Detection of the oyster herpesvirus in commercial bivalves in northern California, USA: conventional and quantitative PCR
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ABSTRACT: The ostreid herpesvirus (OsHV-1) and related oyster herpesviruses (OsHV) are associated with world-wide mortalities of larval and juvenile bivalves. To quantify OsHV viral loads in mollusc tissues, we developed a SYBR® Green quantitative PCR (qPCR) based on the A-region of the OsHV-1 genome. Reaction efficiency and precision were demonstrated using a plasmid standard curve. The analytical sensitivity is 1 copy per reaction. We collected Crassostrea gigas, C. sikamea, C. virginica, Ostrea edulis, O. lurida, Mytilus galloprovincialis, and Venerupis philippinarum from Tomales Bay (TB), and C. gigas from Drakes Estero (DE), California, USA, and initially used conventional PCR (cPCR) to test for presence of OsHV DNA. Subsequently, viral loads were quantified in selected samples of all tested bivalves except O. lurida. Copy numbers were low in each species tested but were significantly greater in C. gigas (p < 0.0001) compared to all other species, suggesting a higher level of infection. OsHV DNA was detected with cPCR and/or qPCR and confirmed by sequencing in C. gigas, C. sikamea, C. virginica, O. edulis, M. galloprovincialis, and V. philippinarum from TB and C. gigas from DE. These data indicate that multiple bivalve species may act as reservoirs for OsHV in TB. A lack of histological abnormalities in potential reservoirs requires alternative methods for their identification. Further investigation is needed to determine the host–parasite relationship for each potential reservoir, including characterization of viral loads and their relationship with infection (via in situ hybridization), assessments of mortality, and host responses.
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INTRODUCTION

Herpesviruses infecting marine invertebrates often cause disease in multiple host genera and or species. Intensive aquaculture, where many species of marine invertebrates are cultured in close proximity to one another, may promote viral transmission to a potential new host species (Arzul et al. 2001b). The first characterized herpesvirus that infects marine invertebrates, the ostreid herpesvirus-1 (OsHV-1), was purified, described, and fully sequenced from oyster larvae in France (Davison et al. 2005). In recent years OsHV-1 and other herpes-like viruses have been discovered in bivalve hosts on a global scale (e.g. Friedman et al. 2005, Moss et al. 2007). However, the genetic and taxonomic relationships among oyster herpesviruses and their relationship to fully characterized members of the Herpesvirales remain largely unknown. As multiple strains of OsHV-1 may exist, we will use OsHV (oyster herpesvirus) to broadly describe herpesvirus(es) infecting bivalve molluscs. OsHV infects members of several bivalve genera including the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas (Nicolas et al. 1992, Renault et al. 2000a), the European flat oyster Ostrea edulis (Renault et al. 2000a, da Silva et al. 2008), the Manila clam Venerupis (= Ruditapes, Tapes) philippinarum, (Arzul et al. 2001b), and
the French scallop *Pecten maximus* (Arzul et al. 2001a). OsHV has been associated with mortalities among bivalve larvae and/or seed in France, New Zealand, Spain, and California, USA (Hine et al. 1992, Nicolas et al. 1992, Renault et al. 2000a, Friedman et al. 2005, Burge et al. 2006, da Silva et al. 2008). In California, severe mortalities first occurred in Tomales Bay (TB) in 1993 (Cherr & Friedman 1998), when Pacific oyster seed losses reached up to 90%. These losses have been termed summer seed mortality (SSM) to distinguish this phenomenon from summer mortality of adult Pacific oysters (Burge et al. 2006). An association between OsHV and SSM was demonstrated in 2 field studies in 2000–2001 and 2003 (Burge et al. 2006, 2007). Although differential mortality was documented in stocks of Pacific oysters in TB in 2003, OsHV prevalence based on conventional polymerase chain reaction (cPCR) assays (primer pairs A3/A4 and A5/A6 nested cPCR assays) was similar among stocks and thus could not explain observed differences in losses. Although sensitive, the qualitative nature (presence/absence) of cPCR data limits its application to determining target prevalence and cannot provide information on viral load or intensity. In contrast, quantitative PCR (qPCR) is a sensitive assay but additionally quantifies the target (copy number of target DNA sequence). OsHV-1 specific semi-quantitative PCR (Renault et al. 2004) and qPCR (Pepin et al. 2008) assays were developed based on the C-region of OsHV-1, a region represented twice in the OsHV-1 genome.

