Relationship between ocean climatic variability and rain-fed agriculture in northeast Brazil
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ABSTRACT: The statistical relationship between sea-surface temperature variability over tropical oceans and the 1952 to 2000 crops of maize and beans in the state of Ceará, Brazil, was investigated. This region mainly receives precipitation from February to May. The climatic indices used were the Niño-3 for the Pacific and the dipole index for the Atlantic. In a first series of diagnostic analyses, the climatic precursor variables were examined from November to January (Years 0 to 1) for the Niño-3 index and from February to April (Year 1) for the Atlantic dipole components. The agronomic variables were the planted area, annual production, yield (which showed a negative linear trend during the study period), price, and aggregate value (price/yield) for Year 1. A more robust statistical weight was obtained with the Atlantic dipole. The Pacific weight added to, or decreased, the Atlantic effect, depending on the sign of the index. Ocean climatic conditions that generate drought episodes in the Nordeste region of Brazil are generally associated with bad harvests. However, the reverse (i.e. wet weather episodes) does not necessarily produce good harvests. In a second series of prognostic analyses, a statistical model used the ocean climatic information available before the rainy season to forecast the yields of maize and beans. The model gave some relevant data in terms of yield tendencies, and was more sensitive when the climatic conditions were neutral or dry. Remarkably good yield forecasts were noted at the end of the study period. Harvests depend on complex combinations of multiple climatic criteria related, not only to quantitative, but also qualitative factors connected with the rainy season.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Many studies worldwide have shown the importance of climatic variability in agricultural production and financial income from crops (Handler 1990, Semenov & Porter 1995, Carlson et al. 1996, Hansen et al. 1998, Sivakumar 2006). The techniques recently used in seasonal climate forecasting confront challenges in predicting the variations in crop production early enough to adjust critical decisions (Doblas-Reyes et al. 2006, Hansen & Sivakumar 2006, Rubas et al. 2006) that may, in turn, have a positive impact on rural society (Smit et al. 1996, Adams et al. 1999, Hansen et al. 2006). For emergent and developing countries, where the practice of soil conservation is often not widespread (Cane et al. 1994), and where the decision-making process is often deficient (Vogel & O’Brien 2006), this issue is not trivial. The socio-economic impact of forecasting is even more intense in areas where a high incidence of abnormal climatic conditions mean that harvests vary considerably from year to year (Garnett & Khandekar 1992) and where the poorly educated rural population has multiple difficulties adapting to modern agricultural technologies (Brou et al. 2005). The state of Ceará, in the northern part of northeast Brazil (hereafter called Nordeste) (Fig. 1), provides one such example, with
the vulnerability of subsistence agriculture affecting >1 million people (Magalhães & Glantz 1992, Lemos et al. 2002).

Ninety-three percent of the state of Ceará (146,300 km²) is influenced by a semi-arid climate, with great seasonal and inter-annual variability in the rainfall regime. The rainy season is roughly limited to 4 mo, with >60% of the total rainfall (about 650 mm yr⁻¹ on average) occurring in February to May. This is directly linked to the southward migration of the inter-tropical convergence zone (ITCZ), which is itself controlled to a large extent by the delayed feedback of sea-surface temperature (SST) variability over the tropical oceans (e.g. Hastenrath & Greischar 1993). Any abnormal climatic event in these tropical oceans leads to an abnormal latitudinal displacement and/or intensity of the ITCZ. When such an anomalous climatic event occurs in the months around the end or beginning of a year, it may lead to disruption in the seasonal rainfall of the Nordeste a few weeks later, with a consequent impact on rain-fed crops.

The main objective of the current study was to present an analysis of the impact of the Pacific and Atlantic tropical SST variability on the quality and quantity of rain-fed agriculture in the state of Ceará, Brazil. The period studied was 1952 to 2000, which includes a good sample of drought years, flood years, and years considered normal in the Nordeste. The economic responses are measured here in terms of various agricultural variables for the 2 major rain-fed crops in Ceará, bean *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and maize *Zea mays* (traditional species that have been grown for at least a century). These crops are mainly consumed (including use as animal feed) at a regional level in the Nordeste, which strongly attenuates any unspecified effects of external markets.

