**Seasonal dynamics of picoplankton in shelf waters of the southern Bay of Biscay**

Alejandra Calvo-Díaz*, Xosé Anxelu G. Morán

Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO), Centro Oceanográfico de Xixón, Camín de L’Arbeyal, s/n, 33212 Xixón, Spain

ABSTRACT: Seasonal variations in autotrophic and heterotrophic picoplankton community structure were studied by flow cytometry at 3 shelf stations in the central Cantabrian Sea (southern Bay of Biscay), from April 2002 to April 2003. A marked seasonality was found for autotrophs, with cyanobacteria (*Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus*) being more important in nutrient-depleted waters (June to October, 75 ± 3% of picophytoplanktonic cells) whereas picoeukaryotes clearly dominated in terms of biomass during the rest of the year (82 ± 2%). The absence of *Prochlorococcus* in spring and summer seems to be related to hydrology rather than to nutrient conditions. Under stratification the maximum abundance of cyanobacteria was found above the nutricline, in contrast to picoeukaryotes. Autotrophic groups cell size was strongly influenced by nutrient conditions while chlorophyll *a* fluorescence varied in parallel to irradiance. Heterotrophic bacteria were less variable than picophytoplankton and high nucleic acid content (HNA) cells dominated the community in winter and spring (64 ± 1%) but only at depth during the rest of the year. A similar response of low nucleic acid content (LNA) bacteria to environmental conditions suggests they are, at least partially, metabolically active, in contrast to the commonly held view that they are a homogeneous group of dead or dormant cells. A larger mean size of LNA compared with HNA bacteria was consistently observed in winter and spring. Regardless of variations in abundance and group composition, the great constancy of the picoplanktonic contribution to integrated algal biomass (17 ± 2%) over the seasonal cycle outlines the importance of picophytoplankton also in coastal zones.
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INTRODUCTION

Our view of the structure and function of planktonic ecosystems has changed since the discovery of the marine cyanobacteria *Synechococcus* spp. (Waterbury et al. 1979) and *Prochlorococcus* spp. (Chisholm et al. 1988). Together with a great diversity of autotrophic eukaryotes and virtually all heterotrophic prokaryotes, these 3 ecologically different groups comprise the smallest size-class of plankton or picoplankton (cells <2 µm).

The importance of picoplankton in vast areas of the open ocean, where it dominates phytoplankton standing stocks and, to a lesser extent, production, has been extensively demonstrated (Li et al. 1983, Zubkov et al. 1998, 2000, Fernández et al. 2003). On an annual basis, it has been found that cyanobacteria dominate picophytoplankton abundance in the Atlantic Ocean (Sargasso Sea: DuRand et al. 2001) and in several Pacific Ocean locations (subtropical: Campbell et al. 1997, western subarctic: Liu et al. 2002, coastal California waters: Worden et al. 2004), while picoeukaryotes were the major contributors in terms of biomass (Worden et al. 2004). However, relatively little is known on the significance of picoplankton in shelf waters, where picoplankton is generally subjected to a higher environmental variability than in offshore waters. Previous studies have shown the importance of local patterns, such as oceanic currents and frontal areas (Miyazono et al. 1992, Jiao et al. 2002), as well as an overall lower relative importance of picoplankton compared with open waters (Partensky et al. 1999, Li & Harrison 2001). Along the European
shelves, the structure of the picoplanktonic community has been studied in detail in semi-enclosed seas such as the Baltic (Jochem 1988, Kuosa 1991) and the Mediterranean (Agawin et al. 1998), but not in open regions affected by the macroscale circulation patterns of the North East Atlantic. To the best of our knowledge only El Hag & Fogg (1986), Joint et al. (1986) and Rodríguez et al. (2000) have provided data on the autotrophic component of the Irish Sea, the Celtic Sea and the English Channel, respectively.

The Bay of Biscay is a typical temperate ecosystem, characterized by an annual maximum of phytoplanktonic biomass and production in late winter/spring and minimum values in summer. The spring to summer transition in the Cantabrian Sea in its southern limit is associated with a shift from downwelling to upwelling conditions (Fernández & Bode 1991). The general circulation is anticyclonic (Pingree & Le Cann 1990) but hydrodynamics along the northern and western Iberian peninsula are also affected by the presence of subtropical and saline waters transported by the Iberian poleward current (IPC, Peliz et al. 2003) in winter and early spring. An extensive survey carried out in this region at the onset of the spring bloom showed a marked coastal-offshore gradient in the abundance and size of picoplanktonic groups and an apparent confinement of Prochlorococcus to the high salinity core of the IPC (Calvo-Díaz et al. 2004). Temporal variability of heterotrophic bacteria in the region has been studied by Unanue et al. (1992) and Barquero et al. (1998) during short periods, and throughout an annual cycle by Valencia et al. (2003), who found 2 annual maxima of abundance, in spring and summer, with bacterial biomass always lower than phytoplankton biomass. Some data on autotrophic picoplankton distribution are included in Ansotegui et al. (2003) but, to our knowledge, the dynamics of the whole picoplanktonic community have not yet been examined over extended periods in the Bay of Biscay.

