INTRODUCTION

Understanding how endangered species are responding to present and will respond to future climate change is critical for determining population vulnerability (Williams et al. 2008) and whether or not altering management and/or monitoring strategies is warranted. However, as climatic changes vary geographically and as species responses to similar climate shifts are often different (Parmesan 2006), trying to predict responses for broadly distributed, wide-ranging species is not trivial. The complex life history and migratory patterns of sea turtles have been shown to be linked closely with ocean temperatures (e.g. Coles & Musick 2000, Mazaris et al. 2004, Hawkes et al. 2007a, Poloczanska et al. 2009). As a result, several empirical and theoretical studies (reviewed by Hawkes et al. 2009) have begun to explore the effects of recent climate change and the ramifications of future warming on the behavioural, physiological, and population dynamics of these ectothermic megafauna.

Sea turtles have shown species- and/or geographically specific temperature-related phenological migratory and nesting responses (Weishampel et al. 2004, Pike et al. 2006, Hawkes et al. 2007b, Mazaris et al. 2008, Pike 2009). Within a season, internesting interval length is related to the thermal environments (Sato et al. 1998, Hays et al. 2002, Cheng et al. 2009). Though sea turtles possess a high degree of nest site fidelity, their proclivity to track thermal habitats at macro- (e.g. Seminoff et al. 2008) and micro- (Scholfield et al. 2009) scales influences their energy budgets, which in turn may affect the tim-
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ing of courtship (Hamann et al. 2003) and nesting behaviours. Thus, ambient temperatures associated with their swimway (migratory) and local (internesting) movements may contribute to variability in the initiation and duration of nesting. Furthermore, because sea turtles are capital breeders (Bonnet et al. 1998) and often forage and nest in different areas, the climate of the foraging grounds, which may be hundreds or thousands of kilometers away, can influence their reproductive physiology and the onset of migration. These geographic complications make it difficult to determine the broad implications of global warming on sea turtle nesting based on a few, relatively short-term studies (Hawkes et al. 2009, Poloczanska et al. 2009).

The subtropical beaches of east central Florida, USA, represent important rookeries for 2 marine turtle species, loggerheads *Caretta caretta* and green turtles *Chelonia mydas*. Presently, the nest numbers of these 2 species are undergoing different trajectories. Loggerhead turtle nests are experiencing a decline (Witherington et al. 2009), while green turtle nests are on the rise (Chaloupka et al. 2008a). In a previous, 15 yr study of the temporal nesting patterns of loggerhead turtles on these beaches (Weishampel et al. 2004), it was found that the median day of nesting had become earlier in relation to sea surface warming. Because of the relatively low numbers of green turtle nests over that 1989–2003 period, compared to loggerhead nests, we did not analyze their nesting phenology. However, green turtle nest numbers on these beaches have tripled over the last decade, increasing at a rate higher than any other large rookery (Chaloupka et al. 2008a), and now are thought to be sufficient for a similar analysis.

Though the 2 species are morphologically comparable, use similar nesting habitats, and exhibit analogous migratory and nesting behaviours (as outlined in Pike 2009), given their difference in trophic levels — green turtles feed at 2 to 3 trophic levels below loggerheads (Godley et al. 1998) — there is little reason to assume that their responses to warming trends will be the same (Edwards & Richardson 2004, Visser & Both 2005). Here we follow-up our previous study (Weishampel et al. 2004) of temporal nesting patterns of loggerhead turtles in relation to sea surface warming on an important subtropical Atlantic nesting beach. We extended our previous analysis by 5 yr and included an analysis of green turtle nesting activity in the study area.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area.** The 40.5 km stretch of beach is on a barrier island extending northward from Sebastian Inlet (27.86° N, 80.45° W) to Patrick Air Force Base on the east central coast of Florida (Fig. 1). It is home to the highest nest densities of loggerheads in the western hemisphere and green turtles in the continental United States (Ehrhart et al. 2003, USFWS 2009). This beach has varying degrees of coastal development ranging from dense condominiums to the north to less dense, single family homes to the south; the southern 20.5 km is home to the Brevard County portion of the Archie Carr National Wildlife Refuge. For loggerheads, this stretch corresponds roughly to the latitudinal middle of their western North Atlantic nesting beaches (Ehrhart et al. 2003) and accounts for ~30% of nesting in the United States (USFWS 2009). For green turtles, this stretch represents the northernmost large nesting area in the western North Atlantic (CCC 2009) and accounts for ~30% of nesting in the continental United States (B. Witherington pers. comm.). Loggerheads and green turtles nest sporadically as far north as Virginia (~37.5° N) and North Carolina (~35.5° N), respectively (Schwartz et al. 1981, Woodson & Webster 1999, Cross et al. 2001).

