Nutrient pathways through the microbial food web: principles and predictability discussed, based on five different experiments
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ABSTRACT: Although explanatory and predictive powers are 2 closely interconnected aspects of conceptual and mathematical models of complex systems, the two are not equivalent. The 2 aspects are discussed here for the microbial part of photic zone food webs of the marine pelagic. We focus on the specific question of how limiting nutrients are transferred from the dissolved form, through the microbial food web, to mesozooplankton. For this purpose, 5 different nutrient addition experiments are reviewed and compared to a 'simplest possible' conceptual food web model. The experiments range in scale from artificial food webs constructed in laboratory chemostats, via mesocosm experiments, to a Lagrangian open-ocean addition experiment and cover time scales from days to weeks. We conclude that main system responses in all cases can be explained within the framework of the simple model, and that each experiment therefore also adds credibility to the basic concepts of this model. However, different system attributes profoundly affect the pathway and speed of nutrient transfer in each experiment. A re-occurring theme seems to be how the interactions between flexible stoichiometry and predatory processes modify experimental outcomes. Understanding the flexibility in the behavior of the system has thus increased with each experiment, but the requirement for new ad hoc assumptions to be added to the basic model structure in each case makes reliable predictions of the experimental outcome appear only possible with further model elaboration.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the last ca. 30 yr, the perspective of the community of marine plankton ecologists has shifted from one emphasizing the simplicity of an ecosystem then believed to be dominated by a linear nutrients–phytoplankton–zooplankton food chain, to a present fascination for the increasing evidence of immense system complexity. The focus on complexity has largely been boosted by the recent applications of metagenomic techniques demonstrating high biodiversity in the lower, microbial, parts of the food web (Bench et al. 2007, DeLong 2009, Galand et al. 2009, Not et al. 2009). Coincidentally, this focus on system complexity has developed in parallel with an increasing demand for predictive models in the neighboring discipline of ocean biogeochemistry, a demand driven in particular by the need for a realistic representation of the ocean’s C-cycle and related biogeochemistry in global circulation models (e.g. Le Quere et al. 2005). With this duality in contemporary marine microbial ecology, a fundamental question seems to be: How much of the system’s complexity needs to be explicitly represented in a model of the interactions between chemistry and biology, when the model’s goal is to provide predictive power for a changing ocean? The answer is not trivial, and if an answer to such a general question exists, it is not likely to be very precise. What is clear, however, is that complexity in detail does not in itself preclude simplicity at higher levels of organization. Traditional examples include the macroeconomic theories of Keynes (1936) and the laws of classical thermodynamics, both illustrating how relatively simple relationships may connect variables at a higher level without
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requiring any explicit representation of the underlying complexity at the level of microeconomics or molecular motion.

A drastically down-scaled version of the general ‘predictability of the ocean’s response to climate change’ question is to ask whether we can predict ecosystem responses to (more or less) controlled perturbation experiments. To reduce the subject further towards a well-defined question, consider the simple fact that abandoning the concept of a linear food chain automatically introduces the potential for alternative pathways for the limiting element(s), from the free mineral form, through the microbial food web, to mesozooplankton. The potential biogeochemical consequences are profound; one does not need a sophisticated analysis to predict that an entry of the mineral nutrients through prokaryotes with a subsequent release by viral lysis will have a very different effect on the photic zone C-cycle than an entry through large, fast-sinking diatoms, grazed by fecal pellet-producing copepods. With this in mind, a seemingly modest first ambition might be to develop an ability to predict which of the alternative nutrient pathways will dominate in a planned experiment.

When experimenting with natural or near-natural ecosystems, reproducibility is not a trivial matter. System response does not depend only on the experimental perturbations applied, but also on the initial conditions and, in the case of most outdoor experiments, on non-controlled environmental variables such as temperature and light histories throughout the experiment. A model may appear quite convincing when one can explain observed results in many mesocosm units starting from 1 initial water mass, but perturbed in different manners, using 1 model with 1 set of parameter values and an assumption of steady state prior to the perturbations (e.g. Thingstad et al. 2007). However, a single experiment of this type can only prove that the model reproduced the correct set of responses for one particular set of initial conditions and environmental drivers.

The strategy of systematically repeating similarly designed mesocosm experiments is rarely applied, but has been used to compare results in a Baltic, a Mediterranean, and a Norwegian fjord environment: Olsen et al. (2006) found 2 orders of magnitude difference in autotrophic biomass between these experiments. A study in which a full 2-level, 3-factor (N-addition, P-addition, removal of mesozooplankton) 2³ factorial design was repeated 10 times through the growing season in the Baltic demonstrated huge variations in the responses as the experiments were started from the seasonally changing initial conditions (Kivi et al. 1993). In the present study, we tried to approach the issue of between-experiment variability by reviewing how added mineral nutrients passed through the microbial food web in a series of different experiments, ranging in scale from simple artificial food webs constructed in laboratory chemostats, via mesocosm experiments, to a Lagrangian in situ experiment.

