td>
<td>Rhodoferax fermentans; D16211</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spring community

Beginning in April, the temperatures at Stn NB1 increased steadily from 0°C, reaching 12°C in mid-June. Chlorophyll a and primary production values peaked in mid-April, indicating the spring phytoplankton bloom maximum which gradually declined to summer values during May (Fig. 1A). The bacterial species with the most pronounced spring occurrences were *Rhizomonas suberifaciens* (BAL11) and *Cytophaga* sp. (BAL17). *R. suberifaciens* displayed a massive peak at 1.4 $\times 10^5$ cells ml$^{-1}$ on 16 May, concomitant with the phytoplankton bloom decline, while *Cytophaga* sp. was common throughout the spring at an abundance of 8.0 $\times 10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2A). During the rest of the year both species remained in the water column in much lower although significant numbers. Somewhat similar was the dynamics of the novel $\beta$-Proteobacterium BAL47 which increased during spring, but also displayed a second peak in mid-July, accounting for 7% of the NUCC on this occasion. After the mid-summer bloom BAL47 declined again and was only found in low abundance.

The summer community

The summer of 1995 was unusually cold for the area, and the highest temperature recorded in the upper water column was 13°C on 6 September. Due to strong northerly winds upwelling of cold deep water occurred repeatedly (Fig. 1B). This resulted in a significant temperature decrease in the surface on 29 June: from 12°C on the days before down to 5°C. The temperature again reached 12°C on the next sampling occasion (13 July), and thereafter declined to a new minimum on 25 August. Finally, from the end of September temperatures slowly declined, reaching 0°C in December.

After the cold spell on 29 June a pronounced shift in species composition was initiated, and a number of new bacterial species started to increase in abundance. One group of species arrived at levels around $2.0 \times 10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2B). In this group *Sphingomonas* sp. (BAL35) showed a peak on 27 July with a steady decline thereafter. A few weeks later *Pseudomonas anguilliseptica* (BAL31) peaked at $2.5 \times 10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$ followed by *Pseudomonas gessardi* (BAL23) and a Flexibacter sp. (BAL12). A second group of sum-
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Fig. 1. Dynamics in abiotic and biotic parameters in the coastal northern Baltic Sea during 1995. (A) Change in the concentration of chlorophyll a (●) and primary production (●). (B) Dynamics in temperature (●) and bacterial production (●). (C) Concentrations of phosphate (●) and ammonium (●).

summer species reached 3 times higher densities and was dominated by a species (BAL8) related to the genus *Sphingomonas*, reaching the highest abundance at $1.6 \times 10^5$ cells ml$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2C). BAL8 was accompanied by *Sphingomonas yanoikuyae* (BAL33), a *Brevundimonas* species (BAL3) and the γ-Proteobacterium BAL41. These 'summer species' occurred during the period of stratification (mid-July to late August) when the PO$_4$ concentration remained below 0.05 μM. No discernible annual trend was found in the NH$_4$ concentration (Fig. 1C). The highest bacterial production and a high primary production were recorded towards the end of summer (6 September).

**Bacteria with a wide temporal distribution**

The 2 γ-Proteobacteria *Alcaligenes* sp. (BAL37) and *Pseudomonas veronii* (BAL18), were quite abundant during the whole growth season (Fig. 2D). They thus appear to represent bacteria with a generalist strategy to growth and survival. A similar pattern was seen for the novel Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides isolate (BAL22), and *Sphingomonas* sp. (BAL44), which showed higher abundance in spring as well as in late summer. Another interesting distribution was shown by the novel Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides isolate (BAL29), which showed 3 peaks during the year—each occurring when the bacterial activity was high (i.e. 16 May, 13 July, 6 September).

