Aquatic protists modulate the microbial activity associated with mineral surfaces and leaf litter
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ABSTRACT: Aquatic heterotrophic protists structure biofilm morphology and stimulate organic matter processing, but knowledge about their effects on the activity of surface-associated communities is still missing. Microcosm experiments revealed that the community respiration of young biofilms (7 d old) at mineral surfaces was not affected by co-cultivation with the raptorial feeder Chilodonella uncinata or the suspension feeder Tetrahymena pyriformis. However, grazing by both ciliates reduced the bacterial abundance and probably enhanced nutrient availability by recycling. Our data indicated an increased individual bacterial activity under grazing pressure, resulting in no net effect on the community respiration. In a second experiment, the respiration of leaf-associated microbial communities composed of the fungus Heliscus lugdunensis and a multispecies bacterial assemblage was significantly enhanced in the presence of T. pyriformis after 7 d of incubation. The stimulation was observed under both normoxic (turbulent) and hypoxic (turbulent and stagnant) conditions. After longer incubation, presumably matching an advanced phase of leaf degradation, T. pyriformis did not affect community respiration exposed to hypoxic stagnant conditions. In contrast to former studies, no impact of protists on leaf mass loss was observed. By stimulating leaf-associated community respiration, protists seem to affect processes involved in the initial phase of leaf processing.
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INTRODUCTION

Microbial communities consisting of prokaryotes, fungi, and protists (Lock et al. 1984) are rapidly formed at mineral surfaces (e.g. stones) or organic substrates (e.g. dead wood or leaf litter) submerged in water. In streams, these sites are hot spots of carbon turnover (Geesey et al. 1978). The microbial activity of biofilms at mineral surfaces is nourished by the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the surrounding water during the initial biofilm development (Mickleburgh et al. 1984, Sobczak 1996, Romani et al. 2004). To gain their required carbon, microbial communities on organic substrates utilize the organic substances of the wood or leaf tissue itself. The carbon fixed in bacteria and algae is then transferred by grazing protists to the meio- and macrofauna (Augspurger et al. 2008, Norf et al. 2009). The nutrients released from grazing protists can be directly available to the local biofilm community or lost to downstream sites due to water flow. At mineral surfaces, grazing by protists increases the spatial and temporal heterogeneity of bacterial biofilms (Lawrence & Snyder 1998). The grazing effi-
ciencies of protists on biofilm bacteria depend on their mode of feeding. Raptorial feeders that take up selected food items can cause biofilm-free patches at mineral surfaces (Queck et al. 2006, Böhme et al. 2009). Strong feeding currents, created by suspension feeders to concentrate food items from the surrounding area, seem to cause distinct and densely colonized microcolonies (Weitere et al. 2005, Wey et al. 2008, Böhme et al. 2009). These micro-currents, generated either from moving cilia and eukaryotic flagella or from the protists mobility, are proposed to be responsible for enhanced nutrient and gas exchange between the biofilm and its surrounding fluid (Glud & Fenchel 1999). Thus, protists may stimulate the microbial activity of biofilms both by grazing and associated nutrient recycling and by enhancing the availability of resources due to ventilation.

In contrast to biofilms that cover, for example, mineral surfaces, fungal hyphae and hyphosphere-associated bacteria also penetrate and grow inside the organic substrates, such as leaf litter (Baschien et al. 2009), and mediate its decomposition. Protists that rely mainly on a bacterial diet (Finlay & Esteban 1998) are commonly found associated with leaf litter (Bott & Kaplan 1989, Franco et al. 1998). Ribblett et al. (2005) showed that these flagellates and ciliates colonizing streams enhance bacterial leaf litter decomposition under normoxic conditions. Fungi, which are key microbial decomposers of leaf litter (Suberkropp 2001, Hieber & Gessner 2002, Abelho et al. 2005), were not included in their experiment.

Microbial heterotrophic processes deplete oxygen and can cause hypoxic micro-zones within, for example, leaf packs (Eichem et al. 1993) or multi-layered biofilms at mineral surfaces (de Beer et al. 1996). The probability of the formation of hypoxic micro-zones increases in low-flow environments with poor resupply of oxygen, such as pools of low-order streams during drought conditions. Under hypoxic conditions, important leaf-processing macro-invertebrates, the shredders, stop feeding and may be eliminated (Boulton 2003, Bjelke 2005, Schlief & Mutz 2009, 2011). Several protist species can tolerate low oxygen environments (Fenchel et al. 1989, Finlay & Esteban 2009). Hence, protist-induced micro-currents, particularly under low oxygen conditions, possibly mitigate hypoxic micro-zones at leaf litter or mineral surfaces, and in combination with grazing activity, protists might modulate microbial activity. However, data on the impact of protists on microbial activity under hypoxic conditions are still limited.

