El Niño-Southern Oscillation effects on peanut yield and nitrogen leaching
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ABSTRACT: Peanut growers in north Florida asked for help in managing the crop to increase yield and reduce yield variability, using information on the pattern of large-scale climate. The objectives of this study were to explore at 4 locations in the peanut belt of Florida and southern Georgia the potential for improving peanut crop performance by altering crop management according to the phase of the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). The CROPGRO-Peanut model was used to simulate peanut yield responses to climate and management. The effects of planting date and irrigation management options on the mean and variability of simulated crop yield and nitrogen leaching in different ENSO phases were estimated. This case study showed potential for enhancing peanut yields (1 to 8%) and reducing yield variability (2 to 12%) by tailoring planting dates to expected ENSO conditions, while at the same time reducing potential environmental damages from nitrogen leaching by 1 to 11%. Locations which appeared to have no ENSO linkages currently showed most responses to tailored management in this simulation study. Tailored management calls for later planting during an El Niño, and earlier planting in a La Niña. When planting dates were tailored to ENSO phases, simulations showed at least 10% lower nitrogen leaching from rainfed production systems in about 70% of the years. Among ENSO phases, La Niña seasons were shown to have greater nitrogen leaching, with little response to management changes relative to El Niño seasons. Tailored management may be most advantageous in El Niño seasons as a result of higher yields and lower nitrogen leaching. Further research is needed before options derived from simulations and optimization can be recommended and adopted with confidence by growers and extension advisors.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), through its influence on the climate of Florida, exerts considerable influence on agricultural production. The Florida Department of Agriculture attributed about $165 million of losses in economic activity to agriculture and forestry in Florida due to the 1997-1998 El Niño event. Adams et al. (1995) estimated the potential annual benefit of ENSO-based climate forecasts to agriculture in the Southeast USA at around $100 million. ENSO phases have measurable effects on the yields of 7 of the 10 most important crops to the economy of the Southeast (Hansen et al. 1998, 2001). El Niño was associated with decreased winter yields of tomato (18% of the long-term average), bell pepper (18%), corn (10%), sweetcorn (15%) and snap beans (12%). El Niño events were associated with increased sugarcane yields following La Niña years, and increased yields of grapefruit (5%) and tangerines (13%) but decreased lime yields in the harvest following El Niño events. Furthermore, ENSO has a significant association with corn and tobacco yields, areas of soybean and cotton harvested, and total values of corn, soybean, peanut and tobacco in Alabama, Florida, Georgia and South Carolina. Hansen et al. (2001) showed that there is an almost $500 million difference in the value of these 4 crops between neutral and cold or warm ENSO phases.
Green et al. (1997) have shown that El Niño has little discernable influence on total summer precipitation in Florida. In the summer, climate impacts of El Niño events are more localized. With some exceptions, La Niña events show the reverse of the climate anomalies associated with El Niño events. Knowledge of ENSO events relative to crop growing season is important for understanding analyses of ENSO’s influence on agriculture. Although the timing of ENSO events may vary among years, summer field crops (March–October) are most influenced by the preceding ENSO phase (Hansen et al. 2001). For southern Georgia, Hansen et al. (2001) attributed maize yield response to ENSO primarily to enhanced June precipitation in La Niña years, coinciding with tasseling, when maize is most susceptible to water stress.

This paper investigates possible management options for peanut Arachis hypogaea L. production in the Southeast, assuming prior knowledge of El Niño phases. In a series of state-wide meetings with peanut farmers and extension agents, Jagtap et al. (2001a) and Hildebrand et al. (2000) found that peanut farmers in Florida repeatedly requested information on the expected climate of the upcoming season to improve yields and irrigation management decisions. Water stress and planting dates were identified as the most important factors limiting peanut yield in the state. When irrigation is not an option, farmers hope to control the timing of the water deficit by selecting sowing dates that minimize water stress and its impact on yield. When irrigation was feasible, sowing times as well as timing and amount of irrigation were the most important factors to reduce costs.

