Uptake of dissolved inorganic nitrogen, urea and amino acids in the Scheldt estuary: comparison of organic carbon and nitrogen uptake
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ABSTRACT: Uptake of dissolved ammonium, nitrate, nitrite, urea and amino acids was studied in the Scheldt estuary in different seasons over a salinity gradient. The importance of inorganic nitrogen sources was compared to that of urea and amino acids and the relative use of urea and amino acid nitrogen and carbon was studied. Urea and amino acids constituted up to 43 and 29% of total nitrogen uptake, respectively, and were of similar importance as inorganic substrates. Ammonium oxidation by nitrifiers and ammonium uptake by algae and bacteria were of similar magnitude. In January, April and November, amino acids constituted a source of both nitrogen and carbon while urea mainly constituted a source of carbon. During the summer months, amino acids were used mainly as a source for nitrogen, while urea was a source for both carbon and nitrogen; urea was rarely used as nitrogen substrate alone. Due to this seasonal uncoupling of nitrogen and carbon assimilation, dually labeled substrates are necessary to assess the importance of urea and amino acids as substrates for organic nitrogen and carbon.
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INTRODUCTION

All organisms need nitrogen, and both dissolved inorganic and organic nitrogen (DIN and DON) can be used as substrates by micro-organisms. Measurements of DIN uptake are straightforward using $^{15}$N labeled ammonium ($\text{NH}_4^+$), nitrate ($\text{NO}_3^-$) and nitrite ($\text{NO}_2^-$) and a large body of data exists (e.g. Dugdale & Goering 1967, McCarthy et al. 1977, Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000a, Tobias et al. 2003). Uptake of DON is difficult to quantify since it contains a large number of compounds, such as proteins, oligopeptides, purines, nucleic acids, and humic substances, and the exact composition of the pool is unknown. As a consequence, application of $^{15}$N labeled substrates is cumbersome and few data exist (Bronk 2002). To increase our understanding of nitrogen (N) cycling in aquatic systems it is important to quantify the importance of DIN and DON uptake at the same time. Nitrification, oxidation of $\text{NH}_4^+$ to $\text{NO}_3^-$, is another key process in estuarine nitrogen cycling but parallel studies of other processes consuming or producing $\text{NH}_4^+$ and $\text{NO}_3^-$ are rare.

Many studies have shown DON to be an important N source for phytoplankton (Palenik & Morel 1990, Bronk & Glibert 1993, Berman & Chava 1999, Fan & Glibert 2005) as well as for heterotrophic bacteria (Wheeler & Kirchman 1986, Hoch & Kirchman 1995, Middelboe et al. 1995, Rosenstock & Simon 2001). The majority of these studies either measured uptake of total DON in laboratory cultures or quantified the uptake of well-defined substrates (e.g. amino acids) in batch cultures or in natural samples. Some microorganisms can use DON-derived N directly (Berg et al. 1997, Mulholland et al. 2002) while others use DON indirectly, i.e. by using extracellular enzymes to mobilize N from DON (Palenik & Morel 1990, Berg et al. 2002, Mulholland et al. 2003). $\text{NH}_4^+$ can also be released from DON through inorganic reactions, such
as photochemical oxidation (Bushaw et al. 1996). It is not clear whether N-containing dissolved organic compounds are used as substrates exclusively for N, for carbon (C), or for both. Previous studies addressing this question on natural samples are few. Petersen et al. (2004) showed that both heterotrophic and nitrifying bacteria can incorporate a small amount of C from urea. Jørgensen (2006) reported urea uptake by estuarine bacteria and concluded that uptake is variable and rather unpredictable. For phytoplankton, the results are variable and sometimes conflicting (Mulholland et al. 2002, 2003, 2004, Fan & Glibert 2005). Fan & Glibert (2005) found urea to be a C substrate during a dinoflagellate bloom, while Mulholland et al. (2004) concluded that urea was not used as a substrate for C during a phytoplankton bloom. Mulholland et al. (2003) reported uncoupled uptake of amino acid, i.e. N was taken up preferentially to C along an estuarine gradient. This contradicted their previous findings regarding uptake in the phytoplankton Aureococcus anophagefferens, whereby both C and N were incorporated from amino acids (Mulholland et al. 2002). Clearly, more observations are required to identify the differential assimilation of C and N by natural communities.

