Erratum

A test of corrections for extraneous signals in gridded surface temperature data* *The error was first noticed by T. Lambert.

Ross McKitrick**, Patrick J. Michaels

Clim Res 26: 159-173, 2004

tions, is available at www.uoguelph.ca/~rmckitri/research/gdptemp.html

Extra information, including the data base and computa-

There was a mistake in the command file used to compute the results in our paper (McKitrick & Michaels 2004). The formula for computing cosine of absolute latitude (COSABLAT) takes the angle in radians, but our data were entered in degrees. We have corrected this and produced new versions of the affected tables. Table 4 below, showing the central results of the paper, displays the original and corrected columns side-by-side for ease of comparison. Tables 5 to 8 are presented in corrected versions only.

As is evident in Table 4, except for the impacts on the *COSABLAT* variable itself, the changes are very small. The principal effect of the correction is a reduced weight on the constant term and an increased weight on the *COSABLAT* variable itself. Indeed, the correction improves the overall fit and removes the anomalously small cosine-latitude effect. The socioeconomic variables remain significant and the effects carry over from the station data to the gridded data as before.

Because the main patterns of results persist across the revised tables, the original discussions as worded in our paper need only minor modification, and our overall conclusion, re-stated here, is unaffected:

Overall, the results of this study support the hypothesis that published temperature data are contaminated with nonclimatic influences that add up to a net warming bias, and that efforts should be made to properly quantify these effects.

Except as noted below, readers should rely on the original discussion in McKitrick & Michaels (2004), substituting corrected coefficient values as necessary.

1. INTRODUCTION

The amount of dry air predicts the dominant fraction of warming in the cold season. Outside the dry/cold regions the measured temperature change is significantly (previous: 'primarily') influenced by economic and social variables.

3. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

3.1. The cosine of latitude is significant in nearly all specifications (previous: insignificant), with most of the contribution coming from reduced constant terms. The variable R^2 -Geog (the adjusted R^2 from a regression on the geographical variables only) explains 14 to 29% of the trend patterns (previous: 4 to 6%). The fixed Soviet effect is +0.49°C/decade in the station data (previous: 0.59), and is smaller but still weakly significant (p =

0.05) at +0.13°C/decade in the gridded data (previous: 0.29). The literacy effect in the corrected model (gridded data) is now a little larger (-0.003; previous: -0.002) and the *t*-statistic is higher.

3.2. In Table 5, there was virtually no change in the station data-poor region, with latitude remaining insignificant in this case. In the gridded data-poor region, the Soviet and literacy effects ceased to be significant, but coal use became significant. In the gridded data-wealthy region, all socioeconomic indicators, except missing stations, remained significant, while the coefficient and significance of the pressure effect increased. In the station data-wealthy region, coal use and income effects ceased to be significant. The missing data count is insignificant across all columns.

3.3. As before, within the dry, cold season subset only the pressure effect is significant: latitude is not. The cold season pressure effect goes from weakly significant to significant in the global sample and is thus significant in both seasons (previous: warm only). In the global sample, GDP growth and the Soviet effect cease to be significant in both cold and warm seasons, but literacy and income effects remain significant in both seasons, as do coal use and missing stations in the warm season.

4. MODEL SPECIFICATION TESTS

- **4.1.** Five observations were deleted as outliers (down from 11).
- **4.2.** The effects of income and the Soviet dummy go from weakly significant to insignificant, but as before most of the explanatory power on the surface-tropospheric differences is from the nonclimatic variables.

5. OUT-OF-SAMPLE PROPERTIES

The validation skill is now higher: the correlation between the predicted and observed values rises from 0.31 to 0.51 and the R² rises from 0.09 to 0.26.

6. GENERATING 'CLEAN' CLIMATE TRENDS

Removing economic effects drops the average trend from 0.27 to 0.18°C/decade, not 0.11 as before. Removing social effects drops it further to 0.13°C/decade, not 0.06. Setting income to the sample average yields 0.23°C/decade, not 0.20 as before. Hence, the magnitude of the net warming bias is one-third to one-half the observed average in this sample, depending on assumptions about the role of income.

Table 4. Parameter estimates for surface data 'fingerprint' model. t-statistic in parentheses, based on White's (1980) heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator. Coefficient estimates in **bold**: significant at 95%; *: significant at 90%. Dependent variable is in °C/decade. R^2 -Geog: adjusted R^2 from a regression of the surface trends on the geographic variables ($PRESS_i$ through $COSABLAT_i$) only. P(Econ = 0): p-value of an F-test on the hypothesis that the economic influence variables (POP_i through $GDPGROW_i$) are jointly zero. P(Sov = 0): p-value of a t-test on the hypothesis that the Soviet dummy is zero. P(Soc = 0): p-value of an t-test on the hypothesis that t-test on the hypo