OsHV infects multiple bivalve species, including life stages that are refractory to pathology and mortality; viral infections may be latent and subsequently may be stimulated by adverse conditions to become active infections. Thus, increased detection sensitivity and quantification of virus abundance are particularly useful in studying herpesviruses. The activation or reactivation of OsHV replication in local hosts and dissemination to naive individuals is hypothesized to drive OsHV transmission in TB, where uninfected juvenile Pacific oyster seed that are planted each year die of SSM (Cherr & Friedman 1998, Friedman et al. 2005, Burge et al. 2006, 2007). Although Pacific oysters in TB have experienced SSM annually since 1993, members of other bivalve species reared in close proximity to dying Pacific oysters appear to be unaffected. However, only losses of Pacific oysters have been quantified, and the other species of bivalves in TB have not been tested for OsHV.

Given that multiple bivalve species are potential hosts of low level virus infections, we developed an OsHV-specific qPCR based on the A-region of the OsHV-1 genome to detect and quantify this virus in multiple possible hosts reared in TB, California. The A-region of the OsHV-1 genome was specifically chosen for development of the qPCR as sequence data is available only for this region of the OsHV-1 genome detected in Pacific oysters in California (Friedman et al. 2005). Additionally, Friedman et al. (2005) were unable to amplify the C-region of the OsHV-1 genome using the C2/C6 primers, which suggested nucleotide variability in this region. In the present study, we tested a subset of samples from 6 bivalve species that had been previously tested with an OsHV-specific nested cPCR. Initial cPCR testing included tissues collected during August–October 2003 from members of 7 bivalve species including Pacific oysters and 6 species that were reared in close proximity to dying Pacific oysters in TB, California. In addition, we examined Pacific oysters from nearby Drakes Estero (DE). In the qPCR assays, we tested only samples collected during August or September 2003 from TB. We used PCR as a proxy for infection since viral lesions are not typically microscopically visible in post metamorphic bivalves (Friedman et al. 2005, Burge et al. 2006), and viruses can be difficult to detect with histology when present at low levels (Batista et al. 2007).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**OsHV-specific qPCR development.** OsHV specific qPCR primer design, conditions, and analyses: Prospective primers were designed based on the A-region of the OsHV-1 genome sequenced from OsHV found in Tomales Bay, California (GenBank AY459364), using Primer Express software (PE Applied Biosystems). A single copy of the A-region is present in each viral genome (Batista et al. 2007). The forward and reverse primer sequences chosen were: qPCR AF (5′-TCG TTC AAG TAC TTA TCT TTG-3′), qPCR AR (5′-AGT TTA AGG TTA AAG TTA AAT GAG AGC TAC-3′), which amplify a 151 bp fragment. Reactions (25 µl) were performed in 96-well microplates containing 12.5 µl SensiMix™ deoxy uracil uracil (Quanta), 15 μg of BSA, 0.5 units of Uracil DNA Glycosylase (UNG) (Quanta), 2 µl of genomic or plasmid DNA and 1× SYBR® Green I solution (Quanta). A primer matrix (50 to 600 nM) was used to determine the optimal primer concentration; 300 nM for both primers was chosen, based on a low cycle threshold (CT)-value and reduced primer concentration (Stratagene). All qPCR reactions were carried out using a MX3000 qPCR instrument (Stratagene) and the following reaction conditions: 37°C for 10 min (UNG incubation step), 60°C for 2 min (UNG inactivation step), 95°C for 10 min (polymerase activation); followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 30 s. Following the amplification of sample templates, a melt curve analysis (95°C for 1 min, 55 to 95°C incremented stepwise by
5°C per 30 s) was used to confirm amplification of a single product. qPCR data were analyzed with the Stratagene MXPro QPCR software (version 4.01). Initially, we used an amplification-based threshold algorithm to set the threshold for CT-value determination, but for precise comparisons between runs we maintained the baseline at 500 dR (fluorescence units measured).

