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Shedding and survival of an intracellular pathogenic *Endozoicomonas*-like organism infecting king scallop *Pecten maximus*
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ABSTRACT: The Lyme Bay marine protected area (MPA) hosts a valuable population of king scallop *Pecten maximus* L. Recently, an *Endozoicomonas*-like organism (ELO), infecting host gill epithelial tissue, was associated with king scallop mass mortality events within the Lyme Bay MPA. Currently, very little is known about its transmission and survival outside the host. In this investigation, animals collected outside of reported mortality events showed high levels of ELO infection. Gill tissue disruption and the release of bacteria into the interlamellar space was seen histologically, suggesting shedding of ELO from host animals. To investigate pathogen survival outside the host, infected scallops were maintained in static water for a 24 h period, and then removed. Over the subsequent 8 d, water samples were collected and the quantity of ELO 16S rRNA transcript was measured by TaqMan™ quantitative PCR (qPCR). The 16S rRNA transcript quantity was stable outside the host for 6 d before bacteria survival declined 2 logs (7.9 × 10^8 16S rRNA to 2.3 × 10^6 transcripts), suggesting that ELO can survive independently outside the host organism. The ELO-specific qPCR probe can therefore be used in future field studies of ELO prevalence within the environment and fauna of the Lyme Bay MPA.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The king scallop *Pecten maximus* (L.) fishery is highly valuable, with landings by UK vessels from natural stocks generating £74.1 (€85.8) million in 2016 (Marine Management Organisation 2017). Conservation of natural stocks is therefore of great economic and ecological interest. To enhance marine ecology, several marine protected areas (MPAs) have been established along the UK coastline. Designated in 2007, the Lyme Bay MPA is the largest area of protected water in the UK (Rees et al. 2010). Since opening, king scallop population surveys have suggested beneficial recuperation of natural stocks, as demonstrated by greater abundances of juvenile scallops within the MPA in comparison to external populations (Howarth et al. 2015).

However, in June 2013 and May 2014, king scallop mass mortality events were reported in the Lyme Bay MPA (Fish Health Inspectorate [Cefas] pers. corr.), consistent with previous reports in the English Channel (Le Gall et al. 1988, 1991, S. W. Feist unpubl. data). Histopathological examination of scallops taken from stocks undergoing a mortality event has consistently demonstrated aggregations of bacteria within the host gill tissues. Historically, in the absence of molecular analysis, these bacteria have been described as a *Rickettsiales*-like organism (RLO) (Le Gall et al.
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1991). However, 16S rRNA sequencing showed 95% sequence similarity with species within the genus Endozoicomonas (Gammaproteobacteria: Oceanospirales) and were therefore designated as an Endozoicomonas-like organism (ELO) (Cano et al. 2018).

An Endozoicomonas symbiont isolated from the bivalve mollusc Atrina pectinata has previously been described (Hyun et al. 2014). Genomic analyses suggest Endozoicomonas species exhibit both symbiotic and free-living stages (Neave et al. 2016). This is largely facilitated by significant genomic plasticity allowing generalised environmental and localised host adaptation (Jensen et al. 2010, Neave et al. 2017). Schreiber et al. (2016) previously demonstrated dissemination of facultative symbiotic Endozoicomonas species between ascidian hosts. The propensity of several Endozoicomonas species to exhibit symbiotic and free-living life cycles suggests the king scallop ELO may be capable of survival outside the host environment.

