INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing interest in studying dietary composition and feeding ecology of threatened seabirds as an important key to understanding their feeding ecology, population dynamics and interaction with fisheries. Several seabird species exploit discards from fisheries as their main food. The southern giant petrel *Macronectes giganteus* is a wide-ranging procellariform. It is considered a near-threatened species under International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) criteria and has been included as a threatened species in the Appendix 2 of the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals. The diet of Patagonian colonies of this species remains unknown, even though understanding the relationship between southern giant petrels and fisheries may be crucial to the conservation of this species in the Southwestern Atlantic. We describe the diet of the southern giant petrel from north Patagonian colonies using chick regurgitations and evaluate its relationships with the fisheries. Carrion occurred in the diets of 90.8% of birds sampled, while cephalopods occurred in the diets of 65.1%. Crustaceans were present in 43.7% of samples and fishes in 19.4%. Anthropogenic items were found in 72.7% of the samples. The presence in the petrel’s diet of target and discarded species from fishery vessels, and the high incidence of marine debris, suggests an extensive use of discard over the Patagonian Shelf. The population of southern giant petrels in northern Patagonia has reached higher, stable levels. Such a population trend could be linked, at least partially, to the use of fisheries discards.
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fishing is the most important activity associated with the Patagonian Shelf. The fishing fleet is made up of 3 main types of vessels: trawlers, jiggers and longliners. Only 3 to 10 longline vessels (less than 1% of the total national fishing fleet) operate in Argentine waters, in contrast to 109 to 119 trawlers, 105 to 155 jiggers and 60 double-beam trawlers (Bezzi et al. 2000). In addition, about 61 to 82 jiggers operate in international waters along the shelf break at the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean (Waluda et al. 2002).

The southern giant petrel Macronectes giganteus has been seen feeding on fishing discards from trawler operating over the Patagonian Shelf (Yorio & Caille 1999) and, given the huge expansion of fisheries over the Patagonian Shelf over the last 10 yr (SAGPyA, 1999) and, given the huge expansion of fisheries over the Patagonian Shelf (Yorio & Caille 1972, Johnstone 1977, Hunter 1983, Hunter & Brooke 1992). The diet of this species remains un-
known for the Patagonian colonies even though under-
standing the relationship between southern giant pe-
trels and fisheries may be crucial to the conservation of this species in the Southwestern Atlantic ecosystem. Here we describe the diet of the southern giant petrel Macronectes giganteus and other Procellar-
idae because it does not lead to an underestimation of the importance of soft prey items (Duffy & Jackson 1986). Chicks regurgitate easily during handling and, unlike with manipulation of adults, this does not increase the risk of desertion (Hunter 1983).

To evaluate temporal variation in diet, and given that hard prey items could stay during a long time in the procellariform chicks stomach (Warham 1996), during the 2002 to 2004 breeding seasons, we took sub-sam-
pies from the same group of chicks (~10 birds per sea-
son) when they were aged 30 to 45 and 60 to 75 d. Samples were obtained by up-ending chicks over a plastic bucket and massaging the stomach and throat. The sample was strained, the liquid removed and all samples preserved in 70% alcohol for later analysis.

**Dietary analysis.** Once in the laboratory, samples were thawed and drained, the remaining solid compo-
nents were removed, and the presence and absence of different items was determined. Some prey items were identified using bones, gladius and squid or fish eye lenses. Prey species were identified to the lowest tax-
onomic level using lower beaks (cephalopods), feathers (penguins and flying birds), bones and otoliths (fish), exoskeletons (crustaceans) and hair (marine mammals) using guides, keys (Boschi et al. 1992, Gosztonyi & Kuba 1996, Pineda et al. 1996, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1997) and reference collections. The lower rostral and otolith lengths were measured using a vernier caliper to an accuracy of 0.01 mm. Allometric equations were used to relate the lower rostral and otolith lengths to the original wet body mass, mantle and total lengths (Pineda et al. 1996, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1997, Koen Alonso et al. 1998, 2000). The number of squid per sample was determined by counting the number of lower and upper beaks; when the number differed, the larger of the two was used. The anthropogenic items were categorized as ‘plastic,’ ‘nylon lines,’ ‘vegeta-
bles,’ ‘aluminum foil,’ ‘paper,’ ‘wood,’ ‘rope’ and ‘other’ (including rubber foam, wire and Styrofoam). Each item was weighed using an electronic balance to an accuracy of 0.01 g.