In the present study, we investigated whether the microbial activity associated with submerged mineral surfaces or leaf litter is affected by the presence of protists. At mineral surfaces, we tested the effects of 2 protist species with different feeding modes and grazing efficiencies (raptorial and suspension feeders) on biofilm activity. We hypothesized that, irrespective of the feeding mode of the protist species, the microbial activity associated with mineral surfaces is enhanced. Because microbial activity can expose leaf litter to low oxygen environments, we examined the effect of a protist on the leaf-associated microbial activity under different combinations of flow condition and oxygen concentration. We tested the hypothesis that leaf-associated microbial activity is most strongly enhanced by protists under hypoxic stagnant conditions because ventilating protists increase oxygen supply.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Two experiments were performed to study the impact of protists on the microbial activity associated with (1) biofilms at a mineral surface (biofilm experiment) and (2) leaf litter (leaf litter experiment) (Table 1). In the biofilm experiment, protist species that differ in their mode of feeding (the raptorial feeding *Chilodonella uncinata* and the suspension feeding *Tetrahymena pyriformis*) were co-cultivated with a multispecies bacterial community on a mineral surface (glass slide) in 2 successively conducted runs. In the leaf litter experiment, the impact of a protist on microbial activity associated with leaf litter under different combinations of oxygen concentration and flow condition was studied. *T. pyriformis* was chosen as a model species because leaf-associated ciliate communities in small streams are dominated by suspension feeding species (Franco et al. 1998). Community respiration was used as a microbial response parameter to estimate microbial activity associated with the mineral surface and leaf litter. The abundance and biomass of protists and bacteria were assessed to support the results on microbial activity. In the leaf litter experiment, remaining leaf mass was used as a measure for microbially mediated leaf decay.

**Cultivation of protists and bacteria**

The raptorial feeder *Chilodonella uncinata* (Phyllopharyngia, Ciliophora) is characterized by a special mouth structure, the cytopharyngeal basket with phyliae and rod-shaped nematodesmata, and a
dorso-ventrally flattened cell shape with cilia mainly on the ventral side (Foissner et al. 1991, Hausmann et al. 2003). Due to its specialized morphology, this ciliate species is a typical component of biofilms (Foissner et al. 1991, Risse-Buhl & Küsel 2009) and can take up surface-associated bacteria. The suspension feeder *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (Hymenostomatia, Ciliophora) creates strong water currents with a ciliary membrane that transports mainly suspended but also loosely attached bacterial cells to the mouth region (Foissner et al. 1994, Eisenmann et al. 1998). This species changes frequently between a biofilm and planktonic lifestyle. The genus *Tetrahymena* can profoundly alter the 3-dimensional structure of bacterial biofilms (Weitere et al. 2005). Cyst formation is not described for either species (see Foissner et al. 1991, 1994).

*Chilodonella uncinata* was isolated from sandy sediments (upper 1 cm) of the Hühnerwasser Creek (Brandenburg, Germany; 51° 36' N, 14° 17' E) by serial dilution. Cultures were kept in Volvic table water (Brandenburg, Germany; 51° 36' N, 14° 17' E) by serum sediments (upper 1 cm) of the Hühnerwasser Creek (1991, 1994). The bacterial community originating in the Dikopsbach Stream (a tributary of the River Rhine, near Wesselming, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany; 50° 49' N, 6° 59' E) was used in the leaf litter experiment to mimic the bacterial community composition typically found in forested streams. The water was sampled in stream sections where leaf litter accumulated. The bacterial community was enriched by serial dilution, cultivated in Pratt medium (0.1 g l−1 KNO3, 0.01 g l−1 K2HPO4, 0.01 g l−1 MgSO4, and 0.001 g l−1 FeCl3) with quinoa grains (DPQ bacterial community) and transferred to fresh medium every 2 wk. All cultures were kept at 18°C in the dark. The bacteria were enumerated in both the bacterial and *Chilodonella uncinata*.

Table 1. Experimental design and characteristics of protist species and bacterial communities used for the 2 experiments. VQ bacterial community: a bacterial community developed in Volvic table water with quinoa grains within 3 d. DPQ bacterial community: a bacterial community that was enriched from the Diekopsbach stream (forested stream with high leaf litter input) by serial dilution and cultivated in Pratt medium with quinoa grains within 3 d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protist speciesa</th>
<th>Feeding mode; food sourceb</th>
<th>Bacterial community</th>
<th>Surface or substrate</th>
<th>Oxygen + flow environment</th>
<th>Duration (d), replication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biofilm experiment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chilodonella uncinata</em> (Phyllopharyngia, Ciliophora)</td>
<td>Raptorial feeder; loosely attached and embedded bacteria</td>
<td>VQ bacterial community</td>
<td>Mineral surface (glass slide)</td>
<td>Normoxia + turbulent flow</td>
<td>7, n = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tetrahymena pyriformis</em> (Hymenostomatia, Ciliophora)</td>
<td>Suspension feeder; suspended and loosely attached bacteria</td>
<td>VQ bacterial community</td>
<td>Mineral surface (glass slide)</td>
<td>Normoxia + turbulent flow</td>
<td>7, n = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leaf litter experiment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tetrahymena pyriformis</em> (Hymenostomatia, Ciliophora)</td>
<td>Suspension feeder; suspended and loosely attached bacteria</td>
<td>DPQ bacterial community</td>
<td>Leaf litter (leaves of <em>Alnus glutinosa</em> colonized with aquatic hyphomycete <em>Heliscus lugdunensis</em>)</td>
<td>Normoxia + turbulent flow</td>
<td>7 &amp; 21, n = 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Taxonomic classification after Adl et al. (2005) and Lynn & Small (2002)
b Data from Foissner et al. (1991), Foissner et al. (1994), and Parry (2004)
cultures before the experiments started (see counting method in ‘Biofilm experiment’).

*Heliscus lugdunensis* (Ascomycota, Fungi; CCM F-10507, Brno, Czech Republic), an aquatic hyphomycete colonizing decaying plant litter (Willoughby & Archer 1973), was used in the leaf litter experiment. The hyphomycete was cultivated on malt extract agar (Gams et al. 1998), and conidia of the fungus were harvested by flooding the Petri dish with distilled water after 7 d. Subsequently, the conidia were suspended in 400 ml of malt extract broth at a density of $5.0 \times 10^5$ conidia ml$^{-1}$.