The present study simultaneously addresses the seasonal cycle of autotrophic and heterotrophic picoplankton in the central Cantabrian Sea, recently suggested as the northern limit of distribution of Prochlorococcus along the European Atlantic coasts (Calvo-Díaz et al. 2004). The aims of our study were (1) to describe the population dynamics of the various picoplanktonic groups and (2) to identify the relevant physico-chemical and biological factors responsible for the observed seasonal and vertical patterns in their distribution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling was carried out from April 2002 to April 2003 at approximately monthly intervals on board RV 'José de Rioja' as part of the IEO (Instituto Español de Oceanografía) time-series project RADIALES. Samples were taken from several depths (at 5 to 10 m intervals for the upper 50 m, plus at 75, 100 and 150 m) between the surface and the bottom of 3 stations (Stn 1: 43.58° N, 5.61° W; Stn 2: 43.67° N, 5.58° W; Stn 3: 43.78° N, 5.55° W) located over the shelf in a transect perpendicular to the coast in the vicinity of Xixón (Asturias, north Spain). Maximum depths were 20 (Stn 1), 100 (Stn 2) and 150 m (Stn 3).

Temperature, salinity and fluorescence data were acquired with a CTD SeaBird 25 equipped with a Sea-Point fluorometer. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) in the water column was measured with a spherical quantum sensor (biospherical QSP-2200) attached to the CTD system. Values at 1 m depth intervals were used to calculate the vertical light attenuation coefficient (k_d), which was in turn used to calculate the depth of the photic layer, defined as the depth receiving 1% of surface irradiance. A stratification index (SI) was calculated as the density (σ) gradient between the surface and 100 m depth. The depth of the upper mixed layer was determined as the depth where the change in density was ≥0.05 kg m⁻³ over a 5 m depth interval.

Water samples were taken from 5 l Niskin bottles in a rosette sampler attached to the CTD. Chlorophyll a concentration (chl a) was obtained after filtration of 100 ml samples through glass fibre filters (Whatman GF/F). Filters were frozen until analysis in the laboratory. Pigments were extracted in 90% acetone for 24 h in the dark at 4°C and chl a was measured with a Perkin Elmer LB-50s spectrofluorometer (Neveux & Panouse 1987) calibrated with pure chl a. Samples for nutrients (NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ and PO₄³⁻) were frozen and their concentrations determined with a Technicon autoanalyzer. The nutricline depth was defined as that where the PO₄³⁻ concentration equalled 1 µmol l⁻¹. A significant correlation was found between it and the depth where PO₄³⁻ concentration equalled 0.1 µmol l⁻¹ (r = 0.68, p < 0.001, n = 26).

Picoplankton samples (1.8 ml) were preserved with 1% paraformaldehyde + 0.05% glutaraldehyde except for November 2002 samples, which were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde. Samples were frozen at −80°C until analysis in the laboratory with a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton & Dickinson) equipped with a laser emitting at 488 nm. A solution of 1 µm fluorescent latex beads (Molecular Probes) was added as an internal standard. All cellular variables were related to fluorescent beads values. For estimating the abundance of the different groups (cells ml⁻¹), calibration of the cytometer flow rate was performed daily (Marie et al. 1999). Aliquots of the same sample were used for the analysis of picophytoplankton (0.6 ml) and hetero-
trophic bacteria (0.4 ml). Samples were analysed at high (mean: 52 µl min⁻¹) and low (mean: 13 µl min⁻¹) flow rates, respectively, until the acquisition of 10,000 events occurred. Prior to analysis, heterotrophic bacteria were stained with 2.5 µM SYTO-13 DNA fluorochrome (Molecular Probes; Troussellier et al. 1999).

Autotrophic cells were separated into 2 groups of cyanobacteria (*Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus*) and 2 groups of picoeukaryotes based in their orange (FL2, 585 nm) and red (FL3, >650 nm) fluorescence and light scatter at 90° (SSC, side scatter) signals. The 2 groups of picoeukaryotes, distinguished according to their relative SSC signals, will be hereinafter referred to as 'small' and 'large' eukaryotes. Two groups of heterotrophic bacteria were distinguished based on their relative green fluorescence (FL1, 530 nm) as a proxy for nucleic acid content, referred to as high nucleic acid (HNA) and low nucleic acid (LNA) bacteria.