**Materials and methods**

**Study site and sampling method.** The study was con-
ducted at Isla Arce (45° 00’ S, 65° 50’ W) and Gran Robredo (45° 08’ S, 66° 03’ W) on the Patagonian Shelf, Argentina, in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1). A total of 280 food samples were taken from randomly selected chicks between January and March over 4 consecutives breeding seasons (2001 to 2004, Table 1). We sampled chicks from separate areas of the colony to avoid handling the same chicks more than once. Due to logistic constraints making it impossible to stay in the study area for a long time and because the southern giant petrel is extremely sensitive to human disturbance in breeding colonies, food samples were not necessarily taken after a returning parent had completed feeding the chick. Even though pseudo-
dereplication (i.e. among preys, among preys within the same regurgitate) is inherent in this type of sam-
ping method, analysis of spontaneous chick regurgita-
tions is an appropriate methodology to determine the diet in the Macronectes giganteus and other Procellar-
idae because it does not lead to an underestimation of the importance of soft prey items (Duffy & Jackson 1986). Chicks regurgitate easily during handling and, unlike with manipulation of adults, this does not increase the risk of desertion (Hunter 1983).

The study was con-
ducted at Isla Arce (45° 00’ S, 65° 50’ W) and Gran Robredo (45° 08’ S, 66° 03’ W) on the Patagonian Shelf, Argentina, in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean (Waluda et al. 2002).

**Dietary analysis.** Once in the laboratory, samples were thawed and drained, the remaining solid compo-
nents were removed, and the presence and absence of different items was determined. Some prey items were identified using bones, gladius and squid or fish eye lenses. Prey species were identified to the lowest tax-
onomic level using lower beaks (cephalopods), feathers (penguins and flying birds), bones and otoliths (fish), exoskeletons (crustaceans) and hair (marine mammals) using guides, keys (Boschi et al. 1992, Gosztonyi & Kuba 1996, Pineda et al. 1996, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1997) and reference collections. The lower rostral and otolith lengths were measured using a vernier caliper to an accuracy of 0.01 mm. Allometric equations were used to relate the lower rostral and otolith lengths to the original wet body mass, mantle and total lengths (Pineda et al. 1996, Ivanovic & Brunetti 1997, Koen Alonso et al. 1998, 2000). The number of squid per sample was determined by counting the number of lower and upper beaks; when the number differed, the larger of the two was used. The anthropogenic items were categorized as ‘plastic,’ ‘nylon lines,’ ‘vegeta-
bles,’ ‘aluminum foil,’ ‘paper,’ ‘wood,’ ‘rope’ and ‘other’ (including rubber foam, wire and Styrofoam). Each item was weighed using an electronic balance to an accuracy of 0.01 g.
Data analysis. For each prey item we calculated the frequency of occurrence (%FO = 100 × number of samples in which a particular item appeared/total number of samples) and for cephalopods we calculated the numerical frequency (beaks number/total number of beaks). Independence among observations is a basic assumption for the statistical test. This condition is not guaranteed for items in a food sample, however we assume that each item can be considered as independent. Other indices as percentages by fresh mass or numerical abundance were not used, given the high state of digestion of the food samples. One-way ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis test and non-balanced Tukey’s multiple comparison were used to examine inter-annual variation in mantle length, weight and number of cephalopods per sample. Similarities in dietary composition among seasons, periods and colonies were studied with multivariate techniques included in the PRIMER suite of programs. We generated a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix to assess similarities in prey-group composition between samples using non-parametric multi-dimensional scaling (MDS). A stress coefficient was calculated for each axis, representing the extent to which the relationship between the samples was adequately represented in 2 dimensions. Stress values lower than 0.1 indicate that the axis is a good representation of the data. Stress levels between 0.1 and 0.2 also point to a good representation in the space, although too much reliance should not be placed on the detail of the plot, and values higher than 0.3 indicate the results should be treated with caution in interpreting any apparent pattern in the samples, intimating that the inclusion of more dimensions in the analysis would be beneficial. We tested for significant differences in diet composition among seasons, colonies and periods, using a multivariate analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) (Clarke & Warwick 2001), which is analogous to a univariate ANOVA. This procedure uses the Bray-Curtis similarity matrix to compute a test statistic R. R is standardized so that a value of 1 means that all the samples within a group is more similar than any sample from the other group. R is approximately zero if there are no differences between groups. A randomization process is used to find the probability of gaining particular values of R by chance (Clarke & Warwick 2001).