Variable	Station data Global sample				Gridded data Global sample				
	Origi		Corre	cted	Origi		Correc	ted	
Dependent variable	3		ND_i	GTRE	ND_i	$GTREND_i$			
CONSTANT	-12.727	(1.16)	-15.392	(1.47)	-9.124	(2.13)	-10.536	(2.78)	
$PRESS_i$	0.013	(1.18)	0.016	(1.54)	0.009	(2.18)	0.011	(2.98)	
$WATER_i$	0.103*	(1.91)	0.098*	(1.86)	0.012	(0.39)	0.003	(0.10)	
$COSABLAT_i$	-0.008	(0.20)	-0.381*	(1.66)	-0.048	(2.46)	-0.602	(6.15)	
POP_i	0.002	(0.60)	0.002	(0.58)	-0.002	(1.26)	-0.002	(1.11)	
$SCALE79_i$	-0.002	(0.51)	-0.001	(0.32)	-0.000	(0.02)	-0.001	(0.48)	
COAL80	-0.450	(2.72)	-0.454	(2.81)	-0.323	(3.40)	-0.309	(4.11)	
$COALGROW_i$	-0.007*	(1.68)	-0.005	(1.32)	-0.002	(0.85)	0.001	(0.24)	
$INC79_i$	0.046	(4.46)	0.040	(3.67)	0.030	(5.22)	0.018	(3.62)	
$GDPGROW_i$	0.091	(4.55)	0.086	(4.24)	0.039	(3.68)	0.026	(2.59)	
$SOVIET_i$	0.592	(5.46)	0.489	(4.02)	0.288	(4.73)	0.129*	(1.94)	
$SURFMISS_i$	-0.000	(0.09)	-0.000	(0.20)	-0.001	(0.16)	-0.003	(0.50)	
$LIT79_i$	-0.005	(2.99)	-0.005	(3.27)	-0.002	(2.81)	-0.003	(3.19)	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.25		0.26		0.29		0.38		
Adj-R ²	0.20		0.22		0.25		0.34		
R ² -Geog	0.04		0.14		0.06		0.29		
P(Econ = 0)	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		
P(Sov = 0)	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.05*		
P(Soc = 0)	0.01		0.01		0.02		0.01		
df	205		205		192		192		

Table 5. Parameter estimates for surface trend model on economic subsamples. Dependent variable is temperature trend in °C/decade at surface in either station data set or gridded data set. Definitions and notes as for Table 4

Variable		Wealth	ny region		Poor region				
	Station data		Gridded data		Station data		Gridded data		
CONSTANT	-41.044	(1.48)	-18.557	(2.93)	-11.586*	(1.75)	-4.514	(0.95)	
$PRESS_i$	0.041	(1.49)	0.019	(3.03)	0.012*	(1.82)	0.005	(1.16)	
$WATER_i$	0.075	(0.78)	-0.027	(0.50)	0.131	(2.08)	0.051	(1.51)	
$COSABLAT_i$	-1.301	(3.03)	-0.791	(4.32)	-0.115	(0.27)	-0.763	(3.53)	
POP_i	-0.001	(0.28)	0.000	(0.14)	0.005	(1.15)	-0.006	(2.78)	
$SCALE79_i$	0.002	(0.34)	-0.001	(0.20)	0.012	(0.63)	-0.019	(1.62)	
$COAL80_i$	-0.286	(1.13)	-0.421	(3.67)	-0.431	(1.17)	-0.364	(2.35)	
$COALGROW_i$	-0.036	(3.13)	-0.015	(3.68)	0.001	(0.27)	0.003	(1.42)	
INC79	0.026	(0.78)	0.040	(3.27)	-0.011	(0.32)	-0.016	(1.09)	
$GDPGROW_i$	0.039	(0.57)	0.059	(2.13)	0.064	(3.29)	0.016	(1.35)	
$SOVIET_i$					0.682	(4.03)	0.120	(1.47)	
$SURFMISS_i$	-0.005	(1.18)	-0.012	(1.39)	0.001	(0.77)	-0.001	(0.13)	
LIT79	0.002	(0.27)	-0.003	(0.99)	-0.003*	(1.70)	-0.001	(0.98)	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.35		0.56		0.33		0.34		
Adj-R ²	0.24		0.49		0.27		0.27		
R ² -Geog	0.24		0.41		0.12		0.24		
P(Econ = 0)	0.03		0.00		0.00		0.00		
P(Sov = 0)					0.00		0.14		
P(Soc = 0)	0.48		0.19		0.18		0.62		
df	68		67		125		113		

Table 6. Parameter estimates for gridded trend model, cold/warm season subsamples; global and dry regions. Dependent variable is seasonal temperature trend in gridded data set. Cold season: October–March in the North Hemisphere; April–September in the South Hemisphere; reverse for warm season. Variable definitions and notes as for Table 4

