Viral infection of bacteria and phytoplankton in the Arctic Ocean as viewed through the lens of fingerprint analysis
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ABSTRACT: Viruses are the most abundant biological entities in the oceans and play crucial roles as mortality agents and as catalysts in biogeochemical cycles. During a year-long study in the southeastern Beaufort Sea and Amundsen Gulf in the Canadian Arctic, we used denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) to investigate temporal and spatial changes in gene sequences encoding DNA polymerase B (polB) and the major capsid protein (g23) that are specific for the virus families Phycodnaviridae and Myoviridae, which infect phytoplankton and bacteria, respectively. Multivariate analysis indicated that the genetic composition of viruses infecting phytoplankton was related to changes in productivity and hydrological conditions, as well as with changes in the potential host community, as indicated by DGGE fingerprints of 18S rDNA. In contrast, changes in the composition of viruses infecting bacteria could not be related to changes in environmental variables or DGGE fingerprints of bacterial (16S) or eukaryotic (18S) rDNA. Overall, these results document persistent and highly dynamic T4-like viruses and phycodnaviruses on the Canadian Arctic Shelf, implying that they are important in shaping microbial communities in the Arctic Ocean.
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INTRODUCTION

Viruses are major players in aquatic systems, with functions as varied as controlling the structure of microbial communities and driving nutrient and energy cycling (e.g. reviewed in Fuhrman 1999, Wommack & Colwell 2000, Suttle 2005, 2007, Breitbart 2012). Viruses influence aquatic ecosystems by infecting bacteria and photosynthetic and heterotrophic protists; however, the relationships among changes in viral assemblages and variations in the physical and chemical environment are largely unexplored.

Documenting changes in the composition of viral assemblages is facilitated by the use of markers representative of viral taxa. It is particularly informative to be able to track viruses that are representative of those infecting bacteria and eukaryotic microbial assemblages. Good markers are available for T4-like viruses, a group of viruses belonging to the Myoviridae, a family of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) viruses with icosahedral symmetry and contractile tails (Ackermann 2007). T4-like viruses have been commonly isolated and detected in aquatic environments (e.g. reviewed in Weinbauer 2004, Ackermann 2007). T4-like viruses infect a broad range of phylo-

Among viruses infecting eukaryotic phytoplankton, those belonging to the family Phycodnaviridae are best characterized (e.g. reviewed in Dunigan et al. 2006, Wilson et al. 2009, Short 2012). These viruses have large dsDNA genomes, icosahedral symmetry and are widely distributed in many geographically distant environments (e.g. reviewed in Van Etten et al. 2010, Short 2012). They infect many algal species (Wilson et al. 2009, Nagasaki & Bratbak 2010) and have been implicated in the termination of phytoplankton blooms (Bratbak et al. 1993, Nagasaki et al. 1994, Brussaard et al. 2004). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays targeting conserved motifs of phycodnavirus DNA-polymerase B (polB) (Chen & Suttle 1995a,b, Short & Suttle 2002, 2003, Clasen & Suttle 2009, Culley et al. 2009, Gimenes et al. 2012) or genes encoding the MCP (Schroeder et al. 2003, Larsen et al. 2008, López-Bueno et al. 2009, Rowe et al. 2011) have revealed high levels of phylogenetic diversity of phycodnaviruses in aquatic environments.

PCR-based approaches have been widely used to genetically fingerprint microbial and viral populations. In particular, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) generates genetic profiles (fingerprints) by separating PCR amplicons based on sequence (Muyzer et al. 1993) and has proved to be a versatile approach for monitoring the dynamics of virus subsets in a wide range of viral assemblages (e.g. reviewed in Short & Suttle 1999, Sandaa et al. 2010, Short et al. 2010).

For instance, DGGE of g20 gene amplicons has been used to separate T4-like virus portal vertex protein sequences to monitor spatio-temporal patterns in the genetic structure of T4-like cyanophages in various aquatic environments (Wilson et al. 1999, Zhong et al. 2002, Frederickson et al. 2003, Dorigo et al. 2004, Mühling et al. 2005, Sandaa & Larsen 2006, Wilhelm et al. 2006). Likewise, studies have used DGGE to profile polB amplicons of phycodnaviruses and examine spatio-temporal patterns in the genetic structure of phycodnaviruses in freshwater (Clasen & Suttle 2009) and coastal marine systems (Short & Suttle 2002, 2003). Increasingly, DGGE fingerprints in combination with statistical tools have been used to examine the genetic structure of viral subsets and identify ecological factors that influence the dynamics of this structure. However, relatively little is known about spatial and temporal variability in the genetic structure of viral subsets in natural assemblages.