**Construction of a qPCR standard curve:** The OsHV standard curve was constructed by first cloning a 151 bp fragment amplified with primers qPCR AF and qPCR AR into the TOPA TA vector (Invitrogen). The product obtained was sequenced to confirm identity. Three aliquots of supercoiled plasmid were purified using a QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen), and linearized using the NOTI enzyme (New England Biolabs) at 10× concentration to obtain single cuts in each plasmid, which were verified by gel electrophoresis. The concentration of linearized plasmid was determined with a Quant-IT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA Assay kit (Molecular Probes) with fluorescence intensity (486 nm excitation, 535 nm emission) measured using a Genios Plate Reader (Tecan) and Magellan 5.3 software. The 4104 bp plasmid containing our target OsHV gene has a mass of 4.498 \times 10^{-18} g per plasmid (or copy). Using the mass of a single plasmid, we estimated that 1.359 \times 10^{-10} g of linearized plasmid equaled 30 million copies. The plasmid was diluted in Tris-EDTA (TE) to yield 3.0 \times 10^7 copies; 10-fold serial dilutions in TE were used as PCR templates to generate a standard curve.

**qPCR efficiency and precision:** To determine qPCR efficiency and precision, 3 separate plasmid dilution series containing 3 to 3 million copies were made as described above and run in triplicate on 3 different plates. The coefficients of determination were calculated using CT-values among plasmid curves and runs. The coefficient of variation was calculated among replicate samples in each run. Efficiency (E) is calculated from the slope of the standard curve according to the following formula: $E = 10^{\left(-1/\text{slope}\right)}$. Ideally the efficiency should be 100%, meaning that for each cycle the amount of product doubles. We aimed for an efficiency of between 90 and 110%.

**Analytical sensitivity and specificity:** Analytical sensitivity, considered to be 50% amplification of the target (Wright & Jacobson 2009), was determined by running sets of standards that included 1, 3, and 10 plasmid copies per reaction, 24 times on 3 separate plates. Analytical specificity was determined by testing samples from 3 aquatic herpesviruses provided by Dr. R. P. Hedrick (University of California, Davis, California, USA): Koi herpesvirus (CyHV3), catfish herpesvirus (ICHV1), and sturgeon herpesvirus (ACiHV2), and known OsHV-1 negative Pacific oyster DNA collected from Washington State oysters, where OsHV has not been documented.

**qPCR inhibition:** DNA from gill and mantle tissues of uninfected juvenile Pacific oysters and Manila clams, which may contain PCR inhibitors, were added to qPCR reactions at specific DNA concentrations per reaction (0, 20, 60, and 140 ng) to test for inhibition. The coefficients of determination were calculated for CT-values obtained in each oyster or clam DNA-spiked curve and a non-spiked curve. The coefficient of variation was also calculated among triplicate replicates at each concentration.

**Bivalves.** Commercially cultured oysters (Pacific oyster, European flat oyster, Kumamoto oyster *C. sikamea*, and eastern oyster *C. virginica*), and Manila clams were collected from lease M340-11 in TB on August 27, 2003 (Fig. 1, Table 1). Mediterranean mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* were collected on September 24, 2003; Olympia oysters *O. lurida* and additional groups of eastern and Kumamoto oysters and Manila clams were collected on October 2, 2003. All species collected in TB were produced originally from hatcheries in Washington and/or Oregon, USA except Mediterranean mussels and Olympia oysters, which reproduce naturally in the bay. Pacific oysters from fall 2002 and spring 2003 outplants were also collected from Schooner Bay, DE on August 27, 2003. Pacific oysters collected from DE originated as larvae from hatcheries.

**Fig. 1. Location of study areas Tomales Bay and Drakes Estero in California, western USA (insert). Stars: collection sites**
in Washington and/or Oregon were set on site. The initial collection date was chosen as OsHV presence in Pacific oysters (coupled with mortality) was noted mid-August at the nearby Outer Bay site in TB (Burge et al. 2006). All animals were shipped live on ice, overnight to the University of Washington. Upon arrival, live and dead counts were performed, and the shell height of each oyster and the maximum valve dimensions of clams and mussels were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm prior to tissue sampling (Table 1).

**Nucleic acid extraction.** Gill and mantle tissues from individual animals were stored separately in 95% ethanol until DNA extraction using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Initially, tissue samples were extracted in pools from 5 individuals. When OsHV was detected in a specific pool, tissue samples from individual bivalves were then extracted separately.

**PCR. OsHV-specific cPCR methods:** We followed the nested PCR protocol of Renault et al. (2000b) as modified by Friedman et al. (2005) that employed the A3/A4 and A5/A6 primer combinations. All samples were tested with cPCR in duplicate amplifications at both 1 µl volume (~50 ng) and 500 ng of genomic DNA template. Products were separated on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized by UV illumination.