THE ‘MINIMUM’ MODEL

A modest step up in complexity from a linear nutrients–phytoplankton–zooplankton model is the 3-pathway model shown in Fig. 1A with (1) a ‘bacterial,’ (2) an ‘autotrophic flagellate’, and (3) a ‘diatom’ entry point for the mineral nutrients. Each of these osmotroph (those that feed on dissolved nutrients) plankton functional types (PFT) has its separate phagotroph (those that eat particles) predator, forming 3 parallel ‘vertical’ (in Fig. 1A) food chains. The phagotrophs...
are again connected through the ‘horizontal’ carnivorous food chain from ‘heterotrophic flagellates’ via ‘ciliates’ to ‘copepods’. Similar to most other recent attempts to model the pelagic microbial food web, this construction can be considered an idealized version of Fenchel’s (1987) conceptual model, although it is modified here by arranging organisms according to feeding mode (osmo- and phagotrophy), rather than by auto- and heterotrophy. This particular 6-PFT version has been discussed and used in several contexts, both as a conceptual construction (Thingstad & Rassoulzadegan 1999, Thingstad et al. 2008) and in more rigorous mathematical forms (Thingstad et al. 2007). The 6-PFT model of Fig. 1A is a convenient basis for the present discussion, since our question can now be re-phrased in a relatively precise form: Which pathway (1, 2, or 3) will become dominant in a given experiment?

Among the models of the pelagic microbial food web with clear resemblances to the structure in Fig. 1A is the conceptual model by Legerdne & Rassoulzadegan (1995); they pointed out the continuum in systems from those dominated by what they termed a ‘herbivorous’ food web, corresponding to a dominance of Pathway 3 in Fig. 1A, via ‘microbial food webs’ (Pathway 2), to their ‘microbial loop’ (Pathway 1). Their discussions of how the balance between these shifts between different oceanic regions, and how systems dominated by either the microbial loop or the herbivorous pathways have a more temporary nature, certainly suggest that a fair amount of predictability may exist at this level of system resolution.

Several aspects are missing in the food web structure of Fig. 1A. Obvious simplifications include: (1) the lack of any cross-linking between osmotroph and phagotroph groups, e.g. from autotrophic flagellates to copepods; (2) the lack of mixed feeding modes (e.g. mixotrophic protists; Havskum & Riemann 1996, Thingstad et al. 1996, Zubkov & Tarran 2008); and (3) the lack of an explicit representation of picoautotrophs.

It is possible that these and other omissions result in an overly simplistic representation of the natural system. However, some support for the structure in Fig. 1A can be drawn from experiments. An interesting prediction of the simple 3-link food chain structure is that increasing copepod abundance should have the opposite effect on diatoms (decreasing) and autotrophic flagellates (increasing), caused by the intermediate link through ciliates between copepods and flagellates. Since this predicted effect has been experimentally confirmed in mesocosms (Stibor et al. 2004, Vadstein et al. 2004), the simplified structure of Fig. 1A seems to capture this essential aspect of the system.

The steady states of a model as simple as this can, with some assumptions, be solved analytically (Thingstad 2000). For appropriate time scales, this allows a formalized discussion of how the balance between the pathways changes with factors such as: (1) total content of the common limiting element, (2) whether bacterial growth is limited by organic carbon or by mineral nutrients, (3) the availability of silicate, and (4) organism properties such as nutrient uptake affinities in osmotrophs, clearance rates in phagotrophs, and yield coefficients.

Some general properties of the model’s response to nutrient perturbations are easily conceived from simple heuristic arguments. Consider an experiment starting with a system characterized by mineral nutrient-limited phytoplankton growth rate. When limiting nutrient(s) are added, this will alleviate growth rate limitations, lasting for a period depending on the ratio between existing osmotroph biomass and the dose supplied. As the nutrients are assimilated and converted into new osmotrophs, the system somewhat paradoxically shifts to increased growth rate limitation since the biomass of osmotroph competitors has increased, but not the rate of recycling. In this state, bacteria will therefore experience high competition pressure for mineral nutrients, driving the system towards mineral nutrient-limited bacterial growth. If mineral nutrient-stressed phytoplankton excretes organic C available to bacteria, this will drive the system further towards bacterial mineral nutrient limitation. With high osmotroph biomass, a trophic succession to the phagotrophs would be expected. The effect of this passing of matter up the ‘vertical’ food chains to the phagotrophs in Fig. 1A would be reduced competition as well as increased recycling, and therefore a reduced mineral nutrient stress for the remaining osmotrophs and an increased potential for bacterial consumption of bioavailable dissolved organic carbon (BDOC). The timing of these shifts in nutrient limitation thus depends on the characteristic time scales for the numerical response in the different phagotrophs. Since one would expect a faster numerical response in ciliates than in copepods, this model predicts a phytoplankton bloom in a system dominated by diatoms (Pathway 3) to last longer and reach higher levels than a flagellate-dominated bloom (Pathway 2). From the arguments above, the consequence is also that the model predicts a prolonged period with mineral nutrient-limited bacterial growth when diatoms are present.