Highly productive but relatively small farms and high levels of inputs dominate peanut production at these sites. Although peanut is not fertilized with nitrogen, it symbiotically fixates large quantities of nitrogen that are left behind in residues after harvest. When decomposed, these residues release nitrogen that may leach into the groundwater, creating adverse off-site effects. As there is substantial rainfall during and after harvesting peanuts in Florida and Georgia, there are concerns about the environmental and health impacts of nitrate from agricultural fields (Murphy et al. 2000).

Until now, little was known about how either planting date and irrigation practices based on ENSO may improve peanut yield in the southern US. Elsewhere, simulation studies have shown associations between El Niño phases and yields of peanuts in Australia (Meinke et al. 1996), corn in Zimbabwe (Phillips et al. 1999) and Argentina (Ferreryra et al. 2001), and a mix of crops in other locations (Messina et al. 1999, Jones et al. 2000, Hansen et al. 2001). Crop models were the preferred choice of analysis because of their ability to simulate yield response to alternate management conditions, such as planting date, row spacing, plant population, irrigation and cultivar choice, over many years of historical weather records (Meinke & Hammer 1995, Boote et al. 1996, 1998).

No attempt has been made to assess the likely effects of El Niño phases and management on nutrient leaching. The objectives of this paper were to explore for 3 locations in Florida and 1 in Georgia (1) how choice of planting date and irrigation management options affected simulated peanut yields and yield variability and (2) how the tailored management practices (with respect to yield and yield variability) affected the simulated N leaching of rainfed and irrigated peanuts.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Study sites

Three sites were selected in north Florida (Tallahassee 30.23° N, 84.22° W; Milton 30.78° N, 87.01° W; and Levy 29.42° N, 82.82° W), and 1 in south Georgia (Tifton 31.5° N, 83.5° W); the elevation of all 4 stations is close to sea level (<100 m). Peanut is one of the main crops grown in these areas (Fig. 1). Early planting begins in April and ends by May in Florida and June in Georgia (NASS 1997). Most farmers, however, plant during the optimum planting window of 15 April to 1 May in Florida and about 2 wk later in Georgia. Peanuts normally mature approximately 135 to 140 d after planting (Fig. 1). The same variety may take 15 to 30 d longer to mature when planted early or late in the season.

2.2. Climate and ENSO phases

Daily weather data for all sites from 1947–1990 were obtained from the National Climatic Data Center Summary of the Day database (EarthInfo 1996). Daily weather records for the most recent years in Tifton were obtained from the Georgia Automated Environmental Monitoring Network (Hoogenboom & Gresham 1997). Florida weather data after 1990 are from the Florida Automated Weather Network (http://lawn.ifas.ufl.edu). The weather data from Tallahassee and Milton covered the period 1948–1998. The data series started a year earlier for Tifton (1947–1998) and somewhat later in Levy (1956–1998). Daily solar irradiance data were available only for Tifton and Tallahassee. For Milton and Levy, these data were estimated from daily air temperature, using RadEst v. 3.0, calibrated for nearby Tallahassee and Gainesville (29.63° N, 82.37° W).

El Niño phases (El Niño, La Niña and Neutral) were categorized by the Japan Meteorological Agency.

2.3. Crop simulations

Peanut simulations were performed using CROP-GRO-Peanut (Hoogenboom et al. 1992, 1994, Boote & Tollenaar 1994, Boote et al. 1998, Jones et al. 1998), a dynamic process crop model that simulates crop carbon, soil water, and crop and soil nitrogen balances under different climate, soil, and management conditions. It includes light interception, leaf-level photosynthesis, soil N balance, N uptake, N2 fixation, soil water balance, evapotranspiration, respiration, leaf area growth, pod and seed addition, growth of component parts, root growth, senescence, N mobilization, carbohydrate dynamics, and crop development processes. CROPGRO-Peanut is available as part of the DSSAT v. 3.5 (Jones et al. 1998) software. The model has been coupled with a geographic information system for site-specific production recommendations. CROPGRO-Peanut was calibrated with 7 on-station trials at Gainesville (Boote & Jones 1988, Boote et al. 1988) and validated with 21 on-farm trials in Levy and Jackson counties in north Florida (Boote et al. 1989, Gilbert et al. 2001). Jackson county sites were in-between Milton (180 km by road to east) and Tallahassee (100 km to northwest), and to 240 km south of Tifton.
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coefficient of variation (CV) for all years during each of the 3 ENSO phases. When 2 planting dates gave the same yield, the one with the lowest CV was selected as the optimum planting date. Both these criteria must be satisfied to avoid situations where one planting gives maximum yield but another planting date gives the minimum CV.