In Section 2 we give a brief overview of the precarious rain-fed agriculture in the Nordeste. In Section 3 we present the data sets, and an initial analytical discussion of them. The main results section (Section 4) is divided into 2 parts. The first part investigates the delayed impact of tropical SST variability on the annual crops in Ceará using the technique of composite yearly events (Nobre & Shukla 1996), combining different climatic situations over the Pacific and the Atlantic. In the second part, a series of diagnostic methods are tested using simple and multiple linear regressions to forecast the indirect (via rainfall distribution) climatic influence of the tropical oceans on rain-fed agronomic productivity. Our conclusions and a discussion of the results are then given (Section 5), with suggestions on how to improve the seasonal forecasts for the Nordeste.

2. THE PRECARIOUS RAIN-FED AGRICULTURAL SECTOR

The Nordeste has a developing economy that includes a modern agricultural processing sector in the narrow strip of land along the humid coast (mainly sugar and cocoa production) and extensive traditional subsistence agricultural activity (mainly beans and maize) in the large, semi-arid portion in the interior (‘sertãos’). In this latter region, climate is the most important factor determining the efficiency of rain-fed agricultural sector (Magalhães & Glantz 1992). Because the yearly rainfall in the region is generally concentrated within 3 to 4 mo, the inverno (winter), farmers usually plant at the beginning of that period (January or February) and harvest the crops at its end (April to June) to take advantage of the period when soil humidity is adequate. Maize is generally planted a few weeks before beans. It takes about 90 to 120 d for maize and 60 to 90 d for beans to reach maturity. Therefore, the harvests occur more or less simultaneously at the end of the rainy season.

Campos & Studart (2008) jointly analyzed agricultural practices and the occurrence of drought. The drought index is defined by the soil moisture that is insufficient to maintain the vegetation root system, leading to considerably reduced agricultural production. The effects are well known: economic losses and serious social disturbances, such as hunger, rural exodus, and separation of family members.
Another negative effect (or, at the very least, a neutral effect) is that the subsistence agriculture practiced in the sertãos is still rudimentary. Partly because of the often extreme poverty of the rural population, the agricultural practices (poor mechanization, little technological improvement, sporadic use of fertilizers and irrigation, etc.) have remained the same for many decades. According to Brant (2007), ~50% of the farmers in Ceará are landless, and many more own parcels too small to form a viable production unit. Additionally, most people have little political voice, and, historically, political focus has been on emergency measures to alleviate the effects of drought rather than on creating environments that promote increased livelihood options (Nelson 2005).

Furthermore, most of the rivers in the Nordeste are small and non-perennial, with practically no phreatic layer (i.e. the substrate is impervious granite). This contributes to the strongly restricted water supply outside the short rainy season.

Thus, although a positive trend in yields is generally expected in agricultural sectors worldwide, as a result of advances in agriculture science and practices, the present study shows that this is not the case in the sertãos. Indeed, the most significant change in the farmers’ behaviour in recent years has been derived from the increasing information available via the media in climate forecasts delivered by various public organizations, such as the Fundação Cearense de Meteorologia e Recursos Hídricos (FUNCEME). Since the 1980s, and especially since the beginning of the 1990s, the increasing availability of climate information has influenced the decisions of small farmers regarding whether or not to plant. In this way, adequate climatic forecasts are of crucial importance for the whole agricultural economy of the Nordeste, especially that of the sertãos.

3. DATA SETS AND FIRST DIAGNOSTIC ANALYSES

3.1. Agronomic variables

Agronomic data were collected by the Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística and compiled by the Instituto de Planjamento do Ceará. The variables used here were planted area, annual production, yield (or productivity, defined as the production divided by the entire agricultural area), price (corrected according to long-term monetary fluctuations), and aggregate value (price/yield).

The planted area during the study period from 1952 to 2000 (Fig. 2a) strongly paralleled the growth rate of the rural population. It ranged from about 150 000 ha in the middle of the 20th century for both maize and beans, to about 600 000 ha at the end of the century. However, 2 distinct periods are apparent. Whereas the increase in the planted area was virtually constant from the beginning of the 1950s until the end of the 1970s, that variable experienced large inter-annual changes during the last 2 decades of the 20th century. The present study shows that many of those changes can be directly related to climatic variability.

