Low reproductive success rates of common bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* in the northern Gulf of Mexico following the *Deepwater Horizon* disaster (2010–2015)
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**ABSTRACT:** Following the *Deepwater Horizon* (DWH) oil spill, reproductive success rates in 2 northern Gulf of Mexico (GoM) bottlenose dolphin stocks exposed to oil were evaluated for 4 yr during and after the spill (2010 to 2015) in efforts to assess population-level reproductive health. Pregnancy was determined from either (1) ultrasound examinations of the reproductive tract during capture-release health assessments, or (2) endocrine evaluations of blubber tissue collected from dart biopsies of free-ranging dolphins. Follow-up photo-identification was then used to track the status of pregnant females and any associated neonatal calves for a minimum of 1 yr after the initial pregnancy detection (IPD). For all pregnant females observed following IPD, individuals seen with a calf (reproductive success) and without one (reproductive failure) were recorded. The resulting estimated reproductive success rates for both GoM stocks (19.4%; 7/36) were less than a third of those previously reported in other areas not impacted by the spill (i.e. Sarasota Bay, FL; Indian River Lagoon, FL; and Charleston Harbor, SC) using similar techniques (64.7%; 22/34). We also evaluated the relationships between reproductive success and 13 potential covariates, including stock, ordinal date, progesterone, cortisol, thyroid hormone concentrations, leukocyte count, lung health score, and total body length. Among these, the results only provide strong evidence (Bayes factor >20) of a relationship between reproductive failure and the total leukocyte count covariate. The high reproductive failure rates measured in both GoM stocks following the DWH oil spill are consistent with mammalian literature that shows a link between petroleum exposure and reproductive abnormalities and failures.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The massive volume of oil released after the *Deepwater Horizon* (DWH) drilling rig explosion spread throughout the northern Gulf of Mexico (GoM), including into the habitats of bay, sound, and estuary (BSE) common bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* (Michel et al. 2013, Schwacke et al. 2014, Balmer
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et al. 2015). Various assessment studies have shown that the BSE dolphin stocks most exposed to DWH oiling exhibited substantially higher rates of mortality, disease, and reproductive failure. For the majority of time in the 4 yr after the drilling rig explosion, dead-stranding rates in areas with the heaviest of oiling exceeded the upper 95% confidence intervals of the historical baseline levels; in some years, stranding rates in certain regions were up to 3.5 to 4 times greater than the 95% confidence levels (Litz et al. 2014, Venn-Watson et al. 2015b), leading to an unprecedented duration and magnitude in rate of dead dolphin stranding. Moreover, mortality rates in heavily-oiled Barataria Bay, LA, and Mississippi Sound, MS, were approximately 2.5 to 3.5 times higher than those of non-oiled areas, as estimated from the boat-based follow-up surveys of animals examined during capture-release health assessments (CRHA) (Lane et al. 2015, Deepwater Horizon Natural Resource Damage Assessment Trustees 2016). The CRHAs of the Barataria Bay and Mississippi Bay animals also revealed a disproportionate number of grossly underweight individuals (Schwacke et al. 2014) and a high prevalence of lung disease and evidence of adrenal insufficiency that continued until at least 2014 (Schwacke et al. 2014, Smith et al. 2017, this Theme Section). The results from these live animals are consistent with histological studies of dolphins stranded after the spill in the oiled waters of Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama, which found significantly higher rates of bacterial pneumonia and abnormally thin adrenal cortices relative to animals outside the region or with individuals collected before the spill (Venn-Watson et al. 2015a). Barataria Bay dolphins also showed reproductive failure rates in the first years after the spill 4 times greater than those from a non-oiled stock (Lane et al. 2015). The stranding record for some areas also shows indications of impaired reproduction/early life survival, with periods of excessively high rates of perinatal strandings (Carmichael et al. 2012, Litz et al. 2014, Venn-Watson et al. 2015b).

The potential impacts of oil exposure on reproduction in wildlife and fish species are well documented within the scientific literature; the record shows marine vertebrate reproduction and early development becoming impaired in the wake of large oil spills. After the Exxon Valdez disaster, sea otters in Prince William Sound experienced high rates of fetal and neonatal loss (Tuomi & Williams 1995). In seabird colonies, successful annual reproduction was still 70% lower than historical rates, 5 yr after the Prestige oil spill (Barros et al. 2014). Reproductive and early developmental effects have also been documented in fish species exposed to oil from the Exxon Valdez, Prestige, and Deepwater Horizon spills (Hawkes & Stehr 1982, Kocan et al. 1996, Bilbao et al. 2010, de Soysa et al. 2012, Kawaguchi et al. 2012, Whitehead et al. 2012, Turner et al. 2014).

One effective way to assess cetacean reproductive health at a population level is by measuring rates of reproductive success. In this case, a ‘reproductive success’ is specifically defined as when an identified pregnant female produces a viable calf (i.e. a calf that survives for sufficient time to be observed, recorded, and/or photographed) (Wells et al. 2005, 2014, Browning et al. 2010, Wells 2014). Conversely, a failure is when an identified pregnant female is observed without a calf for a duration of time past her expected due date. Reproductive success rates have been estimated for free-ranging BSE bottlenose dolphin stocks in previous studies. In Sarasota Bay, FL, 83% (10/12) of females determined pregnant via ultrasound successfully gave birth to calves that survived for sufficient time to be recorded (Wells et al. 2014). In addition, reproductive successes and failures were reported for BSE bottlenose dolphins near Charleston, SC, and from the Indian River Lagoon, FL; on aggregate 50% (10/20) of the pregnancies (in this case determined via serum progesterone concentrations) met the criteria of reproductive success, i.e. resulted in viable calves (Bergfelt et al. 2013).

