Fate of *Francisella noatunensis*, a pathogen of Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*, in blue mussels *Mytilus edulis*
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ABSTRACT: Francisellosis, caused by the bacterium *Francisella noatunensis*, is one of the most severe diseases affecting farmed cod, and has caused great economic loss for the cod farming industry in Norway. We studied the fate of *F. noatunensis* in the marine environment, focusing on the role of blue mussels. In experimental challenges, waterborne *F. noatunensis* was rapidly filtered by the blue mussel and transported to the digestive diverticulae. The bacteria passed through the entire digestive system. Intraperitoneal injection of cod with suspensions prepared from faeces collected from challenged mussels resulted in the development of francisellosis in the recipients, demonstrating that some bacteria were alive and infective when shed in mussel faeces. Bacterial clearance from the mussels was relatively fast, and no evidence was found, suggesting that the bacterium is capable of persisting or multiplying in the mussel tissues. A cohabitation experiment with cod and mussels previously exposed to *F. noatunensis* did not lead to infection in cod. A direct transmission from contaminated mussels to cod was thus not demonstrated; however, faeces particles with infective bacteria may play a role in the transmission of the bacterium in marine food chains.
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INTRODUCTION

In 2004 a new systemic, granulomatous disease affecting large cod in western Norway was described (Nylund et al. 2006, Olsen et al. 2006). The causative agent was identified as an intracellular bacterium from the genus Francisella. The valid name is *Francisella noatunensis* ssp. *noatunensis* (Syns. *F. piscicida*; *F. philomiragia* ssp. *noatunensis*) (Ottem et al. 2009). Francisellosis in cod is associated with reduced growth, mortalities, reduced quality, and elevated discard rates at slaughter, with corresponding economic losses (Nylund et al. 2006). Knowledge of transmission mechanisms of *F. noatunensis* is scarce; however, horizontal transmission by cohabitation of cod kept at high temperatures has been shown in laboratory experiments (Nylund et al. 2006, Mikalsen et al. 2009). Field observations seem to support these findings, as the prevalence within infected stocks is often high (Colquhoun et al. 2008, Ottem et al. 2008). Little information is available on the survival of *F. noatunensis* in the marine environment (Duodu & Colquhoun 2010). However, bacteria from the *Francisella* genus are found to be widespread in the environment and have been isolated from water and mud where they can persist for at least a year (Forsman et al. 1995, Broekhuijsen et al. 2003, Berrada & Telford 2010).
Free or particle-bound *Francisella noatunensis* may be taken up by filter feeders in the marine environment. We have focused on blue mussels *Mytilus edulis*, which are widespread in the marine environment and common on farming facilities in Norway. Bivalves filter large volumes of water and retain particles depending on size (Birkbeck & McHenery 1982, Riisgard 1988). The dynamics of survival of human faecal bacteria have been thoroughly studied in bivalves from sewage-polluted seawater, and results show that 48 h in non-contaminated water at temperatures >5°C is usually enough to reduce the concentration of bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* to low levels. Clearance or inactivation of ingested viruses will generally require more time, and may persist for several days (Richards 1988, Doré & Lees 1995).

Both viral and bacterial fish pathogens have been isolated from bivalves (Meyers 1984, Mortensen et al. 1990), and it has been speculated whether bivalves may act as reservoirs for such disease agents. It has been shown that bivalves may act as biofilters, removing bacteria from the water. For instance, blue mussels may have a beneficial effect in a net pen removing bacteria from the water. For instance, blue mussels may have a beneficial effect in a net pen removing bacteria from the water. For instance, blue mussels may have a beneficial effect in a net pen removing bacteria from the water.