In order to estimate biovolume, an empirical calibration between SSC and cell diameter (Fig. 1) was performed with water from the same stations and a nearby coastal site. Care must be taken when converting SSC signals to size values, since variations in SSC without changes in size can be caused by the presence of cellular inclusions (Gasol & del Giorgio 2000) or viral infections (Brussaard et al. 1999). However, SSC was preferred to forward scatter (FSC) because of the better fit of the corresponding Model I linear regression. Mean cell diameters of the different groups were obtained after sequential filtration through polycarbonate filters ranging from 0.2 to 3.0 µm pore-size, as described in detail by Zubkov et al. (1998). A spherical shape was assumed for all groups. Picoplankton biomass was finally calculated by using the following volume-to- carbon conversion factors: 350 fg C µm⁻³ for heterotrophic bacteria (Bjørnsen 1986), 230 fg C µm⁻³ for *Synechococcus*, 240 fg C µm⁻³ for *Prochlorococcus* and 237 fg C µm⁻³ for picoeukaryotes (Worden et al. 2004). Total phytoplankton biomass was estimated from chl a measurements assuming a constant C:chl a ratio of 50 (mg:mg). According to previous work (Barquero et al. 1998) this ratio varies little in the study area.

Data were log-transformed in order to attain normality and homogeneity of variances. Statistical analyses were made with Statistica software (StatSoft). One-way ANOVAs and post-hoc Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) tests were applied to detect differences in picoplankton distribution and cell size along the coastal-offshore gradient represented by the 3 stations sampled. Since all variables were equally subject to measurement errors, Model II regression analysis (Ricker 1973) was performed together with the more common Model I when discussing the significance of equation slopes. Mean values provided were either integrated or averaged for the photic layer at each station, except where otherwise indicated. Depths of maximum abundance were only calculated for Stns 2 and 3. Finally, averages for the continental shelf (see Table 1) were calculated by weighting measurements at each station and their relative distance to the coastline and the shelf-break isobath (200 m).

**RESULTS**

**Hydrography and chlorophyll a**

The study area displayed the typical hydrographic conditions of temperate coastal zones (Mann & Lazier 1991). Overall, a well-mixed water column was found from November through April, whereas marked stratification was detected in the summer months, with a thermocline located at ~20 m depth and up to 7°C temperature difference between the surface and deep waters (Fig. 2). The highest (20.1°C) and lowest (12.0°C) surface temperatures were reached in August and February, respectively. Salinity was less variable although haline stratification due to the presence of low salinity waters at the surface was occasionally observed, especially in winter (Fig. 2). High salinities (>35.7) were observed in depth in April 2002. These high values are characteristic of the IPC which occupied most of the water column during the 3 mo prior to this sampling. Potential density (σt) showed a pattern similar to temperature, with a marked subsurface pycnocline during summer at the outermost stations. Low salinity surface waters in February and March caused a shoaling of the mixed layer in a temperature homogeneous water-column (Fig. 2). The mixed layer extended to the bottom from November to January, and varied between 20 and 50 m depth during the rest of the year (Fig. 2). The station closest to the coast
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Fig. 2. Seasonal distribution of temperature (Temp, °C), salinity (Salin), chlorophyll a (Chl a, µg l⁻¹) and NO₃ concentration (NO₃, pmol l⁻¹) at the 3 studied stations. ( – – –): mixed and photic layer depths in the temperature and chl a plots, respectively. Dots on the abscissa represent sampling dates.
was 7.79 ± 1.04 × 10^{4} \text{ cells ml}^{-1} \text{ during that period}

Mean abundance during that period was 7.79 ± 1.04 × 10^{4} \text{ cells ml}^{-1} \text{ in September (Stn 3, 20 m). Its abundance decreased gradually from the beginning of winter until its complete disappearance in March. Its vertical distribution (Fig. 4) showed maximum abundance at the surface in September and October, but subsurface maxima from November to January (surface vs. weighted photic layer abundances, paired t-test, p = 0.03, n = 8). In contrast, the vertical distribution of Synechococcus was more uniform throughout the year. Synechococcus (mean abundance 1.44 ± 0.13 × 10^{4} \text{ cells ml}^{-1}) showed markedly higher abundances during summer, with a maxima in September of 1.49 × 10^{5} \text{ cells ml}^{-1} (Stn 3, 30 m). In spring, this cyanobacterium dropped to values <10^{2} \text{ cells ml}^{-1}. During stratification (May to September), the maximum abundance of Synechococcus was shallower than the nutricline (paired t-test, p = 0.002, n = 10). Synechococcus consistently outnumbered Prochlorococcus during their co-occurrence, with the exception of November.

Numbers of picoeukaryotes were less variable than those of cyanobacteria. Slightly different seasonal patterns were observed for the 2 groups (small and large) of picoeukaryotes. Small picoeukaryotes dominated in abundance during the whole sampling period and were relatively more homogeneous than the large group (mean 5.50 ± 0.30 × 10^{3} \text{ cells ml}^{-1}). They showed relative peaks in late winter, early spring and summer, with a maximum of 3.88 × 10^{4} \text{ cells ml}^{-1} \text{ in August (Stn 1, 20 m). Large picoeukaryotes (mean 1.30 ± 0.11 × 10^{5} \text{ cells ml}^{-1}) attained maximum values in late summer and early autumn (1.19 × 10^{5} \text{ cells ml}^{-1} \text{ in October, Stn 2, 0 m). Their vertically homogeneous distribution was similar to that of Synechococcus in mixing conditions (Fig. 4) (surface vs. weighted photic layer abundances, paired t-test, p > 0.05, n = 9). However, they reached higher depths than Synechococcus during summer stratification and there was no significant difference between the depth of their abundance maximum and that of the nutricline.