**Bacterial production and numbers**

During 1995 the bacterial total counts (TC) ranged from $1.1$ to $2.2 \times 10^6$ cells ml$^{-1}$, and NUCC ranged from
Table 2. Measurements of bacterial total counts (TC) and the number of nucleoid-containing cells (NUCC) in the northern Baltic Sea. Also presented is the proportion of the total density of the different isolates of the total counts and number of nucleoid-containing cells during the year. Mean ± standard deviation is given, n = 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Cell count (10^6 ml^-1)</th>
<th>Species density related to TC (%)</th>
<th>TC (%)</th>
<th>NUCC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Apr</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.01 (35)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Apr</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.02 (51)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 May</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.01 (46)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Jun</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.01 (62)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Jul</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.32</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.02 (79)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Jul</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.02 (56)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Aug</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.02 (57)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Sep</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.02 (32)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Sep</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.01 (28)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Oct</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.02 (25)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0.4 to 1.0 × 10^6 cells ml^-1. On an annual basis the proportion of NUCC accounted for 25 to 79% of the TC, with the highest proportions during summer (Table 2). The CFU were usually below 0.8 × 10^4 ml^-1, although a peak in CFU (1.8 × 10^4 ml^-1) occurred during the phytoplankton spring bloom decline. Minimum values in bacterial production during the growth season coincided with minimum values in temperature (Fig. 1B). The highest production value was recorded on 6 September, concomitant with the highest surface seawater temperature.

There was a positive correlation between water temperature and bacterial production over the year (p < 0.05, R^2 = 0.73, n = 19). However, during the summer months the correlation differed from the rest of the year. In this period bacterial production in relation to temperature was lower than during both spring and autumn (Fig. 3A). Using the specific growth rates by relating the bacterial production to total counts did not change the observed pattern. To further investigate if the relationship between bacterial production and temperature differed between summer and non-summer seasons we compiled data on these parameters from 5 consecutive years — again, a significant correlation between bacterial production and temperature during non-summer seasons was found (p < 0.05, R^2 = 0.51, n = 49; Fig. 3B). However, during summer there was no significant relationship between bacterial production and temperature.

**Stratified conditions mimicked in continuous culture**

The summer community developed during the period of stratification when a situation approaching steady state can be anticipated. In an attempt to mimic this situation we used a continuous culture system to observe the bacterial community composition. Data from the culture are shown in Fig. 4A-B. In this case the TC showed good agreement with the NUCC, CFU, and the total density of the different bacteria. Since no phage particles could be detected by transmission electron microscopy for the duration of the experiment (3 mo) the culture was assumed to be free from phages, although phages were not excluded from the initial inoculum. The lack of active lytic phage infection in

![Fig. 3](image-url)

Fig. 3. Comparison of the correlation between bacterial growth and temperature in the northern Baltic Sea during summer (○) and non-summer (●) seasons. (A) Bacterial production versus temperature during 1995. (B) Bacterial production versus temperature for the period 1992 to 1996; during non-summer seasons a significant correlation was found (p < 0.01, R^2 = 0.51), whereas the data for summer seasons were too scattered to yield any correlation.
the culture vessels possibly accounts for the agreement
between TC and CFU, supporting the suggestion that
phage infection can contribute to the low plating effi-
ciency of marine bacteria (Rehnstam et al. 1993). How-
ever, analogous to the situation in situ a moderate
number of dominant species was recorded by the hy-
bridization protocol (Fig. 4B). This was in accordance
with the observation that 11 species regularly occurred
on the CFU plates with a 20,000× dilution, indicating
their abundance to be higher than $10^4$ cells ml$^{-1}$. The
hybridization protocol demonstrated that 6 of these
species accounted for 87% of the bacterial numbers
in the continuous culture. The dominant species were
Caulobacter paradoxus, Variovorax paradoxus, Sphing-
omonas yanoikuyae, Hyphomonas sp. and the un-
characterized isolates PN22, and PN23, with abun-
dances ranging from 1.1 to $4.0 \times 10^5$ cells ml$^{-1}$. During
the summer situation at Stn NB1, 9 different bacteria
were numerically dominant and accounted for 84% of
the NUCC (Fig. 4D). On this sampling occasion there
was a good agreement between the total density of the
hybridized bacteria and the number of NUCC, both
amounting to half of the total counts (Fig. 4C). At the
same time the number of CFU remained at very low
levels. The dominant bacteria were a novel Cytophaga
isolate (BAL29), Cytophaga sp. (BAL17), Sphingomo-
as yanoikuyae (BAL33), Rhizomonas suberifaciens
(BAL11), Sphingomonas sp. (BAL8), Brevundimonas
sp. (BAL3), Pseudomonas veronii (BAL18), Alcaligenes
sp. (BAL37), and BAL41.