The production, yield, and aggregate values for maize and beans are given in Fig. 2b, c, and d, respectively. The general long-term patterns of these variables distinctly differ from the variability in planted areas (Fig. 2a). A slow increase (not significant at 95%) is observed for production across the full 1952 to 2000 time series. The yearly crop of maize varies from very small values (e.g. 1958, 1981, 1983) to >600 000 tons (in 2000), but with strong inter-annual and multi-decadal changes. The yearly crop of beans is smaller, with values ranging between very low (usually in the years when the maize crop is also low) and 300 000 tons (in 1994), and the inter-annual and multi-decadal changes are weaker than those of maize. As shown in Section 3.2, consecutive drought years occurred at the beginning of the 1980s, and the immediate effect was an obvious, dramatic fall in both maize and bean production during this period (Fig. 2b). This was also associated with the previously mentioned abrupt change in the area planted (Fig. 2a).

In contrast to the planted area and production time series, a negative trend1 (significant at 95%) is apparent in the yield time series for both maize and beans (Fig. 2c). Yields were smaller for beans (about 50 to 600 kg ha–1) than for maize (about 50 to 1050 kg ha–1), again with higher inter-annual variability for maize. It is interesting to note that, except for isolated years such as 1958 and 1970, the yields for both species were best during the first half of the recorded data. After the half-decade episode around the drought years of the 1980s, during which the yield values were particularly low (very low production with strong changes in the areas planted), the yields increased again, but without reaching their former values.

The yearly aggregate values (Fig. 2d) vary considerably compared with the production and yield variables discussed above. A relatively regular increase in aggregate values (from US$ 20 to 80 million yield–1) was observed for both species during the first part of the record, with about the same values. The peak of profit occurred in the mid-1970s (e.g. about US$ 160 million and US$ 100 million yield–1 in 1975 for beans and maize, respectively). The following decade (1975 to 1985) was marked by a reduction in aggregate val-

1In Section 4.2, we analyze this trend when discussing the prognostic model for productivity
ues, especially for maize. The last 10 yr of the record show a new increase for beans, with relative stability, at close to US$ 80 million yield⁻¹. During this time, the aggregate value for maize continued to show significant inter-annual variability. The worst years for aggregate values (e.g. 1958, 1970, 1981, 1983, 1993, and 1998) were also the years with the lowest production values, the worst yields, and often a dramatic reduction in the planted area.

3.2. Climatic variables

The intra-seasonal and inter-annual variability in the ITCZ, and consequently the Nordeste’s climate, are largely teleconnected with worldwide climate phenomena, such as the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in the equatorial Indo-Pacific Ocean (Philander 1990, Trenberth 1997) and the SST anomaly dipole in the tropical Atlantic (Moura & Shukla 1981, Servain 1991, Nobre & Shukla 1996). The El Niño/La Niña phenomena (Philander 1990) have multiple climatic and socio-economic consequences all over the world. Among these, El Niño is often associated with dry episodes over the Nordeste, whereas La Niña can lead to flooding in this semi-arid region (Uvo et al. 1998). The meridian mode of climate variability in the tropical Atlantic, usually called the ‘dipole’ (Servain 1991), is represented by a latitudinal gradient in the SST anomaly pattern between the north and south of the tropical basin (Ayina & Servain 2003). The phases of the standard Atlantic dipole control the zonal band of cloudiness and precipitation associated with the ITCZ (Hastenrath & Greischar 1993). During a positive phase (i.e. positive SST anomalies in the northern tropical basin and negative SST anomalies in the south), the thermal gradient points towards the northern hemisphere. Consequently, the ITCZ is predominantly located to the north of its climatological position, and rains are often below normal in the Nordeste (Hastenrath & Heller 1977, Hastenrath 1990). Conversely, during a

Fig. 2. From 1952 to 2000: (a) planted area, (b) annual production, (c) yield, and (d) aggregate values by year for maize and bean crops in Ceará. Solid and dotted lines: linear trends for beans and maize, respectively
negative phase of the dipole, the whole system is moved abnormally southwards, generally bringing more rain to the Nordeste (Wagner 1996). We must also note that El Niño (La Niña) years are sometimes associated with positive (negative) occurrences of the SST dipole (Enfield & Mayer 1997), thus increasing the influence of the tropical Atlantic.