**Biofilm experiment**

For the biofilm experiment, sterile plastic centrifuge tubes (50 ml, Sarstedt) equipped with a glass slide (76 × 26 mm) for biofilm formation at a mineral surface served as microcosms. The slides, which fitted the diameter of the entire tube, divided the tube in 2 water volumes connected at both ends. Air was pumped into the microcosms through a hollow needle (stainless steel, length 200 mm, inner diameter 2 mm) at a rate of 0.2 cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ to maintain oxygen saturation and generate a turbulent water flow circulating the glass slides. The opening of the hollow needle was placed above the lower end of the glass slide. Because the tubes were placed in a slanting position (~20°), the air bubbles rising from the needle opening traveled along the tube wall and had no contact with the glass slides. Two sterile syringe filters (0.2 µm) placed in the airflow prevented microbial contamination of the microcosms. A cover slip (20 × 20 mm), which was tightly fixed with silicone on each glass slide, was used to sample and enumerate biofilm-associated protists in a defined area at the end of the biofilm experiment. Comparable microbial communities were expected on both cover slips and glass slides because both are of the same material with identical surface properties and were handled in the same way.

The experimental design of the biofilm experiment is shown in Table 1. The microcosms were equipped with Volvic table water (UV sterilized, pH 7) and 3 to 5 sterile quinoa grains. The grains that were added once at the start of the experiment accumulated at the bottom of the microcosm tubes, creating carbon-rich areas. From here, dissolved carbon was transported via the circulating turbulent water flow towards the mineral surface; thus, associated bacteria were continuously supplied with a carbon source. The microcosms were inoculated with a suspension of the VQ bacterial community of $0.6 \times 10^{10}$ to $1.2 \times 10^{10}$ cells ml$^{-1}$. In the protist-free treatment, bacterial biofilms developed at the mineral surface. Two successive runs were performed in which the protist-inhabited treatment immediately received a suspension of either *Chilodonella uncinata* or *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. Initial protist abundances ranged between 193 and 208 cells ml$^{-1}$ (see Table 2). Bacteria in the overnight-recovered *C. uncinata* cultures were quantified ($3.1 \times 10^9$ cells ml$^{-1}$) and added at the same amount to the corresponding protist-free microcosms. Due to the additional bacteria in the protist culture, the initial bacterial abundance in the *C. uncinata* run was 10-fold higher than in the *T. pyriformis* run. The volume added for *T. pyriformis* was kept as low as possible (100 µl) to minimize the effects of the culture medium on the experiment. The 2 treatments (protist-free and protist-inhabited biofilms) were replicated 5 times to accurately compare the treatments. The experiment was kept at 18 ± 1°C in the dark. Microcosms were run as continuous-batch systems to keep protists and their bacterial prey in a growing and reproductive state. After 3 and 6 d, half of the medium was carefully replaced via the hollow needle to remove waste products and to guarantee the supply of nutrients.

After replenishing the medium, the microcosms were left overnight to recover before the biofilms were sampled at Day 7. Prior to the respiration measurement, the cover slip was carefully removed from the exposed glass slide and placed on a new glass slide to enumerate the associated protist cells. The protist cells were fixed with Lugol’s solution, and the whole cover slip was scanned. The respiration rates of microbial biofilms and the corresponding suspensions were measured after a 7 d incubation period to observe the potential effects of protists on all compartments (biofilm and suspension) of the microcosm (for measurement details, please refer to ‘Respiration measurement’). After the respiration rate measurements, the glass slides with associated biofilm bacteria were placed in a formaldehyde solution (final concentration 3.7%) and kept at 4°C until further processing. Suspended protists and bacterial cells were enumerated in the removed medium at Days 3 and 6. The suspended protistan and bacterial cells in the removed medium were fixed with Lugol’s solution and formaldehyde, respectively. The fixed suspended protists were observed in Utermöhl chambers at 100x or 200x magnification. Biofilm-covered glass slides were incubated in the surfactant Triton X100 (final con-
centration 0.1 mM) for 24 h and treated in a sonication bath (Elma Transonic Digital Type T790/H) 4 times for 30 min each at maximum power to disrupt the biofilm matrix and separate bacterial cells from the glass slide surface (Velji & Albright 1993, Chen & Stewart 2000). Samples that were placed for a longer time period in the sonication bath showed a similar bacterial abundance. We concluded that the majority of biofilm bacteria were detached from the mineral surface by the applied procedure. The bacterial cells were stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindol, final concentration 1µg ml\(^{-1}\); Porter & Feig 1980, modified after Nixdorf & Jander 2003). At least 400 cells were counted using epifluorescence microscopy at 1000× magnification.

Leaf litter experiment

For this experiment, freshly fallen leaves of *Alnus glutinosa* (Fagales, Angiospermae) were collected with nets within 24 h after abscission in autumn 2008. The leaves were air-dried and stored at room temperature in the dark until the experiment started. The leaves were then cut into discs of Ø 2.2 cm. The leaf discs were autoclaved for 30 min to ensure their sterility and uniform starting conditions to facilitate the observation of the treatment effects. After 24 h leaching in sterile deionized water, a total of 120 leaf discs were transferred to the liquid culture of the aquatic hyphomycete *Heliscus lugdunensis* and left aerated in the dark for 3 wk to allow their colonization (Chauvet & Suberkropp 1998). Subsequently, the leaf discs, colonized with the aquatic hyphomycete, were placed in Erlenmeyer flasks (100 ml) equipped with 50 ml of sterile Pratt medium. Each of the 36 flasks received 3 leaf discs and 200 µl of suspension of the DPQ bacterial community (final abundance 6.4 × 10⁴ cells ml\(^{-1}\)). Eighteen flasks served as a protist-free treatment, and the other 18 flasks immediately received a suspension of the culture of *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (protist-inhabited leaves, final protist abundance of 1.7 × 10² ± 0.6 × 10² cells ml\(^{-1}\)). The Erlenmeyer flasks were sealed with rubber plugs. Two hollow needles allowed gas exchange through sterile filters (pore size 0.2 µm, as described above) and a weekly exchange of the medium.