In this study we used DGGE of g23 and polB to examine seasonal and spatial patterns in the composition of T4-like viruses and phycodnaviruses, respectively, in samples from the Canadian Arctic Shelf (CAS), an area subject to high variability in ice cover, irradiance, temperature and runoff from the Mackenzie River (Carmack & Macdonald 2002, Carmack et al. 2004, Macdonald & Yu 2006). Multivariate statistical analyses were used to investigate the relationships between changes in the genetic composition of myovirus and phycodnavirus genotypes and environmental conditions and the microbial community structure, with the objective of understanding the environmental factors shaping the genetic structure of viral assemblages.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample collection and physicochemical measurements**

Between 60 and 200 l of seawater were collected from the CCGS ‘Amundsen’ from 4 November 2003 to 10 August 2004 on the CAS, during the Canadian Arctic Shelf Exchange Study (CASES), as outlined in Payet & Suttle (2008). Briefly, seasonal samples were collected at the overwintering station (Stn 200, 70.0°N, 126.3°W, bottom depth ~230 m) during fall (4 and 19 November 2003), winter (weekly from 10 January to 14 February 2004), spring (15 and 21 May 2004) and summer (16 July and 6 August 2004). From December 2003 to June 2004, the ship was locked in ice, so water was sampled through a ‘moon pool’ in the bottom of the ship. Spatial samples were taken at 7 stations (Stns 906, 803, 718, 650, 415, 200 and 106) along a west–east track starting from the Mackenzie River plume (RP) across the Mackenzie Shelf (mid-shelf, MS) to the Amundsen Gulf (G) during summer...
Water samples were obtained using 12 × 12 l Niskin bottles mounted on a CTD (Sea-Bird Electronics, SBE-911+) carousel. Depending on the CTD downcast profile, during the spring and summer, water was collected at 2 to 3 depths corresponding to the surface (<10 m), chlorophyll maximum (if present) or temperature inversion layer (10 to 30 m), and below the pycnocline (30 to 60 m). Water samples were filtered through a 120 µm mesh and collected in a clean 200 l polyethylene tank.

Hydrological and biological data, including temperature (T), salinity (S), depth, chlorophyll a (chl a) concentrations, bacterial abundance (BA), bacterial production (BP) and viral abundance (VA) are from Payet & Suttle (2008) and Garneau et al. (2008, 2009).

Concentration of microbial and virus assemblages

As previously described (Suttle et al. 1991, Short & Suttle 2003), the water from the 200 l tank was filtered through 142 mm diameter glass fiber (GC50, 1.2 µm pore size, Adventec MFS) and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, 0.45 µm pore size, Millipore) filters connected in series. Particulate matter in the 0.45 µm filtrate was then concentrated to ~0.5 l using a 30 kDa cutoff spiral-wound ultrafiltration cartridge (S10Y30, Millipore) mounted on a ProFlux M12 tangential flow filtration system (Millipore). Subsamples from the concentrated viruses (VCs) were placed into triplicate 2 ml sterile cryotubes and frozen at ~80°C until DNA extraction. Additionally, the filters were folded and put into sterile polyethylene 500 ml Whirl-Pak® bags and frozen at ~80°C until DNA extraction.

DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from each VC (n = 37) using the QIAamp MinElute Virus Spin Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s protocol. To enable comparisons among VCs, the volume of VC extracted was standardized to the same initial volume of water sample using the concentration factor, which was calculated by dividing the initial sample volume by the volume of each VC. The frozen PVDF and GC50 filters were aseptically cut in squares of ~1 cm², and sliced into strips with a sterile razor blade. Filter sections were placed into Power Bead tubes (Mo-Bio). DNA was extracted from the filter sections using the Mo-Bio Ultra Clean Soil DNA extraction kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The volume of filter extracts was standardized to the initial volume of sample, as described above. All DNA extracts were stored at ~80°C until required.

PCR amplification and DGGE fingerprinting

DNA polB genes from phycodnaviruses

DNA polB gene fragments were amplified using the primers AVS1 (5'-GA[A/G] GGI GCI ACI GTI [C/T]TI GA[C/T] GC-3') and AVS2 (5'-GC1 GC[A/G] TAI [G/T][C/T] TIT[C/T] TTI [G/C][A/T][A/G] TA-3'), which yield ~700 bp products (Chen & Suttle 1995a,b). Following Short & Suttle (2003), polB was amplified using 2 separate PCR assays to increase DNA yields for DGGE analysis. For the first-stage PCR, either 5 µl of extracted DNA from the VCs or 2.2 to 8.3 µl of extracted DNA from each PVDF filter, standardized to the same initial volume of seawater sample, served as the DNA template in the PCR mixtures, which contained 1 U of Platinum Taq DNA polymerase, 1 x Platinum Taq DNA polymerase...
assay buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, pH 8.4), 0.2 mM of each deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate (dNTP), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 µM of AVS1 and 0.6 µM of AVS2, adjusted to a final volume of 50 µl with sterile water. Negative controls contained all reagents with sterile water substituted for the DNA templates. PCR amplification was done on a Bio-Rad DCode™ Universal Mutation Detection System. Approximately 50 µl of the pooled polB amplicons from 3 separate second-stage PCR assays were loaded onto 0.75 mm thick 7 to 8% polyacrylamide gels (acylamide-bisacrylamide ratio 37.5:1, Bio-Rad), featuring a linear denaturing gradient of 15 to 40%. DGGE gels were submerged in 1 × TAE (40 mM Tris-borate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and bands were photographed on an Alpha Imager 3400 UV transilluminator with a video image processor (Alpha Innotech) after SYBR Gold (Invitrogen) staining. Then, gel plugs of PCR products were excised with sterile glass Pasteur pipettes, eluted in 80 µl of sterile water, and heated for 20 min at 70°C. For the second-stage PCR, 3 µl of the eluted DNA was added as the template, using the same mixture and conditions as for the first-stage PCR, except that the number of cycles was reduced to 20. PCR products were checked by electrophoresis as above.

