1. INTRODUCTION

One major challenge in evolutionary biology is to obtain a better understanding of traits of importance for fitness (Roff 2002). Fitness estimates of populations in different environments are often based on life history traits and/or body size. Less attention has been devoted to behavioural traits that are important for the ability to disperse, compete and search for food, avoid stressful environmental conditions and find or compete for mates (Dukas 2004, Dingemanse et al. 2010). This is probably because it can be difficult to evaluate the outcomes of particular behaviours in terms of fitness and it is often laborious to quantify behavioural traits (Martin 2003).

The ability to sustain behavioural activities in high temperature environments relies on the underlying...
traits and their organisation (Feder 1996). Environmental change can alter the association of traits (Kjersgaard et al. 2007) and thus the phenotype which can modify behaviour or locomotor activity (Valente et al. 2007, Bettencourt et al. 2009, Dillon et al. 2009). This may have consequences for mortality rates and lifetime reproductive success, more so in light of current climatic changes where the capacity to cope with higher temperature is of central importance to the survival of species.

While most studies report on adaptation to regional average temperatures, the actual temperatures experienced by the organisms may not reflect this, especially if some aspect of the microhabitat allows thermal buffering through behavioural adjustments (Kennedy 1997, Huey et al. 2003). It is, however, increasingly recognised that high variance in temperatures, and particularly extreme temperatures, experienced by an organism can have a much larger impact on the phenotype than more homogeneous temperature regimes close to the average temperature (Ruel & Ayres 1999, Bahrdorff et al. 2009, Kingsolver et al. 2009, Rego et al. 2010). Therefore, it is essential to investigate species performances at the borders of their physiological limits; this may provide important insights into range-limiting factors (Lee et al. 2009, Chown et al. 2010, this Special) as well as the capacity to cope with climatic change (Byars & Hoffmann 2009). Temperature is probably the most important extrinsic factor in this respect for ectotherms as it influences nearly all rate-dependent processes from biochemical kinetics to life history traits (Cossins & Bowler 1987, Hochachka & Somero 2002).

In the present study we used a simple methodology to estimate locomotor activity in high-temperature environments. Locomotor activity of an individual has been suggested to be a good indicator of the overall physiological state of an individual and thus constitutes an important component of fitness (Fleury et al. 2000, Gibert et al. 2001). The capacity to remain active in stressful environments is potentially adaptive as individuals that are better able to withstand the stressor can allocate more time to different behavioural activities (Kennedy 1997, Huey et al. 2003). It is, however, increasingly recognised that high variance in temperatures, and particularly extreme temperatures, experienced by an organism can have a much larger impact on the phenotype than more homogeneous temperature regimes close to the average temperature (Ruel & Ayres 1999, Bahrdorff et al. 2009, Kingsolver et al. 2009, Rego et al. 2010). Therefore, it is essential to investigate species performances at the borders of their physiological limits; this may provide important insights into range-limiting factors (Lee et al. 2009, Chown et al. 2010, this Special) as well as the capacity to cope with climatic change (Byars & Hoffmann 2009). Temperature is probably the most important extrinsic factor in this respect for ectotherms as it influences nearly all rate-dependent processes from biochemical kinetics to life history traits (Cossins & Bowler 1987, Hochachka & Somero 2002).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Experimental flies