Developments in molecular analysis of environmental DNA (eDNA) have created new ways of identifying the presence of microorganisms in environmental samples, bypassing the need for traditional microbiological cultivation techniques (Dejean et al. 2011). Lafferty & Ben-Horin (2013) used a quantitative (q)PCR assay for molecular detection of pathogenic Candidatus Xenohaliotis californiensis in seawater samples. These approaches informed our investigation of ELO survival outside the host, in which a novel Taqman™ probe specific for the king scallop ELO 16S rRNA gene was used to investigate the release and survival of ELO outside the host environment.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Animal sampling

Adult king scallops (n = 45) were collected and kindly donated by commercial divers based within the Lyme Bay MPA (50.6–50.8° N, 2.7–2.8° W). Animals were measured as recommended by Howard et al. (2004), across the diameter of the shell, and were 9–12 cm in width. Samples were collected in September and October 2016 and January and April 2017. Animals were observed for gross pathology, and a full suite of tissues was sampled for histopathology, as previously described (Cano et al. 2018). Epithelial aggregate counts were measured in 3 separate areas of gill section, and the average value was used as a semi-quantitative indicator of ELO infection severity (minimal, 0 < x ≤ 2; high, 2 < x ≤ 10; severe, 10 < x).

For molecular diagnosis, DNA was extracted from 200 µl of homogenised gill tissue using an EZ1 DNA tissue kit and BioRobot EZ1 (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s instructions. The presence of ELO was analysed by standard PCR and quantified by qPCR, as described below.

2.2. ELO survival outside the host

Five additional naturally infected scallops, collected in January 2017, were placed in 3 l static volumes of UV-filtered seawater for 24 h, and then removed. The water was retained, and 50 ml water samples were taken every 24 h for 8 d, for RNA extraction, except for at 48 h when sampling was not permitted. The average water temperature during the trial period was 18°C (±2°C). Water samples for RNA extraction were centrifuged at 1789 × g (30 min at 4°C). Pelleted material was re-suspended in 1 ml of RLT buffer (Qiagen). The equivalent of 15 ml of seawater, 300 µl of suspension, was used for RNA extraction with the EZ1 RNA minikit in a BioRobot EZ1 (Qiagen). Extracted RNA was used for cDNA synthesis in a 20 µl reaction containing 1 mM dNTP, 500 ng of random hexamer primers and 200 units M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) at 37°C for 1 h. The gene expression of the ELO 16s rRNA gene was analysed by TaqMan™ qPCR assay.

2.3. ELO TaqMan™ qPCR assays

A specific TaqMan™ qPCR assay was designed, targeting the ELO 16S rRNA gene. A unique region within the gene was identified by a multiple sequence alignment against 16S rRNA sequences of the 2 most closely related organisms (Candidatus Endonucleobacter bathymodioli, GenBank accession FM162185.1; and Endozoicomonas montiporae GenBank accession KJ372452.1), as identified by blast-n alignment (Cachero et al. 2009), as well as Ruegeria atlantica (GenBank accession KJ372486.1) and Vibrio vulnificus (GenBank accession HM996968.1), both frequently isolated from marine environmental DNA samples (Schauer et al. 2003, Jones & Oliver 2009) (Fig. 1). A primer set showing unique specificity was designed using Primer Express v3.0 (ThermoFisher): ELO-F (5’-CGG ACC TTG CGC TAT CAG A-3’), ELO-R (5’-GGC CTT TAC CCC ACC AAC TAG-3’), and ELO-probe (6FAM-AGC CTG CGT CGG ATT-MGB in 3’) (predicted melting temperature of >59°C). TaqMan™ assays were performed with 4 µl of DNA or reverse-transcribed cDNA on a StepOne-
The reaction was incubated at 50°C for 2 min and then 95°C for 10 min. The reaction then completed 40 cycles, comprising a denaturation step of 95°C for 15 s and an extension step of 60°C for 1 min. Each sample was run in duplicate alongside a standard curve produced from a fragment of 749 bp of the scallop ELO 16S rRNA gene, amplified using the universal prokaryote 16S primer FD1 (5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3') (Weisburg et al. 1991) and the ELO diagnostic primer IMC-R (5'-CTT CGT TAC CAG AAA CTC TAA GGT C-3') (Cano et al. 2018). The fragment was cloned into a pGem-T Easy plasmid vector (Promega), following standard protocols. Plasmid concentration was measured using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific), and serially diluted in molecular-grade water. Quantification of ELO release was measured by cycle threshold (Ct) values of a qPCR reaction. The regression analysis of the standard curves gave an average slope of $-2.809$, $R^2 = 0.97$ and a PCR efficiency of 127% (Fig. A1 in the Appendix).