Subsequently, polB amplicons from the second-stage PCR were separated using a Bio-Rad DCode™ Universal Mutation Detection System. Approximately 50 µl of the pooled polB amplicons from 3 separate second-stage PCR assays were loaded onto 0.75 mm thick 6 to 7% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels (acylamide-bisacrylamide ratio 37.5:1, Bio-Rad), featuring a linear denaturing gradient of 15 to 40% (100% denaturant corresponded to 7 M urea and 40% (v/v) formamide). DGGE gels were submerged in 1 × TAE (40 mM Tris-Acetate, 1 mM EDTA, pH = 8.0) buffer at 60°C and 60 V was applied for 20 h. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained with SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes) at 60°C and 60 V for ~90 min. DGGE bands were visualized and photographed by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gels as outlined above.

Due to the large number of samples, several gels were required to carry out the DGGE analysis. Standards were produced by excising 6 to 8 evenly spaced bands, eluting them in 80 µl of sterile Tris-EDTA buffer, and heating them for 20 min at 70°C. Eluted DNA served as templates for a 25 cycle PCR, as above. Pooled amplicons served as standards to normalize for differences among and within gels. Standards were loaded in at least 2 to 3 lanes on each gel.

16S and 18S rDNA gene amplicons from prokaryotes and eukaryotes

Amplification of 16S rDNA was carried out using DNA extracted from the PVDF filters (e.g. 0.45 to 1.2 µm size fraction) using the eubacterial specific primers 341F (5’-CCT ACC GGA GGC AGC AG-3’) and 907R (5’-CCG TCA ATT C[A/C]T TTG AGT TT-3’), which yield ~590 bp products (Muyzer & Ransing 1995). Amplification of 18S rDNA was carried out using DNA extracted from each PVDF and GC50 filter using the 18S eukaryotic specific primers Euk1F (5’-AAC CTG GTT GAT TCC TGC CAG T-3’) and Euk516R (5’-ACC AGA CTG GCC CTC C-3’), which yield ~560 bp products (Diez et al. 2001a). Both 16S and 18S amplicons were generated to produce DGGE
fingerprints of potential bacterial and eukaryotic hosts. A 40 bp G-C clamp was added to 341F and Euk516R to improve mobility and resolution of DGGE bands (Muyzer et al. 1993, Díez et al. 2001a). Approximately 1.3 to 5 µl (standardized to the same initial volume of seawater sample) of DNA extract was used as the template in the PCR mixtures, which contained 1 U Platinum Taq, 1 × Platinum Taq DNA polymerase assay buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.3 µM of each primer and 1 U Platinum Taq DNA. PCR mixtures were adjusted to a final volume of 50 µl with sterile water. Thermal cycling conditions for both 16S and 18S amplification consisted of initial denaturation at 95°C for 90 s, followed by 10 ‘touchdown’ cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 65°C (temperature decreasing by 1°C per cycle) for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min. This was followed by another 20 cycles at 95°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 2 min. The final extension step was for 10 min at 72°C. The ‘touchdown’ PCR was performed to increase the specificity of the amplification and to reduce the formation of spurious byproducts. Subsamples of the PCR products were electrophoresed in a 1.5% agarose gel as described above. Negative controls contained all reagents with sterile water replacing the DNA template. For each sample, PCR was performed in duplicate and then pooled prior to separation by DGGE.

Approximately 40 µl of gene-specific amplicons were loaded onto 0.75 mm thick 7 to 8% polyacrylamide gels with a linear denaturing gradient of 20 to 60% for 16S and 25 to 65% for 18S. DGGE was carried out for 20 h at 60 V at 60°C, and selected DGGE bands were excised from gels, eluted and re-amplified to serve as standards as described above.