*Francisella noatunensis* was detected in blue mussels *Mytilus edulis* collected close to cod farms experiencing francisellosis (Ottem et al. 2008). Blue mussels on or near cod-rearing pens may remove and accumulate the bacterium from water. The aim of the present study was to examine the fate of *F. noatunensis* in the mussels, and to clarify their epizootiological importance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The *Francisella noatunensis* ssp. *noatunensis* strain (GM2212) used throughout this experiment was originally isolated from the head kidney of farmed Atlantic cod suffering from francisellosis (Nylund et al. 2006). Bacteria were grown on cysteine heart agar (Difco™) with 5% chocolatized sheep blood (CHAB) at 20°C. The antiserum used for the detection of *F. noatunensis* was originally produced against *F. noatunensis* strain GM2212 (Ottem et al. 2007). The antiserum had a titer of 1:600000 and was not absorbed.

Blue mussels *Mytilus edulis* were collected in Svinadal, Lindås, north of Bergen, Norway, in April 2008. There are no fish farms in the vicinity of the collection site. Mussels with a shell length of approximately 5 cm were selected and kept in a storage tank with running filtered seawater at approximately 9°C at the Institute of Marine Research (IMR).

Cod used in Expts 1 and 2 originated from Parivatnet, a semi-extensive cod cultivation facility belonging to IMR, located in Øygarden, west of Bergen, Norway. These fish had been dip-vaccinated against vibriosis (Alpha-Marine) when they were approximately 5 g in weight. The fish used in the cohabitation experiment were unvaccinated but received prophylactic treatment against vibriosis with oxolinic acid in-feed 3 mo prior to the experiment. At the start of the Expts (1 and 2) the cod had a mean weight of 170 g. They were kept in 250 l tanks, with a water flow of 10 l min⁻¹, a temperature of 14°C ± 0.1, salinity of 34.5, and oxygen saturation of 7.5 to 8.5 mg l⁻¹.

The cod in Expt 3 (mean weight 142 g) were kept in 80 l tanks, with a water flow of 8.0 l min⁻¹, salinity of 34.5, and a temperature of 9°C ± 0.1 for 1 mo before the temperature was raised to 14°C ± 0.1 for 2 mo. Samples from the kidney of 10 cod from the stock used in Expts 1 and 2, and 10 cod from the stock used in Expt 3 were analysed for the presence of *Francisella noatunensis* by real-time RT-PCR (Fc50 assay; Ottem et al. 2008) prior to the experiments. All were found negative.

At autopsy the fish were terminally anesthetised by benzocaine and the abdominal cavity was carefully opened and inspected. Macroscopic signs of disease were registered. Pieces of the anterior kidney (~60 μg) were stored at −80°C until analysis (real-time RT-PCR). From cod in Expt 3, additional samples from spleen, heart, kidney, and visible granulomas in liver were fixed in 4% phosphate-buffered formaldehyde (48 h) for histology.

**Expt 1. Viability of *Francisella noatunensis* in mussels**

Blue mussels (n = 60) were added to 2 aquaria with seawater (30 l) located in a temperature-controlled room (8°C). *Francisella noatunensis* was grown on CHAB agar plates for 12 d and suspended in 800 ml autoclaved seawater. This suspension was then added to one of the tanks containing mussels (‘exposed group’), the second acting as control. After mixing, a water sample (1 ml) was removed and subsequently used to estimate the concentration of *F. noatunensis* in the aquarium (real-time RT-PCR) to
1.9 × 10^9 bacteria ml^-1 (see ‘Estimation of concentration of bacteria in inocula’). After 6 d exposure, the mussels were removed and transferred to a flow-through system where they were kept for 5 d before being sampled. Digestive gland tissues from 5 mussels from each group were homogenised in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Calbiochem®)(1/10 dilution by volume), and centrifuged (54 × g, 2 min, 20°C and 149 × g, 1 min, 20°C) to remove particulate material. The supernatant was further diluted 1/5 in PBS for use as inocula. Real-time RT-PCR testing of subsamples (0.1 ml) from the inocula detected F. noatunensis rRNA in the inoculum from exposed mussels (cycle threshold: C_t = 29.7, ~1.3 × 10^4 bacteria). However, the inoculum from the unexposed mussels was also positive with real-time PCR, with C_t = 30.9 (in the standard curve corresponding to ~6 × 10^3 bacteria). Ten benzocaine-sedated cod were injected intraperitoneally with 0.2 ml of each suspension and kept for 9 wk at 14°C before they were killed and sampled from the anterior kidney for real-time RT-PCR analysis as described above.