2.5. Analyses

An independent \( t \)-test was used to test whether the ENSO phases affected simulated yields for each location when the assumptions of normality and equality of variance held. If tests of either assumption failed, the nonparametric Mann-Whitney (Mann & Whitney 1947) rank sum test was used instead. To test the hypothesis of equal interannual variability between ENSO phases, Levene’s (1960) method was applied when the samples were normally distributed. However, when a Kolmogorov-Smirnov single-sample test (Conover 1999) indicated significant non-normality, the Brown & Forsythe (1974) modification of Levene’s test was applied.

The effects of peanut management (planting date and irrigation) tailored to ENSO phases on simulated yield (mean and CV), weight per 100 seeds, and N leaching were compared with those of current management (rain-fed and irrigated), and they were reported as deviations on a percent basis. The same statistical tests described before were used to test the statistical significance of percent changes.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Response of rainfed simulated yield and weight per seed to ENSO phases

Simulated mean yield (CV) varied from 3262 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (24%) at Tifton to a high of 5405 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (13%) at Levy. Yields (CV) were higher (lower) during La Niña events and lower (higher) during El Niño years compared to all year means in all locations (Table 1). Additionally, for rainfed planting dates yields were higher during La Niña events than for Neutral and El Niño years at all locations. Our results agree with the findings of Hansen et al. (2001), who also identified this tendency in statewide mean peanut production in Florida using historical records. The largest and smallest mean yield differences were found between La Niña and Neutral events (from 0.3% in Levy to 18.5% in Tifton). Only Tifton showed higher peanut yield during El Niño relative to Neutral years. No significant differences in mean simulated peanut yields were found among ENSO phases for the current planting dates in the 3...
locations (Tifton, Tallahassee and Milton). In Levy, however, the mean yield for El Niño events was significantly lower (10%) than the respective mean for Neutral years. The year-to-year variability for El Niño years was also found to be significantly higher (p < 0.05) than for Neutral years in all sites but Tifton.

Simulated peanut yields for early plantings during La Niña years in all locations but Levy were higher than for the other 2 phases (Fig. 2a–c). In Levy, the mean simulated yield for La Niña increased as planting was delayed (Fig. 2d). The yield declined sharply for May or later plantings. The Florida locations, in contrast with Tifton, showed large yield differences between Neutral and El Niño years. These simulated yield responses to planting dates are consistent with current planting practices in these regions. Planting is usually completed by June 10 in Georgia and by May 15 in Florida (Fig. 1; NASS 1997).

Higher yields contributed to higher seed weights in Florida compared to Georgia. Keeping in line with higher yields, rainfall and sandy Florida soils, N leaching was 3 to 4 times more than in Georgia (Table 1). Annual N leaching for planting after La Niña events was 15–40% higher than for plantings following El Niño events.

The CV for El Niño years in Tallahassee and Milton was substantially higher than for the other 2 phases for plantings early in the season, reaching its minimum values for May 17 and early June planting dates, respectively (Fig. 3b,c). The CV for La Niña and Neutral events, on the other hand, did not show much variation with planting date. CV of yield in Levy decreased sharply with planting date until the end of April, when the CV of Neutral events achieved its lowest values (Fig. 3d). The CV in Tifton, in contrast to the other locations, was less responsive to changes in planting date (Fig. 3a).