DGGE image analysis

To facilitate comparison, pictures for each set of g23, polB, 16S and 18S DGGE fingerprints were analyzed separately using GelCompar II (v6.1, Applied Maths). Band positions within lanes were identified from corrected intensity plots using a minimal profiling percentage of 10% and then checked manually. After band detection, the fingerprint sets were compared through band-matching analysis in GelCompar II. Standard lanes were used to allow for comparisons within and among gels. Position tolerance for band matching was set at 0.5%. Presence and absence of bands was scored as either 0 or 1, respectively, and the resulting binary datasets were further analyzed using the multivariate software package PRIMER v5 (PRIMER-E) following procedures described in Clarke & Warwick (2001), as below.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the statistical significance of differences in the mean number of bands from the DGGE profiles, using Systat 11 (Systat Software).

Pairwise matrices were calculated from each binary dataset (i.e. DGGE of g23, polB, 16S and 18S) using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity coefficients (Bray & Curtis 1957, Clarke 1993), as follows:

\[ S_{jk} = \frac{100}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{ij} - y_{jk}|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{ij} + y_{jk})} \right) \]

where \( i \) is the DGGE band compared across samples \( j \) and \( k \), and \( y_{ij} \) and \( y_{jk} \) is one set of \( n \) attributes. The Bray-Curtis index gives less weight to outliers (Clarke & Warwick 2001). Binary data were fourth-root (\( \sqrt[4]{\cdot} \))-transformed prior to Bray-Curtis index calculations to give more weight to the rare DGGE bands (Clarke 1993). Different matrices were prepared to explore fingerprint variations related to season, region and depth. The resulting matrices were then ordinated through non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis to visualize the fingerprinting results. MDS is a robust procedure that preserves the rank orders of the relative distances among data and places the data in relation to each other based on similarities among the samples (Clarke 1993). MDS plots were conducted with a standard 100 random restarts to avoid local minima, and were considered to be a good representation with Kruskal’s stress values ≤0.2, as calculated with PRIMER (Clarke 1993, Clarke & Warwick 2001).

To statistically test for differences in the fingerprint patterns among seasons, stations and depths, 1-way analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was done on each type of Bray-Curtis matrix. ANOSIM generates an overall test statistic \( (R) \) that reflects the observed differences among groups compared with within groups. Typically, \( R \) ranges from −1 to +1, with \( R = +1 \) indicating complete discrimination among groups, and \( R ≤ 0 \) indicating completely random grouping (Clarke & Warwick 2001). The statistical significance of the observed \( R \) was assessed by Monte-Carlo permutation tests (n = 999 permutations).

Pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices were compared using the RELATE procedure in PRIMER (Clarke & Warwick 2001). RELATE calculates a weighted Spearman’s rank correlation (\( \rho_w \)) among all
corresponding elements in each matrix, and ranges from 0 to 1 ($\rho_w = 0$ indicates no relationship among matrices). The statistical significance of the coefficient $\rho_w$ was assessed by Monte-Carlo permutation tests ($n = 999$ permutations). Additionally, to evaluate overall interrelationships among 3 or more matrices a ‘second-stage’ similarity matrix was ordinated using the 2STAGE MDS analysis in PRIMER (Clarke & Warwick 2001).

The best correlations between Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices and environmental variables (with Euclidean distance) were examined using BIOENV analysis (Clarke & Warwick 2001). BIOENV selects a combination of the Euclidean distances of scaled environmental factors that may best explain variability in Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices, by maximizing a weighted Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ($\rho_w$) between every possible combination of environmental variables. The test statistic, $\rho_w$, ranges between $-1$ and $+1$, where $\rho_w = +1$ indicates complete correlation between fingerprints and environmental variables, $\rho_w = 0$ indicates no correlation, and $\rho_w = -1$ represents complete opposition. BIOENV calculates significance by Monte-Carlo permutation tests (Clarke & Warwick 2001). All the environmental variables were standardized and log-transformed before conversion to a Euclidean distance matrix in PRIMER, and included $T$, $S$, depth, $chl_a$, $BA$, $BP$ and $VA$. All the data analyses on the DGGE profiles were performed with PRIMER.

RESULTS

DNA polB amplicon fingerprints

The AVS primers amplified ~700 bp polB gene fragments from all VC and PVDF extracts. DNA sequence analysis of 6 selected colony PCR products confirmed that the AVS primers targeted the conserved motif I of the DNA polB gene of phycodnaviruses, with top-scoring sequences similar to those retrieved from marine viral assemblages (data not shown) (Short & Suttle 2002, 2003).

DGGE analyses revealed dynamic banding patterns, with most of the dominant bands located at almost the same position (see Fig. S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/a072p047_supp.pdf). All of the samples were processed and analyzed at the same time to ensure consistency. Although pre-filtration of the samples potentially excluded some viruses or released others from cells trapped on the filters, ANOSIM pairwise comparisons of the Bray-Curtis matrix derived from the entire polB DGGE dataset (presence and absence of bands) showed an overall similarity in polB fingerprint patterns from the VCs and PVDF filters ($R = 0.13$, $p > 0.05$). This was also confirmed by the MDS ordination analysis (Fig. 2), which showed no obvious separation in polB fingerprint patterns between VCs and PVDF filters. As only free viruses would be present in the VCs, these polB fingerprint patterns were analyzed in greater depth.