Pregnancy was diagnosed 2 different ways in these previous studies: using ultrasound imaging (Wells et al. 2014) and using endocrine evaluations via progesterone measurements (Bergfelt et al. 2013). These 2 methods are the most common modes of pregnancy detection, and their interpretation can affect the numerical estimates of reproductive success rate. Diagnostic rises in progesterone occur before the stage of pregnancy at which a fetus can be distinctly visualized via ultrasound (O’Brien & Robeck 2012). However, high progesterone levels can also be associated with conditions other than pregnancies, such as non-fertile ovolutions and pseudo-pregnancies.

1An expectant mother’s specific sighting history and duration is important when assessing reproductive success. If she is seen with a neonate calf even before her estimated due date and not seen again after her due date that would be considered a success. Given the same sighting history of an expectant mother who is not seen with a calf, we would be unable to determine if the pregnancy was successful or not. In order to determine that the pregnancy is a failure the expectant mother (who has not been previously seen with a calf) must be observed and recorded as being not with a calf between 2 wk and 1 yr after her due date.
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As such, analysis that limits data to ultrasound detections underestimates pregnancies and consequently may underestimate failures because one must wait longer into gestation before pregnancy determination is possible, while potential mortality is ongoing. However, analysis that relies on endocrine evaluations (in serum and almost certainly in blubber), may overestimate pregnancies and consequently overestimate failures as some high progesterone concentrations may not be related to true pregnancies.

In efforts to assess the impact of the oil spill on reproduction of exposed dolphin stocks, we examined the reproductive success rates of GoM dolphin stocks in Barataria Bay, LA, and Mississippi Sound, MS, that were oiled in the years during and following the DWH disaster (2010 to 2015). These success rates were then compared to those published previously for Sarasota Bay, FL, Charleston Harbor, SC, and Indian River Lagoon, FL. In addition, covariate analyses were conducted to evaluate potential links between reproductive success and biological, demographic, and behavioral information.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Overview**

The overall intent in this study was to identify and monitor over time pregnant dolphins to assess whether each identified pregnancy resulted in a viable calf. Pregnancy was primarily diagnosed either from (1) sonographic images collected during CRHA operations or from (2) endocrine evaluations of blubber dart biopsies collected during boat surveys. Identified pregnant individuals were monitored via follow-up boat-based surveys and confirmed through photo ID.

**Ultrasound pregnancy evaluations and capture-release health assessments**

Capture-release health assessments were conducted on 4 different occasions in 2 locations, Barataria Bay, LA (August 2011, June 2013, and June 2014) and Mississippi Sound, MS (July 2013) (Fig. 1), using previously described methods (Wells & Scott 1990, Wells et al. 2004, 2005, Schwacke et al. 2014). Briefly, individuals or small groups of dolphins were encircled in a seine net and then restrained for evaluation and sampling. Ultrasound images were collected during these capture events to assess reproductive state as previously described (Wells et al. 2014, their supplementary information document). In addition to ultrasound, a serum sample was collected from each dolphin and progesterone concentrations were measured at the Animal Health Diagnostic Center (Cornell University, Ithaca, NY). Pregnancies were classified as (1) confirmed pregnant: a fetus was detected; (2) probable pregnancy: a corpus luteum (CL) with or without uterine fluid was present in conjunction with a serum progesterone value greater than 5 ng ml⁻¹; or (3) confirmed not pregnant: the ovary was seen without a CL, progesterone measurements were less than 5 ng ml⁻¹ and the uterus was visualized without an embryo or fetus (Lane et al. 2015). ‘Confirmed pregnancies’ were given estimated due dates based on fetal biparietal skull diameter (Stone et al. 1999, Lacave et al. 2004, Smith et al. 2013). One sample classified as a ‘probable pregnancy’ was excluded from the statistical analyses given the unknown level of statistical uncertainty associated with this pregnancy classification (i.e. unknown rate associated with pregnancies, pseudo-pregnancies, or non-fertile ovulations).

During the CRHAs, measurements and samples were collected for a wide spectrum of diagnostic analyses including sonographic images of the lungs, measurements of mass and length, and blood samples for chemical, cell composition and endocrine analysis (Schwacke et al. 2009, 2010, 2011, Hart et al. 2013). Important for this study, blubber wedge biopsies were also collected from the vast majority of these animals using previously published techniques (Wells et al. 2004, 2005). Briefly, a veterinarian excised a small (~4 × 3 cm) wedge of epidermis and blubber tissue from a region approximately 10 cm below and 10 cm behind the caudal insertion of the dorsal fin. From this sample, blubber progesterone and blubber cortisol were measured using previously established methods (Kellar et al. 2006, 2013b, 2015, Pérez et al. 2011, Trana et al. 2016).

**Blubber endocrine evaluations of dart biopsies**

A second type of blubber biopsy was obtained from free-swimming unrestrained dolphins (i.e. individuals not captured during CRHAs) via remote dart sampling with a clean sanitized 10-mm diameter stainless steel collection tip attached to a projectile dart (Balmer et al. 2015, Sinclair et al. 2015). These dart biopsies were primarily obtained from the area from the anterior insertion of the dorsal fin caudal to the
mid-point of the peduncle and from the dorsal ridge ventral to the mid-frontal plane. Samples were placed in fully charged liquid nitrogen dry shippers in the field, shipped in dry ice, and ultimately stored at −80°C until processing. The sex of the sampled animal was assessed via genetic analysis of DNA obtained from the epidermis (Rosel 2003).