The yield gain (loss) by tailoring planting of rainfed peanut by ENSO phase was not significantly different at the 95% confidence level. However, the gains in
yield, seed weight and N leaching were generally higher than optimized values for all years (Table 2). The mean yield in Tifton and Milton increased by more than 3% above current planting date yields for all ENSO phases. For all the other sites and phases, the benefits of shifting the timing of planting would be more related to a reduction in the CV rather than an increase in the mean yield. That was the case particularly for the Florida locations during El Niño. Weight per seed marginally but consistently increased in most cases, and N leaching was reduced for La Niña and El Niño seasons (Table 2). These benefits in El Niño years were the results of postponing current planting dates by 21 d (except at Levy). Our simulated results are consistent with the response of some peanut farmers in northern Florida and south Georgia in 1998 (Letson et al. 2001). The farmers, being aware that 1998 was an El Niño year, delayed planting. Those who did, in anticipation of heat and drought stress, avoided the catastrophic losses that were later experienced by those who planted at the usual time.

To gain a better understanding of the role of climate variability on peanut growth and yield differences between El Niño and La Niña phases, temperature and rainfall for each location for the planting date of April 26 were analyzed. The higher simulated yields achieved with La Niña events were associated with lower mean air temperatures, lower year-to-year variability in rainfall amounts, higher solar irradiance (except at Tifton), and lower simulated water stress during the pod-filling period relative to El Niño events (Table 3). In CRPGRO-Peanut, the seed growth rate function for peanuts has its optimum at 24°C. At higher than optimum temperatures the crop starts to exhibit poorer than optimum fruit formation and partitioning (Boote et al. 1998). Furthermore, the higher year-to-year variability, particularly of rainfall, for El Niño years compared with that of La Niña seasons resulted

---

**Fig. 3.** Simulated coefficient of variation (CV) of rainfed yields by ENSO phases across plantings in (a) Tifton, (b) Tallahassee, (c) Milton, and (d) Levy.
in higher variability of water stress on crop growth and finally in higher yield variability.

The simulated yields for each of the El Niño and La Niña crop seasons in Tifton are illustrated as circles (the area of each circle is proportional to the yield) versus mean air temperature and precipitation during the pod filling stage in Fig. 4. The mean temperature and rainfall totals for La Niña and El Niño seasons are presented as horizontal and vertical lines. The higher yield variability in El Niño years (Fig. 4b) compared to La Niña years (Fig. 4a) is evident, as is the higher range of precipitation and temperature in El Niño years. The most productive La Niña seasons were those with above average precipitation (Fig. 4a). In contrast, the 3 lowest yield seasons (1983, 1987 and 1998) (Fig. 4b) were associated with above-average temperatures and below-average precipitation amounts, a combination that resulted in higher simu-
lated water stress compared with the mean values for El Niño years (Table 3). The 2 El Niño years of 1970 and 1964 that received above-average rainfall and below-average temperatures resulted in the highest simulated yields.

3.2. Response to simulated irrigation during pod filling

Not surprisingly, irrigation from first pod to harvest maturity combined with tailoring planting dates increased simulated yields by 3 to 10% with the exception of Levy (Table 4). We attributed these yield responses to reduced (increased) mean air temperatures and increased (decreased) solar irradiance in La Niña (El Niño) during the pod-filling period. The yield benefits from tailoring planting date to an ENSO phase and applying irrigation during pod fill relative to the planting date optimized over all years were significant and higher for Tifton than for the Florida sites (Table 4). At the Florida locations, yield benefits were only significant during Neutral phases, but caused increased simulated N leaching. The enhanced simulated yields were achieved with small, but not statistically significant in most cases, increases in irrigation water. Tifton required 3 to 4 more irrigation applications than the Florida locations. Yield benefits were achieved with earlier plantings, when the mean air temperature was closer to the optimum for peanuts. The weight per seed consistently increased as well, suggesting improved grain quality, and N leaching increased. Overall, irrigation consistently improved yields and grain quality, and lowered CV and N leaching at Tifton during La Niña’s (Table 4).

3.3. Response of simulated N leaching to ENSO phases

We explored the influence of all combinations of subsequent phases (i.e. during the growing season [March–October] and during the subsequent fallow period [November–February] on simulated N leaching. A crop year (March–October) for our locations was classified based on the ENSO phase that started in October of the previous year, while a fallow period (November–February) was classified on the ENSO phase of the current year. In Tifton, the higher N leaching occurred when the crop season was followed by an El Niño phase. These fallow periods received higher total rainfall amounts than Neutral and La Niña phases (3.67 mm d⁻¹ vs 3.16 and 2.89, respectively). N leaching tended to be lowest when the subsequent fallow periods were La Niña, which were the driest (2.89 mm d⁻¹).