ANOSIM pairwise comparisons of replicate samples indicated that there were no significant differences in fingerprint patterns within and among DGGE polB fingerprints ($R = -0.03$, $p > 0.05$ and $R = 0.09$, $p > 0.05$, respectively). This supports visual observations that DGGE generated reproducible banding patterns.

The number of DGGE bands (i.e. apparent richness) ranged from 15 to 46 bands (mean ± SD: 27.1 ± 6.7 bands). There were no significant differences found in the average number of bands among regions, seasons and depths (ANOVA, $p > 0.05$ in all cases).

MDS ordination and ANOSIM analyses of DGGE banding patterns (e.g. presence or absence of bands) were used to examine seasonal and spatial differences in polB fingerprint patterns (Fig. 3). The relatively low stress values (e.g. <0.20), which is a ‘goodness of fit value’ for the MDS ordination analysis, suggested that MDS plots gave useful 2-dimensional (2D) representations of the data (Clarke & Warwick 2001).
There were significant differences in polB fingerprints among seasons ($R = 0.98$, $p < 0.001$) and regions ($R = 0.36$, $p < 0.01$), with ANOSIM showing significant differences among fall, winter, spring and summer ($0.91 < R < 1$, $p < 0.001$ in all cases). Among regions, ANOSIM indicated that polB fingerprints were significantly distinct in the RP relative to the MS ($R = 0.43$, $p < 0.05$) and G ($R = 0.72$, $p < 0.01$). Weaker but significant changes in polB fingerprints also occurred between the MS and G regions ($R = 0.21$, $p < 0.05$). Differences in polB fingerprints were not significant with depth on spatial (depth nested with regions: $R = −0.10$, $p > 0.05$) or seasonal (depth nested with seasons: $R = 0.04$, $p > 0.05$) scales.

The RELATE procedure indicated a significant but weak correlation between environmental variables (T, S, depth, chl $a$, VA, BA and BP) and the polB fingerprints ($\rho_w = 0.27$, $p < 0.01$). Additional testing using BIOENV on the polB fingerprint data indicated that a combination of 3 environmental variables (S, BA and chl $a$) were best correlated ($\rho_w = 0.348$) to the polB fingerprint patterns. Among these, BA was best correlated ($\rho_w = 0.295$), followed by S and chl $a$ ($\rho_w = 0.189$ and 0.128, respectively).

### g23 amplicon fingerprints

The MZIA primers amplified ~500 bp g23 gene fragments. Sequence analysis of 6 selected clones confirmed that MZIA primers targeted the g23 gene encoding the MCP of T4-like viruses, with top-scoring sequences similar to uncultured myovirus g23 genes derived from various marine viral assemblages (data not shown) (Filée et al. 2005). Amplification from some DNA samples extracted from GC50 and PVDF filters failed, and thus these data were not included in the analysis.

Separation of g23 gene amplicons by DGGE analysis revealed dynamic banding patterns (see Fig. S2 in the Supplement), with the number of bands ranging from 15 to 54 (mean ± SD: 32.6 ± 8.2 bands), although there were no significant differences in the average number of bands among seasons, regions and depths (ANOVA, $p > 0.05$, in all cases).

MDS ordination and ANOSIM were used to investigate seasonal and spatial changes in the g23 fingerprints (Fig. 4). Low stress values (<0.20) indicated that MDS plots were useful 2D representations of the data. There were significant changes in g23 fingerprints among seasons ($R = 0.76$, $p < 0.001$) and regions ($R = 0.33$, $p < 0.01$). ANOSIM pairwise comparisons indicated that the g23 fingerprints were significantly different among the RP, MS and G regions ($0.75 < R < 0.88$, $p < 0.01$, in all cases).

Among seasons, g23 fingerprint patterns were significantly different among fall, winter, spring and summer ($0.74 < R < 1$, $p < 0.01$, in all cases). There were no significant changes in g23 fingerprints with depth on spatial ($R = −0.64$, $p > 0.05$) or seasonal scales ($R = −0.31$, $p > 0.05$).
The RELATE procedure indicated that there were no significant relationships between environmental variables (T, S, depth, chl a, VA, BA and BP) and g23 fingerprint patterns ($\rho_w = 0.09$, $p > 0.05$). BIOENV analysis also indicated poor correlations among environmental variables and g23 fingerprint patterns. Both BA and S were the best subset of variables explaining the variability of g23 fingerprint patterns ($\rho_w = 0.124$), with BA showing the highest correlation ($\rho_w = 0.097$).

**16S and 18S rDNA amplicon fingerprints**

The main purpose of collecting the 16S and 18S rDNA fingerprints was to look for relationships with the viral fingerprints; hence, the 16S and 18S fingerprint data were not analyzed to the same extent. Spatial and seasonal patterns in 16S and 18S rDNA fingerprints were obtained through DGGE analysis of PCR-amplified DNA derived from PVDF (16S and 18S) and GC50 (18S) filters (see Figs. S3 & S4 in the Supplement). Subsequent DNA sequences recovered from both 16S and 18S colony PCR products confirmed that the correct targets were amplified (data not shown).