**EXPERIMENTS**

The between-experiment variability in nutrient pathways through the microbial food web is illustrated by comparing the 5 different experiments summarized in Table 1. All experiments have ‘bottom-up’ manipu-
lations in the form of mineral nutrients (inorganic N and P) in different combinations with silicate and easily degradable organic C (as glucose). The laboratory chemostat experiment (PROMARE) and the 3 mesocosm experiments (MEDEA, PAME-I, and PAME-II) were all constructed with the experimental units arranged along gradients in increasing supply of allochthonous organic C (as glucose). The chemostats (PROMARE) were fed continuously with a P-deficient (relative to N) medium, while the mesocosms were fed with daily doses of dissolved inorganic N and P (DIN and DIP) in Redfield ratio. The Lagrangian experiment (CYCLOPS) received a single pulse of phosphate only. All experiments except PAME-II have been published, and experimental detail can be found in the references given in Table 1. The unpublished experiment PAME-II was done in the same location as PAME-I. Note the differences in silicate additions and in the type of DIN source in the 3 mesocosm experiments (Table 1).

**Fundamental mechanisms demonstrated in an artificial food web: the PROMARE chemostat experiment**

The principles behind the steady-state relationships are best illustrated in the PROMARE experiment, using artificially constructed combinations of the reduced 3-PFT food web model in Fig. 1B (Pengerud et al. 1987). When the chemostats contained only bacteria, increasing levels of reservoir glucose concentrations gave steady states wherein bacterial abundance increased linearly with increasing glucose, up to the reservoir C:P ratio (glucose C:PO$_4$ P ratio ~140 molar), where all free phosphate was consumed by the bacteria. Interestingly, this was followed by a region in reservoir C:P ratios (~140 to ~250) for which both glucose and phosphate were depleted (C,P co-limitation?), demonstrating the degree of flexibility in stoichiometric coupling of C and P consumption in the bacterium (*Pseudomonas putida*) used. Additional reservoir glucose beyond this ratio (C:P > ~ 250) was not consumed, but remained in the culture medium. Mixing the bacterial inoculum with a diatom (*Skeletonema* sp.), the diatom could establish only in the region with C-limited bacteria and partly into the middle co-limited region, but not in the purely P-limited (glucose replete) region. This is also in general agreement with expectations when using the traditional assumption that bacteria are superior mineral nutrient competitors. In the present context, the bacteria + heterotrophic flagellate + diatom combination is the most interesting. In this case, the community became dominated by the diatom while glucose consumption was reduced to very low levels. The interpretation within the model framework is that bacterial growth rate ($\mu$) was restricted due to mineral nutrient competition with the diatoms, and bacterial biomass ($B$) was restricted by predation from heterotrophic flagellates. With these restrictions, bacterial phosphate consumption ($-\mu B$) was locked at a low level, and the remaining part of the supplied phosphate became available to the diatom population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Type; location</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROMARE</td>
<td>Chemostat; laboratory</td>
<td>Artificial food webs with different combinations of a diatom, a bacterium and a heterotrophic flagellate in a gradient of chemostats with increasing reservoir glucose, fixed phosphate concentration. Nitrate concentration in excess of biological demand</td>
<td>Pengerud et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDEA</td>
<td>Mesocosm; Danish fjord</td>
<td>Two glucose gradients, one without silicate addition, the other kept silicate replete. Daily addition of nitrate and phosphate (100 nM) in Redfield ratio to all units</td>
<td>Havskum et al. (2003), Thingstad et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAME-I</td>
<td>Mesocosm; Ny Ålesund, Svalbard</td>
<td>Two glucose gradients, one without silicate addition, the other kept silicate replete. Daily addition of ammonium and phosphate (100 nM) in Redfield ratio to all units</td>
<td>Thingstad et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAME-II</td>
<td>Mesocosm; Ny Ålesund, Svalbard</td>
<td>Two glucose gradients, both kept silicate replete, one with ammonium, the other with nitrate as DIN source. Daily additions of DIN and phosphate to all units</td>
<td>Marine Microbiology Research Group, University of Bergen (unpubl.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYCLOPS</td>
<td>Lagrangian; Cyprus Gyre, eastern Mediterranean</td>
<td>Pulse addition of phosphate (~120 nM)</td>
<td>Thingstad et al. (2005a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Experiments compared in this article. DIN: dissolved inorganic nitrogen
result was thus diatom dominance, despite the inferior competitive ability of the diatom. In generic terminology (Fig. 1C), the stable co-existence of a ‘competition specialist’ (the bacterium) and a ‘defense specialist’ (the diatom in this system), was allowed by a mechanism (flagellate predation) that selectively killed the winner (the competition specialist; Thingstad & Lignell 1997). The model has 2 theoretical steady states with different mechanisms allowing stable coexistence of bacteria and phytoplankton: one in which their growth rates are limited by different substrates and one in which they are limited by the same shared substrate (phosphate in Fig. 1B). An important message from analyzing the model food web in Fig. 1B is that the pathway taken for nutrients (Pathways 1 or 3) does not depend solely on the relative competitive abilities of the bacterium and diatom used, but also strongly on the properties of the predator and the total amount of limiting nutrients available for sharing among the 3 groups. The balance among the 3 populations is thus an emergent property of the total system and cannot be understood from knowledge of isolated parts reduced to a level less than the 3 populations in Fig. 1C.