Overall, Synechococcus accounted for 60 ± 4% of total picophytoplanktonic cell numbers and both genera of cyanobacteria dominated this community except from February to May, when picoeukaryotes accounted for 73 ± 5% of autotrophic cells (see Fig. 10D–F). A significant correlation was found between the cyanobacteria-picoeukaryotes abundance ratio at the surface and the index of stratification (r = 0.62, p < 0.001, n = 26), likely derived from the different seasonality observed for both types of cells. This significant correlation, together with the consistent seasonal variation of SI, suggest that this ratio (see Fig. 10D–F, dashed line) could be readily used as an index of stratification in these waters.

Total abundance of heterotrophic bacterioplankton, with an average of 5.01 ± 0.17 × 10^{5} \text{ cells ml}^{-1}, was relatively homogeneous, with lower values in winter although without any clear seasonal pattern (Fig. 3). The highest values were observed in September and October at Stn 1 (1.8 × 10^{6} \text{ cells ml}^{-1} in September, 0 m). Their vertical distribution was rather uniform during most of the year, with a slight decrease in depth in summer (Fig. 4F). Different temporal patterns were found for the 2 groups of heterotrophic bacteria. HNA bacteria accounted for 64 ± 1% of total numbers in winter and spring (Fig. 3). During the rest of the year this group was dominant at depth, with total integrated numbers roughly equally shared between both groups (48 ± 1% HNA bacteria).

Cell size and fluorescence

With all data pooled, the coefficients of variation of cell size were very similar for all autotrophic groups (9 to 10%). Cellular size ranges were 0.53 to 0.96 \mu \text{m for Prochlorococcus, 0.72 to 1.15 \mu \text{m for Synechococcus, 1.00 to 1.68 \mu \text{m}} for small picoeukaryotes and 1.43 to 2.73 \mu \text{m for large picoeukaryotes. However, different seasonal patterns were observed for each group (Fig. 5A–D). Maximum sizes of cyanobacteria and small pico-
Fig. 3. Seasonal variation of the abundance [log_{10} (cells ml^{-1})] of *Synechococcus* (*Syne*), *Prochlorococcus* (*Proc*), small picoeukaryotes (s-pEuk), large picoeukaryotes (l-pEuk), heterotrophic bacteria (Het bact) and the percentage of bacteria with high nucleic acid content (%HNA). Dots on the abscissa represent sampling dates.
eukaryotes occurred in November. The cell size of both cyanobacteria remained high until April, with minimal diameters in summer and a gradual increase till November (Fig. 5A,B). Small picoeukaryotes tended to gradually increase in size from April to November (Fig. 5C). Species succession might underlie the saw-teeth pattern found for large picoeukaryotes (Fig. 5D).

Vertical profiles of picophytoplankton cell size were quite uniform in autumn and winter, with maxima at depth during the rest of the year (Fig. 5A,C). Sizes of cyanobacteria and small picoeukaryotes were significantly smaller above the nutrient zone depth compared to below (t-test, p < 0.001, Fig. 6A–C). However, cell size was not significantly different when the analysis was made relative to the mixed layer depth (data not shown, t-test, p > 0.05).

Heterotrophic bacterioplankton showed no discernable seasonal patterns in cell size. A slightly higher variation of HNA bacteria (range 0.38 to 0.66 µm, CV 7%) compared with LNA bacteria (range 0.33 to 0.50 µm, CV 4%) was observed. HNA bacteria cell size remained high from summer to midwinter, with a decrease in autumn (Fig. 5E) while LNA bacteria were larger in spring and late winter, equalling and even exceeding the size of HNA bacteria, as shown in Fig. 5E and in the vertical profiles of March and April (Fig. 7).

Chl $a$ content per cell, estimated from the relative red fluorescence (FL3), showed temporal and vertical patterns similar to size (Fig. 8A–D), although much more variable, with CV ranging from 38% for large eukaryotes to 68% for *Synechococcus*). Relative FL3 reached maxima in autumn and winter likely as a consequence of photacclimation to overall lower ambient irradiances. All groups showed lower values at the surface than at depth in summer (see the vertical profile of small picoeukaryotes in Fig. 8C). The great increase in cyanobacteria and picoeukaryotes size and chl $a$ content observed in November 2002 (Figs. 5 & 8) could be the result of using glutaraldehyde rather than paraformaldehyde + glutaraldehyde as a fixative, since the former usually resulted in relatively higher SSC signals in our samples (X. A. G. Morán & A. Calvo-Díaz pers. obs.) contrary to other authors (see references in Worden et al. 2004).