DISCUSSION

Succession among the bacterioplankton

The pronounced population dynamics found in this
annual study resulted in alternate bacterial communi-
ties during different seasons. The initial spring com-
munity was challenged after the upwelling in late
June, when a number of new species proliferated to
form a summer community (Fig. 2). In addition to the
bacteria that showed pronounced changes in density
we also observed a group of bacteria that formed a
background blanket with low numbers throughout the sampling period. The question whether this reflects unfavorable growth conditions for these bacteria during the year, or if they represent species with specialized niches that only allow low densities, remains an interesting issue. Since we did not expect further development of the species composition during late autumn and winter, few samples were collected at this time. This may have been a mistake since autumn and winter peaks have been reported for Archaea and members of the genus Alcaligenes in a high mountain lake (Pernthaler et al. 1998), as well as for Bacteria and Archaea in Antarctic waters (Murray et al. 1998). The bacterial production in October and November was certainly high enough to accommodate a significant turnover of the bacterial community (Fig. 1B).

**The spring community**

During spring 5 different bacteria within the Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacteroides phylum together accounted for up to 43\% of the NUC (around 20\% of the total counts), making them the single most important bacterial group at this time of year. The enzymatic versatility of bacteria in this phylum is well known, and has been suggested as an explanation to their importance in particle turnover (DeLong et al. 1993). In a recent mesocosm experiment, where protein was amended to simulate a phytoplankton bloom decay, increased enzymatic activities were found as Cytophaga relatives became dominant (Pinhassi et al. 1999). The bacteria affiliated with the Cytophaga species were contrasted by the presence of a few specialized species. A high density of Rhizomonas suberifacies was found during spring, although this species is characterized by its adaptation to oligotrophic growth conditions (van Bruggen et al. 1988). This bacterium is closely related to bacteria in the genus Sphingomonas based on its physiological and phylogenetic characteristics (see discussion of this genus below) (Takeuchi et al. 1994). The apparent contradiction of co-occurrence of bacteria with opposite growth strategies may be the result of mixing of the water column during spring. Weinbauer & Höfe (1998) have demonstrated the coexistence of different bacterial species in Lake Plön. In this lake generalist bacteria were found in layers with high turnover, while more specialized species occupied parts of the more nutrient-depleted surface layer (Weinbauer & Höfe 1998). Although only distantly related to Rhodoferax fermentans (92.3\% similarity), it is interesting to speculate on the abilities of the novel isolate BAL47 (β-Proteobacteria). R. fermentans is a facultative anaerobic photoorganotroph, able to grow by either photosynthesis, aerobic respiration, or anaerobic-dark fermentation with doubling times around 3.5 h at 20 to 30°C. Growth occurs in freshwater but is inhibited by more than 10\% NaCl (Hiraishi et al. 1991), and populations of this species have also been found to obtain a significant density in a high mountain lake (Pernthaler et al. 1998). The ability for R. fermentans to fix N$_2$ has been suggested (Hiraishi et al. 1991). Another bacterium found in our study with an ability to grow under N$_2$-fixing conditions was a member of the genus Alcaligenes (Malik & Schlegel 1981). The capacity for nitrogen fixation of these bacteria—possibly favored by anaerobic microzones associated with abundant particles—may have important implications for our understanding of the nitrogen cycling in the Baltic.