In streams, leaves are exposed to a range of environmental conditions, such as different flow regimes varying from stagnant conditions to turbulent flow and oxygen concentrations varying from anoxic to hypoxic. Thus, we designed the following treatments for factor combinations of oxygen concentration and flow condition (OC/FC): (1) normoxia and turbulent flow, (2) hypoxia and turbulent flow, and (3) hypoxia and stagnant conditions (Table 1). Each treatment was replicated 3 times. To maintain the desired factor combinations from the start of the experiment onward, the microcosms were aerated either with ambient air or nitrogen gas. The oxygen concentration in the microcosms was checked daily with oxygen optodes (Fibox, PreSens-Precision Sensing). Average oxygen levels in normoxic treatments of 10.0 ± 0.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) and in hypoxic treatments of 1.2 ± 0.6 mg l\(^{-1}\) were achieved throughout the experiment. The turbulent flow was achieved by shaking on a circular-shaker at 100 rounds min\(^{-1}\).

The leaf-associated *Tetrahymena pyriformis* were sampled in direct proximity of the leaf surface with a syringe, fixed with Lugol’s solution, and enumerated at 100× magnification. One disc was fixed in formaldehyde (final concentration 3.7 %) for the enumeration of bacteria. An ultrasonic probe (Sonoplus UW 2070, Bandelin electronics) was placed in the sample for 30 s at 30 % of the maximum power to separate bacterial cells from the leaves (Velji & Albright 1993). The quantification of bacteria was performed as described above. Two of the 3 leaf discs were used to determine ash-free dry mass (AFDM) by combusting at 400°C for 4 h. Additionally, the initial AFDM (AFDM\(_{\text{initial}}\)) of 4 leaf discs colonized with the fungus was determined. The difference between the AFDM\(_{\text{initial}}\) and AFDM after 7 or 21 d was calculated and is presented as the remaining AFDM (AFDM\(_{\text{remaining}}\)). The suspended protists and bacteria were enumerated as described above.

Respiration measurement

The respiration of the whole community was measured as a microbial response parameter to detect the effect of protists on microbial activity. Closed-chamber systems were used to register the oxygen decrease over time with oxygen optodes (Oxy-10, PreSens-Precision Sensing) under a constant temperature of 18°C and normoxic (100 % oxygen saturation) conditions. The biofilms at the mineral surfaces were placed in respiration chambers equipped with fresh Volvic table water (20 ml). Internal water circulation maintained by a diaphragm metering pump (frequency 90 Hz, intensity 40 %; GALa 1602, ProMinten) guaranteed continuous advection. Data are presented per area of the mineral surface (community respiration of biofilms per surface area, CR\(_{\text{B}}\)). The respiration of the suspensions (50 ml) of the biofilm experiment
was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Community respiration per volume, } \text{CR}_v = \text{of 3 leaf discs of the leaf litter experiment placed in 50 ml of fresh Pratt medium were measured in glass bottles (Schlief & Mutz 2007). Continuous advection was maintained by adding glass spheres (Ø 16 mm) that moved in the gently stirred glass bottles to avoid oxygen depletion near the optode location. The initial oxygen concentration of all samples was adjusted to 7.5 to 9.5 mg l\(^{-1}\) to compare the respiration rates of the leaf litter experiment conducted under normoxic or hypoxic conditions. The incubations of the leaf litter experiment were conducted under normoxic or hypoxic conditions. The respiration rates of the leaf litter experiment are represented as potential community respiration associated with leaves (pCRLA) because all treatments (normoxic and hypoxic) were measured at oxygen saturation. An oxygen decrease of at least 1 mg l\(^{-1}\) was monitored in each of the 5 replicates of the biofilm experiment or the 3 replicates of the leaf litter experiment at intervals of measurements that ranged from 10 to 15 min for periods of 3 to 4 h.

**Data exploitation and statistical analyses**

Community respiration rates were calculated from linear regressions of oxygen decrease over time and related to a defined surface area of the biofilm and leaf disc or volume of the suspension.

The length and width of 25 cells of each protist species were determined microscopically (mean length \( \times \) width: *Chilodonella uncinata* 33 \( \times \) 21 \( \mu \)m, *Tetrahymena pyriformis* 30 \( \times \) 18 \( \mu \)m). The biovolumes were calculated assuming a half-spheroid and spheroid cell shape for *C. uncinata* and *T. pyriformis*, respectively. The biovolumes were divided by 0.4 to correct for shrinkage caused by the fixation with Lugol’s solution (Jerome et al. 1993). Corrected values were then used to calculate the biomass of single protist cells with the published conversion factor of 0.11 \( \times \) 10\(^{-6}\) \( \mu \)g C \( \mu \)m\(^{-3}\) (Turley et al. 1986). The biovolume of *C. uncinata* and *T. pyriformis* was estimated as 7.9 \( \times \) 10\(^{4}\) \( \mu \)m\(^{3}\) and 9.8 \( \times \) 10\(^{4}\) \( \mu \)m\(^{3}\) and the biomass was estimated as 0.87 \( \times \) 10\(^{-2}\) \( \mu \)g C cell\(^{-1}\) and 1.07 \( \times \) 10\(^{-2}\) \( \mu \)g C cell\(^{-1}\), respectively. In the biofilm experiment, the generation time \( (t_g) \) of suspended protists was calculated as follows:

\[ t_g = \ln(2)/\mu \] (1)

The growth rate (\( \mu \)) was calculated as follows:

\[ \mu = \frac{[\ln(a_{t+1}) - \ln(a_t)]}{t} \] (2)

where \( a_t \) is either the protists abundance at the start of the experiment or after replenishing the medium at Day 3, and \( a_{t+1} \) is the protists abundances at Day 3 or 6, respectively. The \( a_t \) at Day 3 was calculated assuming that the number of suspended cells was diluted 50% by replenishing the medium.

