Use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect DNA from *Renibacterium salmoninarum* within individual salmonid eggs
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ABSTRACT: *Renibacterium salmoninarum*, the causative agent of bacterial kidney disease (BKD) in salmonids, can be transmitted vertically, i.e. from parent to progeny via the egg. The usual procedure to determine the likelihood of egg infection has been to examine non-egg tissues from mature female fish for evidence of *R. salmoninarum* cells or antigens. In the present study we examined the feasibility of detecting the pathogen directly within individual salmonid eggs by use of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify segments of its DNA. Total DNA was extracted from the contents of eggs taken from several coho and chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch* and *O. tshawytscha*), including coho eggs that had been injected with numbers of *R. salmoninarum* cells ranging from 2 to 2000 cells per egg. We also isolated DNA from kidney samples taken from these fish. Utilizing the PCR, two 24-base oligonucleotide primers were used to amplify a 501 base-pair region of the gene encoding a 57 kDa soluble protein ('p57') produced by *R. salmoninarum*. A segment of DNA of the appropriate size (501 base-pairs) from *R. salmoninarum* was detected by the PCR in all of the injected eggs. *R. salmoninarum* DNA was also detected in some of the eggs taken from the coho and chinook samples that had previously been diagnosed as 'high-BKD' or 'negative-BKD' fish. Direct sequencing of the heat-denatured, double-stranded amplification product was carried out by dideoxy termination using T7 DNA polymerase and ³⁵S labelling. There was 100% homology between the partial sequence obtained from the amplified DNA fragment and the sequence of the p57 gene. The DNA extracted from other bacterial fish pathogens did not yield a positive result. These results indicate that the PCR is able to detect as few as 2 *R. salmoninarum* cells per egg and that it may be feasible as a broodstock screening technique specific for *R. salmoninarum*.
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INTRODUCTION

*Renibacterium salmoninarum*, the causative agent of bacterial kidney disease (BKD) in salmonid fishes (Sanders & Fryer 1980), can be transmitted from parent to progeny via eggs, (i.e. be vertically transmitted) (Evelyn et al. 1986). Fish culturists attempt to eliminate the vertical transmission of *R. salmoninarum* by discarding eggs from broodstock that prove to be carriers of the pathogen. Despite broodstock screening, however, BKD is still responsible for significant losses in farmed and enhanced salmonids (Evelyn 1988), perhaps because the screening methods fail to detect all carriers.

Currently, broodstock are screened for *Renibacterium salmoninarum* with the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or with the fluorescent antibody test (FAT) (Elliott et al. 1989), the procedures being applied to kidney, or less frequently, ovarian fluid
samples rather than to the eggs. These procedures, however, sometimes lack specificity (discussed later) and they apparently lack the sensitivity required to detect very light infections even though such infections may result in vertical transmission of the pathogen (Lee & Evelyn 1989, L. L. Brown unpubl. data). In addition, although the sensitivity of the foregoing immunodiagnostic tests might be significantly enhanced using enrichment techniques (see for example Lee & Gordon 1987), the time required for enrichment (ca 35 d) is unacceptably long.

These limitations of the immunodiagnostic techniques led us to investigate the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as a means for directly screening salmonid eggs for Renibacterium salmoninarum. The PCR amplifies selected fragments of nucleic acid (Mullis et al. 1986), and it has been used to amplify genomic DNA from samples as small as a single sperm cell (Li et al. 1988). In this study we applied this very sensitive technique to amplify and detect the DNA of R. salmoninarum cells within individual coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch eggs, paying particular attention to the sensitivity and specificity of the technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacteria.** Two isolates of Renibacterium salmoninarum were used: (1) ATCC strain 33209; and (2) isolate Rs384 from the Pacific Biological Station, Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada in Nanaimo, B.C. The Rs384 isolate was grown at 15°C for 3 wk on a selective medium (Austin et al. 1983, Daly & Stevenson 1985) supplemented (3% v/v) with a cell-free, filter-sterilized supernatant of a previously grown broth culture of R. salmoninarum that had been harvested at log phase (Evelyn et al. 1990). The cells were aseptically scraped from the plates and then suspended in sterile NaCl (0.85% w/v) to give an absorbance of 2.5 at 420 nm corresponding to ca 10^8 cells ml^-1. The ATCC strain was grown in shaken cultures of the broth form of KDM-2 (Evelyn 1977) at 18°C for 7 to 14 d. Laboratory isolates of Aeromonas salmonicida and A. hydrophila (strains 76-30 and 35, respectively), Vibrio anguillarum and V. ordalii (strains 82-438 and 74-48, respectively), and Yersinia ruckeri (strain C75-199), from the Pacific Biological Station, were grown on Tryptic Soy Agar (Becton-Dickinson, Cockeysville, MD) at 15°C for 2 to 4 d after which they were scraped aseptically from the plates and suspended in sterile 0.85% (w/v) NaCl.

