INTRODUCTION

During the second half of the 19th century, commercial whaling substantially depleted the Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort Sea (BCB) stock of bowhead whales *Balaena mysticetus*. However, since that time, increasing abundance trends provide evidence of an ongoing recovery (George et al. 2004). The whales have a predicted breeding season centered in March that extends into April (Reese et al. 2001), and gestation is estimated to be 14 mo (90% prediction interval: 13 to 15.2 mo). These animals represent a valuable subsistence resource for Alaskan Native subsistence consumers, who find hunting opportunities during the whales’ yearly migrations between the Bering and Beaufort Seas. Biological samples from bowhead
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whales landed during the spring and fall hunts are often collected by researchers and yield diverse sets of tissue matrices such as major organs, skin, serum, blubber, urine, baleen, reproductive tissues, and other tissues. These samples have been used in a number of studies investigating different aspects of the biology of these animals (Rosa et al. 2007a,b, 2008, Budge et al. 2008), yet more can be gleaned from this material, including information about bowhead reproductive endocrinology. By measuring hormone concentrations across multiple sample matrices (blood, urine, and blubber) from these harvested animals, the present study aims to expand knowledge of bowhead whale biology and provide baseline values that researchers can use for purposes of comparison as this species continues to respond to changes including exposure to new perturbations in their arctic habitat.

In addition to providing baseline endocrine information, this investigation adds to an emerging research practice in cetology that uses blubber tissue collected from projectile biopsies to assess the health and reproductive status of cetacean populations. These samples are collected from specialized darts that retain small (~6 × 15 mm) cores of the epidermis and distal dermis (blubber) layers of the skin (reviewed by Noren & Mocklin 2012). They are the biological samples most frequently collected from free-ranging cetaceans and are often obtained in numbers suitable for estimates of population-level demographic parameters. Recently, a number of studies have begun measuring steroid hormones from blubber tissue in efforts to glean additional biological information from these samples (Kellar et al. 2006, 2009, Amaral 2010, Pérez et al. 2011). In particular, progesterone, the hormone responsible for sustaining gestation, found in blubber samples has been empirically accurate at differentiating/diagnosing pregnancy state (Mansour et al. 2002, Kellar et al. 2006, Pérez et al. 2011).

However, the bulk of mammalian endocrine literature reports steroid hormone levels from blood (Temte 1991, Kjeld et al. 1992, 2004, Atkinson et al. 1999) and to a lesser degree urine (Robeck et al. 1993), feces (Rolland et al. 2005, 2006), and saliva (Atkinson et al. 1999, Hogg et al. 2005). Other sample materials from which these hormones have been extracted and measured include milk (West et al. 2000), muscle (Yoshioka et al. 1994), cetacean exhalant or blow (Hogg et al. 2005), bone, and ocular secretions (Atkinson et al. 1999). Only a scant few studies have measured reproductive hormones from adipose tissue of any kind, let alone blubber (Hillbrand & Elsässer 1983, Hamudikuwanda et al. 1996, Mansour et al. 2002, Kellar et al. 2006), though one of these does include data from minke whales _Balaenoptera acutorostrata_, a species of baleen whale (Mansour et al. 2002). Consequently, the relationships between hormone levels and their specific effects on mammalian biology have not been delineated for blubber tissue, and because this tissue is very different in chemistry, structure, and dynamics from these more commonly used matrices, we would not necessarily expect to find a simple relationship between blubber hormone concentrations and concentrations obtained from more routinely measured matrices.

There are 2 ways to potentially overcome this research deficit. One is to continue to amass hormone values from cetaceans representing as many biological conditions as possible, thereby creating a blubber-specific reference value collection. This will happen slowly through the natural process of scientific discovery, including through the results from the present study. The second way is to find quantitative relationships between levels found in the blubber and those found in the other matrices so that the value from one matrix can be used to accurately estimate the values for the other matrices. Here, we attempt to further both of these approaches by comparing progesterone levels across 3 sample matrices (blood, urine, and blubber) from harvested bowhead whales representing 5 different demographic groups.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Samples**

Serum, blubber, and urine samples were collected from bowhead whale harvested near Barrow, Savoogna, Kaktovik, and Wainwright villages from 1999 to 2009 during the spring and fall Inuit subsistence hunts in Alaska. These collections were conducted under the auspices of the Barrow Whaling Captain’s Association and the Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission through the Department of Wildlife Management (North Slope Borough, Alaska) in accordance with National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration permits (#932-1489-00 and 932-1489-03 for the Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Program program issued to Dr. Teri Rowles). Morphometric data and reproductive information including pregnancy status, number of corpora, corpus luteum size, and the length of fetus (if present) were collected simultaneously with the majority of the samples. Age measurements were not available for these animals, and as such, maturity designation was based on total...
length, an established practice to separate demo-
graphic classes. The length boundaries between ma-
turity states used in the present study were 13.35 m
and 12.5 m for females and males respectively (Koski