There is no universal single definition of ENSO (SCOR 1983, Trenberth 1997, Chen et al. 2002). Most of the time, this major ocean–atmosphere-coupled event is defined by either the surface pressure difference between Tahiti and Darwin (e.g. Ropelewski & Jones 1987) or the SST anomaly in specified regions of the eastern tropical Pacific (Chen et al. 2002). Among these test regions, the Niño-3 area (5°N to 5°S, 150 to 90°W) (Fig. 1) and the Niño-3.4 area (5°N to 5°S, 170 to 120°W), both strongly correlated, are those currently most used. Based on similar previous analyses, for instance that by Uvo et al. (1998), we chose the Niño-3 area as that most closely related to the climate of the Nordeste. In the present study, we used an adaptation of the definition proposed by Trenberth (1997): positive PacPos (negative PacNeg) Pacific episodes are flagged when the SST anomaly, averaged in the Niño-3 area for the period from November to January is greater (lower) than +0.5°C (–0.5°C) and neutral Pacific episodes (PacNeu) are flagged when the Niño-3 SST anomaly remains at the $|0.5|\, ^\circ\mathrm{C}$ threshold (Fig. 3). The months November to January were chosen here because this period sees an almost immediate impact of the tropical Atlantic on the Nordeste climate (Servain 1991, Nobre & Shukla 1996), and it also corresponds to the first phase of plant growth in this semi-arid region. With these definitions, all the years during the study period were classified according to the 9 possible combinations of Pacific and Atlantic climatic episodes, as either positive, negative, or neutral. Table 1 shows the years in each climatic category. The number of years varies from 2 (category DipNeg/PacNeu) to 9 (category DipNeu/PacNeg). Consequently, the statistical analysis was performed with caution, using rigorous significance tests. For each group of years in Table 1, we calculated the averages, standard deviations, and anomalies (differences between averages for composite years and the long-term average calculated over the entire 1952 to 2000 time series) of the 4 agricultural variables previously defined (production, yield, aggregate value, and price for the maize and bean crops). The 95% confidence interval for statistical significance was calculated with the following formulae:

\[
\mu \pm t_{\alpha/2} \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right)
\]

(1)

\[
\sigma \pm t_{\alpha/2} \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right)
\]

(2)

where $t_{\alpha/2}$ is defined according to Student’s $t$-distribution, in agreement with $n$, the number of years in each composite group; $\mu$ and $\sigma$ indicate the mean and standard deviation (SD) of the sample, respectively. The statistical significance of the anomalies at 95% was calculated according to Harrison & Larkin (1998). Significant anomalies were those for which the absolute value exceeded:

\[
\left( t_{\alpha/2} \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right)^2
\]

(3)

where $t_{\alpha/2}$ is the Student’s $t$-value for $n$ degrees of freedom.

These Pacific and Atlantic climatic indices are from the observed monthly SST data from the Comprehensive Atmospheric–Ocean Data Set (COADS) (da Silva et al. 1994).
Not directly used in the present study, but shown here to illustrate the obvious relationship between subsistence harvests and precipitation over the Nordeste, a time series of the Ceará rainfall index, averaged during the mean rainy season (February to May) in the same study period (1952 to 2000), is presented in Fig. 4. This index was constructed using the daily rainfall observations obtained at the weather station network for the state of Ceará (60 to 150 weather stations, according to time period) under the auspices of FUNCEME. In Fig. 2, we can see that most of the worst years for production, yield, and aggregate values for the 2 rain-fed species (i.e. 1958, 1970, 1983, 1993, 1998) occurred during the driest years, and were also very often associated with El Niño years (for instance, the 2 big El Niño years in 1983 and 1985), which are sometimes associated with La Niña years or with negative values of the Atlantic dipole index (see Fig. 4).