Phycerythrin content of *Synechococcus*, estimated as the relative orange fluorescence (FL2), was correlated with relative FL3 ($r = 0.96$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 267$). However, the vertical profiles displayed a high variation (Fig. 8A). From December to February the FL3:FL2 ratio was generally <1 and remained constant throughout the water column, but a significant increase at the surface was found during the rest of the year, suggesting the presence of physiologically differentiated populations in surface and deep waters at that time.
Coastal–offshore variability

Picoeukaryotes were more abundant in the coast than in outer shelf waters (ANOVA, p < 0.05; SNK-test, Stn 1 > Stn 2 = Stn 3; large picoeukaryotes Stn 1 > Stn 2 > Stn 3), unlike Prochlorococcus and small picoeukaryotes, which presented higher sizes at Stn 3 (p > 0.05). Relative FL3 also showed a clear spatial pattern, reaching highest values at the coastalmost stations for all groups (large picoeukaryotes, ANOVA, p < 0.05; SNK-test, Stn 1 = Stn 2 > Stn 2 = Stn 3) except for Prochlorococcus, which showed an opposite, non significant trend.

Fig. 5. Seasonal variation of cell size at the surface of Stn 2. Vertical profiles of Synechococcus and small picoeukaryotes in July and November 2002 are also shown in the corresponding plots. Filled and open symbols in (E) represent LNA (low nucleic acid content) and HNA (high nucleic acid content) bacteria, respectively. Picoplankton group abbreviations as in Fig. 3

Fig. 6. Scatter plots of picophytoplankton cell size vs. depth relative to the nutricline, after Shalapyonok et al. (2001). The vertical dashed line is the mean cell size of each group. Continuous lines represent significant correlations (coefficients also given, ***p < 0.001, n = 177, for Prochlorococcus n = 36). Abbreviations as in Fig. 3
With all data pooled, abundance of autotrophic groups was negatively correlated with nutrient concentrations (PO$_4^-$, $r = -0.31$ to $-0.76$; NO$_3^-$, $r = -0.34$ to $-0.70$; $p < 0.01$, $n = 247$ [105 for Prochlorococcus]). A negative relationship was also found between each group’s cell size and abundance ($r = -0.17$ to $-0.54$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 252$ [104 for Prochlorococcus]). Positive relationships were found between cell size and relative FL3 for all the groups ($r = 0.56$ to $0.79$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 247$ [105 for Prochlorococcus]).

Fig. 9A shows the relationship of total picophytoplankton abundance with temperature with all data pooled ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 273$). This relationship held also for individual groups ($r = 0.42$ to $0.85$, $p < 0.001$). However, for a given station lower temperatures at depth are also coincident with light-limited conditions; hence, this or other vertically covarying factors might underlie the positive response to temperature. A similar explanation could be given for the significant negative correlations ($r = -0.31$ to $-0.43$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 252$) observed between salinity and the abundance of all groups except Prochlorococcus ($r = -0.10$, $p = 0.29$, $n = 105$). A positive relationship was also found between temperature and abundance of heterotrophic bacteria ($r = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 252$), with maximum abundance of both HNA and LNA bacteria occurring at $-15^\circ$C (Fig. 9B).

**Biomass**

Mean annual biomass of picophytoplankton for the photic layer of this area of the southern Bay of Biscay was $5.21 \pm 0.69$ mg C m$^{-3}$. However, the 3 stations dis-
played a clear seasonality (Fig. 10A–C). Highest values were found between late summer and early autumn, with a maximum of 20 mg C m⁻³ in September at Stn 1. Picoeukaryotes were always dominant (mean 3.40 ± 0.33 mg C m⁻³) except in September. They accounted for 82 ± 2% on total biomass from November to May (Fig. 10G–I). The contribution of Prochlorococcus plus Synechococcus (range 0.002 to 11 mg C m⁻³) was 27 ± 3% on average, with minima in early spring (<6%). Cyanobacterial contribution reached higher values from June through October (41 ± 3%) and dominated picoautotrophic biomass in September at the 3 stations and also in June and August at Stn 3 (Fig. 10I).

Table 1 shows the monthly variation of mean photic layer integrated biomass (mg C m⁻²) of all groups in the continental shelf off Xixón. A significant correlation was found between the photic layer integrated biomasses of picophytoplankton and total phytoplankton (Fig. 11, r = 0.65, p < 0.001, n = 39). The slope of the log-log linear regression was not significantly different from 1 (Model I, b = 0.98, t-test, p > 0.05; Model II, b = 1.52, 95% confidence limits: 1.18 to 1.95), thus indicating that the relative contribution of picophytoplankton was relatively constant during the studied period. On an annual basis, picophytoplankton contribution to total phytoplankton averaged 17 ± 2%. Maximum values of this percentage (~30%) were found in summer, and it decreased with increasing nutrient concentrations (NO₃⁻ r = –0.43, p < 0.001, n = 259; PO₄³⁻ r = –0.39, p < 0.001, n = 260).