MDS ordination and ANOSIM revealed that 16S-PVDF, 18S-PVDF and 18S-GC50 fingerprints were significantly different among seasons and regions (see Fig. 5). ANOSIM also revealed that the 18S rDNA fingerprints were significantly different between the GC50 and PVDF filters ($R = 0.59$, $p < 0.01$).

**Interactions between g23, polB, 16S and 18S fingerprints and environmental variables**

Overall relationships between the viral fingerprints (polB-VC, polB-PVDF and g23-VC), and those of prokaryotes (16S-PVDF), eukaryotes (18S-GC50 and 18S-PVDF) and environmental variables (e.g. T, S, depth, chl a, VA, BA and BP) were assessed using separate correlation analyses (e.g. RELATE subroutine in PRIMER5) (Table 1).

The results indicated that both polB-VC and polB-PVDF fingerprints were significantly correlated with 18S-PVDF and 18S-GC50 fingerprints, as well as with environmental variables ($0.19 < \rho_w < 0.37$, $p < 0.05$, in all cases). In contrast, g23 fingerprints were not significantly correlated with fingerprints of prokaryotes or eukaryotes, or environmental variables (Table 1). The overall relationships among the community structure of the microbes and viruses with the environmental variables was further investigated using a 2STAGE MDS analysis that was used to ordinate all the environmental and DGGE fingerprint data (Fig. 6).

The stress value of this representation was 0.05 — a very small value that indicates a very good fit of the 2STAGE MDS ordination. The 2STAGE MDS analysis confirmed the RELATE results (e.g. Table 1), showing a good degree of concordance among polB-VC, polB-PVDF, 18S-PVDF and 18S-GC50 fingerprint patterns with the environmental variables (Fig. 6). In contrast, g23 fingerprints did not group with any of the variables.
Fig. 5. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordination plots showing the spatial and seasonal changes in microbial community structure for (A,D) 16S and (B,C,E,F) 18S rDNA amplicon DGGE fingerprint data. Testing of spatial and seasonal changes in 16S and 18S fingerprints using global R statistics of analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) is shown. MDS and ANOSIM analyses were based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity indices of 4th root-transformed binary fingerprint data (e.g. presence and absence of DGGE bands). The size of the shapes is proportional to the depths from which the samples were taken (<10 m, 10 to 30 m, and 30 to 50 m). Symbols show (A–C) stations and (D–F) seasons. RP: river plume; MS: mid-shelf; G: gulf.
This study examined patterns in the genetic makeup in natural assemblages of T4-like viruses and phyco- 
dnaviruses, and how it varied with changes in the prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities, and envi-
ronmental conditions. The viruses in these groups infect a broad range of bacteria and microalgae, and 
changes in their diversity can be readily followed using well-established genetic markers.

Previous studies have shown that Arctic seas harbor diverse microbial assemblages (Angly et al. 2006, 
Kirchman et al. 2010, Lovejoy et al. 2011) that vary

Table 1. Results of the RELATE analysis (weighted Spearman’s rank correlation) testing correlations between viral fingerprint 
patterns (e.g. polB and g23 genes), microbial fingerprint patterns (e.g. 16S and 18S rDNA genes) and environmental variables 
(Env: temperature, depth, salinity, bacterial abundance, viral abundance and chlorophyll a). PVDF: polyvinylidene difluoride 
filter (0.45 to 1.2 µm size fraction); GC50: glass fiber filter (1.2−120 µm size fraction); VC: viral concentrate (0.02 to 0.45 µm size 
fraction). p-values are given in parentheses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>18S-PVDF</th>
<th>18S-GC50</th>
<th>16S-PVDF</th>
<th>Env</th>
<th>polB-VC</th>
<th>polB-PVDF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18S-GC50</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16S-PVDF</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env</td>
<td>0.37 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polB-VC</td>
<td>0.209 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.297 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.269 (0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polB-PVDF</td>
<td>0.192 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.355 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.291 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.316 (0.001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g23-VC</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>−0.045</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Second-stage (2STAGE) non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination analysis showing relationships among viral, prokaryotic and eukaryotic fingerprint patterns (polB and g23, 16S and 18S rDNA genes, respectively) and environmental variables (Env: temperature, depth, salinity, bacterial abundance, bacterial production, viral abundance and chlorophyll a). VC: viral concentrates (0.02 to 0.45 µm size fraction); PVDF: polyvinylidene fluoride filters (0.45 to 1.2 µm size fraction); GC50: glass fiber filters (1.2 to 120 µm size fraction)

DISCUSSION

This study revealed distinct spatial and seasonal differences in the g23 and polB fingerprints, indicating that T4-like viruses and phycodnaviruses are dynamic components of the microbial communities on the Canadian Arctic Shelf, a system exhibiting high variability in hydrological conditions modulated by sea-ice formation and melting, and river inputs, mostly from the Mackenzie River (Carmack & Macdonald 2002, Carmack et al. 2004, Macdonald & Yu 2006). These conditions create a highly heterogeneous environment, which would be expected to influence the genetic structure of the viral assemblages. Such environmental heterogeneity has been shown to influence viral abundance, distribution and infection pathways across time and space in this region (Wells & Deming 2006, Payet & Suttle 2008, 2013, Winter et al. 2012).