**Eggs and fish tissues.** Eggs were collected from mature coho salmon (300 to 400 eggs per fish) that had returned to spawn at Big Qualicum and Robertson Creek Salmon Enhancement Hatcheries (Qualicum and Port Alberni, B.C., respectively). Contents of each egg were removed aseptically with a sterile syringe and 22 gauge needle, and then stored in individual Eppendorf tubes at -80°C. In addition, 80 prefertilized, non-water-hardened eggs were taken from 1 Big Qualicum female coho salmon and distributed into 4 groups of 20 eggs each. Each egg was microinjected with 1 µl of a suspension of live Renibacterium salmoninarum cells (strain Rs384 as described above) (Brown et al. 1990). Groups 1 through 4 received 2, 20, 200, and 2000 bacterial cells per egg, respectively, as determined by plate count. All eggs, injected or not, were maintained at -80°C until DNA was extracted for the PCR. When collecting the eggs from the salmon, the entire kidneys were also removed aseptically and then homogenized (20% w/v) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 0.8% w/v NaCl, 7 mM K_2HPO_4, 2.5 mM KH_2PO_4, pH 7.2) containing 0.05% v/v Tween 20 (PBS/T20). Aliquots (1 ml) of the homogenized kidney were removed and sampled to assay for the presence of R. salmoninarum by the ELISA and FAT, and the remainder of the homogenized tissue was stored at -80°C until DNA was extracted for the PCR. For additional DNA samples, chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha eggs that had reached the eyed stage were obtained from the Carson National Fish Hatchery in Carson, Washington (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) and donated by Mr R. Pascho. These eggs were also stored at -80°C. The Carson eggs were taken from 2 groups of parent fish: the first was a pooled population of fertilized eggs derived from 5 females and 5 males that had been previously diagnosed as having ‘negative/low R. salmoninarum infection levels’ based on an ELISA and FAT examination of kidney and coelomic fluid; the second was a group of fertilized eggs derived from a single male and a single female, each of which had been diagnosed as having ‘high R. salmoninarum infection levels’ by the same methods (R. Pascho pers. comm.).

**Detection of bacterial cells within salmonid tissue via the ELISA and FAT.** The homogenized kidney tissue samples were assayed for the presence of Renibacterium salmoninarum by means of the ELISA and FAT. The ELISA was done according to the method of Pascho et al. (1991) using commercially available polyclonal antiserum raised in goats against R. salmoninarum (Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD). All of the samples were tested in triplicate. An optical density (OD) value in the ELISA for any sample was considered to be positive if that value was higher than the positive threshold value established for salmonid kidney tissue by Meyers et al. (1993). Similarly, an OD value was considered negative if it fell below the negative threshold established by the same authors (Meyers et al. 1993). The negative
control for the ELISA assays was a kidney tissue homogenate prepared in the same manner as described above. This kidney tissue was taken from a coho salmon from the Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo. The OD values for the negative control fell below the threshold value for negative samples that was established by Meyers et al. (1993). The FAT was a modification of the method of Bullock et al. (1980) utilizing polyclonal antibodies against *Renibacterium salmoninarum* raised in rabbits and conjugated to fluorescein (Microtek Research & Development Ltd, Victoria). The antiserum was diluted 1:1000 in PBS, and rhodamine (0.016% w/v) was used as a background stain. Then 10 μl of each homogenized kidney sample was placed on a glass slide. The smear was then air-dried and heat-fixed, and then flooded with the antiserum/rhodamine mixture. The slides were placed in a moist chamber and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 1 h after which they were gently rinsed with and then soaked in PBS for 5 to 10 min. After this, the slides were blotted dry, and coverslips were mounted with a commercially available fluorescence assay mounting solution (Gibco/BRL, Gaithersburg, MD). The slides were examined with an epifluorescence microscope at a magnification of 1000× (100 fields per slide).