Another key question is to what extent dissolved organic matter (DOM) contributes to N uptake by bacteria and phytoplankton compared to dissolved inorganic nutrients, since there are few data on this. In a recent review, Berman & Bronk (2003) stated that there is still a need for greater appreciation and understanding of the potential role of DON in aquatic systems. Estuaries receive DIN and DON via riverine input, direct land runoff, atmosphere inputs and from the sea. Moreover, during transport through an estuary to the sea, these compounds undergo many transformations such as uptake, regeneration, nitrification and denitrification. Most estuaries receive high N loads from anthropogenic activities in the watershed. Depending on the nature of these inputs (agricultural nitrate or organic nitrogen from sewage), this will affect estuarine N cycling in different ways (Heip & Herman 1995) and it is therefore necessary to identify DIN and DON pathways. Bacteria are considered the major sink for DON in marine environments (Wheeler & Kirchman 1986). Studies in estuarine and coastal systems, however, have found that N uptake in the form of urea is predominantly by phytoplankton rather than by bacteria (Berman & Bronk 2003 and references therein) but few data exist (Jørgensen 2006). Most previous studies on DON uptake have used batch cultures, and the utilization of DON under in situ conditions is still unclear. Few studies have examined how bacteria and phytoplankton respond to multiple sources of N under natural conditions. Using stable isotopes and labeling one source at a time makes it possible to study the effect of each substrate under in situ conditions.

The aim of this study was to simultaneously quantify the uptake of DIN, as NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$, and urea and dissolved free amino acids (DFAA) over the whole salinity range of the Scheldt estuary during different seasons. These results could then be compared with concomitant nitrification measurements (Andersson et al. 2006). The importance of DON as a N or C substrate in natural samples was also investigated in detail using $^{13}$C labeled urea and DFAA in parallel with $^{15}$N labeled equivalents.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study area.** The Scheldt estuary is located in the SW Netherlands and Belgium and is among the most nutrient-rich systems in the world (Soetaert et al. 2006). The estuary is fed by the Scheldt river, which starts in northern France (St. Quentin) and flows into the North Sea near Vlissingen (The Netherlands). The total catchment area of the Scheldt river is 22 000 km$^2$, with a population of more than 10 million people, and containing several large industrial areas. The river is used as a major drain for industrial and domestic wastes, of which a substantial portion is not treated in a wastewater treatment plant. The Scheldt estuary is shallow (mean depth about 10 m), turbid and well mixed with a residence time of about 2 mo (Heip 1988). The estuary is about 100 km long with an area of 300 km$^2$. The tidal amplitude is high, ranging from 3.8 m in the western to 5.2 m in the eastern part.

**Sampling.** Within the VLANEZO (Dutch–Flemish cooperation on sea research) project, 4 cruises were conducted with the RV ‘Luctor’ in January, April (April B), July and October 2003. Five stations were sampled throughout the salinity range during every cruise: Stns 0, 2, 8, 18 and 28, with the numbers reflecting their salinity (Fig. 1). Water samples were taken with a 20 l Niskin bottle from approximately 2 m depth and were subsampled on board immediately after retrieval of the Niskin bottle. Samples were also taken from 17 fixed monitoring stations along a transect covering the whole salinity gradient from freshwater in the inner estuary to marine conditions at the mouth. Additional data for DON uptake originate from 2 cruises conducted within the EUROTROPH project (Gazeau et al. 2005), 1 in November 2002 and 1 in early April 2003 (April A), 2 wk before the RV ‘Luctor’ cruise. During these cruises 5 or 6 stations were also sampled, covering the whole salinity range (Fig. 1).

**Nutrients.** Water was filtered through pre-weighed, pre-combusted Whatman GF/F filters (47 mm), stored frozen, and analyzed for NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ using
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automated colorimetric techniques. The filters were weighed for suspended particulate matter (SPM) analysis, and concentrations of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) and carbon (POC) were measured with a Carlo Erba NA 1500 elemental analyzer (Nieuwenhuize et al. 1994). At all stations, salinity, temperature and oxygen were measured. At the VLANEZO stations, DFAA concentrations were measured by HPLC (Fitznar et al. 1999) from the cruises in July and October. Concentrations of urea were measured from the cruises in January, July and October using automated colorimetric techniques (Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000b). Water was filtered through GF/F filters, which were stored frozen until analysis of chlorophyll a (chl a) by HPLC (Barranguet et al. 1997).