In the biofilm experiment, pictures of DAPI-stained bacteria from each replicate were analyzed with image analysis software (AnalySIS 3.2 software, Olympus) to calculate the bacterial biovolume. Up to 550 bacterial cells per replicate were observed. The mean biovolume of single bacterial cells in the presence of *Chilodonella uncinata* and *Tetrahymena pyriformis* was 0.22 \( \pm \) 0.09 (mean \( \pm \) SD) and 0.11 \( \pm \) 0.01 \( \mu \)m\(^{3}\) cell\(^{-1}\) and in the protist-free treatment 0.08 \( \pm \) 0.02 and 0.10 \( \pm \) 0.02 \( \mu \)m\(^{3}\) cell\(^{-1}\), respectively. The biovolume data of the corresponding replicate were used to calculate the biovolume-specific bacterial biomass (Loferer-Krößbacher et al. 1998).

Comparisons of community respiration rates, bacterial abundances, and biomass between protist-free and protist-inhabited treatments of one run were performed using a Student’s t-test (R, version 2.10.1). A 2-sided Fisher’s F-test was used to compare the variance of the community respiration rates of the protist-inhabited and protist-free treatments. The runs of the biofilm experiment with different protist species were not statistically compared due to different starting concentrations of bacteria. A 2-factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the effects of time and the factor combination OC/FC on suspended and leaf-associated protist abundances as well as the effects of protist presence and the factor combination OC/FC on suspended and leaf-associated bacteria, respiration rates, and AFDM\(_{\text{remaining}}\).

**RESULTS**

**Protists and bacterial abundance and biomass in the biofilm experiment**

Biofilms at mineral surfaces were densely colonized with cells of the raptorial feeder *Chilodonella uncinata*, reaching abundances of 102.8 \( \pm \) 46.0 cells cm\(^{-2}\) (Table 2). The abundance of *Tetrahymena pyriformis* associated with mineral surfaces was similar to that of *C. uncinata* and reached 146.3 \( \pm \) 85.7 cells cm\(^{-2}\). Resting stages or cysts of ciliates were not observed in the microcosms.

The presence of both of the protist species had a significant negative effect on the abundance and biomass of biofilm bacteria (t-test: \( p < 0.01 \)). Overall, the abundance of biofilm bacteria ranged between 0.96 \( \times \)
10^6 ± 0.17 × 10^6 and 4.97 × 10^6 ± 1.48 × 10^6 cells cm⁻². Biofilm bacteria were 3.1- and 3.4-fold less abundant and the biomass of biofilm bacteria was 1.5- and 4.1-fold lower in the presence of *Chilodonella uncinata* and *Tetrahymena pyriformis* compared to the protist-free treatment, respectively (Table 2). The bacterial biomass in the protist-inhabited biofilms of *C. uncinata* and *T. pyriformis* contributed 3.1 and 1.3%, respectively, to the community biomass of biofilms. The presence of *C. uncinata* significantly affected the bacterial biovolume (t-test: p < 0.001). In the protist-inhabited biofilms, 36.6% of bacteria had a biovolume ≥ 0.2 µm³, while in the protist-free biofilms, only 3.4% of the bacteria were ≥0.2 µm³ (Fig. 1). The presence of *T. pyriformis* did not alter the bacterial biovolume (t-test: p = 0.49). In both treatments of the *T. pyriformis* run, over 70% of the bacterial cells were <0.2 µm³.

The abundance of *Chilodonella uncinata* in suspension was <5 cells ml⁻¹ after 3 and 6 d. Due to their low abundance, *C. uncinata* contributed 8.6 ± 7.5% to the suspended microbial community. Because 91.4 ± 7.5% of the cells of *C. uncinata* in the microcosm were observed associated with the mineral surface (Table 2), medium exchange had a marginal effect on the protist population in the microcosms.

The suspension feeder *Tetrahymena pyriformis* preferably colonized the suspension, with 91.1 ± 4.8% of all cells in the microcosm. The abundance of suspended *T. pyriformis* increased from 816 ± 99 cells ml⁻¹ at Day 3 to 1435 ± 181 cells ml⁻¹ at Day 6. The generation time of the suspended *T. pyriformis* population was estimated as 30.6 ± 2.5 h between the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biofilm experiment</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Suspension (cells ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>(µg C ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Biofilm (cells cm⁻²)</th>
<th>(µg C cm⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raptorial feeder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chilodonella uncinata</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>207.8</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>4.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01)</td>
<td>102.8 (46.0)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQ medium bacteria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.57 × 10⁷</td>
<td>3.828</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>2.07 (0.21) × 10⁶</td>
<td>0.051 (0.011)</td>
<td>2.97 (0.57) × 10⁶</td>
<td>0.054 (0.017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+P</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>8.62 (1.86) × 10⁶</td>
<td>0.500 (0.300)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.17) × 10⁶</td>
<td>0.036 (0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension feeder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tetrahymena pyriformis</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>1435.1 (181.2)</td>
<td>16.66 (2.10)</td>
<td>146.3 (85.7)</td>
<td>1.70 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQ medium bacteria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.11 × 10⁷</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>2.59 (0.99) × 10⁶</td>
<td>0.075 (0.029)</td>
<td>4.97 (1.48) × 10⁶</td>
<td>0.090 (0.038)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+P</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>0.86 (0.58) × 10⁶</td>
<td>0.028 (0.019)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.65) × 10⁶</td>
<td>0.022 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Abundances of protists and bacteria in the suspension (Day 0 and 6) and in biofilms at mineral surfaces (Day 7) in the biofilm experiment. Abundances at Day 0 are single counts; all other values are means ± standard deviation shown in parentheses (n = 5). −P: treatments without grazing protists; +P: treatments with grazing protists; na: not applicable