N leaching was substantially higher in Florida due to higher total precipitation, particularly during the growing season (Table 1). La Niña or Neutral crop seasons preceding El Niño bare fallow periods showed the highest N leaching. This N response was also attributed to the higher rainfall totals observed during El Niño bare fallow periods compared to Neutral and La Niña. Very high N leaching was also found during Neutral fallow periods following El Niño growing seasons. The combinations of Neutral growing seasons preceding La Niña fallows resulted in the lowest N leaching due to the very low observed precipitation amounts and the efficient crop nitrogen uptake (as evidenced by the higher yields compared...
with the other 2 phases; Table 1) during the crop season. N leaching was similar across different ENSO phases when deficit irrigations were applied during the growing season.

Tailoring planting date (with respect to mean yield and yield CV) of rainfed crops decreased total N leaching (crop season + subsequent fallow) for El Niño crop seasons in Tifton by 11% no matter what phase followed the crop season (Table 2). The opposite responses of N leaching were found with Neutral growing seasons. In Florida locations, N leaching decreased consistently at Tallahassee and Milton. Benefits were higher for Milton than for Levy and Tallahassee. Across all sites and years, tailoring planting of irrigated management decreased N leaching in 70% of the years, while in the remaining 30% of the years it remained unchanged or increased.

Small increases in total N leaching (Table 4) in most of the cases was found after tailoring planting date of irrigated peanuts to Neutral and El Niño phases in Georgia. The opposite was found for La Niña growing seasons, with a decrease of about 4%. N leaching increased in the majority of cases in Tallahassee and Milton and decreased in Levy. These changes were mainly attributed to the more drastic shifting in planting dates for irrigated than for rainfed crops (Tables 3 & 4). Overall, tailoring planting of irrigated peanuts for maximum yields decreased (increased) N leaching in 41% (59%) of the years.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

It is possible to utilize an ENSO phases to improve peanut production in Georgia and Florida. ENSO does have an impact on peanut productivity, variability among years, seed quality and susceptibility for nitrogen leaching (Table 5). Although results varied from state to state, simulation analyses suggest that farmers can improve their yields (1–8%), lower year-to-year variability (2–12%), and nitrogen leaching (1–11%) in years characterized as El Niño and La Niña by tailoring planting dates (Table 5) at 3 out of the 4 locations where the study was conducted. Although initial analysis (Table 1) showed that ENSO had significant (p = 0.05) impact on yield and CV at Levy, in the final analysis, it showed the smallest response to tailored planting dates. Sites for which there is little discernible influence from ENSO showed the greatest response to tailored planting dates. La Niña generally has a very positive effect across the sites. El Niño combined with late planting resulted in lower nitrogen leaching. According to simulation, the optimum planting window for rainfed production was 21 d later in El Niño years with no consistent pattern (14 d early to 21 d late) among locations in La Niña years (Table 5). Tailoring planting dates and applying irrigation showed that simulated N leaching would increase in more than half of the years, with the exception of Tifton (Table 5).
The results of this study may have been different if we had tailored planting date and irrigation management to minimize for N leaching, seed quality or even income return rather than for production risk and seed yield. An economic evaluation of the management decisions would not be realistic, since the indirect effects of climate on insect pests and diseases were not modeled. This would become an important factor because fungicide applications, the measures producers take against diseases, are some of the major production costs of peanuts especially in the Southeast (Pedelini 1988).

Further research is needed before options derived from simulation and optimization can be recommended and adopted with confidence by growers and extension advisors. Farmers also might benefit from adjusting land allocation among crops based on ENSO phase (Jones et al. 2000). A joint research and extension initiative has been launched (Jagtap et al. 2001b) to disseminate climate information to growers in Florida. This initiative includes components for generation, communication and use of climate information as well as an implementation and evaluation.
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