Heterotrophic bacteria accounted for a noticeable fraction of total picoplanktonic biomass (63 ± 3% on average), being lower than that of picoautotrophic biomass only in September (Table 1). However, total autotrophic biomass estimated from chl a measurements exceeded the biomass of heterotrophic bacteria on most occasions (data not shown). Heterotrophic bacteria only exceeded phytoplankton biomass in August in surface waters and sporadically at some depths in other months, especially September and March.

The biomass of all groups was significantly correlated with chl a, and the correlation coefficients tended to increase with the average size of the group: 0.25 for Prochlorococcus (p = 0.01, n = 104), 0.21 for Synechococcus (p = 0.001, n = 266), 0.46 for small picoeukaryotes (p < 0.001, n = 268) and 0.54 for large picoeukaryotes (p < 0.001, n = 268). Although causal connections are not necessarily derived from correlation analysis, along with previous observations (Calvo-Díaz

Table 1. Monthly photic layer integrated biomass (mg C m⁻²) of the different groups averaged for the continental shelf. *Calculated only for the months with presence of Prochlorococcus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Syne</th>
<th>Proc</th>
<th>S-pEuk</th>
<th>L-pEuk</th>
<th>Het bact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>512.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>287.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>496.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>525.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>138.7</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>627.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>276.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>152.2</td>
<td>257.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>172.7</td>
<td>608.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>115.7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>505.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>256.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>260.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>278.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>587.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>341.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>11.4*</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>426.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(±SE)</td>
<td>(±20.6)</td>
<td>(±3.8)</td>
<td>(±8.2)</td>
<td>(±13.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. Scatter plots of (A) total abundance of picophytoplankton and (B) heterotrophic bacteria vs. temperature for all data pooled. Open symbols represent surface samples.
Fig. 10. Seasonal variation of photic layer averaged total abundance (cells ml$^{-1}$, ●) and biomass (mg C m$^{-3}$, bars) of (A–C) picophytoplankton and the relative contribution of the 4 groups to (D–F) total abundance and (G–I) biomass. (---, D–F): cyanobacteria:picoeukaryotes ratio of abundance.
The 2 genera of cyanobacteria showed very similar seasonal and vertical patterns during their co-occurrence, in contrast with the results found by Campbell et al. (1997) in the Pacific and DuRand et al. (2001) in the Atlantic. During the period of nutrient limitation in surface waters (from May to October), Synechococcus was always more abundant than Prochlorococcus; as typically found in meso- and eutrophic waters (Partensky et al. 1999). Abundances of the latter were 1 to 2 orders of magnitude lower than those typical in subtropical gyres, but similar to those found by Tarran et al. (2001) in NE Atlantic waters.

As in other coastal zones (Shimada et al. 1995, Worden et al. 2004) Prochlorococcus was absent from late winter to mid-summer. We cannot conclude yet whether the presence of Prochlorococcus in these waters is due to active growth or a result of water masses advection. Although its disappearance has been related to cold conditions (Buck et al. 1996, Partensky et al. 1999), its association with the IPC in the western Cantabrian Sea (Calvo-Díaz et al. 2004) and its absence in coastal waters further north, despite its observation at considerably higher latitudes in the open ocean (Buck et al. 1996), suggest physical controls rather than temperature. The persistently higher abundance of Prochlorococcus in the outer shelf, its shallower distribution compared with Synechococcus when it first appeared (Fig. 3) and its rapid decrease coincident with the period of stronger slope currents in the Bay of Biscay (Pingree & Le Cann 1990, Koutsikopoulos & Le Cann 1996), altogether suggest a relationship with regional hydrographic features not fully understood. The seasonality of Prochlorococcus seems to be rather constant in these waters, since it reappeared from August 2003 to February 2004, from September 2004 to January 2005, and again in September 2005 (L. Á. Suárez et al. pers. comm.). However, further studies are needed to determine why Prochlorococcus but not Synechococcus disappeared completely for such an extended period (Fig. 3).

The overall abundance of picoeukaryotes was similar to that found in other studies (Buck et al. 1996, Tarran et al. 2001) and less variable than that of cyanobacteria, in agreement with reports from other zones (Liu et al. 2002). The 2 groups of picoeukaryotes showed a different seasonality (Fig. 3), and although they were likely far from being taxonomically homogeneous, these seasonal changes in the relative importance of each group suggest that they compete for the same similar levels of light and nutrients, since their summed biomass was relatively constant throughout the year (2.6 ± 0.1 mg C m⁻³).