Fig. 1. Relative abundance of biovolume size classes (µm³) of the VQ bacterial community in protist-free (−P) and protist-inhabited (+P) biofilms after 7 d of incubation (mean ± standard deviation, n = 5). Data were normalized by the total number of analyzed bacterial cells.
start of the experiment and Day 3, and after replenishing the medium at Day 3 and Day 6, the generation time reached 45.8 ± 8.5 h. Losses of the suspended protist population due to replenishing half of the medium were compensated within 1 to 2 d. Thus, the suspended *T. pyriformis* population was kept in a reproducing phase.

Bacterial abundance in the protist-free control ranged between 2.07 × 10⁶ ± 0.21 × 10⁶ and 2.59 × 10⁶ ± 0.99 × 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ in both runs of the biofilm experiment (Table 2). After 6 d of incubation, bacterial cell numbers were comparable between both runs despite the initially higher bacterial abundance in the *Chilodonella uncinata* run. In the treatment with *C. uncinata*, the abundance of suspended bacteria was significantly higher than in the protist-free treatment (t-test: p = 0.001; Table 2). Highly abundant suspended *Tetrahymena pyriformis* caused a significantly lower abundance of suspended bacteria compared to the protist-free treatment (t-test: p = 0.019).

**Community respiration in the biofilm experiment**

In both runs, the CR₈ was not significantly different between the protist-free and protist-inhabited biofilms (t-test: p > 0.05). Mean rates of CR₈ ranged between 0.8 × 10⁻⁴ ± 0.3 × 10⁻⁴ and 1.3 × 10⁻⁴ ± 0.9 × 10⁻⁴ mg O₂ h⁻¹ cm⁻² (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, the variance of CR₈ was 12.9-fold (F-test: p = 0.030) and 11.0-fold (F-test: p = 0.039) larger in treatments with *Chilodonella uncinata* and *Tetrahymena pyriformis*, respectively, than in protist-free treatments.

In suspension, the mean CR₅ ranged between 2.6 × 10⁻⁴ ± 0.6 × 10⁻⁴ and 4.0 × 10⁻⁴ ± 0.6 × 10⁻⁴ mg O₂ ml⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Fig. 2b). In both runs, the CR₅ (t-test: p > 0.05) and variance of the CR₅ (F-test: p > 0.05) were not affected by the protists. Overall, the CR₅ was 1.5-fold higher in the run with *Chilodonella uncinata* than in the run with *Tetrahymena pyriformis*.

**Protist abundance, bacterial abundance and remaining leaf mass in the leaf litter experiment**

The factor combination OC/FC had no significant effect on the abundance of leaf-associated (ANOVA: $F = 4.017$, df = 1, p = 0.065) and suspended (ANOVA: $F = 0.322$, df = 1, p = 0.579) *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. Up to 6.5 × 10³ cells ml⁻¹ were associated with leaves (Fig. 3a), and up to 3.8 × 10³ cells ml⁻¹ (Fig. 3b) were recorded in suspension. At Day 7, significantly more cells of *T. pyriformis* colonized the exposed leaves (ANOVA: $F = 7.900$, df = 1, p = 0.014) and the suspension (ANOVA: $F = 12.137$, df = 1, p = 0.004) than at Day 21.
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A significant effect of the factor combinations of OC/FC was observed for leaf-associated bacteria at Day 7 but not at Day 21 (Table 3). Bacterial abundance associated with leaves was highest under normoxia and turbulent flow, reaching $1.4 \times 10^7 \pm 0.4 \times 10^7$ cells cm$^{-2}$ compared to the other 2 treatments (Fig. 4a). Under hypoxia and stagnant conditions, the abundance of leaf-associated bacteria remained at a mean of $0.6 \times 10^7$ cells cm$^{-2}$ during the 21 d. The abundance of leaf-associated bacteria was not affected by *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (Table 3).

However, the suspended bacteria were 10.7-, 2.3-, and 3.2-fold less abundant in the treatments with *Tetrahymena pyriformis* under normoxia and turbulent flow, hypoxia and turbulent flow, and hypoxia and stagnant conditions at Day 7, respectively (Fig. 4b). The abundance of suspended bacteria decreased in the protist-free treatment from Day 7 to Day 21. No such trend was observed in the treatments with *T. pyriformis*. The differences in the number of suspended bacteria between the protist-free and protist-inhabited treatments were less pronounced after 21 d and diminished under hypoxia and stagnant conditions.

The leaves colonized with the fungus had an AFDM$_{\text{initial}}$ of 4.0 mg cm$^{-2}$, which corresponded to an AFDM$_{\text{initial}}$ content of 93.9 ± 4.5%. After 7 d of cocultivation, a mean of 49.0 ± 3.5% of leaf AFDM$_{\text{initial}}$ remained, irrespective of the treatment (Fig. 5a). No further mass loss was detected during the 21 d incubation period. The presence of protist cells or the factor combinations of OC/FC had no significant effect on the AFDM$_{\text{remaining}}$ after 7 and 21 d (Table 3).