Nitrification measurement. Nitrification rates were measured using 15N labeled NH4+ as described in Andersson et al. (2006). Briefly, water samples were spiked with 15N labeled NH4+ and, after incubation, the appearance of 15N in NO3– was measured based on the diffusion technique of Sigman et al. (1997) and Holmes et al. (1998). NH4+ and subsequently NO3– (converted into NH4+ using Devarda’s alloy) in the sample was trapped on a GF/D filter. The 15N content of the GF/D filters was determined using a Fisons NA 1500 elemental analyzer coupled to a Finnigan Delta S mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS). Rates for specific uptake (V, h–1) of NH4+, NO3– and NO2–, urea and DFAA were calculated by dividing the excess 15N or 13C on the Whatman GF/F filter by the excess 15N or 13C in the solution h–1 incubation time according to Dugdale & Wilkerson (1986). Absolute uptake rates (U, µmol N l–1 h–1 or µmol C l–1 h–1) were calculated by multiplying V with PON or POC (µmol l–1), depending on which label was added. The rates reported are average values for light and dark incubations since these showed no statistically significant difference, consistent with previous studies in this and other turbid, tidal estuaries (Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000a,b). The errors reported herein represent the standard deviation of replicate incubations (n = 4, except for January [n = 2]. Uptake rates were not corrected for isotope dilution because we lacked measurements, and estimated correction factors (based on the Kanda et al. 1987 approach) were very small, as we have reported earlier (Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000a,b).

RESULTS

Concentrations

The concentration versus salinity plots show the dynamic cycling of nitrogen in the Scheldt estuary (Fig. 2). NH4+ concentrations were highest in the inner part of the estuary, with concentrations up to 150 µM, and then rapidly decreased with increasing salinity. The decrease was highest during July and October. NO3– concentrations in the inner part were around 300 µM and either increased or were uniform until a salinity of around 10, thereafter decreasing throughout the estuary. The decrease in NH4+ and increase in NO3– in the inner estuary reflect intensive nitrification (Andersson et al. 2006). NO2– levels were low throughout the estuary, with high concentrations (up to 30 µM) in the inner part. Urea and DFAA concentrations varied with season, with a fairly stable concentration.
over the salinity gradient (around 4 µM N for urea, 2 µM N for DFAA).

O₂ concentration varied between seasons and also along the salinity gradient of the estuary. The general trend was low concentrations (even hypoxic during July and October) in the inner part with increasing concentrations toward the mouth of the estuary, reaching saturation levels and even super saturation (415 µM) in April B. SPM varied from 250 mg l⁻¹ in the fall in the inner part of the estuary to 10 mg l⁻¹ in the marine part in January. POC followed the pattern of SPM and varied from 1200 µM in the fall at the inner stations to 30 µM at the marine stations in January. pH values were around 7.5 at the inner stations and increased throughout the estuary to above 8 at the marine stations. Chl a levels were below 10 µg l⁻¹ throughout the whole estuary in January and at the more marine stations in July and October. In the inner estuary the concentrations of chl a reached values of up to 100 µg l⁻¹ in July and October, and 10 to 40 µg l⁻¹ were measured throughout the estuary in April B. Comparing algal C (converted from chl a using a carbon:chl a ratio of 30; Wetsteyn & Kromkamp 1994) with total POC we obtained a first-order estimate of the algal contribution to the POC pool. A bloom of algae was measured in the marine part of the estuary in April B (Dijkman & Kromkamp in press), with algae contributing about 75% to POC. During the other months and in the inner part in April B, algae contributed between 2 and 30% of the POC, bacteria and detritus accounting for the rest of the POC pool. Dijkman & Kromkamp (in press) used phospholipid-derived fatty acids (PLFA) to measure biomasses of phytoplankton and bacteria during the VLAINEZO cruises. These authors showed that in January bacteria were 3 times more abundant than algae while during the other 3 mo bacterial biomass was between one-third and half of algal biomass, with higher biomasses at mid salinities. Accordingly, detritus constituted around 80% of the POC in January and approximately 50% during July and October. The water temperature varied with season: 4, 10, 20 and 14°C in January, April B, July and October, respectively.