Blood was collected approximately 2 to 14 h post-
mortem\(^1\) from the palatal sinus of 67 bowhead whales
into untreated evacuated ‘red top’ tubes (Vacutainer/
BD). The blood was allowed to clot and then centri-
fuged for 10 min at 3500 \(\times g\) within 4 to 6 h of collec-
tion (blood was kept at 5°C during the holding period).
The serum was transferred by pipette to a 5 ml plastic
culture tube and frozen at −20°C then archived at
−80°C until thawed for hormone ana-
lyses.

Blubber samples were obtained from the region
~1 m posterior to the blowhole in 70 individual
whales: 42 male and 28 female. The samples were
stored at −20°C or lower until further subsampling
occurred. Cross-sectional subsamples (250 mg; ap-
proximately the amount obtained by a large biopsy),
were taken from epidermis to ~2 cm below the
epidermal/dermal boundary for laboratory process-
ing. The columns of blubber were weighed and then
placed into homogenization tubes.

Most urine samples were collected by sterile nee-
dle aspiration into a sterile syringe as soon as the
bladder was seen during butchering. Occasionally,
the bladder was cut with a knife, and urine was
caught in a tube. The 28 urine samples were allowed
to freeze and stored frozen at −20°C.

Serum and urine progesterone isolation

For all serum samples, a steroid-displacement re-
agent (Enzo Life Sciences) was added (1:99 reagent to
sample ratio) to decouple steroid-binding proteins.
Both urine and serum samples were diluted with
phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.5 with 1%
bovine \(\gamma\)-globulin) and then placed directly into the
enzyme immunoassay (EIA) for measurement (see
‘Progesterone enzyme immunoassay’). If a sample
was measured below detection limits, progesterone
was extracted from 1.0 ml of the sample twice with
1.0 ml of diethyl ether, then evaporated under nitro-
gen gas, and finally reconstituted in 250 µl of PBS.

\(^1\)It should be noted that though progesterone is often consid-
ered robust, i.e. resistant to chemical breakdown, the levels
especially in the blood may have altered somewhat before
they were sampled. However, given the very cold environ-
ment from which these animals where harvested, the pro-
cess of breakdown was likely slow.

Blubber progesterone isolation

The blubber hormone extractions followed the
methods delineated by Kellar et al. (2006) with sev-
eral modifications to simply the procedure and in-
crease consistency. Approximately 0.5 g of blubber
was homogenized 7 to 9 times at a speed of 5 m s\(^{-1}\) for
45 s intervals depending on sample consistency. An
aliquot of 500 µl of the homogenate was combined
with 2 ml of 4:1 ethanol:acetone. The resulting solu-
tion was vortexed and then centrifuged at 4500 \(\times g\)
for 15 min. The supernatant was transferred and
evaporated. Two ml of diethyl ether were added, vor-
texed, and centrifuged again. The supernatant was
collected and evaporated, the residue was resus-
pended in 1000 µl of acetonitrile and vortexed, and
1000 µl of hexane were added to the mixture. After
the solution was vortexed and centrifuged again,
the acetonitrile layer was aspirated into a new tube,
and the process was repeated with another 1000 µl of
hexane. The final portion of acetonitrile was col-
lected and evaporated. The remaining residue was
centrifuged at 4500 \(\times g\) for 5 min and stored at −20°C.

Progesterone enzyme immunoassay

To prepare the samples for the EIA, they were
suspended in varying amounts of PBS. To make
measurements in an accurate detection range on
the EIA, samples from non-pregnant individuals
were resuspended in 250 µl of PBS, and samples
from those that were pregnant were resuspended in
1000 µl; then, the samples were vortexed in a multi-
tube vortexer for 15 min. Each sample was individu-
ally vortexed prior to quantifying progesterone con-
centration. We used EIA kit 900-011 (Enzo Life
Sciences), which has 100% reactivity with proges-
terone and 5α-Pregnane-3,20-dione in each sample.
The assay control limits were between 15 and
500 pg ml\(^{-1}\) with a sensitivity of 8.57 pg ml\(^{-1}\). Sam-
ple measurements that exceeded this range had to
be diluted further to be accurately measured. These
samples were diluted at 1:100, 1:20, 1:5, 1:3, and 1:2
depending on their original EIA measurements such
that the final measurements would fall within the
range of the control samples. Assay standards were
run in duplicate, and samples were extracted and
measured in triplicate. The intra-assay coefficient of
variation (CV) was between 4.9% and 7.6%, and an
inter-assay CV was between 2.7% and 6.8%. Tripli-
cate samples with >33.3% CV were extracted and
measured again from original tissue. Three sets of
single control doses (in duplicate) were run with each assay at the beginning, middle, and end of each EIA plate. Demographic groups that were compared in the present study were randomly assigned to extraction sets and EIA measurement plate positions using random number assignments created in Excel (Microsoft).