Expt 2. Viability of Francisella noatunensis shed with mussel faeces

Two 30 l aquaria were prepared as described in Expt 1, each with 60 blue mussels. Francisella noatunensis was grown and suspended as described above (Expt 1), and added to one tank, the second acting as control. The F. noatunensis concentration in the tank was estimated from a water sample (real-time RT-PCR) to 1.3 × 10^8 bacteria ml^-1. After 3 d the mussels from each aquarium were moved to 2 new tanks in a flow-through system where they were left for 5 d before the tank and mussels were flushed and thoroughly washed with seawater to remove all faeces particles. The following day (Day 0), faeces were collected from the contaminated mussels (502 mg wet wt) and the control mussels (511 mg) and suspended in tubes with 4.5 ml PBS. These faeces samples may also contain pseudo-faeces since these could not be distinguished. The tubes were thoroughly vortexed and left for 2 min in order to let the particles sediment. The supernatants were then removed and further diluted 1:5 in PBS. Real-time RT-PCR testing of a subsample (0.1 ml) from the final inoculum confirmed presence of F. noatunensis (C_t = 27.1, corresponding to ~6 × 10^4 bacteria). The subsample from the control group faeces inoculum was also positive (C_t = 31.7, corresponding to ~3.8 × 10^3 bacteria). Ten cod in each group were sedated using benzocaine and intraperitoneally injected with 0.2 ml of the faeces-inocula. After 9 wk at 14°C, the fish were killed and kidney samples collected for real-time RT-PCR as described above. Additional faeces samples were collected at Days 0, 7, and 14 after transfer to flow-through system. These were analysed with real-time RT-PCR for F. noatunensis but were not injected into cod.

Expt 3. Cohabitation of cod with contaminated mussels

Blue mussels (n = 60) were added to each of 4 (80 l) tanks (Nos. 1 to 4) with continuous water supply (8.0 l min^-1). A Francisella noatunensis suspension in 150 ml seawater was prepared from CHAB agar plates, washed, centrifuged for 10 min at 4300 × g, resuspended in autoclaved seawater (28%), and diluted giving a concentration of 1.4 × 10^7 bacteria ml^-1 (colony forming units [CFU] count). This suspension (500 ml tank^-1) was gradually added to 3 tanks (Nos. 1, 2 and 4) for a period of 1 h, to avoid interruption in feeding by the mussels due to the addition. The blue mussels were then left to filter for 4 h until the water flow was restored. The remaining tank (No. 3) was untreated and acted as control in the cohabitation experiment.

The tanks and mussels were thoroughly flushed and washed prior to adding of cod to remove all faecal particles. Cod were added to 3 of the tanks (Nos. 1 to 3), beginning at 11 d post-mussel exposure in the control tank (No. 3) and one exposed tank (No. 1), and at Day 22 in a second exposed tank (No. 2). Mussels from the fourth tank were sampled for histology and real-time RT-PCR analysis at Days 1, 3, 7, 11, 22, 46, 69, and 113, 5 mussels at each sampling. Cross sections of 0.5 cm from the digestive system and gills of the blue mussels were cut and fixed in Davidson’s fixative (48 h) (Shaw & Battle 1957).

Cod and blue mussels were kept together at 9°C for 4 wk before the mussels were removed and the temperature raised to 14°C to accelerate any Francisella noatunensis infection contracted. The blue mussel group kept for histology was kept at 9°C throughout the entire experiment in order to avoid mussels spawning. The fish were killed after 13 wk, when they were sampled and analysed with real-time RT-PCR.