**The summer community**

A conspicuous feature of the bacterial community in summer was the contribution of members of the α-Proteobacteria. α-Proteobacteria are important members of bacterioplankton in open oceans and coastal environments (Giovannoni et al. 1990, Fuhrman et al. 1993, Field et al. 1997, González & Moran 1997). Notably the readily cultivable members of the α-3 subclass of the Proteobacteria (including the Roseobacter) have a salt requirement for growth, and decline in abundance with decreasing salinity, avoiding low-saline areas (González & Moran 1997). In the present study several Sphingomonas and a Caulobacter species were numerically dominant during summer. Interestingly, the continuous culture showed a similar dominance of these α-Proteobacteria although, as in situ, other taxa were also present. In the culture the seawater media were supplied at a mean residence time of 64 h. This low supply rate did create oligotrophic conditions, mimicking the stratified conditions during summer. The genus Sphingomonas was first proposed in 1990, and it was stated that bacteria in this genus are probably widely distributed in natural and artificial environments (Yabuuchi et al. 1990). Phylogenetically these bacteria have been shown to be nonphotosynthetic members of the α-4 subclass of the Proteobacteria (Takeuchi et al. 1994). The well-characterized environmental Sphingomonas sp. strain RB2256 isolated in Resurrection Bay, Alaska, harbors a high-affinity uptake system and an ability to simultaneously take up mixed substrates (Schut et al. 1993). Also, this Sphingomonas isolate shows low growth rates possibly related to a limited content of ribosomes (Fegatella et al. 1998). Adaptation to substrate and nutrient-deprived conditions also characterize Caulobacter species (Poindexter 1992). Thus, the oligotrophic characteristics of the genera Sphingomonas and Caulobacter...
are compatible with their dominance during stratified summer conditions, when nutrient concentration is low (PO₄ limits growth in the northern Baltic Sea). We thus suggest that the significance of Sphingomonas (α-4 Proteobacteria) may be a hallmark for the bacterial summer community in the Baltic Sea as well as in other brackish water estuaries.

**Temperature and nutrient conditions affecting the bacterial community**

A strong positive correlation between bacterial production and temperature is regularly observed under natural conditions (Autio 1992, Shiah & Ducklow 1994a,b, 1997, Kirchman & Rich 1997). In the Chesapeake Bay the bacterial production was limited by temperature during non-summer seasons (<20°C), while substrate supply became increasingly important during summer (Shiah & Ducklow 1994a,b). In agreement with these authors, a significant correlation between bacterial production and temperature during non-summer seasons was found in this study when data for 5 consecutive years were compiled (Fig. 3B). The lack of a simple relationship between temperature and bacterial production during summer (Fig. 3B) is in line with previous results showing that bacterial growth in the northern Baltic Sea is primarily PO₄-limited during summer (Zweifel et al. 1995). Species succession in the bacterioplankton as a response to changing temperatures has been suggested previously (Autio 1992, Shiah & Ducklow 1994a). Sieburth (1967) observed agreement between ambient temperatures and the temperature optima of isolated bacteria and inferred a seasonal succession of the bacteria. The same conclusion has been reached based on the optimum growth temperatures of the entire bacterioplankton community (Joint & Pomroy 1987, Simon & Wünsch 1998).

When the bacterial production and temperature data for 1995 were scrutinized, it appeared that the modified relationship between bacterial production and temperature during summer coincided with changes in the species composition of the bacterial community. This suggests that there were not only direct effects of temperature and nutrient concentrations on the growth of the species present, but also indirect effects through changes in the phylogenetic make up of the bacterial assemblage.