![Fig. 1. Study area along the east coast of central Florida, USA (inset). Extent of the 40.5 km beach stretch is designated by the dashed bracket](image-url)
Field sampling. Since 1989, the University of Central Florida (UCF) Marine Turtle Research Group has monitored nesting and reproductive success on these critical beaches following the Index Nesting Beach Survey (INBS) program developed by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWRI 2009). These protocols permit comparisons among INBS beaches across the state of Florida and the tracking of long-term trends since their establishment in 1989. Although INBS standardized methods were applied, the length of the UCF monitoring season increased over the 20 yr period, beginning as early as mid-February and ending as late as November. To maintain a consistent sampling effort, we examined a window of daily nest surveys from 10 May to 31 August. This window was sampled each day and encompasses the vast majority of loggerhead (98.2%) and green turtle (93.4%) nests recorded on these beaches; nevertheless, it tends to exclude some early nesting loggerheads and late nesting green turtles as well as the occasional late nesting loggerhead (Weishampel et al. 2006). We only analyzed nesting emergences for which a clutch was laid.

Sea surface temperature (SST) data. We acquired SST measurements from the Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center (PODAAC) at the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL). These SST values, derived in situ (buoy) and remotely by the NOAA Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) satellite sensors, have been calculated and archived since 1981. Monthly SST data (Reynolds & Smith 1994) at a 1° x 1° resolution for the Atlantic Ocean region adjacent to the nesting beaches were downloaded using the PODAAC Ocean Earth Science Information Partner Tool (POET) for 1989 to 2008.

Data analysis. Using ordinal dates weighted by nest number, we characterized loggerhead and green turtle nesting frequency distributions using standard statistical descriptors (e.g. mean, median, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis) within the 10 May to 31 August monitoring time frame for each year. The use of standard deviation as a measure of dispersion of the nesting season is more robust than using the first and the last day of nesting; it is less sensitive to unusually early or late nesters as well as to survey omissions. We evaluated the extent to which these phenological metrics changed over this 20 yr period and whether they related to monthly SST measures and nest numbers using linear regression analysis following Weishampel et al. (2004), Pike et al. (2006), and Pike (2009).

RESULTS

The recent positive and negative nesting trends on these beaches for green turtles and loggerhead turtles, respectively, are evident from the annual tallies (Fig. 2). The average number of loggerhead nests in the 114 d sampling window was 17 117.9 (SE = 950.8), while the average number of green turtle nests was 1298.0 (SE = 293.9). The proportionately larger standard error for green turtles is, in part, a result of their fairly regular biennial nesting pattern (Fig. 1b); the synchrony in green turtle nesting patterns has been found to relate to SST (Solow et al. 2002).

Contrary to 2 previous, 15 yr studies for loggerheads (Weishampel et al. 2004, Pike et al. 2006), we found no significant phenological trends, i.e. consistent year-to-year increases or decreases, among all the nesting distribution metrics for either turtle species over the 20 yr period. However, there was a relationship between

Fig. 2. Caretta caretta and Chelonia mydas. Annual nesting tallies for (a) loggerhead and (b) green turtles from the east central Florida study area from 1989 to 2008. Grey bars are nests that were laid between 10 May and 31 August; white extensions represent nests recorded before 10 May (primarily for loggerheads) and after 31 August (primarily for green turtles).
May SST and the median ordinal day of nesting for both loggerheads ($R^2 = 0.36$, $p = 0.005$) and green turtles ($R^2 = 0.28$, $p = 0.016$; Fig. 3). As May SST increased, the median days of nesting shifted earlier by ~4.5 d per °C. The average median nesting day for loggerheads and green turtles was 26 June (SE = 1.11) and 25 July (SE = 1.01), respectively, over this 20 yr period. The median dates of nesting for the 2 species were significantly correlated ($R^2 = 0.43$, $p = 0.002$).