### Uptake of DIN and DON

There was no significant difference (ANOVA: p-values 0.07 to 0.37) between uptake rates measured in the dark and in ambient light. Therefore these data
were combined and considered as replicates. With very few exceptions, highest uptake rates were those of NH$_4^+$, ranging from 1 nM h$^{-1}$ at the highest salinity in October to 1 µM h$^{-1}$ at Stn 2 in April B (Table 1). There were no clear trends for uptake of any of the substrates, although rates were usually lowest at the mouth of the estuary. Uptake of NO$_3^-$ ranged from 270 nM h$^{-1}$ in July to 6 nM h$^{-1}$ in October. Generally, the lowest uptake was for NO$_2^-$: 110 nM h$^{-1}$ in July down to 1 nM h$^{-1}$ in January. Uptake of urea and DFAA showed rates comparable to and often higher than those of DIN. Urea uptake varied from 700 nM N h$^{-1}$ in July to 2 nM N h$^{-1}$ in January and DFAA uptake from 200 nM N h$^{-1}$ in July to 13 nM N h$^{-1}$ in October. Unfortunately, data for DFAA uptake from January and April B were not available. The standard deviation of replicate samples and light/dark incubations were rather high, in some cases even higher than the measured uptake rate (Table 1).

Table 1. Uptake rates (nM h$^{-1}$) of NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, urea and DFAA at 5 salinities during 4 seasons. Data are means ± SD of 2 replicate samples each from light and dark incubations, except for January when single samples were incubated in light and dark. DFAA: dissolved free amino acids; nd: no data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>NO$_2^-$</th>
<th>Urea-N</th>
<th>Urea-C</th>
<th>DFAA-N</th>
<th>DFAA-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>438 ± 254</td>
<td>90 ± 63</td>
<td>32 ± 24</td>
<td>21 ± 12</td>
<td>59 ± 41</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>195 ± 17</td>
<td>95 ± 33</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>20 ± 8.8</td>
<td>15 ± 8.7</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>154 ± 101</td>
<td>46 ± 44</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>29 ± 20</td>
<td>39 ± 55</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>61 ± 25</td>
<td>15 ± 4.8</td>
<td>4.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>11 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8.0 ± 7.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>464 ± 172</td>
<td>66 ± 6.7</td>
<td>7.4 ± 3.9</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1283 ± 525</td>
<td>35 ± 24</td>
<td>5.9 ± 8.5</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>248 ± 26</td>
<td>188 ± 13</td>
<td>22 ± 2.0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>94 ± 35</td>
<td>44 ± 4.9</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>35 ± 13</td>
<td>30 ± 52</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.7</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>452 ± 146</td>
<td>268 ± 51</td>
<td>34 ± 2.0</td>
<td>701 ± 86</td>
<td>208 ± 139</td>
<td>195 ± 44</td>
<td>226 ± 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>461 ± 139</td>
<td>161 ± 51</td>
<td>113 ± 53</td>
<td>148 ± 175</td>
<td>6.0 ± 5.4</td>
<td>110 ± 18</td>
<td>228 ± 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>239 ± 54</td>
<td>87 ± 36</td>
<td>17 ± 5.5</td>
<td>58 ± 43</td>
<td>7.9 ± 11</td>
<td>66 ± 10</td>
<td>115 ± 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>112 ± 47</td>
<td>35 ± 22</td>
<td>5.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>45 ± 22</td>
<td>7.0 ± 9.6</td>
<td>40 ± 5.0</td>
<td>116 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>48 ± 21</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>30 ± 9.7</td>
<td>3.7 ± 4.2</td>
<td>29 ± 2.3</td>
<td>54 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>348 ± 122</td>
<td>79 ± 18</td>
<td>53 ± 18</td>
<td>93 ± 37</td>
<td>29 ± 37</td>
<td>110 ± 29</td>
<td>775 ± 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>355 ± 39</td>
<td>125 ± 10</td>
<td>55 ± 11</td>
<td>57 ± 13</td>
<td>13 ± 8.9</td>
<td>86 ± 26</td>
<td>213 ± 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td>105 ± 15</td>
<td>13 ± 1.0</td>
<td>36 ± 4.8</td>
<td>10 ± 11</td>
<td>20 ± 4.1</td>
<td>55 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>26 ± 2.2</td>
<td>36 ± 5.8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>25 ± 7.8</td>
<td>4.9 ± 6.1</td>
<td>37 ± 18</td>
<td>108 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.0 ± 6.2</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>11 ± 12</td>
<td>8.9 ± 7.1</td>
<td>13 ± 14</td>
<td>45 ± 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Relative contribution to (A,C) total uptake and (B,D) uptake rates of the 5 nitrogen sources along the salinity gradient. Note differences in scale for uptake rates.
The relative contribution of the different substrates to their summed uptake varied over the salinity gradient and with season (Fig. 3A,C). In July \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) made its highest contribution at Stn 8 (51%), with values decreasing both toward the inner estuary and the mouth of the estuary. A completely opposite pattern was found in October, when the lowest relative contribution was at Stn 8, with \( \text{NO}_3^- \) showing the highest contribution (48%). Urea showed a contrasting pattern to \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), being highest in July at Stn 0 (43%), and DFAA displayed its highest relative importance (up to 29%) at the more marine stations. The relative contribution of \( \text{NO}_2^- \) was low throughout the estuary (11% at highest) with lowest values at the mouth (2%). The absolute uptake rates were higher at stations with lower salinities (Fig. 3B,D). Uptake of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) was similar in July and October while uptake of urea was higher in July, resulting in a higher total N uptake in July.