Variable	Cold season				Warm season				
	Global	sample	Dry re	gions	Global sample		Dry regions		
CONSTANT	-10.299	(2.27)	-25.366	(2.59)	-13.142	(3.05)	-10.094	(1.00)	
$PRESS_i$	0.011	(2.42)	0.026	(2.81)	0.014	(3.27)	0.011	(1.18)	
$WATER_i$	0.024	(0.67)	0.042	(0.40)	-0.011	(0.33)	-0.025	(0.38)	
$COSABLAT_i$	-0.529	(3.70)	-0.414	(1.53)	-0.725	(6.69)	-0.703	(2.53)	
POP_i	-0.001	(0.35)	-0.020*	(1.70)	-0.002	(1.27)	-0.007	(0.72)	
$SCALE79_i$	-0.000	(0.06)	0.009	(1.11)	0.002	(0.65)	0.007	(1.02)	
$COAL80_i$	0.006	(0.05)	-0.030	(0.16)	-0.428	(4.49)	-0.445	(2.58)	
$COALGROW_i$	0.002	(0.83)	-0.015	(0.94)	-0.001	(0.27)	0.008	(0.78)	
INC79	0.024	(3.27)	0.039	(1.23)	0.012	(1.97)	0.000	(0.02)	
$GDPGROW_i$	0.023	(1.58)	0.009	(0.16)	0.022*	(1.88)	0.051	(1.42)	
$SOVIET_i$	0.084	(1.10)	-0.079	(0.25)	0.110	(1.53)	0.125	(0.49)	
$SURFMISS_i$	-0.003	(0.21)	-0.054	(1.56)	-0.024	(2.91)	0.019	(0.75)	
LIT79	-0.003	(3.48)	-0.001	(0.09)	-0.003	(3.03)	-0.004	(0.37)	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.29		0.36		0.38		0.37		
Adj-R ²	0.24		0.20		0.34		0.21		
R ² -Geog	0.18		-0.01		0.24		0.17		
P(Econ = 0)	0.01		0.19		0.00		0.04		
P(Sov = 0)	0.27		0.80		0.13		0.63		
P(Soc = 0)	0.00		0.29		0.00		0.74		
df	193		47		196		46		

Table 7. Specification tests for surface trend model on annual gridded data. Details as for Table 4; also see Section 4. df in Column 4 refers to 2 separate estimation stages. S-T DIFF: difference between surface and tropospheric trends

Variable	Glod samj Gridded	ple	Outli remov Gridded	ved	S-T D Global sa		Orthog mod Gridded	el	NA+ remov Gridded	ved
CONSTANT PRESS; WATER; COSABLAT; POP; SCALE79; COAL 80; COALGROW; INC79 GDPGROW; SOVIET; SURFMISS;	-10.536 0.011 0.003 -0.602 -0.002 -0.001 -0.309 0.001 0.018 0.026 0.129* -0.003	(2.78) (2.98) (0.10) (6.15) (1.11) (0.48) (4.11) (0.24) (3.62) (2.59) (1.94) (0.50)	-8.984 0.010 0.011 -0.576 -0.001 0.001 -0.285 0.001 0.020 0.024 0.156 -0.000	(2.29) (2.48) (0.36) (5.08) (0.41) (0.27) (3.19) (0.39) (3.17) (2.18) (2.24) (0.01)	-1.633 0.002 -0.005 -0.034 -0.002 0.001 - 0.144 0.004* 0.007 -0.001 0.088	(0.51) (0.59) (0.22) (0.32) (1.15) (0.22) (2.17) (1.75) (1.54) (0.11) (1.57) (2.72)	-5.485* 0.006* 0.012 -0.630 -0.002 0.001 -0.272 0.000 0.017 0.025 0.137 -0.004	(1.64) (1.87) (0.42) (8.53) (1.05) (0.53) (3.67) (0.18) (3.56) (2.60) (2.40) (0.63)	-7.908* 0.009* 0.026 -0.571 -0.004 0.006 -0.266* -0.002 0.012* 0.023* 0.078 -0.008	(1.75) (1.89) (0.74) (3.56) (1.11) (1.51) (1.82) (0.47) (1.66) (1.80) (1.08) (1.22)
LIT79	-0.003	(3.19)	-0.003	(3.17)	-0.003	(3.54)	-0.003	(3.11)	-0.002	(1.24)
R^2 Adj- R^2 R^2 -Geog $P(Econ = 0)$ $P(Sov = 0)$ $P(Soc = 0)$	0.38 0.34 0.29 0.00 0.06* 0.01		0.40 0.36 0.32 0.01 0.03 0.01		0.19 0.14 0.01 0.01 0.12 0.00		0.11 0.07 0.29 0.00 0.02 0.01		0.36 0.30 0.25 0.05 0.28 0.27	
df 192 187 192 201/195 Correlation between predicted and observed R^2 between predicted and observed								129 0.51 0.26		

Table 8. Values of sample average temperature trends in gridded data after successively removing extraneous socio-economic biases

Adjustment	Adjusted sample Average trend (°C/decade)	Adjusted sample SD
Original sample average	0.270	0.237
Remove economic effects	0.182	0.135
Remove social effects	0.134	0.165
Remove Soviet effect Set income to sample avera	0.111 ge 0.226	0.133 0.133
	3	

Editorial responsibility: Chris de Freitas, Auckland, New Zealand

LITERATURE CITED

McKitrick R, Michaels PJ (2004) A test of corrections for extraneous signals in gridded surface temperature data. Clim Res 26:159-173

Submitted: September 14, 2004; Accepted: September 17, 2004 Proofs received from author(s): September 29, 2004