**cPCR sensitivity analysis:** To determine sensitivity in both the first (A3/A4 primers) and second round (A5/A6) of nested cPCR. Additionally, a 1-copy standard was diluted from the 3-copy dilution, and assayed in triplicate followed by an additional 60 cPCR reactions.

**OsHV-specific qPCR — sample methods:** A subset of 10 to 13 samples from each species were tested for OsHV using the qPCR methods listed above (see Table 3). Briefly, each sample was tested in duplicate with 2 µl of genomic DNA per reaction; triplicate standard curves were used. This group of samples included DNA from both OsHV-specific cPCR negative and positive animals; although positive samples were preferentially chosen when possible. Only bivalves collected on the first collection date (August 27, 2003) from TB, and Mediterranean mussels (September 24, 2003), were tested using qPCR. Samples were specifically chosen from the first sample date as qPCR copy numbers rapidly decline over time (C. A. Burge unpubl. data). Mediterranean mussels were also included based on cPCR results. Raw qPCR results were standardized per nanogram of total genomic DNA extracted. Standardized qPCR data exhibited departures from normality due to high levels of variation, and were log-transformed before differences among copy numbers quantified in bivalve species were assessed using an ANOVA and identified using a Tukey test (Zar 1999).

**Universal small subunit (SSU) genes:** The presence of amplifiable DNA was confirmed in all samples where OsHV was not detected using the SSU ribosomal RNA gene primers and reaction conditions of Le Roux et al. (1999). PCR products were separated and visualized as above.

**Sequence analysis.** To confirm cPCR results, selected OsHV cPCR products from each species (n = 8) were directly sequenced. PCR products were purified with the Qiagen PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Cycle sequencing and reaction analysis were carried out at

---

**Table 1. Sample information for bivalves collected in Tomales Bay and Drakes Estero (DE), California, USA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date collected</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Latin name</th>
<th>Number collected</th>
<th>Height (cm ± 1 SE)</th>
<th>Height range</th>
<th>Dead or moribund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 27, 2003</td>
<td>Pacific oyster</td>
<td><em>Crassostrea gigas</em></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.9–7.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific oyster (DE) Fall</td>
<td><em>C. gigas</em></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.0–5.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific oyster (DE) Spring</td>
<td><em>C. gigas</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All dead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat oyster</td>
<td><em>Ostrea edulis</em></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.6–7.2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumamoto oyster</td>
<td><em>C. sikamea</em></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.7–5.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern oyster</td>
<td><em>C. virginica</em></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.2–7.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manila clam</td>
<td><em>Venerupis philippinarum</em></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.9–3.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 24, 2003</td>
<td>Mediterranean mussel</td>
<td><em>Mytilus galloprovincialis</em></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.9–8.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2, 2003</td>
<td>Olympia oyster</td>
<td><em>O. lurida</em></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.1–5.0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumamoto oyster</td>
<td><em>C. sikamea</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.6–6.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern oyster</td>
<td><em>C. virginica</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.5–9.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manila clam</td>
<td><em>V. philippinarum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1–5.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the University of Washington Biochemistry DNA Sequencing Facility. Purified PCR products were cycle sequenced using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) and the sequencing reactions were analyzed on a 3730XL high-throughput capillary DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Each strand of the OsHV DNA was sequenced using forward and reverse primers to check for sequencing accuracy using the nested A5/A6 primers.

Although SYBR green qPCR provides a melt curve analysis, products from species where OsHV was not detected with cPCR were sequenced. Three qPCR products from one animal per species (Manila clam and Mediterranean mussel) were combined and purified with the Qiagen PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Purified plasmids were sequenced at the University of Washington's High Throughput Genomics Unit using ABI's BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kits (v3.1) to perform sequencing reactions. Sequencing reactions were analyzed using an ABI 3730XL instrument. Each strand of the OsHV DNA was sequenced using forward and reverse primers to check for sequencing accuracy using M13F/M13R primers.

Sequences were aligned using Sequencher software (Gene Codes). The BLAST algorithm (Altschul et al. 1990) was used to compare our sequences with those deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank database. Subsequent alignment with available OsHV and OsHV-1 sequences (Davison et al. 2005, Friedman et al. 2005, Moss et al. 2007) were used to confirm sequence identities.