Temporal dynamics of bacteria in temperate waters are usually quite predictable, with maxima in summer
and minima in winter (Li 1998). Photosynthetic cyanobacteria followed this pattern as stated above, in contrast to heterotrophic bacteria (Fig. 3). Heterotrophic bacterioplankton abundance was relatively homogeneous with several weak maxima throughout the year, including one in summer as also reported by Valencia et al. (2003) in the NW Cantabrian Sea. The maximal values reported for the N and NW Iberian shelf (Barquero et al. 1998, Barbosa et al. 2001, Valencia et al. 2003, Calvo-Díaz et al. 2004). We also found a positive relationship of bacterial abundance and temperature in the 10 to 20°C range as reported by Unanue et al. (1992) for a nearby region, although this relationship was virtually lost above 15°C (Fig. 9B), similarly to the macroecological analysis of Li et al. (2004). The same trend was found for the 2 groups of heterotrophic bacteria (data not shown). Contrary to their frequent labelling as dormant, weakly active or even dead cells (Lebaron et al. 2002), LNA bacteria would thus seem to respond to environmental changes in a similar fashion to HNA, as discussed in depth below.

Bacterial standing stock and activity are strongly affected by temperature (Li 1998), but also by the flux of dissolved organic matter (DOM) and predation. Li (1998) constrained the bottom-up hypothesis (i.e. regulation by substrate) to those regions with a mean annual temperature >14°C. In our study area the mean temperature was 15.2°C. Although no data on DOM fluxes are available, a significant correlation between bacterial biomass and phytoplankton biomass as a proxy of primary production could be expected if bacteria were strongly dependent on recent photosynthesis. The low values of the correlation coefficients found (0.36 for LNA and 0.34 for HNA) suggest either the existence of DOM sources other than phytoplankton-derived, as suggested for the NW Iberian shelf by Morán et al. (2002), or temporal uncoupling between both compartments, as observed by Barbosa et al. (2001).

**Size and pigment content of picophytoplankton**

The seasonal variation of cell size and chl a red fluorescence is similar to that found in previous reports in the Atlantic (DuRand et al. 2001), Pacific (Campbell et al. 1997) and the Mediterranean Sea (Synechococcus, Agawin et al. 1998), with minimum values at the surface and maximum at depth in summer (DuRand et al. 2001), and homogeneous values throughout the water column in winter. The size ranges of cyanobacteria were very similar to those found in other areas, although our mean values were slightly higher (DuRand et al. 2001, Worden et al. 2004).

Opposite changes in cell size and relative fluorescence with depth can reflect the presence of different subpopulations. However, the larger variation in fluorescence than in size found for cyanobacteria and picoeukaryotes suggests that these vertical changes could be due to a photoadaptive response of the same population to decreasing irradiance. Cyanobacteria and small picoeukaryotes were significantly smaller above the nutricline than below (Fig. 6A–C), but no differences were found relative to the mixed layer depth. Thus, it seems that cell size was regulated by nutrient status rather than density stratification, suggesting the hypothesis that physiological responses differed within physically continuous populations. This was further supported by a positive relationship between cell size and nutrient concentration (r = 0.53 to 0.58 for NO3, r = 0.40 to 0.56 for PO4, p < 0.01, n = 259 [103 for Prochlorococcus]). Vertical patterns in large picoeukaryotes cell size were not observed (Fig. 6D).

Together with a probably high taxonomic heterogeneity, the somewhat artificial upper size limit of this group, due to the flow cytometry settings used (~5 µm), must also be taken into account.

Phycobiliproteins contribute to photosynthesis by harvesting part of solar energy and transferring it to chlorophyll a. Phycocerythrin (PE), the main phycobiliprotein found in oceanic waters, is usually associated to cyanobacteria (Waterbury et al. 1986, Lantoine & Neveux 1997), constituting their dominant accessory photosynthetic pigment. Despite the good correlation found between FL3 (red fluorescence from chl a) and FL2 (orange fluorescence from PE), a possible indicator that the chl a:PE pigment ratio was constant along the annual cycle (Neveux et al. 1999), differences in the chl a:PE ratio were also observed in vertical profiles (Fig. 8A). Although these differences could be explained by the presence of distinct strains with PE prosthetic groups in different proportions (Olson et al. 1988), the hypothesis of chromatic adaptation within the same strain due to shifts in light quality (Palenik 2001) cannot be discarded. Limiting irradiance at depth would involve a higher energy transfer efficiency to perform photosynthesis, which could be achieved by a relative increase in PE, as observed in mixing conditions. Further analyses are, however, needed in order to fully explain these observations.