**DNA extraction.** We extracted DNA from individual suspensions of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* (ATCC strain 33209), *Aeromonas salmonicida*, *A. hydrophila*, *Vibrio anguillarum*, *V. orbitalii*, and *Yersinia ruckeri*, and from the eggs and kidney tissue samples by a phenol/chloroform method (Sambrook et al. 1989). Aliquots of the homogenized kidney tissue (ca 100 μl), or the contents of single eggs, were homogenized in 1 ml sterile STE buffer (100 mM NaCl, 100 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and the nuclei lysed with 10 μl of 20% sodium dodecyl sulfate. Proteins, lipids, and other contaminants were separated from the nucleic acids by 1 cycle each of phenol, phenol/chloroform, and chloroform extraction. The RNA was removed from the nucleic acids by digestion with 10 μl per sample of a 10 mg ml⁻¹ solution of RNase (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 37 °C for 1 to 2 h. After incubation, any residual contaminants were separated from the DNA by a second cycle of the organic extractions described above. The DNA was recovered by precipitation with absolute ethanol, washed with 70% ethanol, vacuum-dried, and then redissolved in 50 to 75 μl TE buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA). The concentration of DNA in each sample was determined spectrophotometrically at 260 nm.

**Primer synthesis.** Two oligonucleotides (designated Rs1 and Rs2) were synthesized using an automatic synthesizer (Applied BioSystems Inc., Foster City, CA). Each primer contained 24 nucleotides chosen to amplify a 501 base-pair segment (codons 28 to 194 inclusive) of the gene that encodes a 57 kDa extracellular protein produced by *Renibacterium salmoninarum* (Chien et al. 1992). The primer sequences of Rs1 and Rs2 are 5'-CAAGTGAAAGGAATCTTCCACT-3', and 5'-GACGGCAATGTCCGTTCCGTTT-3', respectively. The primers were chosen according to parameters outlined by Palumbi et al. (1991).

**Polymerase chain reaction.** Amplification was performed in 50 μl of solutions containing 10 mM Tris pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM of each dNTP (the nucleotide triphosphate of adenine, guanine, cytosine, and thymine), either 50 or 100 pmol of each primer, 2.5 units of DNA polymerase from *Thermus aquaticus* (AmpliTaq, Perkin Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, CT), and varying amounts of DNA as the template. The reaction mixtures were subjected to 30 amplification cycles (94 °C denaturing for 1 min, 48 °C annealing for 1 min, and 72 °C extending for 2 min) in an automatic thermocycler (Perkin Elmer Cetus). These conditions were determined in a standard manner by varying buffer and Mg²⁺ concentrations, annealing and extension temperatures, to be the optimum for maximum amplification of the desired DNA fragment and minimization of secondary products. The amplified DNA was visualized by electrophoresis on a 2% (w/v) agarose gel containing ethidium bromide, and the size compared to a 1 kb DNA ladder standard (Gibco/BRL).

**Sequencing of the amplified DNA.** For sequencing, the DNA fragments were separated from excess primers and unincorporated nucleotides with the use of the Magic PCR preparation purification system from Promega (Madison, WI). Direct sequencing of the heat-denatured, double-stranded amplification product was performed by dideoxy chain termination using T7 DNA polymerase and 35S labelling (Palumbi et al. 1991). The partial sequence generated was aligned with the published sequence (Chien et al. 1992) using the computer program Gene Works 2.1.1 (IntelliGenetics, Mountain View, CA).

**RESULTS**

**Detection of bacterial cells within salmonid tissues via the ELISA and FAT**

Three of the four Big Qualicum coho salmon females examined were found to be negative for *Renibacterium salmoninarum* by ELISA and FAT examination of kidney tissues (Table 1). The Big Qualicum coho salmon female that served as a source of the eggs that were injected with *R. salmoninarum* was diagnosed as negative for the presence of the bacterium by the ELISA and FAT. All 6 of the Robertson Creek coho females were found to be positive by the ELISA, but
Table 1. Salmonid tissues and eggs tested for the presence of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), fluorescent antibody test (FAT), or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Results for the egg samples are expressed as: no. of eggs positive by PCR/no. of eggs sampled per fish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin Fish</th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>Kidney</th>
<th>Eggs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FAT</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.Q. a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C. b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.N.F.H. c</td>
<td>'Low'</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'High'</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aB.Q. = Big Qualicum Salmon Enhancement Hatchery, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Qualicum, British Columbia. Tissues and eggs taken from coho salmon *Oncorhynchus kisutch*.  
bR.C. = Robertson Creek Salmon Enhancement Hatchery, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Port Alberni, B.C. Tissues and eggs taken from coho salmon.  
dThese samples contained a large Gram-negative bacterium that yielded a positive FAT reaction, but no *R. salmoninarum* cells were detected.

All were negative for *R. salmoninarum* by the FAT (Table 1). It should be noted, however, that fluorescent bacterial cells were observed in 4 of the 6 kidney samples from this fish stock (Robertson Creek fish nos. 1 to 4, Table 1). However, the cells were too large (5 to 10 μm long) to be considered *R. salmoninarum* (Sanders & Fryer 1980). In addition, the typical fluorescence pattern associated with a positive reaction for *R. salmoninarum* cells (Bullock et al. 1980) was not observed. Therefore, these samples were judged to be negative for *R. salmoninarum* by the FAT.