The blubber portion of each sample type (wedge or dart biopsy) was subsampled in pieces that were perpendicular to the skin so that the full depth of blubber was used and these subsamples contained between ~0.05 and 0.15 mg of blubber tissue. Pregnancy determination was based on progesterone concentration, i.e. the mass of progesterone as a fraction of blubber mass (ng g⁻¹), using techniques that have been validated for and implemented across numerous cetacean species including bottlenose dolphins (Mansour et al. 2002, Kellar et al. 2006, 2013a,b, 2014, Pérez et al. 2011, Trego et al. 2013). Blubber progesterone concentrations were determined as described previously (Trego et al. 2013). Briefly, the blubber was homogenized and then tissue debris and water were removed in a series of ethanol (100%), ethanol:acetone (4:1), and diethyl ether (100%) rinses in which the supernatant was recovered after each solvent rinse. The resulting lipid residue was mixed with acetonitrile and hexane (2 immiscible solutions) twice and, each time, the acetonitrile layer with the target hormone was collected. The final acetonitrile layer was dried and stored at −20°C until the extract was ready to be assayed. For assaying, the extracts were suspended in 250 µl of 1 M phosphate buffered saline and the progesterone measurements were per-

Fig. 1. Study areas (a) Barataria Bay, LA, and (b) Mississippi Sound, MS, for investigation of the effects of oiling on the reproductive success of common bottlenose dolphin *Tursiops truncatus* in the Gulf of Mexico (GoM) during and after the 2010 Deepwater Horizon (DWH) oil spill. The inset maps show locations of pregnant animals based on results of ultrasound examinations with wedge biopsies (■) and endocrine evaluations of blubber tissue collected from dart biopsies (●).
formed using EIA kit ADI-900-011 (Enzo Life Sciences). The intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was between 4.9 and 7.6%, and the inter-assay CV was between 2.7 and 8.3%. All information associated with each blubber sample including reproductive state was kept blind from the laboratory measuring the blubber progesterone concentration.

To further validate the use of blubber progesterone for pregnancy determination, we present the blubber progesterone concentrations for all CRHA animals for which associated ultrasound imaging of the reproductive tract was conducted to provide pregnancy confirmation. The utility of this approach is that it can be used with dart biopsy sampling to obtain reproductive information without the need to capture individuals. For cetacean species, it has been estimated that progesterone concentrations of pregnant animals rise to levels that are diagnostically distinct from confirmed non-pregnant animals within 3 wk post conception (Kellar et al. 2006, O’Brien & Robeck 2012, Robeck et al. 2012, Steinman et al. 2016). However, unlike with the CRHA ultrasound animals, the endocrine evaluations of the blubber provide no diagnostic information about due date of the pregnant biopsied animals; therefore, the maximum expected due date was assumed to be no greater than 13 mo after the biopsy was collected, based on an estimated gestation duration of 12.5 mo, plus 2 wk (O’Brien & Robeck 2012, Smith et al. 2013, Wells et al. 2014).

Dart biopsies were collected from both DWH oil-impacted stocks, Barataria Bay and Mississippi Sound, in 2010 to 2012 along with photo identification of the biopsied individuals (Fig. 1). Boat-based follow-up surveys were then conducted in each area for at least 13 mo following the CRHAs or dart biopsy sampling events to monitor for the presence of calves. Following the approach previously described by Melancon et al. (2011), these boat-based monitoring surveys included photo-identification, VHF radio tracking, and additional remote biopsy collection and were conducted from one or two 5 to 6 m center-console outboard-powered vessels, crewed by 3 to 4 researchers. Canon EOS digital cameras equipped with 100 to 400 mm telephoto lenses were used to collect all identification images.

Photo analysis

The analysis of the photographic images used for individual identification and calf association followed previously established methods (Melancon et al. 2011, Lane et al. 2015). Briefly, image file names were encoded with survey and sighting numbers and then sorted in Adobe Photoshop 7.0, from which the best left and/or right-side dorsal fin image of each individual from each sighting was obtained. The resulting sorted images were graded for photographic quality based on focus, contrast, angle, dorsal fin visibility/obscurity, and proportion of the frame filled with diagnostic attributes (Urian et al. 1999, 2015). Images of individual dolphins were matched using a combination of 3 methods: freeze brand numbers on their dorsal fins, tag placement, and/or fin notches (Fig. 2). All matches were verified by 2 researchers and cataloged in FinBase (Adams et al. 2006), a customized database constructed in Microsoft Access 13, under each putative animal’s unique numerical code.

Individual sighting histories were generated by compiling when each individual was observed during boat-based surveys. After the initial pregnancy detection (IPD) via ultrasound or progesterone concentration of remote biopsy samples, photographs of pregnant dolphins obtained during boat-based sightings were analyzed to determine the presence of a neonate or calf less than 1 yr old (Fig. 2). Reproductive success was defined as the sighting of an associated neonate/calf — i.e. a dolphin whose length was no greater than 75% of the presumed mother’s length and swimming in echelon position (Barbara 1999)— with its expectant mother within the year after the mother’s approximate due date. Reproductive failure (i.e. failed pregnancy or neonatal death)
was defined as the sighting of an expectant female without an associated neonate/calf either (1) between 2 wk and 1 yr following her estimated due date for ultrasound-visualized pregnancies or (2) up to 1 yr after her maximum due date for evaluated dart biopsy pregnancies. If an expectant female was not re-sighted after the 2 wk following the estimated due date (at least 13 mo after IPD for biopsy evaluated pregnancies) and was not previously observed with a neonate calf (i.e. a premature birth) the outcome was classified as ‘could not be determined’ and the individual was excluded from the analysis. Calf size, which was identified using photo-identification during the follow-up surveys, was also factored into each assessment of reproductive outcome to help differentiate calves conceived in different years; this can be important when there is a relatively long gap in an expectant mother sighting history\(^2\). Sightings from all survey types were used to monitor reproductive outcomes.