RESULTS

Overall composition

The analysis of chick regurgitations during the studied period showed a diet comprised of carrion and live prey. At least 23 items were identified, including anthropogenic items (Table 1). Carrion (remains of penguins, sea lions and flying birds) was the most common prey group (occurring in 90.8 % of samples), followed by cephalopods (occurring in 65.1 % of samples). Crustaceans were present in 43.7 % of samples and fishes in 19.4 %. Anthropogenic items were found in 72.7 % of...
the samples (Table 1). Dietary composition (by prey group) showed a high level of overlap between years and colonies (MDS: stress < 0.17). MDS in 2 dimensions indicated a clear overlap between samples from different years and colonies. This pattern was supported by ANOSIM results with low R values, which indicates a high overlap (Ryear = 0.008, p > 0.1; Rcolony = 0.017, p > 0.1). Diet composition showed a clear level of overlap between chicks of 30 to 45 and 60 to 75 d old (MDS: stress < 0.17). The ANOSIM results supported the same pattern (R = 0.045, p > 0.05).

**Dietary composition by prey group**

**Carrion**

Penguins were the prey with highest percentage of occurrence over the 4 seasons (> 74%), while sea lions were present in less than 50% of the samples. Flying birds occurred in all seasons except 2002, but at low percentages of occurrence (< 5%) (Table 1). Carrion composition was similar among years (ANOSIM, R = −0.001, p > 0.1).

**Cephalopods**

A total of 276 mandibles of cephalopods were collected (137 lowers and 139 uppers) from 117 samples over the 4 yr period. The mean number of cephalopods per sample was similar among years (2.2 ± 1.9 SD, range = 1 to 10, H = 8.6, p > 0.05). The cephalopod composition was similar among years (ANOSIM, R = 0.013, p > 0.1). Over all seasons, Argentine shortfin squid *Illex argentinus* was the most frequently encountered squid (93.2% of all samples with lower mandibles, Table 1) and the most important by number (89.8%). The average mantle length of this prey item was 194.5 ± 26.3 mm (range = 120.0 to 259.8, mode = 190, Fig. 2) and mean weight was 179.4 ± 70.8 g (range = 37.4 to 401.9), both values estimated using lower mandibles. However, differences among years were apparent (\(F\)mantle length (3,119) = 4.24 and \(F\)weight: (3,119) = 4.66, p < 0.05). Estimated sizes and weights of Argentine shortfin squid were higher in 2001 than 2003 (205.3 mm vs. 185.3 mm and 209.0 g vs. 151.1 g for mantle length and weight, respectively) (Tukey’s test, MSmantle length = 639.3, df = 119, p < 0.05 and MSweight = 4605.5, df = 119, p < 0.05). The frequency distribution of mantle length showed similar size range over the 4 seasons (from 130 to 260 mm, Fig. 2). In 2004, 8 samples (FO 10.8%, Table 1) contained longfin beaks (*Loligo* sp.) and the importance by number was 9.5%. The average estimated size of *Loligo* sp. was 138.2 ± 62.8 mm (range = 90.1 to 324.1) and the weight was 73.7 ± 123.6 g. The level of species for *Loligo* sp. was identified in a single sample and represented 2 lower beaks of Patagonian longfin squid *L. gahi*. Octopuses occurred in all breeding seasons at a percentage of occurrence of 7.5% and a numerical percentage of occurrence of 3.6%.

**Crustaceans**

Patagonian red shrimp *Pleoticus muelleri* and white shrimp *Peisos petrunkevitchi* were the crustaceans...
with highest percentage of occurrence in the diet of the southern giant petrel during the study period (42.2% and 32.6%, respectively). The stomatopods, *Munida* spp., Brachyura and isopods had lower percentage of occurrence (<10%). We found significant differences in crustacean composition among years (ANOSIM, $R = 0.228$, $p < 0.01$). Pairwise comparisons showed significant differences among all years ($R > 0.065$, $p < 0.05$).