Histology. Standard cross sections that included mantle, gills, gonad and digestive tissues were excised from all animals, fixed in invertebrate Davidson alcohol (Shaw & Battle 1957) for 24 h, and processed for routine paraffin histology. Deparafinized, 5 µm tissue sections from selected animals (n = 5 per species) in which OsHV had been detected by PCR, were selected and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Luna 1968) and examined by light microscopy to characterize morphological changes within these individuals.

RESULTS

OsHV-specific qPCR development

qPCR reaction efficiency was 93.2 ± 0.56% (mean ± SE), and precision comparison between curves based on our coefficient of determination was 0.994 ± 0.00095% (Fig. 2). Primer dimers were not observed in melt curve analyses (data not included). Coefficients of determination values obtained were between 0.993 and 0.999. The CT-value coefficients averaged 1.04 ± 0.131% with a range between 0.17 and 6.12. Precision results (coefficient of determination and variation of CT-values) indicate that within- and between-run variation was not a significant source of error. The ability to detect low copy numbers ranged from 0% (0 out of 72) for the water control, 50% (36/72) for 1 copy, 95.8% (69/72) for 3 copies, and 100% (72/72) for 10 copies. Therefore, the analytical sensitivity of this assay is considered 1 copy.

A high analytical specificity (100%) of our qPCR assay was established as no CT-values were obtained from non-OsHV aquatic herpesviruses or known OsHV-negative Pacific oyster DNA.

Similar CT values, standard curves, and precision (based on coefficient of determination and CT variation) were obtained from both non-spiked (no additional DNA) and spiked reactions (20, 60, and 140 ng genomic oyster or clam DNA) (Table 2). Thus, we did not detect any inhibition of our qPCR assay due to the presence of added juvenile oyster or Manila clam DNA (see Table 2).

PCR results

Prevalences of OsHV as determined by cPCR in Pacific oysters from TB and DE were similar (46.30 and 47.22%, respectively), and exceeded those of Kumamoto and eastern oysters (22.64 and 11.67%, respectively). European flat oysters had the highest OsHV cPCR prevalence of 78.57% (Fig. 3). Manila clams and Olympia oysters exhibited no cPCR evidence of OsHV.
The OsHV copy numbers per ng of genomic DNA of OsHV-qPCR positive bivalves were low for all species tested (mean ± SE; range): Pacific oysters (20.31 ± 12.40; 0.005 to 114.4), Flat oysters (0.098 ± 0.29; 0.02 to 0.29), Manila clams (0.042 ± 0.031; 0.003 to 0.103), Kumamoto oysters (0.042 ± 0.013; 0.003 to 0.15), eastern oysters (0.094 ± 0.068; 0.002 to 0.49), and Mediterranean mussels (0.0073 ± 0.006; 0.0005 to 0.025) (Fig. 4). Log-transformed data indicate Pacific oysters contained greater OsHV copy numbers per ng of tissue than all other species (p < 0.0001); all other species had equal copy numbers (p > 0.05).

When comparing the subset of samples tested with both cPCR and qPCR, OsHV was detected in a greater number of individuals with qPCR (47:34, Table 3). However, OsHV was detected in some individuals with qPCR or cPCR only. qPCR detected OsHV in 17 more animals than did cPCR, including in Manila clams. OsHV was detected in 6 animals with cPCR only, but only when 500 ng of total template DNA was added to the PCR reaction.

The nested OsHV cPCR detected 3 to 3 million copies in all dilutions that were tested in triplicate. Detection of 1 copy of OsHV DNA occurred in 24 of 60 (40%) assays suggesting that the lower limit of detection using the copy numbers we employed is 3 copies.

All samples yielded amplifiable DNA as evidenced by the 810 bp product generated from the SSU rDNA control primers (data not shown).

**Histology**

Examination of histological sections from selected individuals of all species (identified by PCR to harbor OsHV DNAs), revealed normal tissue and cellular architecture. Cellular changes consistent with cells infected by herpesviruses such as nuclear hypertrophy or the presence of Cowdry type A inclusion bodies were not observed.

**Sequences of PCR-amplified OsHV fragments**

Sequence analysis of selected OsHV cPCR products from each species of oyster indicated that the amplified fragments were identical to one another (100%), and to previously reported OsHV sequences described from California (Friedman et al. 2005). However, sequence analysis of cPCR products from Mediterranean mussels showed anomalous sequences that did not match any previously reported DNA sequences in GenBank, and those mussels were not considered to be infected by or associated with OsHV. In contrast, sequence analysis of qPCR products from Mediterranean mussels and Manila clams were identical (100%) to one another and to other previously reported OsHV-1 (GenBank accession no. AY509253.1; Davison et al. 2005) and OsHV sequences (Friedman et al. 2005, Moss et al. 2007).