The Hsf mutant flies of Drosophila melanogaster were originally created by Jedlicka et al. (1997) and obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center. One line (Hsf\(^+\), stock no. 5489) is a heat-sensitive mutant line where the transcription factor of the heat shock genes (Hsf) goes from being fully functional at temperatures below 25°C to being non-functional at temperatures above 30°C (Hsf\(^-\) in Jedlicka et al. 1997). This line has a very limited induction of Hsps at temperatures above 30°C (Nielsen et al. 2005) because of a mutation (V57 M) in the hsf gene. The other line (Hsf\(^+\); stock no. 5490) was derived from the Hsf heat-sensitive line but has a functional hsf gene inserted, making these flies able to induce Hsps upon heat shock exposure. The insertion is maintained against a balancer chromosome and, therefore, the genetic background of the lines is slightly different for the third chromosome (see Jedlicka et al. 1997 for details).
In addition to these lines we used 2 selection lines, HS and CS, which have been selected for increased heat or cold shock resistance, respectively, every other generation with 21 selection events. The 2 lines are highly differentiated for their ability to tolerate stressful high and low temperatures (HS3 and CS4 in Bubliy & Loeschcke 2005, see this article for further details on selection procedures and responses). After selection, the flies were kept in standard laboratory conditions at high effective population sizes in order to reduce drift. Prior to the experiments, all flies were maintained in standard laboratory conditions at 25°C on agar, sugar, yeast and oatmeal medium under a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle. They were bred in 200 ml culture bottles with 36 ml of medium and 25 pairs per bottle, laying eggs for 24 h.

2.2. Experimental protocol

For both experiments, newly hatched flies (0 to 24 h old) were collected from the bottles and sexed under CO₂ anaesthesia. Males were transferred to fresh vials every second day until the experiments were performed. Activity data were collected with the Drosophila Activity Monitoring System (TriKinetics). We used 3 units, each with 32 positions, so that a total of 96 flies could be monitored simultaneously. In the monitoring system, flies were kept individually in 6.5 cm long capillary glass vials with an external diameter of 0.5 cm, which were positioned horizontally. One end of the capillary glass vial was sealed with Parafilm© and the other end was closed with a small cotton wool stopper to allow gas exchange.

In the first experiment, 4 d old Hsf⁺ and Hsf⁻ male flies were loaded into individual capillary glass vials without anaesthesia. At each temperature, 48 males from each of the 2 lines were tested in the activity monitoring system. In the next experiment, 4 d old male flies from the HS and CS lines were loaded into the capillary glass vials without anaesthesia. In both experiments the glass vials were inserted randomly into the 3 monitoring units and flies were allowed to recover at 25°C for 2 h. The monitoring units were then put into pre-heated incubators at 28, 34, 36 and 38°C. When putting the flies into the incubators, activity increases considerably due to the new environment (reactivity), but after 10 min the variances of the mean activity have been shown to stabilize and reach a plateau (data not shown). Activity measurements therefore started 10 min after the flies were put into the incubators. The photocells in the plates registered the number of times that each fly passed through the midpoint of the capillary glass vial. The activity was registered for 180 min and all experiments were conducted between 10:00 and 16:00 h.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Activity data were converted to binary data, where 0 represents no activity (no movements detected) during the 30 s interval and 1 represents activity (passing the centre of the capillary glass vial at least one time in the 30 s interval). For every temperature treatment and line we estimated 2 measures of activity: (1) total activity, which was obtained by summing the binary data for each individual over the whole time period of an activity monitoring experiment, and (2) activity over time, which was obtained by averaging all the binary data for all individuals within each 30 s time interval during a run.

We tested for differences in total activity within each temperature treatment with t-tests. The significance level was adjusted using standard Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (Rice 1989).

In order to compare activity over time between lines in each experiment, we obtained a linear function describing activity. For each line this was done by calculating the mean activity for each data interval. The mean activity for each data interval was then log-transformed and regressed against the log-transformed time. We tested for differences in activity through time by testing for differences between the slopes of the regression lines (Z-test; Zar 1999). The linear regression analysis was only applied to data for active flies, which were obtained by excluding all data from the time point where 3 consecutive zeros were observed (no activity observed within 90 s). Differences in slopes between lines indicate differences in the changes of average activity over time. The significance levels for all conducted tests were adjusted using Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons (Rice 1989).

All statistical analyses were performed using the software PAST (Hammer et al. 2001) and JMP 4.0 (SAS Institute 2000). Graphics were made using R (R Development Core Team 2009).

3. RESULTS

For the Hsf lines we found a significantly higher total activity for the Hsf⁺ line flies compared to the Hsf⁻ line at 28 and 34°C (Table 1). At these temperatures, the activity of the Hsf⁺ and Hsf⁻ flies did not approach zero, indicating that a proportion was still active at the finalization of the run. At 36 and 38°C, we found the opposite, with a significantly higher total activity of the Hsf⁺ compared to Hsf⁻ line (Table 1) and activity approached or reached zero.