**Statistical analysis**

Overall analysis design

There were 3 parts to the data analysis. First, we modeled the relationship between blubber progesterone and ultrasound-evaluated pregnancy state of female dolphins sampled during the CRHAs. Second, we compared the reproductive success rates as measured in this study in DWH-oiled dolphins from Barataria Bay and Mississippi Sound to those reported in the literature for non-oiled dolphins from Sarasota Bay, FL, Charleston Harbor, SC, and Indian River Lagoon, FL (Bergfelt et al. 2013, Wells et al. 2014). Third, we conducted 2 covariate analyses to evaluate potential links between reproductive success and biological, demographic, and behavioral information.

Modeling the relationship between blubber progesterone and pregnancy state

The probability of pregnancy was modeled as a function of measured blubber progesterone (nanograms of progesterone per gram of blubber processed) using a standard logistic regression structure with pregnancy state as the Bernoulli response variable. These data were taken exclusively from CRHA females from which the reproductive tract was inspected via ultrasound, serum progesterone was measured, and a blubber wedge biopsy was also taken. The analysis was conducted within a Bayesian framework to allow for better propagation of the measured uncertainty into the subsequent analyses. All blubber progesterone measurements were log transformed prior to analysis to minimize the heteroscedasticity that is common in hormone measurements (Kellar et al. 2009, 2015). The output generated estimates of the parameters for the best logistic regression fit and the 95% Bayesian credibility envelope around the resulting function.

When the model was given the set of blubber progesterone concentrations from females of unknown pregnancy state (i.e. the concentrations from the dart biopsied females), it returned for each blubber progesterone concentration a marginal posterior probability distribution that the associated dart biopsy sample was obtained from a pregnant animal. In other words, given the model linking blubber progesterone to probability of being pregnant in the CRHA animals (i.e. females of known pregnancy status) we could then utilize each dart biopsy progesterone concentration to estimate the probability that the biopsy was collected from a pregnant animal. Estimating probability of being pregnant removed the constraint of choosing an arbitrary blubber progesterone concentration that, for diagnostic purposes, would distinguish pregnant from non-pregnant females; here the model makes that estimation with appropriate associated statistical uncertainty.

Comparing reproductive success rates (oiled vs. non-oiled reference stocks)

The difference in the reproductive success rate between the DWH-oiled stocks and the combined data from 3 non-oiled reference stocks was statistically evaluated using a delta mean test (Manly 1991,
This test, which is similar to a frequentist paired t-test, was conducted in a Bayesian framework and it allowed us to evaluate the statistically relevant magnitude of this difference (i.e. by determining the probability that the difference in success rate was greater than a defined percentage). Ultimately, there were 36 data points from pregnant females representing the oiled stocks (27 from Barataria Bay and 9 from Mississippi Sound; Fig. 1) with sufficient sighting histories to be included in the reproductive success analysis.

Reproductive success data from the non-oiled reference stocks were primarily compiled from 2 peer-reviewed publications, one conducted in Sarasota Bay, FL that employed ultrasound scanning for pregnancy diagnosis (Wells et al. 2014, n = 12), and another conducted in Charleston, SC, and Indian River Lagoon, FL that used serum progesterone concentrations with a threshold of 6.0 ng ml\(^{-1}\) to distinguish pregnancy (assessed via ultrasound), white blood cell count (WBC), and serum hormone concentrations (progesterone, cortisol, 17\(\beta\) estradiol, and thyroxine).

Covariate associations with reproductive success were assessed as part of a Bayesian model fitting procedure within a generalized linear model framework, using a variable selection method for inferring which factors to include or exclude in the model (Manly 1991, Carlin & Chib 1995, Chib & Carlin 1999). In this analysis, sets of logistic generalized linear models were constructed in WinBUGS (Lunn et al. 2000, Spiegelhalter et al. 2003) with each of the 8 covariates multiplied by a covariate-specific Bernoulli selection parameter in the form:

\[ \logit(c_{success,i}) = \alpha + \sum \gamma_j \times \beta_j (X_{ij}) \]  

where \(c_{success,i}\) is the reproductive outcome (success or failure) for individual \(i\), \(\alpha\) was the model intercept, \(\beta_j\) was the coefficient of covariate \(j\), \(X\) a matrix of values for \(j\) and \(i\), and \(\gamma_j\) was the selection parameter for each covariate with a probability of \(p\), equal to the mean of \(\gamma_j\). A logit link function was used as reproductive success and coded as a Bernoulli response, either 0 or 1, for failure and success, respectively. Vague priors were set for all marginal slope coefficients from normal distributions, each with mean = 0 and variance = 1000 (prior distributions where variance exceeded 1000 did not converge). The prior on each selection parameter was set to be \(p = 0.5\). The predictive weight (an indication of importance) that each covariate had on the estimate of the sampled animal’s reproductive success was equal to \(p(j)\) and was directly proportional to the number of iterations where that particular covariate was selected in the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) estimates. Marginal posterior probabilities of the selection parameters with median values greater than \(p = 0.5\) were those in which the weight of evidence supported their inclusion in the model. The data for each of these covariates were normalized (mean = 0 and standard deviation = 1) prior to analysis. All 36 reproductive outcome data points from pregnant females representing the oiled stocks (27 Barataria Bay, 9 Mississippi Sound; Fig. 1) were used for this first covariate analysis.