Conceptual models of the generic type in Fig. 1C have been used to explain other experiments, such as the coexistence of resistant and sensitive bacterial host strains when viruses are present (Bohannan & Lenski 1997), the favoring of grazing-resistant forms of bacteria in mixed bacterial communities subject to protozoan grazing (Matz & Jürgens 2003), and the favoring of grazing-resistant phytoplankton when subject to metazoan grazing (McCauley & Briand 1979, Steiner 2003). Assuming that an increase in cell size is a means to avoid strong grazing pressure from small microzooplankton, the principle of ‘more nutrients result in more defense strategists’ can be used to explain the observations indicating that average cell size in phytoplankton is positively correlated with chlorophyll level in oceanic datasets (Irigoien et al. 2004). A non-steady state extension of the same principles is the ‘loophole’ concept (Irigoien et al. 2005), which suggests that blooms of phytoplankton reflect a temporary absence of an efficient predator.

The MEDEA experiment: diatom success due to slow predator response?

All mesocosm units in the MEDEA mesocosm experiment (Havskum et al. 2003) received the same daily dose of phosphate (100 nM) and nitrate (in Redfield ratio). The units were arranged in 2 gradients, with daily additions of glucose increasing along the gradients. After about 3.5 d, the free silicate initially present in the water was depleted, and silicate additions started in 1 gradient (+Si) to keep these units silicate replete. This led to a bloom of large, chain-forming diatoms in the +Si gradient, versus a flagellate + Mesodinium rubrum-dominated bloom in the other (–Si) gradient. Chlorophyll a (chl a) and estimated diatom C biomass levels reached much higher levels in the +Si gradient, indicating that the added nutrients in this case primarily entered the food web through the diatoms (Pathway 1) and were immobilized there over the time scale of the experiment (10 d). This was explained in the heuristic model analysis above as less efficient grazing on diatoms than on autotrophic flagellates in the –Si gradient. The diatom success was accompanied by a reduction in the system’s ability to consume glucose, also explained in the previous heuristic analysis as the consequence of immobilization of the limiting element(s) in diatom biomass (Thingstad et al. 2007). Without silicate (–Si gradient), the system’s ability for glucose consumption increased over time, which is explained in the same analysis as the consequence of a fast response in the flagellate–ciliate trophic link (Pathway 2). Despite the contrast between the complex near-natural food web in MEDEA and the highly simplified web in the PROMARE experiment, the success of the diatoms and their negative effect on bacterial consumption of glucose were features common to both experiments, explainable with the same basic principles (Fig. 1A, B).

With this body of experimental evidence, a potentially robust prediction may be as follows: adding silicate will stimulate a diatom bloom, which, due to a slow response in the diatom–mesozooplankton food chain, will keep limiting elements immobilized at the diatom level for a prolonged period. This will drive bacteria to mineral nutrient limitation and thereby limit bacterial consumption of otherwise degradable organic C.

The PAME-I experiment: Does the structure of the diatom community matter?

One strategy for exploring differences between 2 environments is to take an experimental setup you believe you understand in one environment and repeat it in the other. Following this strategy, the concepts and experience derived above from the PROMARE and MEDEA experiments were used to design a mesocosm experiment (PAME-I) in Kongsfjorden, Ny Ålesund, Svalbard, Norway, that was intended to improve our understanding of Arctic pelagic systems.

The design strongly resembled that of the MEDEA experiment, but practical considerations necessitated
modifications such as the use of robust plastic containers (Thingstad et al. 2008) rather than plastic bags and a choice of ammonium as the N source as opposed to nitrate used in the MEDEA experiment.

As predicted, silicate addition in the absence of glucose again led to a diatom bloom that lasted longer and reached a higher level than the flagellate bloom in the –Si gradient. However, the response expected from the MEDEA experiment, in which silicate addition reduced bacterial activity, was not reproduced. Instead, glucose addition led to a strong proportional reduction of the diatom population. Because the dominating diatom (Thalassiosira sp.) apparently fixed organic C in a high C:N ratio, the paradoxical net effect of increasing the rate of addition of organic C to the system was a strong reduction in the accumulation rate of organic C in the system. Both responses can be qualitatively described within the framework of Fig. 1A, but the biogeochemical consequences expected from a ‘bacteria-win-over-diatoms’ situation, as in PAME-I, are profoundly different from those expected from a ‘diatoms-win-over-bacteria’ situation as in the MEDEA experiment.