For the selection lines we found a significantly higher total activity within temperatures for the HS
Table 1. Drosophila melanogaster. Pairwise comparisons of total activity between the Hsf lines within temperature treatment—mean (total number of counts of activity averaged over all flies) ± SE. Significance is indicated following standard Bonferroni correction (k = 4; Rice 1989); **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ns: not significant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Hsf+</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Hsf0</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>88.6 ± 8.2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>143.3 ± 13.2</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>(HSF0 &gt; HSF+)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>133.5 ± 10.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>222.9 ± 9.3</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>(HSF0 &gt; HSF+)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>194.5 ± 10.2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>173.8 ± 7.6</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>(HSF+ &gt; HSF+)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Drosophila melanogaster. Pairwise comparisons of total activity between the heat shock (HS) and cold shock (CS) selected lines within temperature treatments. The functions and the lower and upper limits of the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the slopes are presented. Significance level is after Bonferroni correction (k = 4; Rice 1989); *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ns: not significant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>119.8 ± 14.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>143.6 ± 18.2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>221.0 ± 13.0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>206.1 ± 9.4</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>(HS &gt; CS)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>110.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>93.0 ± 5.4</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>(HS &gt; CS)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>43.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Drosophila melanogaster. Pairwise test (Z-test) for differences between slopes of the linear regression (top) of log(mean activity data) versus log(time) between the Hsf lines within temperature treatments, and (bottom) log(mean activity data) versus log(time) between the heat shock (HS) and cold shock (CS) selected lines within temperature treatments. The functions and the lower and upper limits of the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the slopes are presented. Significance level is after Bonferroni correction (k = 4; Rice 1989); *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ns: not significant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>95% CI of slope</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hsf+</td>
<td>$y = -0.10x + 0.27$</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hsf0</td>
<td>$y = -0.09x + 0.32$</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Hsf+</td>
<td>$y = -0.19x + 0.49$</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hsf0</td>
<td>$y = -0.17x + 0.53$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Hsf+</td>
<td>$y = -0.28x + 0.71$</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hsf0</td>
<td>$y = -0.35x + 0.85$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Hsf+</td>
<td>$y = -0.68x + 1.23$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hsf0</td>
<td>$y = -1.00x + 1.67$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DISCUSSION

We predicted that the Hsf+ and HS lines would sustain activity longer than their counterparts; the Hsf+ line because of its capacity to induce Hsps above 30°C, in contrast to the Hsf0 line, and the HS line because of selection for increased heat resistance. This was observed in both pairs of lines. For the Hsf lines it occurred at the highest experimental temperature, whereas it occurred at the intermediate temperatures in the selection lines, which were generally less heat-resistant when comparing declines in activity over time (Fig. 1).

The predictions assume that staying active is indeed advantageous. It could also be hypothesized that it is better to discontinue activities and focus
Fig. 1. Drosophila melanogaster. Activity over time at 28, 34, 36 and 38°C for the Hsf+ and Hsf0 lines (left) and cold shock (CS) and heat shock (HS) selected lines (right). The regression line is fitted to the data by polynomial regression (5th order).
on metabolic adjustments that increase resistance. This may, however, be a risky strategy when temperatures are unpredictable and potentially lethal, which is certainly true for the higher of the temperatures used here (Nielsen et al. 2005). We find it more likely that flies remain active as long as possible in an attempt to escape (behavioural avoidance) (Stevenson 1985). Still, very little is known about thermoregulatory behaviour of adult *Drosophila melanogaster* in nature and further experiments are needed to establish the relative importance of the alternatives.