Potential community respiration in the leaf litter experiment

Under all of the factor combinations studied, the pCR$_{\text{LA}}$ was higher after 7 d than after 21 d of incubation (Fig. 5b). The presence of *Tetrahymena pyriformis* significantly affected the pCR$_{\text{LA}}$ at Day 7 and 21, respectively (Table 3). The greatest difference was detected under the factor combination of hypoxia and stagnant conditions after 7 d of incubation, with the highest pCR$_{\text{LA}}$ in the presence of *T. pyriformis* of $4.4 \times 10^{-2} \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-2}$ mg O$_2$ cm$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5b). The pCR$_{\text{LA}}$ was 1.3-fold higher in the presence of *T. pyriformis* than in the protist-free treatment ($t$-test: $p < 0.05$). At Day 21, the pCR$_{\text{LA}}$ was not altered by *T. pyriformis* under hypoxia and stagnant conditions. However, at Day 21, *T. pyriformis* caused a 2.9- and 1.5-fold higher pCR$_{\text{LA}}$ under the factor combinations of normoxia and turbulent flow as well as hypoxia and turbulent flow, respectively ($t$-test: $p < 0.05$; Fig. 5a). The variances of community respiration rates in protist-inhabited and protist-free treatments were not significantly different ($F$-test: $p > 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Despite the presence of protists, the community respiration of biofilms at mineral surfaces was com-
Table 3. Two-factorial ANOVA design for the leaf litter experiment. The effects of *Tetrahymena pyriformis* and the factor combination of oxygen concentration/flow conditions (OC/FC) on abundance of leaf-associated bacteria, abundance of suspended bacteria, AFDM$_{remaining}$ and leaf-associated community respiration rates (pCRLA), were tested at Days 7 and 21 (see Figs. 4 & 5). SS: sum of squares; df: degrees of freedom; OC: oxygen concentration; FC: flow condition; AFDM: ash-free dry mass. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abundance of leaf-associated bacteria</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>F-value</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.43 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>1.817</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC/FC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.13 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>4.207</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.03 x 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>2.254</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x OC/FC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.60 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abundance of suspended bacteria</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>F-value</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.59 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>8.852</td>
<td>0.012**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC/FC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.24 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.15 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>1.443</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x OC/FC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.93 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AFDM$_{remaining}$</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>F-value</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.94 x 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC/FC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.70 x 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.05 x 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x OC/FC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.65 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pCRLA</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>F-value</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.39 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td>6.088</td>
<td>0.030*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC/FC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.05 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.28 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x OC/FC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.70 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Activity of biofilm communities at mineral surfaces seemed to be unaffected by protists

Our results indicated that the function of the communities, i.e. carbon and nutrient flow, of protist-inhabited microbial biofilms was comparable to that of protist-free bacterial biofilms, despite the lower biomass of biofilm bacteria. Because excessive biofilm biomass per se can have negative effects, e.g. due to local oxygen depletion, protists have the potential to optimize the structure-function relationship in biofilms.

The bacterial abundance and biomass of biofilms were significantly reduced by grazing protists. Protists are known to efficiently graze biofilm bacteria if bacteria lack adequate defense strategies (Matz & Kjelleberg 2005, Weitere et al. 2005). Grazing-induced changes that result in small-sized inactive bacteria (Hahn & Höfle 2001) can be excluded because the bacterial biovolume was significantly larger in the presence of *Chilodonella uncinata* than in the protist-free biofilms. Raptorial feeders that remove biofilm patches (Weitere et al. 2005, Böhme et al. 2009) provide new colonization sites for dispersing bacteria. Suspension feeders, such as *Tetrahymena*, can detach loosely associated biofilm bacteria (Parry 2004) due to their strong feeding currents. However, the contrasting protist actions might have resulted in limited effects on the community respiration of microbial biofilms.

Both the protistan and bacterial abundance of the studied biofilms at mineral surfaces was comparable to that of young stream biofilms (Schönborn 1981, Arndt et al. 2003, Besemer et al. 2007, Risse-Buhl & Küsel 2009, Pohlon et al. 2010) but was about 10-fold (Schönborn 1982, Ackermann et al. 2011) and 1 to 2
orders of magnitude (Besemer et al. 2007, Augs- purger et al. 2008) lower, respectively than those observed in more mature stream biofilms. During biofilm development, architectural structures, such as microcolonies, ripples, and streamers, are typically formed that increase habitat diversity and area for colonizing microorganisms (Besemer et al. 2007, 2009). In addition to the spatial heterogeneity, typical components of stream biofilms, such as heterotrophic (bacteria, flagellates, amoeba, and ciliates) and autotrophic (prokaryotes and algae) species (Arndt et al. 2003) add further complexity. In turn, habitat heterogeneity and/or complexity stimulate benthic respiration (Cardinale et al. 2002). The addition of protists to a biofilm increases its spatial and temporal heterogeneity (Lawrence & Snyder 1998), which presumably caused the high variability of the community respiration of protist-inhabited biofilms. Hence, additional architectural structures induced by grazers or biofilm growth and an autotrophic component could modulate the impact of protist species on biofilm activity.