Fingerprints for g23 and polB displayed stronger changes among seasons than across regions (Figs. 3 & 4). However, seasonality was more pronounced in fingerprints for polB than g23. While it is not possible to identify the breath of viral diversity captured by each PCR primer set without deep sequencing, it is likely that a smaller subset of the viral assemblages
were targeted by the *polB*-specific than the *g23*-specific primers. Since primers for *polB* target phycodnaviruses, which infect phytoplankton (e.g. reviewed in Dunigan et al. 2006, Wilson et al. 2009, Short 2012), and phytoplankton display marked seasonal changes in this region (Garneau et al. 2008, Payet & Suttle 2008, Brugel et al. 2009), *polB* fingerprints may have mirrored phytoplankton seasonality. Alternatively, because *g23* primers target a broader subset of the viral assemblage, including viruses that infect phototrophic and heterotrophic prokaryotes (e.g. reviewed in Ackermann 2007, Krisch & Comeau 2008, Clokie et al. 2010), this could have obscured seasonality in the *g23* fingerprints.

This study used DGGE to examine fingerprint patterns in amplicons of genes encoding the MCP (*g23*) of T4-like viruses and DNA *polB* of phycodnaviruses. Differences among the fingerprints were compared with environmental variables and DGGE fingerprint patterns for 16S and 18S rDNA gene amplicons.

Changes in the *polB* fingerprints were related to environmental variables and the potential host community. Approximately 35% of the variability was explained by bacterial abundance, chlorophyll a and salinity, indicating that the genetic structure of the phycodnaviruses was linked to changes in the productivity and hydrological conditions. Strong changes in salinity due to river inputs and releases of dense ice-brine influence stratification of the water column, which would be expected to affect microbial communities and phycodnavirus–host interactions. Short & Suttle (2003) used a similar DGGE approach and found that hydrological conditions were also related to seasonal changes in *polB* fingerprints in a temperate marine system. There were also significant correlations between *polB* and 18S fingerprints (Table 1), showing synchrony between changes in phycodnaviruses and potential euakaryotic hosts, consistent with infection. Similar dynamics in the abundances of viruses and their potential hosts have been reported for cyanobacteria and cyanophages (Waterbury & Valois 1993, Suttle & Chan 1994), as well as for *Micromonas pusilla* and the viruses that infect it (Zingone et al. 1999). However, the coupling between 18S and *polB* fingerprints was relatively low ($p_w < 0.4$), which is not surprising given that 18S rDNA from non-host organisms would also be amplified, as documented in previous studies (Díez et al. 2001b, Short & Suttle 2003, Terrado et al. 2009, Comeau et al. 2011).

In general, environmental variables (i.e. T, S, depth, chl a, VA, BA and BP) and 18S fingerprints were similarly related to changes in *polB* fingerprints (Table 1), indicating that these variables contribute to shaping the genetic structure of phycodnaviruses. The 2STAGE MDS ordination analysis further supported the concordance among *polB* and 18S fingerprints as well as the environmental variables (Fig. 6).

In contrast to the *polB* fingerprints, there were no significant correlations between the *g23* fingerprints and environmental variables ($p_w = 0.09, p > 0.05$). As mentioned above, it is likely that *g23* primers captured a broader subset of viruses with a wider spectrum of host taxa compared with *polB* primers. This may have obscured relationships between *g23* fingerprints and environmental variables. This is consistent with recent analysis of high-throughput sequencing of *g23* and *polB* amplicons from Arctic samples, which confirmed the discrepancy in the breadth of diversity of viral subsets captured by each primer set (Payet 2012). Likewise, there were no significant correlations between *g23* and 16S or 18S fingerprints ($p_w < 0.1, p > 0.05$) (Table 1). The 2STAGE MDS ordination analysis confirmed that the *g23* fingerprints were not closely related to changes in environmental variables or potential host communities (Fig. 6). The lack of correlation between *g23* fingerprints and environmental variables or 16S and 18S fingerprints suggests that other variables were tied to changes in the composition of T4-like viral assemblages. Alternatively, the hosts of the T4-like viruses may have been a small subset of the prokaryotic community that was not captured by DGGE fingerprinting. In addition, given that the host range of T4-like viruses is very broad (Ackermann 2007, Clokie et al. 2010), linkages between the composition of T4-like viruses and the prokaryotic community may be obscured. It is also possible that the 16S primers also amplified plastid 16S rDNA from eukaryotic microalgae (Schäfer et al. 2001), resulting in non-bacterial amplicons in the DGGE gels, which may have obscured the relationships between the *g23* and 16S fingerprints. Potentially, more specific PCR targets might have revealed linkages between myoviruses and the host community. For instance, many marine T4-like viruses have cyanobacterial hosts, and by using a specific gene target for cyanomyoviruses and cyanobacteria, Mühlung et al. (2005) were able to use DGGE to show co-variation between the genetic structure of *Synechococcus* populations and T4-like viruses in the Red Sea.