2.4. Statistical analyses

Infection severity was compared to identify any significant difference in bacterial abundance between the 4 sample groups, using a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test (95% significance). The volume of ELO shed by animals in the second trial was analysed by linear modelling with regression analysis (95% significance). Statistical analyses were conducted in RStudio V1.1.383 (RStudio Team 2018).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Seasonal survey of the Lyme Bay MPA scallop population

The Lyme Bay MPA scallop population was studied by molecular and histological analyses. All animals, except 1 (out of 45), showed large bacterial aggregates within gill epithelial tissue. Two colony types of Gram-negative bacteria, Type I and II, were observed as previously described in Lyme Bay MPA scallops (Cano et al. 2018) (Fig. 2A). Intensity of the infection ranged from ‘minimal’ to ‘severe’ (see Section 2.1) (Table 1). In some heavily infected tissues, there were examples of aggregate ruptures (Fig. 2A) and subsequent release of bacteria into the interlamellar space (Fig. 2B). All gill epithelial tissue DNA extractions were positive for the ELO 16S rRNA gene. However, no significant difference in ELO load was identified between the 4 sample groups (p =
Other parasites were occasionally identified within histological sections at very low numbers and prevalence across the sampling groups (Table 1).

### 3.2. Detection of ELO shed by naturally infected scallops into the water column

Water samples taken after 48 h from the static containers holding naturally infected scallops tested positive for the ELO 16S rRNA gene by PCR, at a minimum water sample volume of 300 µl (0.001%) (Fig. 4). ELO shedding was confirmed in all 5 inhabited containers by PCR with DNA extractions taken from 1.5 ml samples (0.005%) of total water.

### 3.3. Survival of ELO outside the host animal

The ELO 16S rRNA transcripts were detected from water samples taken on Days 1 to 8, after animals were removed from the static holding containers. Overall number of 16S rRNA transcripts decreased 2 logs (7.9 × 10^8 to 2.3 × 10^6 transcripts) over the 8 d.

---

**Table 1. Summary of the Lyme Bay MPA survey of king scallops.** Epithelial aggregate counts were measured in 3 separate areas of histological tissue and averaged as a semi-quantitative indicator of ELO infection severity (see Section 2.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>Oct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross pathology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pale gills</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill damage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial aggregate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccidians</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trichodina</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestive gland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasitic worm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Molecular</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELO PCR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 3.** Seasonal variation in ELO infection outside of known mortality events. Infection level based on the number of copies of the ELO 16S rRNA gene. Box plots indicate 4 sample groups (n = 10); line: median; box: interquartile range (IQR); whiskers: max./min. value ≤1.5 × IQR above/below box; dots: outliers. No significant difference was identified across the 4 seasons (p = 0.143; non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test)
period. A linear model was used to investigate significant differences in ELO 16s rRNA quantity between the sampling days. A significant difference was identified between the control group (Day 1) and the remaining sampling days ($F_{6,27} = 10.14$, $p < 0.0001$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.6243$). No significant differences in the volume of ELO present were seen between the water samples from Day 1 and Days 3 to 6 ($p = 0.108$, 0.962, 0.572, 0.211). At 7 d, the average number of copies across the 5 holding containers dropped, with a significant difference in the number of transcripts between Days 1 and 7 ($p = 0.020$) and Days 1 and 8 ($p = 0.018$; Fig. 5).