With our present level of understanding of this system, we suggest a possible reason for this difference in system response. The diatom community in the PAME-I experiment was totally dominated by small (<10 µm diameter) solitary (Thalassiosira sp.) cells, in contrast to the large chain-forming species (Skeletonema costatum, Dactyliosolen fragilissimus, Chaetochoerus curvicetus; Havskum et al. 2003) that dominated in the MEDEA experiment. Assuming that a diatom <10 µm is partly subject to grazing by the same microzooplankton predators that graze on autotrophic flagellates (the ‘ciliates’ in Fig. 1), the consequence is a reduced difference in the dynamics of the diatom and the flagellate pathways. Adding this extra assumption, the apparently contrasting observations of the MEDEA and the PAME-I experiments seem both to be (at least qualitatively) explainable within the framework of the model in Fig. 1.

An ability to predict this difference in a given experiment will require a robust explanation for the mechanism leading to small- versus large-celled diatoms. This problem was analyzed by Litchman et al. (2009), who related large diatoms to pulsing in the nitrogen supply. However, this does not immediately explain the differences between the MEDEA and PAME-I experiments, since both had pulsed N (and P) supplies. Intriguing is the model proposed by Stolte & Riegman (1995), which is based on the assumption that diatoms can store nitrate, but not ammonium, in the vacuole. Since the vacuole:cytoplasm ratio increases with cell size, their model gives a competitive advantage to large-celled diatoms in an environment pulsed with nitrate, but not ammonium. This is in accordance with the outcome of MEDEA (large diatoms) and PAME-I (small diatoms), where the N sources were nitrate and ammonium, respectively.

**The PAME-II experiment: Does the DIN source matter?**

The discussion above leads to the hypothesis that adding DIN as nitrate in the presence of silicate should lead to a community of large-celled diatoms in combination with low consumption of glucose, as opposed to mesocosms with DIN added as ammonium, where one would predict a community of small-celled diatoms to be outcompeted by bacteria when supplied with easily degradable organic C. To test this, a third mesocosm experiment was performed (PAME-II) at the same location as PAME-I. This time, the 2 gradients in glucose addition both were kept silicate replete, but ammonium was used as the DIN source in one gradient and nitrate in the other. The result (Marine Microbiology Research Group, University of Bergen, unpubl.) was not as predicted. In this experiment, designed to study diatom–bacteria balance, the increase in chlorophyll was dominated by the 1 to 10 µm size fraction (J. Egge pers. comm.). Thus, nutrients entered the food web through the autotrophic flagellate pathway, despite the presence of excess Si and independent of the presence or absence of glucose. As this experiment remains unpublished, we will not argue strongly for any specific underlying mechanism, but point out that predation again provides a mechanism consistent with our observations. If the ‘horizontal’ grazing food chain in Fig. 1 is dominated by heterotrophic flagellates and mesozooplankton relative to ciliates, this would tend to prevent a response in the abundance of bacteria (Pathway 1) and diatoms (Pathway 3), while there will be a ‘loophole’ allowing the nutrients to enter through autotrophic flagellates.

Assuming that Lotka–Volterra oscillations can create alternatively low and high abundances along the bacteria–heterotrophic flagellates–ciliates–mesozooplankton food chain, an experiment started when the system is in an oscillatory phase dominated by ciliates would be expected to develop very differently from one started in the opposite phase with few ciliates and high populations of heterotrophic flagellates and copepods (as suggested for PAME-II). This food chain is tightly coupled in nature (Rassoulzadegan & Sheldon 1986, Weisse & Scheffel-Mös er 1991), and top-down and bottom-up perturbations will set up oscillations (Knuppo-Leinikki et al. 1994). Also in natural (not experimentally perturbed) systems, oscillations have been documented for the bacteria–heterotrophic fla-
gellate link (Fenchel 1982, Tanaka et al. 1997, Tanaka & Taniguchi 1999). If such internal oscillations are prominent, predictability would seem to require a detailed knowledge of the initial structure of the predator food chain. The simplifying assumption of an internal steady state in the microbial part of the ecosystem, as used by Thingstad et al. (2007) to calculate the initial conditions, would then not be universally applicable.

The CYCLOPS experiment: Do storage capacity and flexible stoichiometry matter?

In classical competition theory, pulsing of the nutrient supply is a factor selecting for organisms with storage capabilities, as opposed to the requirement for high affinity nutrient uptake in ecosystems with permanently low nutrient concentrations (e.g. Sommer 1985). As discussed above, a comparison of the MEDEA and PAME-I experiments suggests a rather intricate role of pulsed DIN supply coupled to the storage capacity in diatoms. It is also well known that bacteria can store organic reserves under mineral nutrient conditions (Dawes & Senior 1973). The importance of this mechanism may be more intricate than immediately apparent. Driving the system to mineral nutrient-limited bacterial growth by adding glucose as in MEDEA (Øvreås et al. 2003), the system becomes dominated by large bacteria with electron-thin inclusions believed to be C-rich storage material. The interesting aspect in the present discussion is that these bacteria seem to win ‘today’ when the system is still in a state of mineral nutrient-limited bacterial growth, as opposed to the traditional idea that storage is a strategy for increased fitness ‘tomorrow,’ when the system has shifted to C limitation. The suggested explanation is a dual role of the C-rich material. By increasing the cell size and changing shape, these bacteria (1) increase their surface and therefore the nutrient transport, without increasing their requirement for N and P, and (2) increase their grazing resistance (Thingstad et al. 2005b).