Covariate analysis

Supplemental data were gathered from the CRHA animals that it was not possible to obtain from the dart-biopsied dolphins. As such, the covariate analysis was separated into 2 phases. The first phase looked at the covariates that were measured in both types of sampling effort (CRHA ultrasound and endocrine evaluations of dart biopsies), i.e. sighting frequency, season (day of the year), days after the DWH explosion, stock (Barataria Bay or Mississippi Sound), blubber progesterone concentration, and blubber cortisol concentration. The second phase examined covariate data that were solely obtained from the CRHA animals, i.e. total length, lung condition score (assessed via ultrasound), white blood cell count (WBC), and serum hormone concentrations (progesterone, cortisol, 17\(\beta\) estradiol, and thyroxine).

Reproductive success data from the non-oiled reference animals for future studies.
For the second covariate analysis (i.e. only the CRHA animals), data from 4 Sarasota pregnant females (2 successes and 2 failures) were included to augment the covariate data set. These 4 females were described by Wells et al (2014), where they are catalogued as dolphins FB33, FB116, FB137, and FB225. Because data from the dart-biopsied animals (n = 8) lacked blood, morphology, and lung information, they could not be used in this second covariate analysis. Once they were removed, too few reproductive success data points remained to inform the analysis. Consequently, the 4 additional pregnant females from Sarasota (2 successes and 2 failures), which were examined during CRHA events in 2010 (n = 2) and 2013 (n = 2) using the same methodology employed during the CRHAs of Barataria Bay and Mississippi Sound, were included. In total for this second covariate analysis there were 32 pregnancies (7 successes) represented (24 Barataria Bay, 4 Mississippi Sound, 4 Sarasota Bay).

Bayes factors

Bayesian results for hypothesis testing were reported as both Bayesian posterior probabilities and Bayes factors (B10); otherwise only posterior probability estimates were reported. We have included a table (Table 1) with description statements for the different levels of Bayes factors, adapted from Kass & Raftery (1995), to aid in interpretation.

RESULTS

Pregnancy determination

Ultrasound evaluations were conducted on 63 females (54 from Barataria Bay and 9 from Mississippi Sound). Of these, 33 were confirmed pregnant, 24 were confirmed not pregnant, and 6 showed evidence consistent with early pregnancy, but pregnancy status could not be confirmed (i.e. CL and uterine fluid were observed but fetus/embryo was not).

Out of the 57 females of known pregnancy state, blubber progesterone measurements from 54 females (no wedge blubber samples were taken from the other 3) were used to develop the logistic regression model to estimate the probability of being pregnant. The pregnant female blubber progesterone measurements (mean ± SE = 275.38 ± 38.5 ng g⁻¹, n = 30) were on average >2 magnitudes greater than non-pregnant females (1.16 ± 0.56 ng g⁻¹, n = 24) with no overlap in values between 20 and 40 ng g⁻¹, providing perfect sensitivity and specificity as a diagnostic of pregnancy within this dataset (i.e. 100% of the animals were correctly assigned to their known pregnancy state). The best fit model (Fig. 3) describing the relationship between pregnancy state and blubber progesterone (BP) concentrations with these animals was:

\[
P_{\text{pregnancy}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \times \text{BP})}}
\]

where the median coefficients and their 95% credibility intervals were: \(\alpha_0 = -11.8\) (CI = -32.9 to -0.010) and \(\alpha_1 = 71.9\) (17.9 to 98.8).

For dart biopsies, ultrasound data were not available to inform pregnancy state. Instead, the above model, which accounts for the statistical uncertainty of the coefficient estimates, was used to generate a posterior probability distribution of the probability of pregnancy (see Table S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/n033p143_supp.xlsx) based

---

Table 1. Bayes factor interpretation. Descriptive statements of standards of evidence in scientific investigation as proposed by Kass & Raftery (1995)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bayes factor (B_{10})</th>
<th>Evidence against (H_0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3</td>
<td>Not worth a bare mention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 20</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 150</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td>Very strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 3. *Tursiops truncatus*. Logistic model for the probability of pregnancy in bottlenose dolphins relative to blubber progesterone concentration. Open circles represent the observed measurement data. Dashed lines represent 95% credibility interval from the 10 000 model iterations. x-axis values are log₁₀ scaled. Model priors and summaries of the posterior probability distributions for the parameters are given in ‘Results: Pregnancy determination’
on each sample’s measured blubber progesterone concentration. Of the 85 females that were biopsied, 17 individuals were estimated to have a higher than 99.9% median probability of being pregnant (blubber progesterone: mean ± SE = 161.0 ± 21.4 ng g⁻¹, n = 17). The model indicated 1 individual having between 0.1 and 99.9% pregnancy probability (blubber progesterone: 25.24 ng g⁻¹); however, this individual was not included in the success analysis because there were insufficient sightings after pregnancy to assess success status. The rest of the biopsies came from females with a median probability of being pregnant of <0.1% (blubber progesterone: mean ± SE = 1.55 ± 0.42 ng g⁻¹, n = 67). These, too, were not included in the reproductive success analysis because of the very low probability that they were pregnant at the time of sampling; none were resighted in the following year with a calf.