We determined the extraction efficiency using spiked samples according to Kellar et al. (2006). These extraction control samples were spiked with 0, 10, and 30 ng of P4. The percentage of P4 that was recovered after extraction was calculated, and each assay value was adjusted to the standard prior to analysis. The resulting measurements of extraction efficiency were 67.3% (SE = 6.11%) and 72.1% (SE = 9.09%) for the 10 and 30 ng levels respectively.

**Creatinine enzyme immunoassay**

For all urine samples, measured progesterone concentrations were corrected for creatinine content (i.e. ng progesterone per g creatinine). To assess creatinine concentrations, we used a Jaffe reaction-based colorimetric detection kit (907-030A, Enzo Life Sciences) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay control limits were between 0.325 mg dl⁻¹ and 20 mg dl⁻¹ with a sensitivity of 0.042 mg dl⁻¹. All samples were initially diluted at 1:20 and then diluted further if their measurements fell above the 80% range of the standard curve. No values were observed below the lower 20% range of the standard curve. Assay standards and samples were run in duplicate. Duplicate samples with >33.3% CV were measured again from dilution of original sample and then averaged across all samples until the CV was <33.3%.

**Parallelism and matrix effects analyses**

We conducted 2 additional quality control assessments to gauge the performance of using the blubber extracts with the progesterone EIA kit. The first was a parallelism test in which a serially diluted pool sample was run with the standard controls of the assay to assess whether the linear decrease in measured values of the pooled sample was parallel to the standard curve. Ten individuals were represented in the pooled unknown sample, including 9 immature and 1 non-pregnant mature female.

The second quality assessment examined the potential effect of the blubber extract on the measurement data. Here, 5 EIA standard curves were assayed: (1) without spiking, (2) spiking each standard with PBS at 1:4 (PBS:standard), and (3 to 5) spiking each standard with a pooled sample (also composed of 10 individuals but none pregnant) at dilutions of 1:4 (pooled sample:standard), 1:9:40 (pooled sample: PBS:standard), or 1:99:400 (pooled sample: PBS: standard) respectively. The difference between the expected concentration (PBS:standard curves) and the measurement from the pooled extract-spiked sample curves, once the progesterone added by the pooled extract-spiked sample itself was factored out, represents the effect of the matrix on the assay.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using MATLAB R2009b (Mathwork). To compare concentrations of different demographic groups, progesterone dilution was run twice then compared to the standard curve.

No pregnant animals were used in this pool because we felt that the ratio of progesterone from a pregnant individual would overwhelm any signal from the other demographic groups.
measurements were analyzed using the differences-of-means permutation test (Manly 1991) (comparable to Student’s t-test but does not require that parametric assumptions are met) where null comparison distributions were generated by permuting (10 000 iterations) the observed progesterone values while holding the demographic designations constant. To assess the relationships between concentrations in each of the sample matrices, permuted regression analyses were employed via MATLAB’s general linear model framework, holding one set of values constant and then permuting the other matrix’s values to generate each set of null model comparison values. The slope from the observed, non-permuted linear model was then compared against the resulting distribution of slope values generated by the 10 000 permutation runs. The p-values were then generated based on which quantile contained the observed value within the distribution of slope values generated from the permutation runs.

RESULTS

Parallelism and matrix effects analyses

The results of the parallelism assessment are depicted in Fig. 1. Serial dilutions of the pooled blubber extract demonstrate parallel measurements when compared to the assay standards; stepwise bootstrap slope calculations of the observed vs. expected relationship in the pooled extra were not significantly different from 1 (median = 1.01, 95% CI = 0.96–1.08; p = 0.706).

The matrix effects analysis suggests that when the blubber extracts are more concentrated, they artificially lower EIA measurements (Table 1). When pooled extracts were spiked into the standards (1:4), the measurements were significantly lower (84%, p = 0.039) than the assay standard spiked with PBS: on average, 84.0% of the standard levels spiked with PBS. This effect decreased and was not statistically significant when the extracts are more diluted at 1:10 (94.4%, p = 0.170) and 1:100 (100.1%, p = 0.586).