 Extraction of total RNA

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen®) according to the manufacturer’s
recommendations for tissue samples. The extreme halophile archaea *Halobacterium salinarum* (strain DSM 3754/ATCC 33171) was used as an exogenous control for the real-time RT-PCR assays (Nylund et al. 2010). The archaea were aliquoted at the cultivated concentration and stored at −80°C. Of this stock, 2 µl were added to all samples prior to RNA extraction. RNA quantity from the tissue samples were measured using a Nano Drop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and diluted to a concentration of approximately 45 ng µl−1 prior to real-time RT-PCR analysis. RNA quality was analysed from a selection of 12 samples, 6 from cod tissue and 6 from blue mussel using a RNA 6000 Nano Assay Kit with the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100.

**Real-time RT-PCR**

The real-time RT-PCR assay Fc50-specific for the 16S rRNA from *Francisella noatunensis* was used (Ottem et al. 2008). The elongation factor from cod (EF1AA) was used as an internal control (Olsvik et al. 2006) in addition to the external control *Halobacterium salinarum* (Sal-assay) (Nylund et al. 2010). Negative template controls (NTC), negative controls from the RNA extraction and one positive control (GM2212, *C*<sub>t</sub> = ~29) for *F. noatunensis* were included in all runs.

The Verso<sup>™</sup> 1-step QRT-PCR ROX Kit (Thermo Scientific) was used for the real time RT-PCR assays. The reaction mixture was as follow; 6.25 µl 2× 1-step QPCR Rox Mix (Verso), 0.125 µl Enzyme mix, 0.625 µl RT-enhancer, optimized concentrations of primers and probes depending on assay, and 2 µl of total RNA (90 ng for tissue samples) as template. The total volume was adjusted to 12.5 µl by adding diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC) H<sub>2</sub>O (RNAse-free water). ABI 7500 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems) was used to perform the analysis. The reaction was 15 min at 50°C, 15 min at 95°C, 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, followed by 1 min at 60°C. Threshold values were set at 0.003 for the Fc50, 0.008 for EF1AA, and 0.001 for *Halobacterium Salinarum*, and all samples were run in duplicate.

The efficiencies for the 3 assays were tested using a 10-fold dilution series (Table 1). The RNA template was diluted 1/10 using yeast transfer-RNA (t-RNA) solution (20 ng µl<sup>−1</sup>) (Invitrogen) as it has been shown to stabilize the kinetics during the dilution series (Ståhlberg et al. 2004). All samples were analyzed in triplicate. The standard curves created by the ABI 7500 sequence detecting system were used. The amplification efficiency was calculated using the formula: \(\left(10^{-1/slope}\right) - 1\). The sensitivity for the Fc50 assay is \(C_t = 37.5\) (Ottem et al. 2008).

**Estimation of concentration of bacteria in inocula**

Bacteria grown on 2 CHAB agar plates (12 d) were washed off with 3 ml of autoclaved seawater (28‰). This 3 ml suspension was further diluted in a 10-fold dilution series in 9 tubes, and the 10<sup>−2</sup> dilution were counted 3 times in a counting chamber (Improved Neubauer). The 10<sup>−2</sup> dilution contained 1.8 × 10<sup>8</sup> bacteria ml<sup>−1</sup> corresponding to ca. 1.8 × 10<sup>10</sup> ml<sup>−1</sup> in the undiluted sample. The 10<sup>−6</sup>, 10<sup>−7</sup>, 10<sup>−8</sup> tubes were plated out on CHAB and CFU were counted after 2 wk. The CFU count estimated a concentration of 2 × 10<sup>10</sup> bacteria ml<sup>−1</sup> in the undiluted sample. The entire dilution series was stored at −80°C and analysed with real-time RT-PCR in duplicates. A standard curve was created based on these results and used in the estimation of dosage in the inocula of the different experiments: number of bacteria \(N_{bact} \approx e^{(C_t - 45.451)/-1.669}\), \(R^2 = 0.9914\) (Pearson’s product-moment correlation).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Dehydration and immunostaining of the formalin-fixed blue mussel and cod samples were performed according to Sandlund et al. (2006). The primary polyclonal rabbit antiserum: anti-*Francisella* was diluted 1:2000 in Tris-buffer (0.05 M, pH 7.6) with 2.5% BSA (Sigma). Avidin-biotin-alkaline phosphatase complex reaction kit (Vectastain<sup>®</sup> universal ABC-AP Kit AK 5200, Vector Lab) and Fuchsin substrate-chromagen (KO624, Dako A/S) were used to visualize positive staining (bright red). At each staining, a positive control sample (spleen from cod suffering from francisellosis) was used. A Leica DMBE microscope equipped with a Micro publisher 5.0 RTV (Q-Imaging) was used to examine and photograph the preparations.