**Moderate diversity in marine bacterioplankton**

The term diversity of an assemblage is usually broken down into the number of species in it (species richness), and the distribution of the number of individuals amongst the species (evenness or equitability) (Walker 1989). In the present study the bacterial community on each sampling occasion was typically characterized by not more than 10 species that accounted for between 5 and 50% of the total counts, suggesting a low or moderate evenness. An obvious concern with DNA-DNA hybridization results is how to relate the species density to the fraction of the bacterial cells that can be assumed to contain DNA, i.e. intact cells. At present no consensus exists on how to estimate the number of active and/or intact cells in aquatic environments. Lately, Gasol et al. (1999) have demonstrated a good agreement between the number of NUCC, with bacteria showing apparent high DNA content and ‘live’ bacteria determined by the Live/Dead BacLight viability kit (Gasol et al. 1999). In the present study we used the NUCC as a measure of intact cells. Based on these numbers we can conclude that the dominant species accounted for between 50 and 80% of the bacterial community, which further accentuates the perception of a bacterial community with a moderate diversity. This result agrees with the fact that a low or moderate bacterial diversity appears to be a recurrent finding in the aquatic environment (Table 3). It is also worth noting that a significant proportion of 16S rDNA sequences recovered by direct cloning from marine pelagic community DNA belong to a limited number of clusters (e.g. SAR11 and SAR86), which further corroborates our results (see Giovannoni et al. 1996). Having said this, we realize that the final word on the number of species found in these clusters has not been printed yet. However, it makes a great difference if we deal with a community dominated by few species, or a highly diverse community with hundreds of equally abundant bacteria. Only in a situation with a low number of dominant species is it feasible to resolve the growth pattern of different bacterial populations in relation to regulating factors.

The isolated bacteria showed a large phylogenetic diversity with members from the α-, β-, and γ-subclasses of the Proteobacteria as well as members of the Flexibacter-Cytophaga-Bacterioides phylum. A majority of these bacteria showed low 16S rRNA gene sequence homology (0.84 to 0.95) to previously identified bacteria (Pinhassi et al. 1997). In the Baltic Sea several species of the genera Pseudomonas, Cytophaga, Caulobacter, and Alcaligenes are regularly isolated—all of which tend to form conspicuous colonies on agar plates. Genera lacking in our collection of isolates from the northern Baltic Sea are Vibrio, Alteromonas and Pseudoalteromonas, presumably due to their salt requirement for growth (Hagström et al. 2000, in this issue). Höfle & Brettar (1996) have examined the taxonomic diversity among bacteria able to form colonies on solid media in the central Baltic Sea. A majority of the isolates (72%) could be
assigned to *Shewanella putrefaciens* and a *Pseudomonas* species, while the remaining fraction was represented by a number of different taxa. In addition to the genera mentioned above we found a notably large number of α-Proteobacteria. We have found no previous reports on *Sphingomonas*, *Rhodobacter*, and *Rhizomonas* species in the Baltic Sea. This is likely due to their very inconspicuous colony morphology on agar plates, which can easily be over-looked or over-grown. It is important to stress that a moderate diversity in the acting bacterioplankton community does not make it unlikely to find a vast number of different bacterial species (i.e. high species richness) when sample size, sampling location and time is varied.

**Viral infection and species diversity**

In this study it was evident that single bacterial species persisted at high abundance for long periods—up to several weeks. Such temporal persistence has also been reported elsewhere (Rehnstam et al. 1993, Vergin & Giovannoni 1998). However, in view of the high number of phages in seawater the maintenance of a high abundance of potential hosts is surprising. Phage infection is dependent on the frequency of collision between phages and hosts, and threshold for host abundances of between $10^2$ and $10^4$ ml$^{-1}$ for successful phage replication to take place has been demonstrated in pure cultures (Wiggins & Alexander 1985, Kokjohn et al. 1991). Wilcox & Fuhrman (1994) extrapolated from seawater cultures, that a total number of bacterial cells above $3 \times 10^5$ ml$^{-1}$ would be required for successful lytic infection. They also highlighted that the higher the bacterial diversity in the sample, the lower the abundance of each potential host would be, ultimately hindering phage propagation (Wilcox & Fuhrman 1994). In our study, as in other studies of bacterial distribution, a low number of species frequently made up a majority of the bacterial numbers (Table 3). Based on this information the existence of propagating phages is likely and would indicate a continuous onslaught on bacterial cells. The long periods of dominance of single bacterial species would thus require either that there is a constant evolution of resistance among the hosts, and/or that the phages have a low infection rate. Thingstad & Lignell (1997) argued that based on a ‘killing the winner’ concept much of the control of bacterial diversity should be dependent on phage infection. This may however be too simple an explanation since in our continuous culture experiment several bacterial species were maintained in the culture vessels, in spite of a lack of phages as indicated by electron microscopy. A lack of phage replication in seawater cultures was described by Wilcox & Fuhrman (1994), when small inocula were used. In these cultures small initial numbers of bacteria caused an inactivation of the phages before any bacteria reached an abundance high enough for successful phage propagation to be initiated (Wilcox & Fuhrman 1994). Control of bacterioplankton diversity could also be assumed based on a recent model on competitive exclusion (Siegel 1998). This work mainly addressed the issue of how phytoplankton diversity can be maintained in a seemingly homogeneous environment, but also included data valid for bacteria. It was demonstrated that the rates of direct competition decrease with decreasing cellular abundance and size. For cells in the bacterial range of abundance $<10^7$ ml$^{-1}$ and size $<2$ μm the rate of competitive displacement should be low, allowing coexistence of multiple bacterial species on a single limiting nutrient (Siegel 1998).