**Size and nucleic acid content of heterotrophic bacteria**

Both size and nucleic acid content of heterotrophic bacteria are sensitive to metabolic activity (Lebaron et al. 2002). Although slight, the relatively higher size variation of HNA bacteria compared with LNA could
suggest a stronger response of the former group to environmental changes but then the higher CV of nucleic acid content of LNA bacteria remains to be explained. Gasol et al. (1995) suggested that the probability of a bacterium being active was a direct function of size. HNA bacteria are generally associated with larger size than LNA bacteria (see references in Lebaron et al. 2001) and, hence, usually assumed to be more active. However, their smaller size compared with LNA bacteria in spring and late winter was striking (Fig. 7). Recent studies show a great variability of the flow cytometer variables that characterize these 2 groups. Hence, variability in light scatter in samples taken from different aquatic ecosystems could be simply explained by the ecosystem type (J. M. Gasol pers. comm.) rather than by a different metabolic activity. Similarly to our results during most of the year, Corzo et al. (2005) observed an increase in the percentage of HNA bacteria with depth in Antarctic waters, which they attributed to a higher grazing pressure at the surface, where the most active and largest HNA cells occurred. In late winter and spring, the period of larger LNA cells (Fig. 7) corresponded in our case with a marked dominance of HNA cells (68 ± 1%) in the entire water column. This dominance could also be the result of selection of larger-sized cells by bacterivorous protozoa (González et al. 1990), regardless of their nucleic acid content. Bacterial size is an important factor influencing susceptibility to grazing, with both endpoints of the size range acting as a refuge from grazers (Jürgens & Güde 1994). But a fundamental question remains: Why were LNA bacteria larger than HNA bacteria during these months? No activities or growth rates were estimated, but if we assume activity as a function of cell size (Gasol et al. 1995), a higher specific activity of LNA bacteria rather than HNA bacteria would be expected during this period. This is in agreement with recent reports where LNA bacteria also appear to be actively growing (Zubkov et al. 2001, Sherr et al. in press). Further studies are obviously needed, but our results advise for caution in the direct estimation of active or growing cells from size or nucleic acid content measurements. As recently suggested by Smith & del Giorgio (2003), we should change our view of the structure of the bacterial community to accommodate a continuum of metabolic states and replace the present notion of ‘active’ versus ‘inactive’ cells.

**Picoplankton biomass**

Across-system comparisons of the biomass of planktonic groups are usually hampered by the large variations (even of orders of magnitude) in the conversion factors used in different studies. However, biovolume-based factors, like the ones used here, should always be preferred to constant conversion factors, often obtained in areas of different ecological characteristics. Although seasonal variations of size are taken into account by using volume-to-carbon conversion factors, the latter also vary widely (Fukuda et al. 1998, Worden et al. 2004). The factor used here for heterotrophic bacteria was that of Bjørnsen (1986). Had we used the allometric factor of Norland (1993), , our estimates would on average be 22% lower. On the other hand, we chose Worden et al. (2004) conversion factors for picophytoplanktonic groups since their study was also carried out in a coastal zone, and they varied little between the different groups (from 230 to 240 fg C µm⁻³), which in turn had cell size mean values and ranges very similar to ours.

Biomass of cyanobacteria and picoeukaryotes was largely determined by cell abundances (Fig. 10A–C) rather than changes in cell size, as previously reported (DuRand et al. 2001, Worden et al. 2004). Cyanobacterial biomass virtually reached that of picoeukaryotes during summer (Table I) and even dominated in September (55 ± 1%), in contrast to the Pacific coastal site sampled by Worden et al. (2004), where dominance by picoeukaryotes was consistently observed during the year. Similar results have been reported in a Cantabrian Sea estuary (Ansotegui et al. 2003) using a different methodology, which suggests that the importance of cyanobacteria in coastal waters of the Bay of Biscay was not very different to that in stratified open waters (Campbell et al. 1997, DuRand et al. 2001, Liu et al. 2002). The clear dominance of picoeukaryotes in spring is in agreement with our observations in 2002 (Calvo-Díaz et al. 2004), although absolute values in the latter study should be revised downwards due to the use of constant conversion factors.

Differences in bacterial biomass between HNA and LNA bacterial groups were also largely caused by differences in abundance rather than in size. HNA bacteria dominated bacterial biomass during the study period except in June at Stn 2, and at some depths in autumn. The heterotrophic to autotrophic picoplankton biomass ratio was >1 during the sampled period except in September but bacterial biomass tended to be lower than total phytoplanktonic biomass except in specific samples. The bacteria to phytoplankton biomass ratio (annual mean: 0.47 ± 0.02) was in agreement with previous results obtained in these waters (Calvo-Díaz et al. 2004), but always higher than the value (0.2) suggested by Ducklow & Carlson (1992) for coastal areas.

In spite of the peaks observed in summer and winter, and the very low values found in April 2002 and 2003, picophytoplankton contribution to photic layer inte-
grated total biomass of phytoplankton was remarkably constant during the sampled period (mean 17 ± 2 %, cf. 14 % slope of the Model I regression line, Fig. 11), with no indication of the expected decrease in its relative contribution with increasing algal biomass. However, it must be borne in mind that relatively low values of phytoplanktonic biomass were recorded during the sampled period, and that reviews showing a decreasing contribution of picophytoplankton with increasing total biomass include much higher values (Bell & Kalf 2001). These results underline the importance of smaller plankton not only under permanently stratified, oligotrophic conditions (Li et al. 1983, Li & Harrision 2001), but also in coastal waters subjected to strong tides and with the water column mixed to the seafloor for several months during the year.
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