**RESULTS**

**Expt 1**

A total of 7 out of 10 cod injected with homogenate from mussels 11 d after the exposure to *Francisella noatunensis* showed internal macro-
scopic signs consistent with francisellosis when ex-
amined 9 wk post-challenge. Macroscopic signs
observed were granulomas in liver, spleen, and the
wall of the abdominal cavity. All 7 cod were positive
for *F. noatunensis* when analysed by real-time RT-
PCR (C<sub>t</sub> = 26.2 to 38.8). The 3 cod lacking visible
granulomas were *F. noatunensis*-negative with real-
time RT-PCR. The control group, injected with
tissue homogenate from unexposed blue mussels,
was negative when tested for *F. noatunensis* with
real-time RT-PCR (n = 9). One fish in this group was
killed due to eye damage.

**Expt 2**

All cod in the group injected with inocula prepared
from blue mussel faeces collected 9 d after the expo-
sure to *Francisella noatunensis* were positive when
analysed with the *F. noatunensis* real-time RT-PCR
assay (C<sub>t</sub> = 25.1 to 33.9). The control group, injected
with inocula from faeces from unexposed blue mus-
sels, was negative (n = 9). One cod in the control
group died shortly after injection. Real-time RT-PCR
analyses of blue mussel faeces samples collected at
Days 0, 7, and 14 from the exposed mussels were
positive for *F. noatunensis* (C<sub>t</sub> = 29.5, 35.7, and 33.9,
respectively).

**Expt 3**

All groups of cod cohabiting with blue mussels pre-
viously exposed to *Francisella noatunensis* (11 or
22 d earlier) or unexposed control mussels were neg-
avive for *F. noatunensis* when analysed with real-
time RT-PCR at 13 wk post challenge. However, sev-
eral fish in these groups developed disease and were
removed. These included fish with skin and fin haem-
morrhages (4 in the control group and 1 and 4 fish in
the exposed groups). Several fish in the exposed
group showed granulomas in the liver and spleen.
No aetiological agent was identified, and both im-
munohistochemistry and real-time PCR for *F. noa-
tunensis* were negative.

The number of exposed mussels positive for *Fran-
cisella. noatunensis* decreased during the 113 d they
were sampled. Also, the normalized expression in
positive mussels decreased with time (Spearman’s
rank correlation coefficients, p < 0.05). However,
some of the unexposed mussels also showed positive
signals, albeit generally close to or above the cut-off
value of C<sub>t</sub> = 37.5.

**Immunohistochemistry of blue mussels exposed to
*Francisella noatunensis* (Expt 3)**

In the unexposed control mussels, there was no
positive immune staining in the digestive diverticu-
lae. An unspecific diffuse light red staining of the
brush border of the intestinal epithelia was observed
in most specimens (Fig. 1). In addition, focal aggreg-
ates of brown cells with a red-brownish granulation
were seen. In 4 specimens, small, stained particles
were observed inside haemocytes.