**DISCUSSION**

We developed a sensitive, specific qPCR assay based on a gene present in a single copy in the OsHV genome to detect and quantify viral genome extracted from bivalve mollusc tis-
sues, and to better understand host–parasite dynamics in this system. Using this qPCR assay, we detected and quantified OsHV viral loads, a proxy for intensity of viral presence or infection, in Pacific oysters, European flat oysters, Kuma moto oysters, eastern oysters, Manila clams, and Mediterranean mussels collected in TB, California, shortly after reports of mass mortalities of Pacific oysters in the sampled embayment. Local shellfish growers have not reported any mortalities in bivalves other than the Pacific oyster reared in TB (J. Finger, Hog Island Oyster Company, pers. comm.). Despite a lack of reported losses, gill and mantle tissues of individuals of each of the other species examined had cPCR and/or qPCR evidence of OsHV presence, except the native Olympia oyster (cPCR analysis only). Although still considered low level infections, significantly higher OsHV copy numbers were detected in Pacific oysters than in all other species assessed, including the European flat oysters examined. This latter species, based on cPCR, had the highest OsHV prevalence (78.57%), while Pacific oysters had much lower prevalences of OsHV DNA (46.30 and 47.22% at TB and DE, respectively) further demonstrating that cPCR does not adequately describe the host–OsHV interactions. cPCR prevalences observed in Pacific oysters in the present study are similar to those observed previously in TB (Burge et al. 2006). Given the low number of European flat oysters examined, additional samples are needed to further characterize OsHV presence in this species.

Sequence analysis of selected cPCR or qPCR products confirm OsHV DNA in all species tested except Olympia oysters (cPCR negative, no sequence analysis) in TB. qPCR sequence analysis revealed perfect alignment with OsHV-1 (Davison et al. 2005), OsHV previously detected in California (Friedman et al. 2005), and OsHV detected in South Korea, Japan, and China (Moss et al. 2007) indicating good specificity of this assay where genetic information is available for OsHVs. We describe the first detection and sequence confirmation of OsHV in a new embayment (Pacific oyster in DE) and in 2 new species in TB, Mediterranean mussels and Eastern oysters; herpes-like viruses in bivalves were first described using histology

![Graph showing copy number per ng of OsHV DNA in Pacific, flat, Kumamoto, and eastern oysters, Manila clams, and Mediterranean mussels (mean ± SE). Pacific oysters had greater copy numbers than all other species (p < 0.0001), and no other differences among species were detected (p > 0.05).](image)

**Fig. 4.** Copy number per ng of OsHV DNA in Pacific, flat, Kumamoto, and eastern oysters, Manila clams, and Mediterranean mussels (mean ± SE). Pacific oysters (*) had greater copy numbers than all other species (p < 0.0001), and no other differences among species were detected (p > 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>cPCR 1 µl 500 ng</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>qPCR 2 µl</th>
<th>Total PCR</th>
<th>cPCR only 1 µl 500 ng</th>
<th>qPCR only 2 µl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacific oyster</td>
<td>7 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat oyster</td>
<td>11 10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manila clams</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumamoto oyster</td>
<td>4 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern oyster</td>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean mussel</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26 33</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of presence/absence PCR methods to detect OsHV in a subset of samples of bivalves collected in Tomales Bay; *Crassostrea gigas*, *C. sikamea*, *C. virginica*, *Ostrea edulis*, and *Venerupis philippinarum* were collected on August 27, 2003, and *Mytilus galloprovincialis* on September 24, 2003. Samples were tested with conventional PCR (cPCR) using 1 µl (~50 ng; amount of template added to PCR reaction) and a total of 500 ng of genomic DNA, and with quantitative PCR (qPCR) using 2 µl genomic DNA (melt curve analysis for each sample indicates an OsHV amplicon). Column labels: cPCR (qPCR) = number of samples for each species containing OsHV DNA; cPCR only = number of samples where OsHV DNA was detected only with cPCR (with 1 µl or 500 ng of genomic DNA); qPCR only = number of samples where OsHV DNA was detected only with qPCR (2 µl). Sequence confirmation for cPCR was achieved in a subset of samples from each species except Mediterranean mussels; sequence confirmation for qPCR was achieved in Mediterranean mussels.
and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) from eastern oysters (Farley et al. 1972) but whether this herpes-like virus was OsHV is unknown as no sequence confirmation has been made to date.