Similar to the findings of Pike et al. (2006) for the nesting loggerhead turtles at Canaveral National Seashore, which is ~50 km north of our study area, there was a decrease in the standard deviations of loggerhead nesting distributions associated with increasing daily SST (Fig. 4) averaged across the nesting season, i.e. April through August ($R^2 = 0.27$, $p = 0.019$). However, the reverse pattern was found with the standard deviations of green turtle nesting distributions, which increased with warmer nesting season SST ($R^2 = 0.20$, $p = 0.049$). The average standard deviation of the distributions for loggerheads was 24.6 d (SE = 0.25) and for green turtles was 21.5 d (SE = 0.36). Average standard deviation was significantly, positively correlated with the median day of nesting (Fig. 5) for loggerheads ($R^2 = 0.21$, $p = 0.045$) and negatively for green turtles ($R^2 = 0.27$, $p = 0.02$). On a monthly basis, the relationship with standard deviation was strongest with July SST for loggerheads ($R^2 = 0.21$, $p = 0.042$) and with April SST for green turtles ($R^2 = 0.55$, $p < 0.001$). There was no significant relation found between number of nests and median date of nesting or standard deviation of nest distribution for either loggerhead or green turtles.

**DISCUSSION**

The lack of 20 yr phenological shifts in nesting patterns, which was apparent in the first 15 yr (1989–2003) for loggerheads (Weishampel et al. 2004, Pike et al. 2006), may reflect a recent cooling of the Atlantic Ocean in this region that corresponds to African dust storms (Lau & Kim 2007). This is shown by the consistently lower May SSTs off the east central Florida coast for 2004–2008 compared to the 2 prior years, 2002 and 2003 (Fig. 6). However, based on the present study, if Caribbean and/or subtropical Atlantic warming over the nesting season does occur, as predicted by climate models (Angeles et al. 2007), both species should respond with earlier nesting and a change in the duration of their nesting seasons.
Earlier nesting with higher SSTs is consistent with results for Atlantic loggerheads (Weishampel et al. 2004, Pike et al. 2006, Hawkes et al. 2007b). However, our findings with green turtles are in contrast to a recent study from Canaveral National Seashore (Pike 2009), which showed that the timing of green turtle nesting was not significantly (p = 0.14) related to May SST over the 15 yr study period (1989–2003). The average number of annual green and loggerhead turtle nests in the present 20 yr study (1989–2008), ~50 km to the south, was over 6 times and nearly 5 times, respectively, the average number of nests from the Canaveral study. As found in the present and the Canaveral studies, the slopes of the relationships between May SST and median nesting date for the 2 species show similar trends. Though it is not clear whether the Canaveral loggerhead turtles are genetically distinct from the larger rookery, it appears that there may be haplotype differences between the 2 rookeries for green turtles (B. Shamblin pers. comm.) which may explain differences between the responses. Green turtles at Canaveral nest ~25 d after those in our study area, which suggests that a comparison with June SST may be more appropriate than May SST. Regardless, the entire population is grouped together as part of the Peninsular Florida Recovery Unit as part of the loggerhead sea turtle population recovery plan (NMFS & USFWS 2008).

On the stretch of beach analyzed in the present study, loggerhead turtles, on average, nested earlier than green turtles by ~29 d. To the north, at Canaveral National Seashore, loggerhead turtles nested earlier than green turtles by ~20 d. To the south on the Atlantic side of the Florida peninsula, loggerheads nested on average ~30 d ahead of green turtles. The difference from late June to late July corresponds to roughly a 1°C difference in average daily SST for the waters adjacent to the study beaches. The fact that this region corresponds to the northern extent of the green turtle western Atlantic nesting range and the middle of the western North Atlantic nesting range of loggerhead turtles suggests that green turtles are less of a temperate species than loggerheads (see Pritchard 1979) or require more time to assimilate energy necessary to perform reproductive behaviours (Pike 2009) such as migration, courtship, and nesting. Perhaps somewhat counterintuitive, juvenile green turtles exhibit less of a decline in metabolic rate than juvenile loggerhead turtles with decreasing ambient temperatures (Wallace & Jones 2008). Though there are no comparable metabolic data for adults, this may help explain why the relationship between median nesting date and May SST for green turtles is not as strong as found with loggerhead sea turtles.