**Fig. 2. Illex argentinus.** Frequency distribution of mantle length of Argentine shortfin squid consumed by *Macronectes giganteus* in Patagonia during 2001 to 2004 breeding seasons

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings concerning southern giant petrel diet over the Patagonian Shelf emphasize the role of these birds as scavengers and predators, as has been previously documented during studies in Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic colonies (Hunter 1983, Hunter & Brooke 1992). For adult breeders from the northern colonies of Patagonia (Isla Arce and Gran Robredo), penguins were the item with highest percentage of occurrence in the diet (FO 87.7%), while sea lions were consumed less frequently (41.9%).

Although southern giant petrels have been seen preying on live Magellanic penguins and other seabird species (Punta & Herrera 1995, Descamps et al. 2005, F. Quintana pers. comm.), a considerable part of this food source could come from dead adults and/or chicks of both species. Predatory behavior of the southern giant petrel is not frequent and probably displayed by a few specialist birds with low impact on specific penguin or sea lion populations (Descamps et al. 2005). Penguin and sea lion carrion make up an abundant and predictable food source along the Patagonian coast. About 950 000 penguin breeding pairs (Schiavini et al. 2005) and 65 000 South American sea lions (Reyes et al. 1999, Dans et al. 2004) breed along the coast. The highest densities of both carrion/prey species are concentrated at the northern end of the San Jorge Gulf in coastal areas in the vicinities of the southern giant petrel colonies (Copello 2007) (Fig. 1). In fact, both northern colonies are located close to both carrion/prey species’ colonies (Reyes et al. 1999, Schiavini et al. 2005) and the biggest penguin colony in Patagonia, Punta Tombo (~175 000 nests), is less than 100 km from the southern giant petrel colonies. During breeding season, adults showed a spatial association with areas of high concentration of penguins and sea lions (Fig. 1). In addition, there is a remarkable temporal overlap between the breeding season of scavenger/predator and prey species. While the chick-rearing period of the southern giant petrel goes from early January to late April, and Magellanic penguins hatch during November, petrel chicks remain in the colonies up to early February and molting penguins (adults and juveniles) congregate at the coast up to late March (Schiavini et al. 2005). Sea lion pups born between December and February and yearlings leave their breeding sites in late April (Campagna 1985). In coastal Patagonia, Magellanic penguins and southern sea lions show different population trends; while sea lions have shown a population recovery over the last few decades (Reyes et al. 1999, Dans et al. 2004), penguin numbers have both increased and...
declined, depending on the colony (Schiavini et al. 2005). Changes in the carrion/prey populations could play a key role in the population dynamics of southern giant petrels in Patagonia. Population declines and increases in southern giant petrel colonies (mainly at Sub-Antarctic islands) have been attributed to fluctuations in breeding populations of sea lions, elephant seals and penguins in neighboring areas (Woehler 1991, González-Solís et al. 2000, Briggs & Humpidge unpubl. data).

Cephalopods were also an important prey (FO 65.1%) in the diet of the giant petrels, with the Argentine shortfin squid Illex argentinus being the most important in terms of percentage of occurrence and number over the 4 studied seasons. In the Patagonian Shelf area, I. argentinus is an important prey in the diet of resident top predators as well as visiting seabirds (Eder & Lewis 2005). The squid, as is the case in most of the ommastrephid species, are separated into different breeding stocks (Brunetti et al. 1998), which can be differentiated by considering size, total length at maturity, distribution and spawning time (Brunetti et al. 1998). The size consumed by the southern giant petrels during the breeding period (Fig. 2) was similar to that found in the summer spawning stock (SSS) and the South-Patagonic stock (SPS) during January and February. The highest abundances of these stocks were located within the foraging range of giant petrels from Isla Arce and Gran Robredo (Quintana & Dell’ Arciprete 2002). We note here that small squids could be underestimated, since small beaks can pass through the digestive system more easily (Duffy & Jackson 1986).

The Argentine shortfin squid Illex argentinus occurs at the sea bottom during the day (Moiseev 1991) and does not float when dead (Lipinski & Jackson 1989), so it can only be captured alive by surface feeders at night, when it swims close to the surface. However, it is also highly probable that this species could also be obtained from discards of trawlers and jiggers that catch squid and other commercial species. In fact, recent data showed that the activity of those fisheries in the Patagonian Shelf showed a clear spatio-temporal overlap with the foraging areas of southern giant petrels tracked by satellite from Isla Arce and Gran Robredo (Quintana & Dell’ Arciprete 2002). We note here that small squids could be underestimated, since small beaks can pass through the digestive system more easily (Duffy & Jackson 1986).