Based on plasmid dilution curves, both the qPCR and the nested cPCR assay are highly sensitive; qPCR detected 10 copies 100% of the time, 3 copies >95% of the time, and 1 copy 50% of the time; whereas the nested cPCR detected 1 copy 40% of the time. To optimally compare cPCR and qPCR, samples should be run simultaneously. In the present work, samples were frozen and thawed between cPCR and qPCR assays. Taken together, the data suggest that both qPCR and cPCR are sensitive in detecting OsHV. However, qPCR appears to be a more sensitive test for OsHV presence as this test detected OsHV DNA in more samples than did cPCR (47:34), despite the fact that the qPCR was run on samples that were frozen for several years. These results are consistent with expectations as qPCR is frequently more sensitive than cPCR (e.g. Pepin et al. 2008). The qPCR assay also detected OsHV DNA in 2 additional species (Mediterranean mussels and Manila clams) not demonstrated by cPCR, and provided quantitative data on OsHV abundances in tested samples. Quantitative data for OsHV is limited and the type of data collected herein may be especially important for further study of this pathogen, which is not culturable and lacks histological signs of infection in juvenile and adult oysters (Friedman et al. 2005, Burge et al. 2006). For example, transmission of the virus from infected adult and seed bivalves to naïve seed bivalves has been especially difficult and qPCR may facilitate assessment of successful viral replication in such transmission studies.

OsHV viral loads have been shown to vary among samples. Sauvage et al. (2009) compared qPCR copy number in living (2.5 × 10^5 to 1.1 × 10^6 copies mg^-1), dead (2.04 × 10^6 to 4.84 × 10^8) and surviving individuals (1.02 × 10^2 to 3.34 × 10^5) in full-sib Pacific oyster families during an OsHV epidemic in France. In Sauvage et al.’s (2009) assessment, copy number was significantly lower in animals surviving to the end of the epidemic compared to dead and living individuals collected during the epidemic. Our qPCR data revealed the presence of low OsHV copy numbers in tissues of all tested species for which OsHV DNA was amplified and that a significant difference was found in viral load between Pacific oysters and all other species tested. Although only a limited number of samples were analyzed with qPCR, it is likely that testing more individuals using qPCR would yield similarly low viral loads; the nested cPCR assay is very sensitive and would have identified OsHV in most infected individuals. This is the first published study using qPCR to assess diseases of molluscs from TB, and only included animals that survived an OsHV epidemic, which resulted in reported losses only in Pacific oysters. Direct copy number comparisons cannot be made between the experiment by Sauvage et al. (2009) and the present study, since copy number was normalized with mg of tissue in the former report; we normalized to ng of total DNA.

Detection of OsHV with cPCR or qPCR may not always equate to mortality as OsHV has been detected in individuals deemed ‘healthy’ by histology (3.8% of those tested; Barbosa-Solomieu et al. 2004), where no known mortality has occurred (Pepin et al. 2008), or in stocks or families where low levels of mortality have occurred (Burge et al. 2006, Sauvage et al. 2009). Mortality of oysters where OsHV is present may vary among stocks or families of oysters procured from different geographic regions (Burge et al. 2006, 2007, da Silva et al. 2008), or even half- or full-sib families (da Silva et al. 2008, Sauvage et al. 2009), which suggests that inherited resistance to OsHV may affect pathological outcomes of exposure or infection. Determination of whether a trend or relationship exists among viral load and histological changes and/or mortality would help further characterize the oyster–OsHV relationship.