Reproductive success comparison

In total, 50 females from the DWH oiled areas (Barataria Bay and Mississippi Sound) were determined to be pregnant (33 ultrasound diagnosed and 17 blubber-endocrine evaluated) out of 148 that were evaluated. Of these 50 pregnant females, 36 (27 Barataria Bay, 9 Mississippi Sound; Fig. 1) had sufficient sighting histories to be included in the reproductive success analysis, having been resighted either (1) 2 wk or more following the estimated due date for ultrasound-visualized pregnancies (n = 28), or (2) within 13 mo after IPD for dart biopsy evaluated pregnancies (n = 8; see Table S2 in the Supplement). Of these 36 pregnancies, all but 7 (5 Barataria Bay, 2 Mississippi Sound) resulted in failure (i.e. the females were resighted without a calf). The resulting estimated aggregated reproductive success rate for Barataria Bay (0.185, n = 27) and Mississippi Sound (0.222, n = 9) was 0.194; i.e. less than 1 in 5 detected pregnancies resulted in a viable calf (Table 2). In comparison, the expected success rate based on the aggregate of previous observations in reference areas is over 3-fold higher (0.647, n = 34) (Table 2). Note that this result includes the 2 additional reproductive outcomes from Sarasota Bay, FL (as described above). Also, one of the observations from Charleston Harbor, SC, (individual ‘82503’; Bergfelt et al. 2013) was updated with additional sighting data leading to 1 correction of status from presumed failure to known success.

The posterior probability distribution on the difference in success rates between the reference areas and the oiled areas (Fig. 4a) shows the weight of evidence was 12 499 to 1 (i.e. a Bayes factor of 12 499), equating to a 99.999% probability that the reference areas had a higher reproductive success rate than the rate observed in the 2 oiled areas. To illustrate the magnitude of this difference, we present the weight evidence at 2 (70.4 to 1; Fig. 4b) and 3 times (2.7 to 1; Fig. 4c) the observed success rate of the oiled areas. That translates into 98.5% probability that the reference success rate is greater than twice, and a 73.2% probability that the difference is greater than 3 times, that of the oiled areas.

Covariate analysis

The first covariate analysis contained data from pregnancy determinations using both the dart biopsies and the CRHA animals (see Table S3 in the Supplement). It indicated that none of the 7 covariates analyzed were included more than 10% of the time. The low inclusion rate indicates that there was no discernible evidence that success rate varied by sighting frequency, day of the year, days after DWH explosion, blubber progesterone concentration, or blubber cortisol concentration (Table 3). For reference, the mean inclusion rate for random covariates (as simulated by permuting observed values relative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Ultrasound imaging  (successes/total)</th>
<th>Blubber endocrine evaluation (successes/total)</th>
<th>Aggregated total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference stocks (Sarasota Bay, FL; Charleston, SC; Indian River Lagoon, FL)</td>
<td>1998–2014</td>
<td>20/32</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>= 22/34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oiled stocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barataria Bay, LA</td>
<td>2010–2014</td>
<td>4/24</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi Sound, MS</td>
<td>2010–2014</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. *Tursiops truncatus*. Reproductive success rates for oiled and non-oiled reference stocks of common bottlenose dolphins in the Gulf of Mexico (GoM) following the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) oil spill.
The second covariate analysis included only the CRHA animal data: morphometrics, ultrasound imagery, and blood data. This analysis revealed that there was 1 covariate, the leukocyte or white blood cell count (WBC), with a strong inverse relationship with reproductive success (Table 4). All 7 successes (success rate = 7/14) were observed in animals with WBC counts <11 cells nl⁻¹ (Table 4). None of the 18 pregnancies (success rate = 0/18) associated with levels >11 cells nl⁻¹ were successful. The differences were primarily driven by differences in neutrophils and eosinophils (bivariate analysis: $p = 0.0057$ and 0.0039 respectively). The dramatic relationship relative to WBC count is in sharp contrast to those of the other covariates, which showed no appreciable evidence of a relationship with reproductive success; all had inclusion rates of <11% and, for context, the mean inclusion rate for random covariates for this second covariate analysis was 1.88%.

**DISCUSSION**

The data presented in this study indicate that BSE bottlenose dolphin reproductive success was aberrantly low (<20%) in oiled areas following the DWH oil rig explosion. When compared to reference non-oiled areas (success >60% in aggregate), the weight of evidence was strong (>98% probability) that there was at minimum a 2-fold difference in success rate between the study and reference stocks. In fact, given the observed data, the point estimate indicates that the difference was more likely greater than 3-fold (>70% probability).

As previously reported, during the 2011 capture-release health assessments and follow-up boat surveys, direct observations of offspring mortalities were recorded (Lane et al. 2015). A dead fetus in utero, i.e. one without a heartbeat, belonging to dolphin Y31, was observed via ultrasound examination. During the time period of this study, a number of presumed mothers were observed pushing perinate carcasses. These included dolphin Y01, who was seen pushing a carcass of a perinate 10 mo after her initial due date, an indication of 2 consecutive reproductive failures during a 2-yr period (Lane et al. 2015).