The challenged mussels showed a clear red
immune staining, different from the control mussels,
in the digestive cells in the digestive diverticulae
(Figs. 2 & 4). One day after exposure to *Francisella
noatunensis*, positive immune-staining was observ-
ed as red particles or areas in these digestive cells in
3 out of 5 mussels sampled. This staining was not
observed in other tissues. At Day 3, positive staining
was observed in all 5 specimens. The number of pos-
itive particles, as well as the intensity of the staining,
was variable. At Day 7, a moderate but variable
staining was observed in the digestive diverticulae
(Fig. 4). In one specimen a strong positive staining
was observed in the lumen of a primary digestive
duct (Fig. 3). At Day 11, 4 out of 5 specimens re-
vealed a positive but variable staining. Two of these
also showed a few positive particles in the intestinal
lumen. At Day 22, red coloration was not observed
in the digestive epithelia, but 2 specimens had a clear
red coloration in the stomach epithelia. In one of
these, coloured granules were observed inside haem-
ocyes. At Day 46 a few positive particles were ob-
served in haemocytes of one specimen. In other
specimens sampled at Day 46 and all those sampled
at Day 69, no immunohistochemical staining differ-
ent from the control specimens was observed. Sym-
biotic ciliates in the gills of the challenged mussels
were strongly positive (Fig. 7), while those in the
control mussels were unstained (Fig. 6). The chal-
lenged mussels showed the same diffuse staining of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fc50</td>
<td>−3.3865</td>
<td>13.8941</td>
<td>0.9919</td>
<td>0.9737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>−3.3553</td>
<td>15.9541</td>
<td>0.9987</td>
<td>0.9863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF1AA</td>
<td>−3.3529</td>
<td>10.5820</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>0.9872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Slope, intercept, R², and efficiency (E) for the 3 real-
time RT-PCR assays used. The Fc50 assay detects *Francisella
noatunensis* 16S rRNA, Sal detects *Halobacterium salinarum*
16S rRNA, and EF1AA the cod elongation factor 1A mRNA.
Figs. 1–7. *Francisella noatunensis* infected *Mytilus edulis*. Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of paraffin sections from blue mussels. Avidin-biotin-alkaline phosphatase method, primary polyclonal rabbit antisera: anti-*F. noatunensis* subsp. *noatunensis*, and Shandon haematoxylin counterstained. Positive IHC staining is visualized by red colour. Figs. 1 & 2. Sections of intestine, storage tissues, and digestive diverticulae. Fig. 1. Unchallenged, negative control mussel. A diffuse red staining is observed in the epithelial cells lining the intestine. No staining observed in the digestive diverticulae. Some red colouration was also seen in individual cells, presumably haemocytes. Fig. 2. Day 7 post-exposure (PE) to *F. noatunensis*. A strong red colouration indicating the presence of the bacterium is observed both in the intestinal epithelium (white arrow), digestive cells of the diverticulae (black arrow) and in clusters of haemocytes in the storage and connective tissue (small arrow). Fig. 3. Section of primary digestive duct Day 7 PE showing positive colouration of contents (arrow). Fig. 4. Digestive cells in the diverticulae from Day 7 PE, with strong staining. Fig. 5. Stomach epithelia exhibiting haemocyte activity and strong positive immune staining at Day 7 PE. Figs. 6 & 7. Symbiotic ciliates in the gills of unexposed (Fig. 6) and exposed (Fig. 7) blue mussels, Day 1 PE, showing strong colouration in the cytoplasm of ciliate exposed to the bacterium indicating substantial *F. noatunensis* uptake.

Scale bars in Figs. 1, 2, & 5 = 100 µm; in Figs. 3 & 4 = 50 µm; in Figs. 6 & 7 = 15 µm
brush-borders in stomach and intestine, and the brownish, focal granulation observed in unexposed control specimens (cf. Figs. 1 & 2).