**Polymerase chain reaction**

A fragment of the appropriate size (501 base-pairs) was amplified from DNA extracted from *Renibacterium salmoninarum* grown in culture (Fig. 1). The same size fragment was obtained from each of the eggs that had been injected with cells of *R. salmoninarum* (Fig. 2, Lanes 2 to 5 & 7); a total of 4 eggs from each group was assayed (complete data not shown). The other bacterial species tested (*Aeromonas salmonicida*, *A. hydrophila*, *Vibrio anguillarum*, *V. ordalii*, and *Yersinia ruckeri*) did not yield positive results, for no bands were detected upon electrophoresis (not shown).

Table 1 summarizes the results obtained with uninjected eggs and tissues from fish obtained from the Big
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Qualicum, Robertson Creek, and Carson National Fish Hatchery stocks. An amplification product of the expected size was obtained from 3 of the 4 DNA extractions from Big Qualicum coho salmon kidney tissues (fish nos. 1, 2, and 3). Two of those three (fish nos. 1 and 3) had been previously diagnosed as negative for the presence of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* by ELISA and FAT. Some of the eggs taken from fish nos. 2, 3, and 4 were positive by PCR. Two of the positive eggs were taken from fish (nos. 3 and 4) that had been diagnosed as negative by ELISA and FAT (one of these 501 base-pair amplification products from fish no. 3 can be seen in Fig. 2, Lane 9). Of the Robertson Creek coho salmon females, 1 of the 6 DNA samples extracted from kidney tissue was positive by PCR (fish 1). A total of 84 eggs was examined, and a 501 base-pair amplification product was obtained from 1 egg taken from fish no. 2. Appropriate-sized amplification products were obtained in 5 of 7 of the DNA samples extracted from the 'low' Carson chinook salmon eggs. Four of the seven samples of eggs from the 'high' Carson fish were seen to yield the expected amplification products.

**Sequencing of amplified DNA**

The partial sequence (76 base-pairs) of the amplified DNA from an egg taken from Big Qualicum fish 3 (Table 1) was found to be 100% homologous with the sequence of the p57 gene (codons 28 to 194 inclusive) reported by Chien et al. (1992). This egg had not been injected with *Renibacterium salmoninarum* cells (Fig. 2, Lane 9).

**DISCUSSION**

The PCR is an effective diagnostic tool for detecting infectious agents of both vertebrates and invertebrates (Wernars et al. 1991, Kellner-Cousin et al. 1993), including salmonid pathogens (Gustafson et al. 1992). Whereas nucleic acid probes have been used to detect *Renibacterium salmoninarum* 16S rRNA sequences (Mattsson et al. 1993), this is the first report of a successful DNA-based assay to detect *R. salmoninarum* within salmonid tissues. Moreover, the results presented here provide the basis for an assay that can be used to detect *R. salmoninarum* within salmonid eggs.

The method proved sufficiently sensitive to detect as few as 2 bacterial cells per egg (Fig. 2). It should be noted, however, that *Renibacterium salmoninarum* is auto-agglutinating (Bruno 1988) and that the sensitivity of the method was based on a statistical estimation of bacterial cell numbers from colony counts. Further, because the purpose of this study was to evaluate an assay procedure that was more sensitive in detecting the presence of *R. salmoninarum* within salmonid eggs than either the ELISA or the FAT, it was not possible to have a true negative control for this study. However, the injected eggs were obtained from a female coho salmon (Big Qualicum stock) that had been diagnosed as negative for the presence of *R. salmoninarum*. The parameters used to diagnose a negative fish by these methods were sufficiently stringent (Meyers et al. 1993) to assert that these fish were negative by the most sensitive methods available (i.e. the ELISA and FAT). Although we showed in this study that it was possible to obtain eggs that were positive for the presence of *R. salmoninarum* DNA from salmon that had been diagnosed as negative by the ELISA and FAT, we also showed that not all of the eggs obtained from those females were positive by the PCR (see Table 1).

However, when we assayed the injected eggs for the presence of *R. salmoninarum* DNA, 100% of them were found to be positive, including the 4 eggs that had each been injected with as few as 2 *R. salmoninarum* cells. It is unlikely that such consistent positive results would have been obtained if the *R. salmoninarum* DNA was from natural 'background' infections. This conclusion was supported by the fact that un.injected eggs, taken from the same stock of fish that underwent the same stringent assays for the presence of *R. salmoninarum* within their tissues, did not yield such consistently positive results. This was true whether the fish had been shown to be positive or negative for the presence of *R. salmoninarum* by the ELISA or FAT. Therefore, the DNA amplified from the injected positive control eggs was indeed derived from the bacterial cells injected, and the PCR as described here was sensitive enough to detect DNA from as few as 2 *R. salmoninarum* cells per egg.