These findings and direct observations are consistent with numerous studies directly linking polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) and general petroleum exposure to reproductive abnormalities and early developmental impairments. Controlled experimental studies have demonstrated a causal link in model species including mice, rats, rabbit, and mink. Reproductive failure or impairment has been documented to be caused by pyrogenic PAH exposure in mice, which exhibit a 2-fold increase in offspring loss with evidence of increased embryonic...
Table 3. *Tursiops truncatus*. Model averaged coefficients for factors associated with reproductive success in oiled stocks of northern GoM bottlenose dolphin following the DWH oil spill, based on pregnancies detected by both ultrasound and blubber endocrine evaluation (n = 36; 27 from Barataria Bay, 9 from Mississippi Sound). Median values are given with 95% probability interval values. ‘% selected’ is the percent of iterations in which the corresponding factor was selected for inclusion in the final model. Positive and negative median coefficient values indicate direct and inverse relationships, respectively. No covariate was included in more than 50% of the iterations; the weight of evidence is against their inclusion in the final model. For reference, the mean inclusion rate of a random covariate (modeled here as the observed covariate permuted relative to the observed outcome) is shown (gray shaded row).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>Model coefficients</th>
<th>% selected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50%</td>
<td>97.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sighting frequency</td>
<td>−2.045</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blubber cortisol</td>
<td>−1.297</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permuted covariates Benchmark: incorporation of random no.</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days after DWH explosion</td>
<td>−1.053</td>
<td>1.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blubber progesterone</td>
<td>−0.712</td>
<td>1.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock (Barataria/Mississippi)</td>
<td>−1.127</td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day of the year</td>
<td>−0.931</td>
<td>1.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. *Tursiops truncatus*. Model averaged coefficients for factors associated with reproductive success based on pregnancies detected solely by ultrasound imaging (n = 32; 24 from Barataria Bay, 4 from Mississippi Sound and 4 from Sarasota Bay). Median values are given with 95% probability interval values. ‘% selected’ is the percent of iterations in which the corresponding factor was selected for inclusion in the final model. Positive and negative median coefficient values indicate direct and inverse relationships, respectively. Only the covariate white blood cell count was included in more than 50% of the iterations; the weight of evidence supports its inclusion in the final model. For reference the mean inclusion rate of a random covariate (modeled here as the observed covariate permuted relative to the observed outcome) is shown (gray shaded row).

| Covariate                              | Model coefficients | % selected |
|                                        | 2.50%              | 97.50%     |
|                                        | Median             |            |
| White blood cell count                  | −5.605             | −0.817     | 77.77      |
| Serum: total thyroxine                 | −0.119             | 3.973      | 10.22      |
| Total length                           | −2.236             | 0.335      | 3.53       |
| Serum: progesterone                    | −2.095             | 0.591      | 3.33       |
| Lung condition score (healthy/unhealthy)| −0.965             | 2.285      | 3.07       |
| Permuted covariates Benchmark: incorporation of random no. | 2.06 |
| Day of the year                         | −0.946             | 1.551      | 2.00       |
| Serum: 17B estradiol                   | −1.347             | 1.255      | 1.91       |
| Serum: cortisol                        | −0.867             | 1.319      | 1.63       |

resorption (Detmar & Jurisicova 2010). Crude-oil exposed rats exhibit high perinatal mortality with up to 72% of parturitions of exposed pregnancies concluding in still births (Nwaigwe et al. 2012), and fetal survival rates decreasing by as much as 3-fold in offspring whose mothers were exposed to the pyrogenic PAH benzo(a)pyrene (Bui et al. 1986, Archibong et al. 2002). Female rabbits show substantial ovarian malformations and reproductive endocrine impairments suggestive of polycystic ovarian syndrome when orally administered Escaravos crude oil (Ogechukwu et al. 2014). Finally, ranch mink sows fed crude oil and bunker C oil also show a 2 to 5-fold reduction in reproductive output and much lower survival rates of their offspring before weaning (Mazet al. 2001).

Field studies of species exposed to petroleum and petroleum products find evidence of similar reproductive and developmental effects. Numerous studies have shown that humans exposed to higher levels of PAHs across many different conditions are disproportionately likely to experience pregnancy failures or impaired prenatal and natal development (San Sebastián et al. 2002, McCoy & Salerno 2010, Merhi 2010, Wu et al. 2010). Long-term petroleum exposure in cattle can lead to poisoning that effects reproduction and early calf development (Osweller 2005). In marine mammals studied during and after the Exxon Valdez oil spill, sea otters in Prince William Sound experienced high rates of fetal and neonatal loss (Tuomi & Williams 1995, Mazet et al. 2001) and killer whale pods exhibited impaired recruitment that lasted for years after the spill (Matkin et al. 2008). Similarly, long-term reproductive failures were observed in oiled seabird colonies after the Prestige oil spill (Velando et al. 2005, Barros et al. 2014).

Beyond the direct effects of oiling on reproduction, there are many potential maternal health effects that have been documented in other vertebrate species to significantly reduce reproductive success. The primary health effects documented in oiled dolphin stocks during and after the DWH oil spill include high rates of atypical pulmonary and adrenal diseases and disproportionate rates of poor body condition (Schwacke et al. 2014, Smith et al. 2017). Untreated pulmonary disease can cause complications during human pregnancy and early development, leading to greater likelihood of fetal and
neonatal mortality (Ramsey & Ramin 2001, Ie et al. 2002, Hartert et al. 2003, Goodnight & Soper 2005). During normal pregnancies, maternal oxygen consumption increases between 15 and 20% to support increased metabolic activity and to enrich the partial pressure of blood oxygen, which must increase to become more alkaline to create conditions that allow oxygen exchange with the fetus (Goodnight & Soper 2005). Poor maternal lung function limits the ability to provide the additional oxygen needed leading to greater likelihood of early terminations, poor fetal development, or spontaneous abortions (Goodnight & Soper 2005).