Detection of OsHV with sensitive assays such as cPCR and qPCR does not imply an active virus infection or a true infection: it can only yield presence (cPCR) or presence and quantity (qPCR) data for OsHV DNA. Indeed, one can detect OsHV in any of the following stages: abortive (not true infections), latent (productive but silent infection), or active (productive with viral assembly) within tissue samples. To confirm a true OsHV infection in tissues where cellular or tissue changes are absent or rare by histological examination, assays such the OsHV-specific in situ hybridization (ISH) (Lipart & Renault 2002) or immunohistochemistry used by Arzul et al. (2002) are necessary. However, as illustrated by several studies, ISH confirmation of infection is limited by a need of adequate copy numbers of the target gene for visualization of a positive signal (Barbosa-Solomieu et al. 2004, Haffar & Gilbride 2010). Detection of OsHV by PCR revealed the presence of target DNA in 8.9 to 41.1% of the samples examined relative to 12.1% positive reactions by ISH (Barbosa-Solomieu et al. 2004). In addition, those authors only observed ~3% of the samples positive by ISH when over 50% of the samples were also PCR positive. In one group, no ISH evidence of OsHV presence was observed, despite the fact that 73.3% of the animals showed PCR evidence of OsHV presence. As in the present study, many of the bivalves examined by Barbosa-Solomieu et al. (2004) were not collected during a mortality event and had been archived for about 10 yr. In another study, Haffar & Gilbride (2010)
revealed a limit of detection of their qPCR assay as 150 copies, which was below the detection limit of their fluorescent ISH tests. Taken together, these date suggest that most of our samples, which contained <50 gene copies per PCR reaction (except for 3 Pacific oyster samples), are not good candidates for ISH. Future studies in which bivalves are collected during a mortality event, when viral copy numbers are elevated, should be subjected to ISH analysis to determine if these animals are infected with OsHV. Further, active OsHV invection cannot be inferred from any currently available molecular method and since continuous cell lines from bivalve molluscs are unavailable, active virus replication cannot be quantified using standard virological methods such as plaque assays. The use of qPCR to study OsHV is relatively new, and may eventually provide data on how much or little OsHV DNA leads to mortality. A method such as reverse transcriptase qPCR targeting a gene only expressed during active infection, would be useful for quantifying infection status in order to better understand OsHV pathogenesis and disease transmission including both host reservoirs and spread of the virus. Histological analysis revealed that OsHV PCR-positive bivalves collected during this study lacked tissue abnormalities in cellular and tissue architecture, even in those with the highest OsHV copy numbers observed in the present study. This is not the first report of OsHV detection in host tissues that lack identifiable pathological effects and/or mortalities. In France, Barbosa-Solomieu et al. (2004) describe OsHV detection (69.6%) using cPCR (C9/C10 primers) in adult Crassostrea gigas that were previously deemed as healthy, and Moss et al. (2007) detected OsHV using cPCR (same methods herein) in multiple Crassostrea spp. in Asia (life stage not listed). Histological changes consistent with herpesvirus infections have been described in adult French scallops Pecten maximus (Arzul et al. 2001a) and in Chilean flat oysters Ostrea angasi (Hine & Thorne 1997) where mortalities associated with OsHV were not documented.

Although published records documenting relative survival of all bivalves reared in TB are lacking, shellfish farmers would note high losses of any species that would affect their business. However, members of species that become infected by OsHV but do not suffer mortalities may serve as reservoirs of infection, particularly when reared in close proximity to susceptible animals. Thus, interspecies transmission could enhance OsHV transmission and epidemic dynamics in TB. In addition, the presence in TB of the lethal protistan pathogen Bonamia ostreae, which infects Ostrea edulis (Friedman et al. 1989), may mask OsHV-induced losses among European flat oysters. Interestingly, the Pacific oysters in DE had a similar OsHV prevalence based on cPCR as those reared in TB, yet no other commercially cultured shellfish species is reared in DE. This raises the possibility that a non-cultured host animal may also host OsHV, or that survivors of past OsHV epidemics may serve as a sufficient reservoir for OsHV transmission to naïve seed planted annually. Non-cultured host animals such as the rotifer Brachionus ursus Linnaeus, 1758 (Zhang et al. 2006) and 3 crab species (Sesarma sp., Scylla serrata, and Uca pugilator) (Kanchanaphum et al. 1998) have been determined to serve as infection reservoirs of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV), another viral pathogen affecting marine invertebrate hosts, illustrating that marine viruses may have broad host ranges thereby affecting transmission dynamics.

In conclusion, understanding the potential for host reservoirs, interspecies transmission, and host–parasite dynamics leading to an epidemic is crucial for successful culture of Pacific oysters in areas where OsHV is endemic. To better understand each species as a potential reservoir, both field and laboratory studies quantifying mortality, copy number, infection status (i.e. active versus abortive or latent infections), and host response to the virus in bivalves at different known life stage (seed versus adults) over time are needed. Additionally, testing other non-cultured bivalves or other organisms may be necessary, in order to prevent an inadvertent spread of this pathogen.
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