**Uptake of dissolved organic matter**

Absolute uptake rates of urea and DFAA are only available for the July and October cruises (Table 1, Fig. 3). However, for all cruises results can be presented as excess \( ^{13}\text{C} \) or \( ^{15}\text{N} \) after incubation (nM h\(^{-1}\)), and these allow a direct comparison of N and C uptake. The regression lines in Fig. 4 show the expected relationship between excess \( ^{13}\text{C} \) and \( ^{15}\text{N} \) were the whole urea molecule (with a C:N ratio of 0.5) to be taken up and both C and N to remain in the cell and be assimilated during the 2 h incubation. Large differences can be seen for the 6 sampling occasions (Fig. 4). In November and January urea uptake rates were low and urea was mainly used as a C source. In early spring, April A uptake of urea was lower than later the same month, April B. In July the highest utilization of urea was measured, with rates decreasing again in October. Throughout April to October urea was used as a substrate for both N and C. Moreover, no differences were found for samples incubated in ambient light and samples incubated in the dark (data not shown).

The algal amino acid mixture used in this study had on average a C:N ratio of 4; the regression lines in Fig. 5 represent the values expected if the whole amino acid molecule were taken up and both C and N assimilated. DFAA utilization of N and C was diametrically to that of urea. In November, January and April the whole molecule seems to have been used. Later in the season, particularly in July and October, there was a shift toward using DFAA as a N substrate. Remarkably, those months with low urea uptake (January, April A and November) all had a higher uptake of DFAA than the other 3 mo.

![Fig. 4. Excess \( ^{13}\text{C} \) vs. excess \( ^{15}\text{N} \) during incubations with labeled urea. Uptake in ambient light (○) and in the dark (●). Regression line shows value expected if a complete urea molecule, with C:N ratio of 0.5, were taken up. April A: EUROTROPH project, 2 wk before April B sampling by RV 'Luctor'](attachment:fig4.png)
Nitrification

Highest nitrification activity was measured in the inner part of the estuary, with rates decreasing toward the mouth. Nitrification was generally higher in July and October, corresponding to higher temperature(15,15),(991,992) and lower NH₄⁺ concentration, which ranged from 700 in October to 150 nM h⁻¹ in April B in the freshwater stations and from 20 nM h⁻¹ to undetectable in the marine stations. Nitrification rates were in the same order of magnitude as NH₄⁺ uptake rates. The most obvious difference was at Stns 0 and 2 in April B, when NH₄⁺ uptake was much higher than both nitrification and NO₃⁻ uptake (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

The Scheldt estuary is a turbid, tidal, heterotrophic system (Goosen et al. 1999, Boschker et al. 2005) with high nutrient concentrations and organic loading (Kromkamp et al. 1995). Algal C constituted a small part of the POC (on average 15% during our study) and bacteria were 3 times more abundant than algae in January while during the other months bacterial biomass made up between one-third and half of the algal biomass (Dijkman & Kromkamp in press). Input of allochthonous organic matter and nutrients in the inner estuary are high (Kromkamp et al. 1995), and during our study most N pools did not show a conservative behavior due to mixing (Fig. 2) during transport to the sea, but were clearly affected by processes within the estuary. Two major transformation pathways for NH₄⁺ in the estuary are nitrification, whereby NH₄⁺ is oxidized to NO₃⁻ via NO₂⁻, and uptake by phytoplankton and bacteria. NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ can also be taken up by phytoplankton and bacteria or be denitrified to N₂ gas (in the sediment). Urea and DFAA can be taken up by phytoplankton and bacteria as a substrate for N, C, or both.