Another major health effect observed in the DWH oiled areas was impaired adrenal corticosteroid hormone production or hypoadrenocorticisim (Schwacke et al. 2014, Smith et al. 2017). Humans with impaired adrenal cortex function have a higher risk of adrenal crisis, which is linked to higher fetal and maternal mortality when left untreated (Brent 1950, Keller-Wood & Wood 2001, Ambrosi et al. 2003, Beehner et al. 2006). At lower severity, adrenal cortical impairment can cause metabolic abnormalities and imbalances in blood chemistry that can lead to higher risk to fetal health and development (Mazet et al. 2001, Hobel & Culhane 2003, Mohr et al. 2008, 2010).

A disproportionate number of individuals from DWH oiled areas were also underweight relative to body length (Hart et al. 2013, Schwacke et al. 2014). Extensive research across the mammalian literature documents the relationship between low maternal body mass or nutritional deficits and reproductive failure (Verme 1969, Felig & Lynch 1970, Robinette et al. 1973, Keech et al. 2000, Bishop et al. 2009). Mechanisms by which this can occur range from direct insufficient fetal nourishment to maternal blood acidosi limiting fetal gas exchange (Felig & Lynch 1970). Moreover, there are many associated indirect health effects that increase the risk of fetal mortality as well as poor early life growth and development (Felig & Lynch 1970, Keech et al. 2000, Hobel & Culhane 2003). However, there is some evidence that poor maternal body condition may not be the primary driver of reproductive failure: although high reproductive failure continued into 2013 and 2014, the number of dolphins that exhibited abnormally low body mass dropped to near normal by 2013 (Smith et al. 2017).

Impairment of vertebrate immune systems is a commonly observed effect of PAH and general petroleum exposure, and increased susceptibility to infection has been documented in association with the DWH oil spill (Detmar & Jurisicova 2010, Whitehead et al. 2012, Whitehead 2013, Ali et al. 2014, Venn-Watson et al. 2015a, S. De Guise et al. 2017). Of course, numerous infectious agents and abnormal inflammatory responses can in turn cause reproductive failure and impair early development (Wilson et al. 2015). From this study, there is evidence that female dolphins with total WBC counts >11 cells ml⁻¹ have lower probability of reproductive success. Interestingly, the range of WBC values associated with all reproductive successes observed in this study (<11 cells ml⁻¹) is nearly identical to the WBC reference ranges reported for managed-care animals (Venn-Watson et al. 2007). However, the leukocyte counts associated with all animals found in this study (i.e. both those with reproductive success and failures) were within the range (95<sup>th</sup> percentiles) previously reported for wild dolphins found in the reference non-oiled areas, where reproductive success rates were much higher (Schwacke et al. 2009). This suggests that factor(s) other than the high-normal leukocyte counts, or in addition to the leukocyte counts, may be responsible for the abnormally high reproductive failures seen in the oiled dolphin stocks. These observations are consistent with a potential synergistic adverse effect of oil exposure on the immune system with pathogen presence (S. De Guise et al. 2017), which has been noted as a potential mode of causation for the high morbidity levels observed in the oiled stocks, especially as it relates to the abnormally high prevalence of lung disease (Venn-Watson et al. 2015a) and clusters of perinate mortality (Colegrove et al. 2016). However, these observations are also consistent with inflammatory responses associated with injuries like those found in these dolphins’ lung tissue and impaired adrenal health leading to poor reproductive outcomes; consequently, additional work is needed to determine the relationship between high WBC counts and reproductive failure in these animals.

Agents other than those directly associated with petroleum have the potential to impair reproduction. However, there is strong evidence that 3 of the most likely agents, <i>Brucella</i> spp., persistent organic pollutants, and biotoxins were not disproportionately present across demographic groups in the DWH-impacted stocks (Litz et al. 2014, Balmer et al. 2015, Venn-Watson et al. 2015a, Smith et al. 2017) compared with the non-impacted reference areas. However, it has been noted that there were higher than expected <i>Brucella</i> spp. detections (representing various genetic clades) specifically in perinate dolphin strandings (Colegrove et al. 2016) in Mississippi and Alabama (2011 to 2013), whose coastal areas were oiled during the 2010 spill. The prevalence in
Louisiana, however, was no different than non-oiled reference areas. Though the authors do not conclude that the *Brucella* spp. detection prevalence in perinates was definitely related to the oil spill, they indicate that there is evidence that oil exposure can lead to increased susceptibility to or persistence of pathogens like *Brucella* spp. through immune perturbations (Colegrove et al. 2016). We therefore conclude that non-petroleum agents are unlikely to be the primary drivers of the high reproductive failure rates observed in the oiled stocks, though multiple *Brucella* spp. clades may be part of a multifactorial insult as the physiology of these animals was strained during and after the spill. Given the anomalous massive volume of oil that entered into the habitat of these animals (National Commission on the BP Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill and Offshore Drilling 2011), the long duration of exposure (spilled oil persisted in marsh sediment at least until 2014; Turner et al. 2014), the well-documented effects of PAHs, petroleum products on mammalian and vertebrate reproduction, early development, and early survival, other plausible explanations for the observed 3-fold decrease in dolphin reproductive success are difficult to postulate.
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