Real-time RT-PCR of control mussels in Expts 1 to 3

When the control mussels were tested for *Francisella noatunensis* with the Fc50 assay, some individuals produced positive signals (Table 2). The non template control (NTC) and negative purification controls were all negative. Subsequent attempts were made to determine the cause of these results through amplifying bacterial 16S on some of the samples with the lowest C<sub>t</sub> values, followed by nested PCR with several combinations of primers designed to be *Francisella*-specific (Nylund et al. 2006). Products giving appropriately sized bands were sequenced, revealing various marine *Gamma-proteobacteriae* (*Alteromonadaceae*, *Alcanivoraceae*, *Legionellaceae*) but no bacteria belonging or closely related to the genus *Francisella*.

### DISCUSSION

There is little information available on the presence and survival of *Francisella noatunensis* in the marine environment, and the only known natural reservoir is infected cod (Ottem et al. 2008, Duodu & Colquhoun 2010, Colquhoun & Duodu 2011, Zerihun et al. 2011). *F. noatunensis* has been detected in wild cod in the southern parts of Norway and from the Swedish west coast, both as clinically diseased fish and as healthy ‘carriers’ found positive for the bacterium when examined with real-time RT-PCR (Alfjorden et al. 2006, Ottem et al. 2008). The bacterium has been detected in several unrelated wild marine fishes, blue mussels *Mytilus edulis*. and in edible crab *Cancer pagurus* with real-time RT-PCR, but in all cases in small amounts precluding further confirmation of identity by culturing or sequencing. Kamaishi et al. (2010) reported *Francisella* sp. infecting a molluscan (abalone *Haliotis gigantea*) in Japan. Such observations may indicate that *F. noatunensis* is widespread in the environment in both fish and invertebrates (Ottem et al. 2008).

The mechanism by which *Francisella noatunensis* is shed into water by infected cod is not known, but infections are readily obtained in cohabitation challenges (Nylund et al. 2006, Mikalsen et al. 2009). Blue mussels are very common in the marine environment and feed on small particles in water, which also include bacteria e.g. (Zobell & Feltham 1938, McHenery & Birkbeck 1985, Prieur et al. 1990) The fate of the bacteria in the bivalves is dependent on their ability to resist the enzymes present in the digestive system of the bivalve, and it has been shown that lyzosyme resistant bacteria are rejected without degradation (Birkbeck & McHenery 1982). Bivalves may therefore function either as a biological filter or a reservoir for different bacteria.

#### Table 2. *Francisella noatunensis* infecting *Mytilus edulis*. Detection of *F. noatunensis* in blue mussels exposed to the bacterium in aquaria, at different sampling times post-exposure, using immunohistochemistry (IHC) or real-time RT-PCR. A distinction is made between cycle threshold (C<sub>t</sub>) values below and above 37.5, since higher values are not generally reproducible. Most samples from which C<sub>t</sub> values above 37.5 are indicated were positive in one replicate only. Localization of positive IHC is explained in ‘Immunohistochemistry of blue mussels exposed to *Francisella noatunensis*’ and shown in Figs. 1 to 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample day</th>
<th>IHC</th>
<th>Real-time RT-PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. positive/ no. examined</td>
<td>No. negative/ no. examined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed mussels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>3/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexposed mussels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>3/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>3/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>2/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* with staining in haemocytes
We have shown that blue mussels filter Francisella noatunensis from the water. Immunohistochemistry of the digestive system of the blue mussels showed the presence of bacteria in the digestive compartments of the diverticulae, as well as in the lumina of ducts and intestine, illustrating the passage of bacteria through the entire digestive system. Cod that were injected with tissue homogenate from the digestive gland 11 d after uptake of bacteria developed francisellosis, thus verifying the presence of Francisella and showing that bacteria in the digestive system of the blue mussels were alive and infective at this time. However, IHC samples taken 22 d after exposure revealed only a diffuse unspecific coloration. After this point of time, no bacteria could be observed with IHC. Real-time RT-PCR analyses targeting 16s rRNA indicates a clearance of F. noatunensis within approximately 3 wk after uptake and suggests that the mussels do not serve as long-term reservoir for the bacterium. There was no evidence of a multiplication of the bacterium in the digestive tissue of the mussels.