The perturbation in the CYCLOPS experiment consisted of single pulse (120 nM) of phosphate added to the surface system in the center of the Cyprus Gyre in the eastern Mediterranean (experiment reported in Krom et al. 2005), a system severely depleted in P relative to N (Krom et al. 2004). The (unpredicted) response to the phosphate addition was a decrease in chlorophyll, but a rapid initiation of egg production in copepods (Thingstad et al. 2005a). One suggested pathway for the added P corresponds to Pathway 1 (Fig. 1), where P uptake by P-limited (organic C replete) bacteria initiates a succession via heterotrophic flagellates and ciliates to copepods. With indications of N,P co-limited phytoplankton (Zohary et al. 2005), this succession can be argued to give a net increase in predation over growth for the picoplanktonic *Synechococcus* population dominating the system, and thus potentially explain the decrease in chlorophyll. The rapid (within 2 d) increase in copepod egg production seems more difficult to explain as a result of the added P being transferred via biomass successions through a long trophic chain. The alternative explanation offered was a ‘tunneling’ effect, whereby rapid luxury consumption (rapid uptake of the phosphate, temporarily uncoupled from biomass production) changed the food quality in copepod prey from P poor to P rich, initiating the presumably P-requiring process of egg production over a much shorter time scale than needed for the trophic succession from bacteria via Pathway 1 (Fig. 1).

The tunneling hypothesis may seem untraditional, since P limitation of processes in mesozooplankton has not been part of the standard paradigm in marine ecology. However, the idea can be considered a direct adaption of work done in limnology for more than 20 yr (e.g. Hessen 1992).

**ILLUSTRATION OF MODEL SENSITIVITY TO MINOR MODIFICATIONS**

Mathematical models reasonably successful in reproducing the observations of the MEDEA (Thingstad et al. 2007) and the CYCLOPS (Thingstad 2005) experiments have been published. Using the fixed-stoichiometry, phosphorus-based MEDEA model, we ran 3 simulations (denoted M, P-I, and P-II), to illustrate how relatively minor modifications to the model can produce qualitative differences in model responses analogous to those observed between the MEDEA, PAME-I, and PAME-II experiments. All 3 runs assumed labile organic C and silicate to be in excess to reproduce the situation with all 3 pathways ‘open.’ Run M is the model discussed by Thingstad et al. (2007), initialized with the microbial part in steady state (see Thingstad et al. 2007 for details) and a relatively low initial mesozooplankton biomass (Table 2), producing a diatom-dominated (Pathway 3) response (Fig. 2) as in the MEDEA experiment. In Run P-I, the diatom response was reduced by increasing the initial mesozooplankton biomass and modifying the model structure by including an ability of ciliates to consume diatoms (Table 2), mimicking the hypothesized ability of ciliates to consume the small diatom in the PAME-I experiment. The ca. 10°C difference in temperature between the MEDEA and PAME experiments was corrected for by multiplying all affinities, clearance rates, and maxi-
mum growth and ingestion rates by a factor of 0.4. The result was a shift from diatom to bacterial dominance (Fig. 2), as observed in the PAME-I experiment. In Run P-II, the initial state from Run M was modified by increasing the initial mesozooplankton biomass and also moving part of the initial ciliate biomass to initial biomass of heterotrophic flagellates. As expected, this shifted the response (Fig. 2) from diatoms (Run M) or bacteria (Run P-I) to autotrophic flagellates (Pathway 2), as observed in the PAME-II experiment.

We stress that these simulations are intended only for illustrative purposes. The modifications of the MEDEA model required to fit all observations from all 3 experiments are not known.

OTHER REPORTED MESocosm EXPERIMENTS: IS THIS FRAMEWORK APPLICABLE?

Following the between-experiment variability, we also compared different experiments with variable bottom-up and top-down control over the main 3 osmotroph functional types (heterotrophic bacteria, autotrophic flagellates, and diatoms).

In the Mediterranean Sea, the common approach has been to imitate the P-limited conditions for this area, testing different Redfield ratios of mineral nutrients and carbon sources compared to P, and using mainly ammonium as a source of N (Table 3). The overall effect proposes a combination of a microbial loop-dominated pathway followed by a herbivorous food web as the main pathway for the limiting elements. An exception is the study of LeBaron et al. (2001), in which