4. DISCUSSION

We have reported the development of a TaqMan™ qPCR assay for the king scallop ELO 16S rRNA gene. This assay was used in quantifying the bacterial load in animals within the Lyme Bay MPA, outside of reported mortality events. Previous mass mortalities were recorded during the summer months, when average water temperatures reached 16.8°C (Cano et al. 2018). It was therefore hypothesised that water temperature could affect ELO infection severity, with the inference that higher temperatures facilitate faster bacterial growth and greater transmission (Braid et al. 2005). This is supported by previous studies of RLO-associated mortality events in marine animals during periods of increased seawater temperature (Friedman & Finley 2003). However, samples taken throughout a 1 yr survey demonstrated that severe levels of ELO infection are highly prevalent in the king scallop population outside of mortality events, suggesting that both environmental and biotic factors likely influence mortality events.

Animals sampled between September 2016 and April 2017 showed no significant difference in host infection severity, by qPCR. The consistently high quantity of ELO 16S rRNA gene transcripts identified by molecular analysis across the 4 sample groups correlated with the predominantly ‘high’ and ‘severe’ levels of infection observed in host animal histopathology. The ubiquity of ELO infection in sampled animals therefore raised questions about the dynamics of transmission of the bacteria between host animals. While terrestrial intracellular Rickettsiales infections are limited to vector-based transmission (Merhej & Raoult 2011), the marine environment pro-

Under controlled experimental conditions, the release of large quantities of transcripts of the ELO 16S rRNA gene have been demonstrated from naturally infected king scallops into the surrounding water body. The identification of actively transcribed housekeeping mRNA transcripts suggests that the ELO may be able to survive as a free-living organism for periods long enough to permit horizontal transmission. A similar time period of environmental survival was identified for *Piscirickettsia salmonis*, the causative agent of piscirickettsiosis in salmonids. Fryer & Lannan (1996) demonstrated that *P. salmonis* can retain virulence for a maximum of 14 d in high-salinity environments. This has been experimentally demonstrated to facilitate horizontal transmission between hosts via oral routes or the gill tissue (Cvitanich et al. 1991), a transmission route also observed in the movement of the intracellular RLO *Candidatus Xenohaliotis californiensis* between a marine mollusc host, *Haliotis rufescens* (Braid et al. 2005).

The focal point of ELO infection in king scallops is the gill tissue, where bacterial aggregates were observed in all adults. It is therefore possible the ELO follows a similar transmission route, with bacteria being released from the host gill epithelial tissue into the surrounding water where it is filtered into a new host animal.

The spread of RLOs from fish gill epithelial tissue is hypothesised to occur when physical barriers of the gills and skin are breached by the bacterium, allowing environmental release (Lloyd et al. 2011). The disrupted gill tissue observed in histology suggests that aggregation of ELO bacteria within the epithelial tissue causes similar mechanical disruption, which may allow release of large numbers of bacteria from the host tissue into the surrounding water column (Le Gall et al. 1988, Cano et al. 2018).

Horizontal transmission of the ELO between host animals must be confirmed by a cohabitation challenge. However, the high prevalence of the ELO in MPA king scallop populations has limited the identification of naive organisms, preventing a challenge model. If horizontal transmission is occurring through an environmental transmission route, this would permit infection of further host animals. The Lyme Bay MPA contains a rich variety of molluscs, crustaceans and fish (Rees et al. 2010), all of which could support ELO infection. Further surveys are needed to better understand ELO ecology within the Lyme Bay MPA fauna. Likewise, it is currently unclear whether the high prevalence of bacteria recorded in Lyme Bay scallop stocks is the result of increased population density within the designated MPA (Howarth et al. 2015). However, surveying of wild populations outside the Lyme Bay MPA is required before any conclusive associations can be made.
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**Appendix**
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Fig. A1. Standard curve for quantification of *Endozoicomonas*-like organism (ELO) nucleic acid sequences by Taqman qPCR. Average slope = –2.809, $R^2 = 0.97$, PCR efficiency = 127%. $Ct$ = cycle threshold
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