Perhaps more important, sustained activity increases the time and energy that individuals can allocate to mating and searching for food before having to retreat to more benign thermal conditions (Fasolo & Krebs 2004). Our data indicates that the ability to induce Hsps could be important in this respect. The same can be said for thermal adaptation more generally; however, the mechanism may be different for the flies selected for HS resistance because selection for this capacity does not necessarily imply an important role for inducible Hsps (Jensen et al. 2010). Moreover, the heat shock response has negative consequences on several fitness-related traits (Krebs & Loeschcke 1994, Feder 1996) and it has been suggested that such trade-offs could lead to relatively low selection intensities on heat resistance in natural populations (Hoffmann et al. 2003, Fasolo & Krebs 2004). Therefore, the effects on fitness in natural populations are largely dependent on the temperature regimes that prevail. We must also bear in mind that, in the natural environment, other factors such as food availability, humidity and photoperiod may interact with temperature to alter behavioural traits (Taylor 1986).

Other studies have found temperature-related adaptive differences in behavioural traits associated with reproduction. For instance, Fasolo & Krebs (2004) found species-specific maximal temperatures at which courtship behaviour and mating ceased for *Drosophila melanogaster*, *D. simulans* and *D. mojavensis*, and intraspecific comparisons also revealed substantial variation among strains of *D. mojavensis*. Populations of *D. buzzatii* from different thermal environments (highland versus lowland) have been shown to differ in their diurnal timing of oviposition activity (Dahlgaard et al. 2001), and the preferred oviposition temperature is genetically determined in populations of *D. melanogaster* inhabiting different thermal environments in close proximity to each other (Nevo et al. 1998).

In the selection lines, the difference in activity disappeared at the highest temperature and ceased after about 1 h. A possible explanation for this could be that the exposure is so severe that any effect of thermal adaptation is effectively overridden by high thermal instability of the cells and membranes compromising the locomotor system and survival.

At the lower experimental temperatures it was the flies with low heat resistance that were most active (though not significant for the selection lines). This could be an indication that these flies are more stressed and are moving in order to get away from the stress source, at least at 34°C. However, predictions may not hold as the temperature becomes less stressful because of the less urgent need to escape. Still, mating behaviour and fertility will likely be affected sooner than survival (Patton & Krebs 2001, Fasolo & Krebs 2004, Jørgensen et al. 2006) and, therefore, higher activity could be positively related to these behaviours. In the present study, however, this remains highly speculative because of the absence of mating opportunities. A way to address this question could be to test entire populations for activity using population activity monitors.

We investigated the effect of selection for HS and CS resistance and expression of Hsps at the adult stage, but it is also important to keep in mind its potential role in the less immobile pre-adult stages. The fact that Hsps and some other mechanisms involved in heat resistance are also upregulated in response to many other types of stress (Sørensen et al. 2003) further complicates matters. The fact that the Hsf lines are genetically modified may also limit their relevance for ecological studies. Previous tests have shown that they exhibit highly trait-specific performances both in the laboratory and in the field (Sørensen et al. 2009). Particularly noteworthy is the relatively poor performance of the Hsf lines in field releases compared to a control line, but in stimulated maze activity, a test more similar to the present study, this difference between the Hsf lines and the control was only seen at 25°C and not at 36°C (Sørensen et al. 2009).

Interpretation of the results of the present study should also be made bearing in mind that locomotor behaviour is likely to be produced by a cascade of processes. The complexity of this cascade is likely to increase with the complexity of the behaviour and the number of environmental variables involved. Higher activity also means higher capacity to adopt behavioural thermoregulation strategies such as microhabitat choice to minimize variance in operative body temperatures as well as higher capacity to disperse in search of a new food supply. However, higher activity also means higher metabolic rate and higher energy demands. Metabolic rates of poikilothersms tend to increase exponentially as a function of temperature and the accelerating form of these functions implies that poikilothermic metabolic rates will increase with increasing temperature (Sharpe & DeMichele, 1977). Thus, if an increased metabolic
rate is not accompanied by an increase in resource supply, the energetic budget of the population will become negative and contest or scramble competition will affect the average fitness of the population. Although we have interpreted the sustained activity of the flies at high temperatures as advantageous, knowledge of the temperature-specific metabolic rates of the individual lines is necessary to understand under what environmental conditions this is in fact the case.
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