Protists modulate microbial activity associated with leaf litter

Our results proved that the presence of *Tetrahymena pyriformis* positively affected the potential leaf-associated microbial activity. The impact of *T. pyriformis* on potential leaf-associated microbial community respiration varied with time and treatment. After 7 d, the suspension-feeding *T. pyriformis* stimulated the potential leaf-associated community respiration under all of the studied factor combinations of oxygen concentrations and flow conditions. However, potential leaf-associated community respiration was stimulated in the presence of *T. pyriformis* under turbulent flow (normoxic and hypoxic conditions) but not under hypoxic and stagnant conditions after 21 d. We assume that these differences were caused by the different abundances of *T. pyriformis*, which were highest after 7 d and decreased later on. After 7 d, bacteria probably profited from fungal-released nutrients and provided optimal conditions for the development of the suspension-feeding protists. Hence, the high microbial activity during the initial stages of leaf decomposition in our experiment might have caused hypoxic micro-zones near the leaf surface, as was reported by Eichem et al. (1993). Consequently, abundant *T. pyriformis* cells in the studied microcosms might have induced micro-currents by movement and filtration activity, which enhanced the advective transport of oxygen towards the leaf-associated microbial community under hypoxic and stagnant conditions (cf. Glud & Fenchel 1999). Moreover, organic acids excreted from protist cells stimulate microbial activity under hypoxic conditions (Biagini et al. 1998). After 21 d, up to 5-fold fewer *T. pyriformis* cells were present in the microcosms compared to Day 7. Micro-zones of <1 mg O₂ ml⁻¹ presumably induced by the initially high microbial activity under hypoxic and stagnant conditions might
have caused environmental stress to *T. pyriformis* (Pace & Ireland 1945, Foissner et al. 1994), and reproduction of the protist ceased. At this stage, the microcurrents or excretion products arising from fewer *T. pyriformis* cells did not seem to be sufficient to modulate the leaf-associated microbial activity under hypoxic and stagnant conditions.

Regardless of the presence of *Tetrahymena pyriformis*, the potential leaf-associated microbial activity was higher after 7 d than after 21 d of incubation. Nutrient limitation in the microcosms can be excluded because the medium was replaced weekly. Presumably, the 7 d incubation period matched the period of maximum exploitation of the leaf material, as indicated by the high potential community respiration and leaf mass loss. The subsequent decrease in potential community respiration and leaf mass loss may indicate an advanced phase of microbial leaf decay when degradation of more refractory long-chained carbon compounds, such as lignin and cellulose, might have slowed the microbial activity associated with the leaf. Typical temporal dynamics of leaf-associated community respiration during leaf colonization and processing in streams corroborate these assumptions (Eichem et al. 1993, Simon & Benfield 2001, Schlief 2004). However, autoclaving and leaching the leaves before the experiment started could have altered the leaf quality composition and, thus, the microbial colonization, activity, and leaf processing.

Although protists positively affected the leaf-associated community respiration, mass loss of the leaf discs preconditioned with fungi was not stimulated. However, microbial leaf litter decomposition was shown to be stimulated in the presence of stream-colonizing flagellates and ciliates (Ribblett et al. 2005). Moreover, for marine environments, studies with eelgrass, hay, and macrophyte leaves proved that decomposition rates are positively influenced by protists (Harrison & Mann 1975, Fenchel & Harrison 1976, Sherr et al. 1982). There are 3 possible reasons for the lack of stimulated leaf mass loss in our study. First, in particular, the leaf-associated bacterial community was stimulated by *T. pyriformis*...
but did not produce effective degradative enzymes for the leaf decomposition process (Schneider et al. 2010). Hence, an increased community respiration was recorded, but there was no effect on leaf mass loss. Second, stimulated by grazing by *T. pyriformis*, fungal biomass increased and, in turn, balanced the losses of leaf mass and diminished differences between treatments. The fungal biomass was not determined within the present study but might support this assumption. Third, microbially mediated leaf decay is generally a slow process (Webster & Benfield 1986). The decomposition of long-chained carbon compounds (i.e. cellulose) is mediated by a diverse consortium of aerobic and anaerobic cellulolytic bacteria and fungi (Cummings & Stewart 1994, Leschine 1995, Barlaz 1997). The experimental period of 21 d was possibly too short to allow the development of the bacterial consortium that mediates leaf decomposition, and hence, protist-induced effects on leaf mass loss could not be observed. The experiment of Ribblett et al. (2005), which proved enhanced microbial litter decomposition in the presence of protists, was conducted during a 120 d incubation period. We suggest that a longer incubation period should be investigated and additional parameters of leaf quality (e.g. fungal biomass and contents of lignin and cellulose) should be measured in studies that aim to detect protist-stimulated leaf litter decomposition.

On a macroscopic scale, hypoxic conditions may occur in running waters at sites with low advection where organic material can accumulate, e.g. during low-flow or drought periods with simultaneous high litter input (Acuña et al. 2005, Schliefl & Mutz 2009, 2011). Such periods are typically observed in warmer climate regions (e.g. Boulton 2003, Acuña et al. 2005, Canhoto & Laranjeira 2007, Bond et al. 2008) and will occur more frequently in temperate climate regions in the near future (Andersen et al. 2006, Krysanova et al. 2008). Hence, protist-accelerated microbial activity may be of increasing significance for initial stages of microbially mediated leaf decomposition in drought-affected streams. Further, it has been proved that protists increase the efficiency of activated sludge plants and rotating biological contactors by grazing on bacteria and increasing the flocculation of organic particles colonized by bacteria (Curds 1963, Curds et al. 1968, Kinner & Curds 1987). Our finding that protists modulate the activity of surface-associated microbial communities is of broad relevance because surface-associated bacteria mediate the degradation and flux of matter within both industrial facilities and aquatic ecosystems.
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