Comparison of our NH₄⁺ uptake rates with parallel measurements of nitrification rates during the same cruises (Andersson et al. 2006) shows them to be of the same order of magnitude (Fig. 6). The ratio of NH₄⁺ uptake to nitrification ranges from 0.5 to 25 (where 1 represents equal uptake and nitrification rates). In April B, during the spring bloom, NH₄⁺ uptake was much higher than NH₄⁺ oxidation by the nitrifiers. In July the community of nitrifiers had had time to develop, and roughly the same amount of NH₄⁺ was taken up as was nitrified. There are few parallel studies of nitrification and NH₄⁺ uptake. Lipschultz et al. (1986) showed nitrification to be the major process
affecting NH₄⁺ distribution in the Delaware River. Ward (2005) investigated NH₄⁺ oxidation and assimilation in the upper 70 m of Monterey Bay, California, USA, an eastern boundary upwelling system, and found that NH₄⁺ assimilation was much larger than NH₄⁺ oxidation in the upper 25 m, but that rates were similar at greater depths. Depth-integrated rates of NH₄⁺ assimilation (5.1 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹) were higher than those of NH₄⁺ oxidation (2.0 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹). Comparison of the NO₃⁻ production rate via nitrification with the NO₃⁻ uptake rate (Fig. 6) revealed that, with a few exceptions, most NO₃⁻ produced via nitrification was not taken up, consistent with the NO₃⁻ vs. salinity plots of net NO₃⁻ production in Fig. 2.

Uptake rates in the light were not significantly different from those in the dark for all substrates and light and dark incubation data were therefore combined. This similarity between light and dark uptake rates has been reported before, in particular for turbid systems with relatively low algal biomass and high concentrations of bacteria with respect to total community uptake (Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000a,b). The Scheldt estuary is a prototype heterotrophic, turbid estuary in which particle-attached bacteria play a dominant role in moderating C and N flows (e.g. Boschker et al. 2005). Partitioning of nitrogen uptake between phytoplankton and heterotrophic bacteria in turbid estuaries such as the Scheldt Estuary is difficult, since size fractionation by pre- or post-incubation filtration is not feasible because of filter clogging and is not appropriate because of the tight association of the bacteria with particles. Alternatively, prokaryotic inhibitors might be used to distinguish between eukaryotic and prokaryotic activity, although these are never 100% selective or 100% effective (Oremland & Capone 1988). Although we have already used this approach successfully in the turbid Loire and Thames estuaries (Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000a,b), we obtained highly variable, inconclusive results in the Scheldt estuary. We were therefore unable to partition nitrogen uptake among the bacteria and phytoplankton, but, given the lack of light–dark differences, the heterotrophic status of this estuary, and its high bacterial biomass (Boschker et al. 2005), we believe bacteria to be the major contributors to the nitrogen uptake.

In regard to the absolute uptake rates of the different substrates, the rates of NH₄⁺ uptake were highest, with a few exceptions, partly due to the much higher concentrations of NH₄⁺ compared to DFAA and urea. To investigate preference for different substrates, many authors (Fan et al. 2003, Phillips & Hurd 2003, Weston et al. 2004, Bode et al. 2005) have used the relative preference index (RPI) (McCarthy et al. 1977), defined as

\[
RPI_x = \frac{U_x}{\sum U} \frac{C_x}{\sum C}
\]  

where RPIₓ = relative preference index of Substrate x, \( U_x \) = uptake rate of Substrate x, \( C_x \) = concentrations of Substrate x and and \( \Sigma U \) and \( \Sigma C \) = the summed uptake and concentration, respectively, for all substrates (i.e. NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, urea and DFAA). The RPI for the

![Fig. 6. Nitrification rate and uptake rates of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ during 4 mo in 2003 at 5 different salinities. Note scale for April B is twice that for the other 3 mo](image-url)
different substrates is shown in Fig. 7. An RPI of 1 reflects utilization equal to availability, >1 preference for a substrate, and <1 preference against that substrate. Our results clearly show that NO$_3^-$ is the least preferred substrate (Fig. 7) with RPI values <1 in all seasons, implying that it would be used only if other forms of N were less available. Urea and DFAA have RPI values in the same range as, or higher than, those of NH$_4^+$, which means that these substrates are used to the same extent when equally available. NO$_2^-$ was less preferred than NH$_4^+$, urea and DFAA, but more preferred than NO$_3^-$. The utilization of RPI has been questioned as being strongly dependent on substrate concentration and weakly related to physiological preferences (Dortch 1990, Stolte & Riegman 1996). This could be the case for our finding of NO$_2^-$ rejection arising from high NO$_3^-$ concentration, but the differences recorded for the other substrates were likely due to physiological preferences, since their concentrations were similar (except for NH$_4^+$ in the freshwater).