Studies in waters around Falklands (Malvinas) Islands showed that the black-browed albatross Diomedea melanophris obtained between 4 to 15% of its total food requirement from the squid and finfish trawlers (Thompson 1992, Thompson & Riddy 1995). The night activity of the huge jigger fleet fishing at the shelf break by means of powerful lights (Rodhouse et al. 2001) could also facilitate the capture of squid by the southern giant petrels and other surface feeders. As consequence of the use of such lights, squids migrate from the sea-bottom to the surface, thus becoming more accessible to the giant petrels. In fact, giant petrels have been seen following jiggers operating in
the shelf break near Falklands (Malvinas) Islands and the San Matías Gulf in coastal waters of Argentina (M. Savigny & O. Yates pers. comm.).

The occurrence of crustaceans (*Pleoticus muelleri* and *Pleisos petrunkevitchi*) and fishes (*Macruronus magellanicus*, *Merluccius hubbsi* and *Raneyia brasiliensis*) in the diet of southern giant petrel lends support to the importance of discard from trawler vessels for this species. Normally, these demersal and benthic species would not be available to petrels (Boschi et al. 1992, Cousseau & Perrotta 2003). In fact, all the crustacean and fish species mentioned are captured by the major trawler fleets operating on the Patagonian Shelf — the double-beam trawlers, which target Patagonian red shrimp, as well as the trawlers targeting Argentine hake (Pettovello 1999, Irusta et al. 2001). However, some of these crustaceans and fishes could also result from a secondary consumption on carrion, in association with sub-surface foragers that forced prey to the surface or scavenging dead floating prey (see review Shealer 2002).

As in a previous study conducted over a single season (Copello & Quintana 2003), the incidence of anthropogenic items was high during all seasons (>64.5%), with plastics showing the highest percentage of occurrence (>59.2%). The literature on marine debris leaves no doubt that plastics make up most of the marine litter worldwide, ranging between 60 and 80% of the total waste disposal at sea (see review Derraik 2002). The occurrence of marine debris in diet has previously been reported for several procellarid species (see review Burger & Gochfeld 2002), but not in the southern giant petrel. Only Nel & Nel (1999) describe the presence of marine debris associated with southern giant petrel nests. The ingestion of plastics has been reported to cause deleterious effects in seabirds, including complicating regurgitation and clogged gizzards. In addition, the concentration of toxic compounds (e.g. PCBs) can reduce breeding success, increase risk of disease, alter hormone levels and interfere with the formation of fat deposits (see review Burger & Gochfeld 2002). Although we were not able to identify the sources of the marine debris recorded in the diet samples of southern giant petrels in this study, there is evidence to suggest that they are derived from fishing activities. As we mentioned above, during the breeding season, southern giant petrels from Arce and Gran Robredo Islands use a huge area of the Patagonian Shelf to feed and birds showed a clear spatio-temporal overlap with fishing activities (Copello 2007). Giant petrels have been seen feeding not only on discards, but also on garbage thrown overboard (E. Bogazzi & F. Firstater pers. comm.). The marine debris could come mainly from trawlers and jiggers given that, as mentioned above, crustaceans, fishes and squid found in food samples were caught and/or discarded by those fisheries (Brunetti et al. 1998, Pettovello 1999, Irusta et al. 2001).

This study provides the first record of food sources of the southern giant petrel from northern colonies of Patagonia, Argentina. The presence in the diet of target and discarded species from fishery vessels, and the high incidence of marine debris, suggests an extensive use of discard over the Patagonian Shelf. The population of the southern giant petrel in north Patagonia, Argentina, has reached higher, stable levels (Quintana et al. 2006). Such a population trend could be linked, at least partially, to the use of fisheries discards, though the negative impact of fisheries on this species via incidental mortality has been noted. However, the rates of this appear too low to significantly affect the petrel’s population status (Favero et al. 2003). Moreover, the high carrion abundance and the proximity and productivity of coastal and pelagic feeding areas could also be important factors related to southern giant petrel population dynamics in northern colonies of Patagonia.
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