All of the other bacterial pathogens of salmonids tested in this experiment yielded negative results by the PCR, implying that the primers Rs1 and Rs2 amplify a segment of genomic DNA specific to *Renibacterium salmoninarum*. Negative results obtained with some of the coho salmon tissues and eggs (Table 1) also confirmed the specificity of the PCR for detecting the presence of *R. salmoninarum*. If the primers Rs1 and Rs2 had in fact amplified a segment of salmonid rather than bacterial DNA within the eggs and tissues we would be have expected to detect an appropriately sized amplification product from all of the tissue and egg samples. Because this was not the case we are confident that the segment of DNA amplified was bacterial rather than salmonid.

As further evidence that direct examination of eggs for the presence of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* by the PCR is more effective than the indirect approaches...
hitherto used, we considered the results of the FAT
examination of tissues from the Robertson Creek stock
of coho salmon which contained an unidentified cross-
reacting bacterium. These results highlight the possibility
of false-positive reactions using the immunodiagnostic
approach and underline the importance of the highly
specific PCR as an alternative for directly
detecting *R. salmoninarum* within salmonid eggs. The
fluorescein-conjugated polyclonal antibody used in the
FAT has been known to react in fish tissues with bac-
terial species other than *R. salmoninarum* (Bullock et
1992). The bacterium (non-*R. salmoninarum*) in the
Robertson Creek coho that fluoresced in the FAT as
described above was isolated, and pure cultures were
obtained on Tryptic Soy Agar, a medium that does
not support the growth of *R. salmoninarum* (Evelyn et
al. 1984). After subculturing the isolate 3 times, the
unidentified Gram-negative bacterium was assayed by
the FAT and ELISA, using the antiserum against
*R. salmoninarum* as described above. Positive results
were obtained in both assays (data not shown). In view
of these observations, the positive results obtained by
the ELISA in the assays on the kidney tissues from the
Robertson Creek coho salmon stock may also be ques-
tionable. Finally, the sequence comparison confirmed the
amplification of a 501 base-pair segment of the
DNA that codes for the p57 gene of *R. salmoninarum*
and proved that *R. salmoninarum* DNA was amplified.
The PCR, as described and applied here, appeared to
be specific and therefore may be more appropriate as a
direct screening test for eggs than the ELISA and FAT.

As seen in Fig. 2, extra amplification products of
approximately 700 and 1000 base-pairs in size were
observed in DNA from *Renibacterium salmoninarum*
grown in culture. These bands were not seen consist-
tently, even when the same sample of DNA was used
for amplification (for example, the control DNA in
Fig. 2, Lane 6, is the same as that used in Fig. 1, Lanes
2, 3, 4 & 5). One possible explanation is that non-
specific binding of the primers occurred in the repeti-
tive regions described within the gene by Chien et al.
(1992), and that much larger segments of the gene,
compassing these repetitive regions, were amplified
along with the correct 501 base-pair segment. The
erratic appearance of these bands did not detract from
the reliability of the technique, however, as the 501
base-pair band was seen consistently in all of the
positive controls and the injected eggs.

We conclude that the PCR has the potential to be a
rapid (the total time required for the PCR, including
DNA extraction, is less than 48 h), sensitive, and spe-
cific diagnostic technique for directly screening eggs
for the presence of *Renibacterium salmoninarum*
because every injected egg tested yielded a positive
result (Fig. 2). Positive results were also obtained from
egatives taken from fish that had been diagnosed as nega-
tive for the presence of *R. salmoninarum* by immunodi-
agnostic techniques (Fig. 2, Lane 9). If the PCR is to be
applied to broodstock screening, a statistically signifi-
cant number of eggs would have to be sampled from
each spawning female. Whether to pool such a sample
of eggs, and thus obtain a simple ‘positive or negative’
answer, or to examine them individually for a quantita-
tive assessment of the egg infection prevalence is, in
our opinion, a management question that must be
based on the cost of the technique, the value of the
stock, and the history of outbreaks of BKD within that
stock.

Finally, although the PCR was studied for its poten-
tial as a diagnostic tool for detecting *Renibacterium salmoninarum* within salmonid eggs, the technique
should also prove of value in studying the fate of the
bacterium during the course of embryogenesis and in
studies on the epizoology of the pathogen.
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