Some of the bacteria taken up by the blue mussels passed the digestive system without being digested. Live bacteria were present in faeces samples collected in the experimental tank 11 d after exposure, since cod became infected after injection of a suspension prepared from faecal samples. The Francisella noatunensis-positive faeces particles represent a possible source of transmission, as they may be devoured by organisms on the next trophic level in a marine food chain. Such passage has been shown from bivalves experimentally contaminated with infectious pancreatic virus to prawns (Mortensen 1993).

Francisella noatunensis was observed inside haemocytes in some of the mussels sampled; with IHC, however, since the mussels eventually became F. noatunensis-negative, prolonged survival in haemocytes is unlikely. An interesting observation is the intense IHC signal in the cytoplasm of symbiotic ciliates in the blue mussel gills. It has previously been demonstrated that F. tularensis survives in bacterivorous amoebae, ciliates, and nanoflagellates, suggesting protists may play a role in the environmental survival of Francisella spp. (Abd et al. 2003, Thelaus et al. 2009). Also, a novel F. noatunensis subspecies, Candidatus F. noatunensis subsp. endociliophora, has been detected as a symbiont in the marine ciliate Euplotes raikovi (Schrallhammer et al. 2011).

In our experiments, Francisella noatunensis was not transmitted from blue mussels to cod during cohabitation. Similar experiments carried out with Aeromonas salmonicida and freshwater mussels indicate that this bacterium is present and able to cause disease at cohabitation; however, after a depuration period of between 10 and 15 d, no transmission of the disease were detected (Starlipper 2005). Immunohistochemistry of the F. noatunensis contaminated mussels revealed that only a limited amount of the bacteria filtered during challenge were present at Days 11 and 22. In addition to low levels of infectious bacteria, bacteria might have been bound up in sedimented faecal pellets and thus not transmitted to cod.

We observed that samples from unexposed control mussels (tissues in Expts 1 and 3; fecal homogenate in Expt 2) produced positive signals with the Fc50 assay in real-time RT-PCR. However, tissue or fecal-pellet homogenates from these did not produce Francisella noatunensis infections in cod when injected, as did the corresponding homogenates from exposed mussels. Also the IHC of the control mussels were negative. We were unable to amplify F. noatunensis DNA from selected samples (lowest Ct). Hence our experimental data does not indicate that the Fc50 assay has detected F. noatunensis subsp. noatunensis infective to cod, but these observations remain unexplained. Wild cod in southern Norway may suffer from francisellosis (Ottem et al. 2008), so the possibility that mussels collected from nature have been exposed is omnipresent. However, several recent reports suggest that additional Francisella spp. occur in the marine environment (Petersen et al. 2009, Steinum et al. 2009, Schrallhammer et al. 2011). Occurrence of related species in seawater may further complicate the use of real-time RT-PCR to detect F. noatunensis in water samples, as well as in filtering invertebrates (see Colquhoun & Duodu 2011). The present observations suggest that the Fc50 assay detect bacteria other than F. noatunensis subsp. noatunensis, present in the blue mussels collected.

The fate of Francisella noatunensis in blue mussels differs from that of Renibacterium salmoninarum (cf. Paclibare et al. 1994) since infective bacteria are released in an aggregated fashion through the mussel faeces. However, our evidence strongly suggests that a significant fraction of F. noatunensis is digested by the mussels, hence a degree of clearing of the water for the contagion occur. This fraction may be higher when the mussels are exposed to lower and likely more natural concentrations of the bacterium. Still, Francisella spp. are adapted to intracellular survival and parasitism, and other reservoirs of epizootiological importance may occur among the diverse invertebrate fauna that may be associated with cod aquaculture.
Trophic transmission pathways may exist between bacterivores such as ciliates and mussels, other invertebrates such as decapods and unsuitable and suitable fish hosts, and further research is needed to understand the normal life cycle of this bacterium in nature.
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