In a simple correlation analysis between the precipitation time series shown in Fig. 4 and the agronomic variables (production and yield) shown in Fig. 2, the correlation coefficients were as follows: +0.52 and +0.38 for production and yield of maize, respectively, and +0.46 and +0.22 for production and yield of beans, respectively. Values >0.30 are significant at the 95% level. Therefore, it seems that the climatic response of maize was slightly higher than that of beans.

4. MAIN RESULTS

4.1. Diagnostic analysis of climatic impact

Our first objective was to investigate how agronomic variables are directly related to climatic variables. To do that, we mainly used the diagnostic analysis below. For each composite in Table 1, Fig. 5 shows the mean, standard deviation (SD), and the upper (U.L.) and lower (L.L.) 95% confidence limits for yearly production, yield, and price for the bean and maize crops. Means and SD are also given for the full series, independently of the climate categories. The 2 production variables show similar behaviour (Fig. 5a,b), and the SD values, which remain relatively stable, are strongly dependent on the yearly composites. Thus, the greatest mean values for both crops (up to 250 000 and 430 000 t for beans and maize, respectively) clearly occur when a significant negative Atlantic dipole (cold NTA, warm STA) is associated with neutral conditions over the eastern equatorial Pacific. However, this yearly composite is marked by the highest ranges between the upper and lower 95% confidence limits. The intermediate values for production (up to 250 000 and 430 000 t for beans and maize, respectively) clearly occur when a significant negative Atlantic dipole (cold NTA, warm STA) is associated with neutral conditions over the eastern equatorial Pacific. However, this yearly composite is marked by the highest ranges between the upper and lower 95% confidence limits. The intermediate values for production (about 140 000 and 300 000 tons for beans and maize, respectively) are associated with a negative Pacific SST, generally independently of the Atlantic surface conditions. The worst harvest quantities (<80 000 and 100 000 tons for beans and maize, respectively), also marked by a minimum 95% confidence interval, commonly appear when a neutral or positive Atlantic dipole is associated with neutral or positive Pacific events.

Contrary to the production variables, the yield variables for beans (Fig. 5c) and maize (Fig. 5d) do not show this sort of dispersion according to the

![Rainfall Ceará index - February to May](image)

**Fig. 4.** Ceará rainfall index (mm) from 1952 to 2000, averaged during the main rainy season (February to May). The 2 horizontal broken lines separate the categories (according to the percentile method) between flooding years (above the higher line), normal years (between the lines), and drought years (below the lower line).
yearly composites (means, SD, and 95% confidence intervals remain relatively stable), although the highest mean values are clearly noted when a cold Pacific episode is associated with a positive or neutral Atlantic dipole (about 480 and 800 kg ha\(^{-1}\) for beans and maize, respectively). However, the behaviour of the worst yields according to the yearly composites differs somewhat for beans and maize. Thus, the worst yield is noted for beans (255 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) when neutral conditions over the Atlantic are associated with a positive Pacific event. For maize, the 2 lowest yields, of similar magnitudes (about 400 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), were observed when the Atlantic and Pacific were neutral, and a positive Atlantic dipole occurred during a positive Pacific episode. To summarize, cold SST over the tropics generates rather good yields, whereas warm SST produces rather bad yields.

Similar to the yield variables, the price variables (Fig. 5e,f) do not show strong variability according to the yearly composites, and here too the ranges between the upper and lower 95% confidence limits are relatively large (and stable) for both crops. The overall averages of the means were US$ 0.63 kg\(^{-1}\) (±0.33 SD) for beans and US$ 0.22 kg\(^{-1}\) (±0.06 SD) for maize. The prices for beans and maize were lowest for the combination DipNeg/PacNeu, i.e. when production was at its highest (Fig. 5a,b). Other low values for price occurred for the combination DipPos/PacNeg, which corresponds to modest production but the highest yield. Conversely, high prices for beans and maize generally appeared with Atlantic–Pacific combinations associated with low values for production (e.g. DipPos/PacNeu). These characteristics, which balance price against production, must be related to the laws of the local market, as mentioned by Chimelli et al. (2002).