### Table 3. Number of numerically dominant bacteria in different aquatic environments and soil, determined by different techniques. Levels of diversity obtained by similar methods in soil are shown for comparison. DGGE: Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis, LMW RNA: low molecular weight RNA (5S rRNA and tRNA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>Water volume</th>
<th>No. of dominant species</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scripps Pier</td>
<td>Coastal, surface</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>Oligonucleotide hybridization</td>
<td>Rehnstam et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antarctic</td>
<td>Coastal, offshore</td>
<td>5 (out of total 31)</td>
<td>DGGE</td>
<td>Murray et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Sea</td>
<td>Offshore, all depths</td>
<td>3-7</td>
<td>LMW RNA electrophoresis</td>
<td>Hoffle &amp; Brettar (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scripps Pier</td>
<td>Coastal</td>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>Whole-genome DNA hybridization</td>
<td>Pinhassi et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Sea</td>
<td>Coastal</td>
<td>8-14</td>
<td>Whole-genome DNA hybridization</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabian Sea</td>
<td>Coastal, offshore</td>
<td>15 amplicons</td>
<td>DGGE</td>
<td>Riemann et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Meromictic lake</td>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>DGGE</td>
<td>Øvreås et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical</td>
<td>Aquatic environments</td>
<td>≤50 species</td>
<td>Prediction based on virus</td>
<td>Thingstad &amp; Lignell (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prediction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Lake water</td>
<td>165 different genomes</td>
<td>DNA reannealing</td>
<td>Ritz et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Pasture soil</td>
<td>&gt;100 (bands covering the whole gradient)</td>
<td>DGGE</td>
<td>Øvreås et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Pasture soil</td>
<td>1000 different genomes</td>
<td>DNA reannealing</td>
<td>Ritz et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Forest soil</td>
<td>4000 different genomes</td>
<td>DNA reannealing</td>
<td>Torsvik et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture soil</td>
<td>1000 different genomes</td>
<td>DNA reannealing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this paper we have demonstrated a pronounced seasonal succession in marine bacterioplankton. Most likely changes in, e.g., temperature, PO₄ concentration and chlorophyll a favored the growth of phylogenetically different bacteria at different times of the year. This suggests the possibility to determine how variability in physiological capacities results in niche differentiation, ultimately leading to a further understanding of forces structuring bacterial diversity in marine bacterioplankton.

Acknowledgements. We thank Johanna Hemphala and Åsa Rudehäll for excellent technical assistance, and the staff at Uméa Marine Science Center for great assistance with sampling. The valuable contributions by 2 anonymous reviewers are gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported by the Swedish Natural Science Council (NFR), grant BU 04452-321 to Å.H.

LITERATURE CITED


Shiah FK, Ducklow HW (1994a) Temperature and substrate regulation of bacterial abundance, production, and specific growth rate in Chesapeake Bay, USA. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 103:297–308


Submitted: September 7, 1999. Accepted: February 29, 2000

Proofs received from authors: April 11, 2000

Editorial responsibility: Tom Fenchel, Helsingør, Denmark