As found by Pike et al. (2006) in Florida, but in contrast to the findings of Hawkes et al. (2007b) in North Carolina and Mazaris et al. (2008) in Greece, loggerhead turtle nesting season length, as estimated by the standard deviation of the distribution, decreased with increasing nesting season SST. The North Carolina and Mediterranean rookeries are at the latitudinal northern end of the loggerhead nesting range (CCC 2009). In the present study, the standard deviation of the nest distribution, which we consider a more robust analog for nesting season length, was most closely related to higher July temperatures which are from the latter half of their nesting season (Weishampel et al. 2006). Increased SSTs have been shown to reduce internesting intervals for both species (Sato et al. 1998, Hays et al. 2002). The decrease in season length for loggerheads and the increased season length for green turtles demonstrates that there is a differential response between these sympatric species. The standard deviations of green turtle nesting distributions were significantly correlated with high temperatures early in their nesting season, which suggests that the earlier onset of nesting led to the higher standard deviations. However, it should be noted that after the 31 August survey window used for analysis, proportionately more green turtle nesting has been occurring in recent years (Fig. 2b). The latest recorded nesting event for green turtles, 11 November, was recorded on these beaches in 2006. Green turtles that nest earlier in response to higher SST may be experienced breeders who lay more clutches, as found with earlier nesters in Queensland, Australia (Limpus et al. 2001), which would lead to an increase in nesting season length. Another possible explanation to the increase in nesting season length could relate to an increase in non-
nesting emergences. In stretches of beaches that underwent restoration after beach erosion, non-nesting emergences increased during the subsequent season (Brock et al. 2009). However, on these beaches, the proportions of non-nesting to nesting emergences for loggerhead and green turtles have been generally steady (Weishampel et al. 2003). Such an increase in nesting season length, in response to higher SST, may make the overall population of green turtle nests less vulnerable to over wash by hurricanes (Pike & Stiner 2007) by spreading the risk.

Because the 2 turtle species are not known to directly influence one another, the difference in their phenological response probably does not have ramifications, such as intraspecific competition for nest space (e.g. Jessop & Hamann 2004), for the populations associated with these beaches. Their differential response, in terms of the standard deviation of the nesting season, is of interest and may reflect differences in their diet — green turtles are herbivores (Bjorndal 1995) and loggerheads are primarily carnivores (Dodd 1988, Pike 2009) — or the general plasticity of reproductive physiologies. Green turtles have been shown to have greater variation in clutch frequency than loggerhead turtles at the same nesting site (Broderick et al. 2001). However, an increase in the nesting season length for green turtles, if it is associated with increased clutch numbers (Limpus et al. 2001), could represent changes in the timing, duration of availability, and/or quality of their food sources (e.g. Edwards & Richardson 2004).

The food sources differ for the 2 species and should relate to SST in their foraging areas (Chaloupka et al. 2008b) perhaps more than 1 yr prior to nesting (Limpus & Nicholls 1988). To assess this adequately will require consistent, longer-term monitoring of foraging and nesting and/or new statistical modeling (Girondot et al. 2006) approaches following SSTs along the turtles’ migratory paths. Given the general predicted warming of SST for this region, coastal managers of these beaches may need to adjust their monitoring and/or management activities (e.g. Jackson et al. 2008) to accommodate earlier loggerhead turtle nesting and longer green turtle nesting seasons. However, with intra- and interannual SST and nesting variability along the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico coasts, larger regional analyses of phenology across the nesting ranges of these species is warranted to identify broader behavioural patterns and population implications.
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