Fig. 6 shows, for the 9 types of yearly composites given in Table 1, the anomalies described earlier in production, yield, and price for the bean and maize crops. Obviously, there is great consistency with the results presented in Fig. 5 (although the use of the statistical tests described previously implies that some of the preceding conclusions must be viewed with caution). Thus, for the production anomalies (Fig. 6a,b), the very largest harvests definitively occurred for the composite years when a negative Atlantic dipole was associated with a neutral situation over the eastern equatorial Pacific (about +125 000 t for beans, +250 000 t for maize). Such a distinct yearly composite does not exist for the worst harvests, which usually occurred when the Pacific and Atlantic dipoles were neutral or positive, and were around –50 000 t for beans and –100 000 t for maize. Although not very pronounced, a modest positive production anomaly for both crops during positive dipole conditions was associated with a cold event over the Pacific (i.e. the simultaneous occurrence of different climate phenomena inducing 2 opposing rainfall effects over the Nordeste). The illustration of yield anomalies (Fig. 6c,d) qualitatively confirms the previous results obtained from the raw values.
It is interesting to note, however, and contrary to the production anomalies, that there was a very similar balance between the positive and negative extreme values for these yield anomalies (~80 kg ha\(^{-1}\) for beans, ~190 kg ha\(^{-1}\) for maize), according to the different yearly composites. As seen previously (Fig. 5e,f), the price anomalies generally vary in a way that is clearly opposite to the variability in the production anomalies.

The most theoretically favourable situation for a good rainy season over the Nordeste (i.e. the combination DipNeg/PacNeg) does not imply an exceptionally good harvest. The production anomalies are just above normal for beans (Fig. 6a) and modestly positive for maize (Fig. 6b). The behaviour is different for the yields: they are modestly negative for beans (Fig. 6c) and just below normal for maize (Fig. 6d). It is also noteworthy that, even if the qualitative responses of maize and beans are completely similar for the 9 index combinations, there are some significant differences in the absolute values. For beans, the best profit (US$ 30.6 million yield\(^{-1}\)) was achieved with the combination DipNeg/PacNeu (see Fig. 6: the largest production, about normal yield, modestly good price), whereas the largest deficit (US$ –22.6 million yield\(^{-1}\)) occurred during the combination DipPos/PacPos (low production and yield, modestly good price). For maize, the best profit (US$ 22.8 million yield\(^{-1}\)) was associated with the combination DipNeg/PacPos (the second largest production, modest yield, bad price), whereas the greatest losses occurred with the combination DipPos/PacPos (low production and yield, good price), and especially (US$ –16.4 million yield\(^{-1}\)) during neutral SST conditions over the 2 oceans (DipNeu/PacNeu) (modest production, very bad yield, modestly positive price).
normal for maize (Fig. 6e). The prices are just below normal (Fig. 6e,f), and the aggregate value anomalies are slightly positive for both species (Fig. 7).

4.2. Prognostic model for productivity

Our second objective was to test the prediction of rain-fed agricultural variables using only climatic indices as the statistical precursors. Such calculations seem inadequate for the variables production, price, and aggregate value, which are not only dependent on climate variability, but also on various anthropogenic factors (e.g. planted area, local economy, etc.). For yield variability, we have already noted that one possible anthropogenic factor that increases the crops (i.e. technological improvement) has been virtually absent in the sertãos in recent decades. On the other hand, however, the negative trends observed in the yield time series (see Fig. 2a) could have arisen from the failure to apply land conservation techniques and the impoverishment of the soil. Therefore, our prognostic analyses were only performed on the detrended time series.

The oceanic inputs were from the previously defined SST indices: the Niño-3 (NI3), NTA, and STA regions and the Atlantic dipole, DIP (NTA – STA = DIP). For the simple regressions, the time series of each individual climatic index was correlated with the detrended time series for maize and bean yields. For the multiple linear regressions, the climatic indices were grouped according to the following: NI3 with NTA, NI3 with STA, NI3 with NTA & STA, NI3 with DIP, and finally NI3 with NTA, STA, & DIP. We also tested numerous time lags between climatic indices (from November of Year 0 to March of Year 1) and the agricultural yield variable observed in Year 1. The regression processes were calibrated using the set of odd years during the period from 1952 to 2000, and the prognostic analyses were tested over the set of even years during the same period. This method allowed us to avoid any effect of the well-known break in tropical climatic events in the 1970s (Soon-Il & Wang 2000).