Table 2. Conditions for the 3 simulation runs used to illustrate the model's ability to reproduce the change in the dominating pathway between the MEDEA, PAME-I, and PAME-II experiments. BDOC: bioavailable dissolved organic carbon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Model structure</th>
<th>Model parameters</th>
<th>Initial state</th>
<th>Forcing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>As in original</td>
<td>As in original</td>
<td>Microbial part in steady state, with labile organic C and silicate in excess. Total P = 220 nM P Mesozooplankton P = 15 nM P</td>
<td>100 nM P added d⁻¹. Si and BDOC assumed to be in excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-I</td>
<td>Ciliate grazing on diatoms added</td>
<td>As in original except ciliate clearance rate on diatoms 40% of the clearance rate for flagellates. All affinities, clearance rates and maximum growth or ingestion rates multiplied by 0.4 to adjust for temperature differences</td>
<td>Microbial part in steady state. Total P = 220 nM P Mesozooplankton P = 20 nM P</td>
<td>As in Run M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-II</td>
<td>As in original</td>
<td>Temperature correction as in P-I, otherwise as in original</td>
<td>7 nM P initial ciliate biomass moved to heterotrophic flagellates. Total P = 220 nM P Mesozooplankton P = 30 nM P</td>
<td>As in Run M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Outcome of the 3 simulation runs (M, P-I, and P-II). Average values over the 10 d simulation period for (A) phosphate uptake and (B) biomass. Note the dominance of diatoms (Pathway 3; Diat) in Run M, bacteria (Pathway 1, Bact) in Run P-I, and autotrophic flagellates (Pathway 2; AFlag) in Run P-II.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Season, year</th>
<th>Experimental set-up</th>
<th>TDPM</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>Summer, 1997</td>
<td>Gradient of mineral nutrient additions following a geometric series of nutrient input. Stoichiometry was keep as 20N:7Si:1P. N was added as ammonium</td>
<td>1+3</td>
<td>N additions produced a shift in biomass distribution from a dominance of heterotrophs to autotrophs, in the form of 'more nutrients result in more defense strategists'. Microphytoplankton responded faster to mineral nutrients. A modest response in bacterial abundance was followed by an increase in heterotrophic nanoflagellate abundance. Ciliates and mesozooplankton were negligible during the study</td>
<td>Duarte et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer, 1997</td>
<td>2 controls without additions and 2 replicates of mineral nutrient additions (5.1 µM of NaNO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;, 1.8 µM of NH₄Cl, 0.6 µM of KH₂PO₄)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bacterial growth was rapidly stimulated by addition of mineral nutrients. 'Medium' and 'large' bacteria were followed by higher abundances of flagellates and ciliates, respectively. No data about autotrophs</td>
<td>Lebaron et al. (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn, 2004</td>
<td>Duplicated mesocosm: control (no additions), 50 nM of PO₄ (+P), 13.25 µM of glucose (+Gl), same concentrations of glucose and PO₄ (+GP). Daily addition of 2 µM NH₄ to all units. Final Redfield ratio during the experiment was 1590C:40N:1P, producing P-limited conditions</td>
<td>1+3</td>
<td>Maximum phytoplankton biomass after 4 d for +P and +GP treatments. Bacterial abundance was controlled by heterotrophic flagellates in all units. Bacteria:phytoplankton biomass ratio was 1.2 at the start of the experiment and increased in all mesocosms</td>
<td>Allers et al. (2007), Tanaka et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn, 2000</td>
<td>3² factorial experiments. Mineral nutrients (16Si:16N:1P) and copepod biomass were the experimental variables. NH₄ was used as N source</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Main process involved was carnivory by copepods and a resulting trophic cascade through ciliates to algae. Nanoalgae had the highest increase due to mineral nutrient additions. No information about heterotrophic bacteria and heterotrophic nanoflagellates</td>
<td>Vadstein et al. (2004)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2005</td>
<td>pCO₂ gradient of 350, 700, and 1050 µatm. NO₃ and PO₄ were added to induce a phytoplankton bloom</td>
<td>2+3</td>
<td>Primary production rates increased in response to nutrient addition. No clear heterotrophic phase was observed, part of the production was not degraded. ^32P uptake and particulate P indicated that phosphate transferred to &gt;10 µm fraction was greater at higher pCO₂.</td>
<td>Egge et al. (2009), Tanaka et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Baltic Sea</td>
<td>Autumn, 1995</td>
<td>A gradient of daily addition of NO₃, NH₄, and PO₄, following a randomized block design with 3 experiments on different dates</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Proportion of heterotrophs (including mesozoooplankton) was higher at higher concentration of mineral nutrients. Maximum abundances for autotrophic nanoflagellates (Pyramimonas sp.)</td>
<td>Andersson et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>Summer, 2000</td>
<td>4 light levels (100, 50, 25, and 10% of the natural irradiance) with and without mineral nutrients (10N:10Si:1P). N was added as ammonium</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>High bacterial biomass without mineral nutrient additions uncoupled to a high chl a biomass in mineral nutrient additions, dominated by large diatoms (Thalassiosira antarctica). Flagellates and ciliates controlled bacteria and phytoplankton biomass, respectively, with high flagellate and ciliate growth rates and biomass</td>
<td>Duarte et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mesocosms in contrasting marine areas: Mediterranean Sea, Norwegian fjords, northern Baltic Sea, and Southern Ocean. TDPM: Type of Dominant Pathway Model, where 1: microbial loop, 2: microbial food web, and 3: herbivorous food web.
no autotrophic biomass was measured. Micro-phytoplankton responded quickly to mineral nutrients (~4 d, Duarte et al. 2000) with no response of zooplankton during the period of study and keeping the limiting elements immobilized at the diatom level. During the first days of the experiments, heterotrophic bacteria increased faster than diatoms (~1 d), but a faster response in the microbial loop pathway was dominated by the grazing pressure of heterotrophic nanoflagellates, observed by the subsequent increase in heterotrophic flagellate numbers (Table 3).