Statistical models have recently revealed that viral and prokaryotic subsets, as defined by flow cytometry, are subject to different ecological forcings on the Canadian Arctic Shelf (Winter et al. 2012). Thus, it is
also likely that some ecological factors may have had differential influences on the spatio-temporal genetic structure of subsets of T4-like viruses and prokaryotes, which could have dampened the overall compositional relationship between T4-like viruses and prokaryotes. Alternatively, higher turnover rates in viral assemblages over time relative to prokaryotes may have resulted in the observed lack of association between T4-like viruses and prokaryotes, as has been found in a recent metacommunity study (Declerck et al. 2013).

**Interpretation of DGGE fingerprint patterns**

DGGE allowed complex genetic patterns within the viral assemblages to be profiled and correlated with fingerprints of host communities and environmental variables. However, like other PCR-based fingerprinting techniques, DGGE is subject to methodological biases and involves a number of assumptions. DGGE is a semi-quantitative tool that provides a snapshot of the dominant genotypes. Biases introduced during DNA extraction and PCR amplification, including preferential amplification of certain DNA templates and chimera formation (Suzuki & Giovannoni 1996, Wang & Wang 1996, von Wintzingerode et al. 1997, Polz & Cavanaugh 1998, Acinas et al. 2005), as well as co-migration of amplicons with different sequences (Ferris et al. 1996, Gafan & Spratt 2005, Nikolausz et al. 2005) can influence the results. Finally, DGGE does not discriminate among sequences that are closely related and distant; hence, different bands on a gel can be variants of the same taxon or distant relatives. Despite these limitations, DGGE allows for large-scale comparisons of samples and can effectively discriminate changes in microbial community structure (Casamayor et al. 2000, Díez et al. 2001a, Bano & Hollibaugh 2002, Crump et al. 2003).

As indicated in ‘Materials and methods’, PCR-biases were minimized by pooling replicate PCR products by sample prior to separation on DGGE gels. In addition, the amount of each VC or filter extracted was standardized to the same initial sample volume to reduce potential variability in PCR yields caused by different sample sizes, and to ensure that the DGGE fingerprints among samples were comparable.

The interpretation of richness from DGGE fingerprints can be compromised by different sequences migrating the same distance (Bano & Hollibaugh 2002, Gafan & Spratt 2005), and by some sequences not producing detectable bands; hence, the number of genotypes is not necessarily reflected in the number of bands, although samples with more genetic richness can be expected to produce more bands. Consequently, an abundance of caution is needed when inferring relationships between the genetic richness of viruses and potential host communities.

Relationships between viral and cellular community fingerprints may be obscured because some primers capture viruses that infect a much broader taxonomic range of hosts than other sets of primers. In addition, factors such as host abundance, host defense mechanisms, community turnover, resource availability and grazing activities may blur the relationships between viral and host community fingerprints. More studies with higher technical and taxonomic resolution may reveal virus–host interactions and the ecological factors influencing these interactions. Furthermore, sequence information of the DGGE bands would enable the detection of particular taxa and potentially unveil specific virus–host interactions.

This study showed that on the Canadian Arctic Shelf, the community structure of T4-like viruses and phycodnaviruses followed distinct seasonal and spatial patterns. DGGE analysis of polB amplicons showed that the genetic structure of phycodnaviruses was related to changes in productivity and hydrological conditions, as well as to changes in the composition of eukaryotic microbial communities. This suggests that the phycodnavirus assemblages were shaped by a complex interplay between the environment and host community structure. In contrast, the genetic structure of T4-like viruses could not be related to changes in environmental conditions or the composition of the prokaryotic or eukaryotic microbial communities, suggesting that T4-like viruses may be controlled by unmeasured ecological factors, or by a subset of the prokaryotic community that was not detected by DGGE. Nevertheless, the dynamic nature in the composition of the viral communities on the Canadian Arctic Shelf is consistent with continuous ongoing lysis of host cells, implicating viruses as significant agents of microbial mortality.

However, there are caveats and limitations with using DGGE fingerprint analysis to infer relationships between viral community composition and the composition of potential host communities and environmental conditions. The effect of these limitations is to decrease the power to resolve these interactions, and hence, the interpretations made in this study are conservative.
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