For the analysis presented here, the selected precursory SST index linked to the Pacific (NI3) was averaged for November to January (Years 0 to 1), i.e. the same period analyzed in the previous section, and the 3 precursory SST indices linked to the Atlantic (NTA, STA, DIP) were averaged across the period from December to January (Years 0 to 1). These 2 choices of time lags are justified because the period around December is the most significant period representing the ENSO index (Trenberth 1997) and because the climatic conditions during the period December to January are regularly used by different worldwide climate institutes to forecast the coming rainy season over the Nordeste. Therefore, the proposed statistical forecast process has a different objective from that suggested by Sun et al. (2007), who used simultaneous periods between climatic indices and the growth of the cultivated species in Ceará (February to May for maize, March to April for beans).

Fig. 8 shows the correlation (determination coefficients in percentages) between the observed and simulated yields for the calibration series of odd years, according to various linear or multi-linear combinations of climatic indices. The values, which are very similar for both species (with generally a slight advantage for beans), confirm again the predominant importance of the Atlantic versus the Pacific in their climatic impacts on the Nordeste’s rain-fed agriculture. Indeed, the values vary strongly from about 15–22% (NI3 only) to >40% (at the extreme right of Fig. 8), the latter value being associated with the simultaneous combination of the 4 climatic precursor indices. Thus, the multiple linear regression model was used according to its most complete configuration, i.e. simultaneously weighted with the 4 climatic indices (NI3, NTA, STA, and DIP).

The model equations derived with this configuration are given below. They relate the forecasts for the annual yields (in US$ kg⁻¹) of beans and maize using the climatic indices (in degrees):

\[
\text{Bean yield} = 380 - 84\text{NI3} + 1553\text{DIP} - 1414\text{NTA} - 1655\text{STA}
\]

\[
\text{Maize yield} = 620 - 131\text{NI3} - 355\text{DIP} + 533\text{NTA} - 152\text{STA}
\]

An inverse calculation between even and odd years leads to overall similar results.
These equations were used for the model validation. Fig. 9 shows the simulated and (detrended) observed yields for the even years between 1952 and 2000. The correlation coefficients between the simulated and observed yields are +0.36 and +0.51 for beans and maize, respectively (Fig. 9a,b). Although these values exceed the threshold for statistical significance at 95% (+0.30), it is clear from the simulated and observed time series that the statistical model does not forecast the bean and maize yields very well, especially that of beans. However, it gives some indication (most of the time) of the quality of the coming harvests. This is true, for instance, for 1958 and 1970 (2 dry years). In another example, the 1960s, which did not experience large climatic variations (especially for the dipole; see Fig. 3), are relatively well simulated for both species. There is even an exceptional series of good results in 1996, 1998, and 2000 for both crops. It seems that the large inter-annual fluctuations observed for the yields in the even years of the validation series can be accommodated only with difficulty by the model, resulting in a slow modulation of the simulated values around a mean value. The climatic impact was also more pronounced for maize than for beans, as previously discussed in the diagnostic part of the study.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

There is a definite statistical relationship between the climatic variability of the tropical oceans (Pacific and Atlantic) and the traditional rain-fed crops in the Nordeste. Most of the climatic responses were very similar for bean and maize cultures, with a general (but not inevitable) advantage for maize. Although there are discrepancies for different climatic scenarios, good harvests of both crops were usually associated with cold SST over the tropics, whereas warm SST produced bad crops.