Our uptake rates are similar to and often higher than previous rates recorded in the literature for estuarine systems. Our uptake rates of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ fall within the range found by Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize (2000a) in 6 turbid, tidal estuaries including the Scheldt estuary. Other studies showed lower uptake of NH$_4^+$ and higher or similar uptake of NO$_3^-$ (Lipschultz et al. 1986, Bronk & Glibert 1993, Bronk et al. 1998, Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000b, Veuger et al. 2004), corresponding to lower and higher substrate concentrations, respectively. The uptake rates of urea and DFAA in our study are within the range reported in an extensive comparison of urea and DFAA uptake rates from various systems by Bronk (2002), and compare best to rates found in the Chesapeake Bay estuary. The importance of urea as a N substrate varies (depending on the system) from 5 to 64% of total N uptake (Bronk 2002) and on average urea contributes more to total N uptake than does DFAA. DFAA contributes approximately 10% to total N uptake (Bronk 2002), but can contribute more than this, e.g. in the marine part of the Thames estuary DFAA accounted for about 90% of total N uptake (Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000b). In the Scheldt estuary, urea contributed between 8 and 43% and DFAA between 9 and 29% to total N uptake in July and October (the only months where absolute uptake rates for urea and DFAA were available).

DON comprises a diverse mixture of compounds such as proteins, oligopeptides, purines, nucleic acids and humic substances. Usually <20% of the DON pool can be identified, including urea and DFAA, while up to 70% of DON is often potentially bioavailable (Seitzinger et al. 2002, Stepanauskas et al. 2002). Since urea and DFAA constitute a small fraction of DON, we have very likely underestimated total DON uptake using our approach. In previous studies, 7 to 30% of DON uptake arose from urea utilization in the spring and 74% in the fall (Bronk & Glibert 1993). Despite our likely underestimation of total DON uptake, it is obvious that calculation of DON uptake is necessary for accurate quantification of total N uptake and that some of the compounds within the DON pool are used preferentially. A few previous studies using $^{15}$N labeled DON found that this complex DON was taken up in the same order of magnitude as DIN (Bronk & Glibert 1993, Veuger et al. 2004). When studying the importance of total ambient DON as a source of N compared to DIN, this may be a more useful approach, whereas
the use of individual DON compounds such as urea and DFAA can increase our knowledge of the mechanisms behind DON uptake.

Having shown urea and DFAA to be similar in importance to DIN for microbial N acquisition, we further investigated whether urea and DFAA constitute a N substrate only, or if they also can be used as an energy source. It has been shown that both heterotrophic bacteria (Middelboe et al. 1995, Rosenstock & Simon 2001) and microalgae (Palenik & Morel 1990, Bronk & Glibert 1993, Berman & Chava 1999, Fan & Glibert 2005, Linares 2006) utilize DON, although the questions as to how, why and when they do so remain unclear. Utilization of urea requires energy, since urease needs to be synthesized to enable hydrolyzation of this substrate. The importance of urea as a N source for phytoplankton and bacteria has been well documented (Berman & Bronk 2003, Jørgensen 2006). However, the importance of urea as a C source is unclear, and has been studied rarely and with contradictory results (Petersen et al. 2004, Jørgensen 2006). In the 1980s, investigators used radioactive 14C labeled urea in parallel with 15N labeled urea (Price & Harrison 1988 and references therein) to measure urea uptake, and an uncoupling between N and C uptake from urea was often found. More recent literature has also shown variable results, depending on the system or organism studied. During a bloom of a brown tide pelagophyte on the east coast of the USA, urea was used as a source for N but not for C (Mulholland et al. 2004). However, during a bloom of a dinoflagellate in Chesapeake Bay, urea was used mostly as a source of C (with a molar C:N uptake ratio of 2.3; Fan & Glibert 2005), indicating greater retention of C than N. During phytoplankton blooms, uptake measurements of DFAA have shown that these are used as a substrate for N as well as C to a variable extent (Mulholland et al. 2002).

One urea molecule contains 1 C and 2 N atoms and, when taken up, is split into 1 CO2 molecule and 2 NH4+ molecules by the enzyme urease (Price & Harrison 1988, Mobley & Hausinger 1989, Zehr & Ward 2002). If urea were utilized as a whole molecule, 2 N atoms per 1 C atom would be taken up (indicated by regression line in Fig. 4). Our results for urea uptake were not easily interpreted. In January and November, C was incorporated to a much larger extent than would be expected through uptake of whole molecules, implying that N was released, probably as NH4+. In the other months the data generally followed the same trend as for uptake of the whole molecule and we thus cannot conclude that urea was used preferentially as a source of N or C. Additional research will be required to attain a more complete understanding of the utilization of urea as a substrate for N and C.