In the Norwegian fjords, a mesocosm experiment tested the top-down control of copepods in addition to the bottom-up effect of the mineral nutrients (Vadstein et al. 2004), while another used a pCO₂ enrichment experiment (PeECE III) plus mineral nutrient additions to induce a phytoplankton bloom (Tanaka et al. 2008, Egge et al. 2009). Neither of these experiments used silicate as a limiting element. In both, a combination of pathways of limiting nutrients was observed (Table 3). Total chl a (Vadstein et al. 2004) and net community production (Egge et al. 2009) increased rapidly after nutrient additions. Phytoplankton >10 µm increased faster during the first 5 d in the PeECE III mesocosm experiment, with a subsequent increase in the fraction between 5 and 10 µm after 10 d (Egge et al. 2009) immobilizing the limiting elements at the diatom level (Pathway 3). Particulate P and ³²PΟ₄ uptake indicated that phosphate was transferred to the >10 µm fraction during the same period (Tanaka et al. 2008). After this period, phosphate turnover decreased to values >1 h, and ³²PΟ₄ uptake increased in the fraction between 1 and 5 µm, suggesting a faster transport of the limiting element through the microbial food web pathway. The effect of copepods produces a similar response, resulting in a trophic cascade through ciliates to autotrophic flagellates (Vadstein et al. 2004), which indicates a faster numerical response through this pathway.

In high latitude systems (e.g. Baltic Sea, Southern Ocean) the microbial food web or the herbivorous food web models seem to dominate depending on the addition of silicate and N sources (Table 3), following a similar result as our MEDEA, PAME-I, and PAME-II mesocosm experiments. Chl a increased rapidly after mineral nutrient additions with maximum abundances for autotrophic flagellates (Pyramimonas sp.) followed by a high proportion of heterotrophic nanoflagellates and ciliates (Andersson et al. 2006). In a separate experiment with 4 light regimes and the addition of silicate, phosphate, and ammonium, the autotrophic biomass was mainly represented by large diatoms (Thalassiosira antarctica), and bacteria and autotrophic flagellates were controlled by a high biomass and growth rates of heterotrophic flagellates and ciliates (Duarte et al. 2005).

CONCLUSION

A main conclusion is that responses both in our 5 experiments and in many other mesocosm experiments may be explained qualitatively using the idealized food web models of Fig. 1. The role of this structure as a useful tool for qualitative, and in some cases quantitative (Thingstad et al. 2007), understanding and analysis of the lower part of the pelagic ecosystem is therefore supported. Understanding the properties of these ‘first-order’ models thus seems required to establish a foundation upon which more elaborate models can be built, potentially minimizing some of the ‘castles built on sand’ properties associated with more complex ecosystem models (e.g. Flynn 2005). However, comparing the 5 experiments and the occurrence of unexpected and counterintuitive results, the ambition to reliably predict the pathway taken by nutrients in a given experiment is not fulfilled with these first-order models alone.

Interestingly, the mechanisms suggested as additions necessary for explaining the observed variability in nutrient pathways are all related to flexible stoichiometry, to predator control, or to the interactions between the two:

- The hypothesized difference in diatom storage capabilities for nitrate and ammonium was suggested to influence the size-structure of the diatom community, translating into an effect on the grazing control of the phytoplankton bloom and a subsequent effect on diatom–bacteria nutrient competition (PAME-I).
- A carbon:nutrient ratio much larger than Redfield (molar C:P = 106) in the small diatom dominating the PAME-I experiment gave the counter-intuitive response of decreasing accumulation of organic C with increasing glucose addition.
- The storage of reserve material in mineral nutrient-limited (organic C replete) bacteria was hypothesized to reduce the trade-off between nutrient competition and predator defense (MEDEA experiment).
- The luxury consumption of phosphate leading to rapid change of the P:C ratio in osmotrophs was suggested to change the food quality for copepods, translating into a rapid response in egg production (CYCLOPS).
- Differences in the initial predator food chain structure (loophole effect) were suggested as an important source of variation leading to different nutrient pathways (PAME II).

Taken together, this produces a picture of a system wherein the interactions between flexibility in organism stoichiometry and predatory processes seem to be a central factor behind many of the unexpected and counterintuitive responses observed. This may thus seem a natural candidate mechanism to suggest for
inclusion in ‘second-order’ models aiming at increasing explanatory power. Due to the uncertainties added by increasing the number of state variables and model parameters, however, it is not obvious whether this will improve the models’ general predictive power.
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