We observed that the role of the tropical Atlantic (the dipole) is, at the very least, as important as the role of the equatorial Pacific (ENSO). The climatic impact of the Atlantic dipole is more robust and systematic than that of ENSO. The occurrence of a negative dipole in the Atlantic (i.e. a north-to-south positive gradient in SST anomalies over the tropics) correlated well with increases in the aggregate values (in US$ yield–1) for both agricultural species. This positive economic effect increased, especially for beans, during neutral climatic conditions over the Pacific. Conversely, the occurrence of a positive dipole in the Atlantic is related to a deficit in these aggregate values, regardless of the sign of the Pacific event. With neutral conditions over the Atlantic, the aggregate values seem to be more dependent on the Pacific conditions, especially during cold Pacific events. Series of oceanic events inducing severe drought in the Nordeste (i.e. El Niño events linked to positive Atlantic dipoles) are generally associated with very bad harvests. Paradoxically, an ‘ideal’ series of oceanic events inducing significant precipitation in the Nordeste (i.e. La Niña events linked to negative Atlantic dipoles) are not necessarily the most appropriate for planting. Indeed, strong excess precipitation, which is often associated with bacteriological epidemics and/or insect proliferation, does not necessarily present the best conditions for a good harvest. Furthermore, equivalent quantities of precipitated water can produce harvests of different quantity and quality, according to whether the precipitation is well distributed during the rainy season.

Usually in world agriculture, yields increase with time, indicating a continuous improvement in agricultural techniques. This is not the case for the sertãos. The Nordeste’s bean and maize
yield time series are quite unique because they both show a negative trend during the years 1952 to 2000. Although not fully explained in this paper, such a negative trend could have its origin in continuous impoverishment and desertification of the soil.

After detrending the bean and maize yield time series, a multi-linear prognostic model was used to test its predictive capacity for the rain-fed harvests using only oceanic climate variability. The climatic precursor variables were chosen in the period from November to January (Years 0 to 1) for the SST anomaly in the eastern tropical Pacific and from December to January (Years 0 to 1) for 3 tropical Atlantic indices (the dipole and its northern and southern components), i.e. before the beginning of the seasonal rainfall over the Nordeste (February to May). The set of calibrations was tested over the odd years during the period from 1952 to 2000, and the even years were used as the validation set. From the calibration analysis, the prognostic model is very sensitive to the Atlantic precursor indices. However, it is most reliable (i.e. highest values for the correlation coefficients between the observed and simulated agronomic variables) when all the Pacific and Atlantic climatic precursor indices are used together. The correlation coefficients calculated according to that configuration on the set of validation data (odd years) were +0.36 and +0.51 for bean and maize yields, respectively. Although significant, these values only give some indication of the quality of the coming harvests. Generally, they do not allow us to simulate the yield numbers with precision (especially for beans). However, as an interesting exception, the yields were efficiently predicted for both crops during the last study period (1996 to 2000), possibly because of the relevant climate forecasts and good communication of that information to the farmers. Another positive observation was that some of the greatest deficits in yield during the last 50 yr were relatively well simulated by the model. These years, including 1958, 1970, and (again) 1998, were generally marked by extreme drought over the Nordeste, the logical climatic consequence of an El Niño episode combined with a positive Atlantic dipole. A less-obvious inference is the confirmation that ideal climatic oceanic behaviour (La Niña + negative dipole) does not necessarily result in a good subsequent harvest. Indeed, even when a delayed relationship is evident between oceanic variability and some harvest variables, statistical forecasting with linear regression methods still involves significant difficulties. Other statistical methods (e.g. neuronal network methods) should be investigated in future research.

This study forms part of a broad scientific plan of FUNCEME, currently under development (e.g. Souza Filho & Lall 2003, Sun et al. 2005, 2007) based on a complete arsenal of regional climatic statistical and numerical modelling (using various types of downscaling techniques). In collaboration with other national and international institutes (e.g. CPTEC/INPE, INMET, IRI, ECMWF)3, this plan has, as its prime objective, an improvement in the forecasting of the rainy season across the entire Nordeste (Hammer et al. 1996). The second objective, which depends largely on the success of the first, is to identify relevant and valid elements that will allow medium-scale forecasting of the socio-economic conditions that are associated with the intra-seasonal variability in the regional climate. The anticipated identification of these conditions should facilitate better planning of public policies, to minimize agricultural losses (Risbey et al. 1999) and thus improve the living conditions of the ‘sertanejos’ vis-à-vis climatic adversity.
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