The importance of DFAA for N uptake has been well studied (Wheeler & Kirchman 1986, Palenik & Morel 1990, Hoch & Kirchman 1995, Nilsson & Sundbäck 1996, Middelburg & Nieuwenhuize 2000b, Mulholland et al. 2002), and a few studies have addressed the question as to whether DFAA constitute a N or a C source (Jørgensen et al. 1993, 1999, Middelboe et al. 1995, Mulholland et al. 2002). Bacteria are still considered to be most important for DFAA uptake (Hoch & Kirchman 1995); however, it is now apparent that many phytoplankton also can use DFAA as N source (Berman & Bronk 2003). DFAA can be incorporated via direct uptake of the whole molecule or can be oxidized extracellularly and the released NH and organic carbon can subsequently utilized (uncoupled uptake). To our knowledge Mulholland et al. (2002) were the first to use dually labeled DFAA to estimate C and N uptake by natural communities of the algae Aureococcus anophagefferens. These authors showed both coupled and uncoupled uptake in this pelagophyte, with DFAA constituting 50% of the total N uptake and about half of the associated C being taken up. In a later study, Mulholland et al. (2003) found uncoupled uptake rates of DFAA C and N, with DFAA N being taken up preferentially to C along an estuarine salinity gradient in the Chesapeake Bay. In Lake Constance, Germany, DFAA and protein supported 58 and 80% of the bacterial C and N demand, respectively, making them the most important bacterial C and N sources (Rosenstock & Simon 2001). Using a combination of 15N and 13C labeled DFAA it is possible to distinguish between uptake of the whole molecule and stoichiometric assimilation on the one hand and partial uptake or selective assimilation of N or C on the other hand.

Our results support previous findings that DFAA are used as a source for both C and N. Moreover we observed seasonal variation in the coupling or uncoupling of N or C uptake. The regression lines in Fig. 5 show the ratio expected between C and N uptake if the whole amino acid molecule were utilized (based on an average C:N ratio of 4 in the labeled algal mixture). In January, April and November, the uptake data were scattered around this line, indicating that DFAA were used as both a N source and a C source. In July and October, DFAA were used as a N rather than a C source, likely through extracellular amino acid oxidation. It should be noted, however, that the activities were lower during these months. The most striking difference between July and October and the other months was the availability of NH4+ (Fig. 2). When NH4+ availability was low, bacteria, phytoplankton or both utilized the N content of DFAA to a larger extent. High amino acid oxidase activities in phytoplankton have been shown to coincide with low NH4+ levels and with high biomass levels indicating an algal bloom (Mulholland et al. 1998). Furthermore, Pantoja & Lee...
which can use inorganic nutrients and light energy (as that are most suited to utilize DON are mixotrophs, more abundant than in the other months. Organisms (in press), especially for January, when bacteria were consistent with the findings of Dijkman & Kromkamp uptake may have been of greater importance. This is uncoupled. During the other months heterotrophic months, since uptake rates of C and N in DFAA were usually take up C and N at the same time, but their relative use of C and N may differ. It is likely that during the summer months uptake by photoautotrophs was more important in our study than during the other months, since uptake rates of C and N in DFAA were uncoupled. During the other months heterotrophic uptake may have been of greater importance. This is consistent with the findings of Dijkman & Kromkamp (in press), especially for January, when bacteria were more abundant than in the other months. Organisms that are most suited to utilize DON are mixotrophs, which can use inorganic nutrients and light energy (as photoautotrophs) for growth during the day, and organic substrates for both nutrients and energy (as heterotrophs) during the day and night (Mulholland et al. 2004). The Scheldt estuary constitutes a perfect environment for such organisms, with limited light availability below the surface and both high nutrient and DOM availability.

CONCLUSIONS

DON uptake contributes significantly to total N uptake by natural estuarine communities and should therefore be included in N uptake studies. Like urea and DFAA, it can constitute a source for both N and C, and thus the use of single labeled substrates might result in a biased uptake estimate and incomplete understanding of DON cycling.

The uptake of C and N from DON varies seasonally: in January, April and November, C is taken up to a larger extent than during July and October, periods when NH$_4^+$ availability is relatively low. Rates of nitrification and uptake of NH$_4^+$ are of similar magnitude.
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