Progesterone levels relative to demographic groups

Table 2 summarizes the demographic-specific progesterone measurements for each sample matrix across various demographic groups. Asterisks indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) between the mean value and mean value of the row above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>% of</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391.6 ± 27.0</td>
<td>352.4 ± 4.9</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>358.0 ± 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>160.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>189.6 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.9 ± 4.7</td>
<td>77.3 ± 11.2</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>89.7 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>36.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>41.5 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>20.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>23.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean %</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>100.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Results from matrix interference assessment. Assay standards (Std) were spiked with either phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or a set of serial dilutions of a pooled sample (Pool) composed of extracts from 10 bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) individuals. The concentration of progesterone contributed from the pooled sample (undiluted = 138.9 ± 7.6 ng g⁻¹) was subtracted from each sample-spiked measurement so its contribution would be factored out of the assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reproductive group</th>
<th>Serum (pg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Blubber (ng g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Urine (ng g⁻¹ creatinine)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38.1 ± 6.9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature non-pregnant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>104.9 ± 45.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31 587 ± 28 057*</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immature</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41.9 ± 4.8</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55.8 ± 7.2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Balaena mysticetus. Mean bowhead whale progesterone concentrations in 3 different sample matrices across various demographic groups. Asterisks indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) between the mean value and mean value of the row above.
Serum progesterone

Mean serum progesterone concentrations (±1 SE) were orders of magnitudes higher in pregnant females (31587 ± 28057 pg ml\(^{-1}\)) than in non-pregnant females (43.9 ± 10.2 pg ml\(^{-1}\), p = 0.0035), irrespective of maturity state. Similarly, non-pregnant mature females had significantly higher levels than subadults (104.9 ± 45.0 pg ml\(^{-1}\), p = 0.044), though the magnitude of the difference was much less. Conversely, mature and subadult males had similar serum progesterone levels to one another (38.1 ± 6.9 pg ml\(^{-1}\), p = 0.167).

Blubber progesterone

The results for the blubber were similar to those for the serum but with greater differences among demographic groups. Again, pregnant females had by far the highest concentrations (615.70 ± 1938 ng g\(^{-1}\)), separated statistically from non-pregnant mature and subadult females (7.12 ± 3.4 ng g\(^{-1}\), p < 0.0001, and 0.41 ± 0.06 ng g\(^{-1}\), p = 0.0113 respectively). In addition, non-pregnant mature females had significantly higher levels than subadults (p = 0.004). Although blubber appeared to vary relative to pregnancy state, there is no indication that it varied relative to the stage of pregnancy (i.e. early, middle, or late) (Table 3). For males, mature animals (1.43 ± 0.25 ng g\(^{-1}\)) also had significantly higher levels of progesterone than subadults (0.52 ± 0.05 ng g\(^{-1}\), p < 0.0001). Both of these latter findings, with progesterone level differing by maturity status in both sexes, are findings unique to the present study and will be addressed further in the ‘Discussion’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Blubber progesterone (ng g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Fetal length (cm)</th>
<th>L/P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01B17</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Neither</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08B14</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Neither</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07B10</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99B7</td>
<td>100.78</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99B18</td>
<td>339.73</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00B5</td>
<td>377.24</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07B12</td>
<td>572.99</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07B9</td>
<td>875.28</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>L/P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07B16</td>
<td>1428.20</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. *Balaena mysticetus*. Bowhead blubber progesterone concentrations in individual mature females. L: lactating; P: pregnant.

Urine progesterone

Given the lack of representation of various demographic groups in the urine sample set, the only demographic comparison made was between male (167.8 ± 33.0 ng g\(^{-1}\) creatinine) and female (239.8 ± 84.0 ng g\(^{-1}\) creatinine) subadults, which were not significantly different from each other (p = 0.462). This finding was true for male/female subadult comparisons in serum and blubber as well (p = 0.421 and p = 0.381 respectively).

Relationships of progesterone levels in the different sample matrices

When pregnant females were included in the permuted regression analysis, we found a strong relationship between serum progesterone and blubber progesterone (r\(^2\) = 0.894, p = 0.0002; Fig. 2). When they were not included, the relationship between serum and blubber was much weaker and statistically not significant (r\(^2\) = 0.025, p = 0.224; Fig. 3). Conversely, urine progesterone had a positive relationship with both serum (r\(^2\) = 0.136, p = 0.0460; Fig. 4) and blubber (r\(^2\) = 0.150, p = 0.0421; Fig. 5); no measurements from pregnant animals were included in this analysis as no urine was obtained from pregnant individuals.

![Fig. 2. Balaena mysticetus. Natural log values of serum and blubber progesterone (P4). From the slope of the permutation regression line ln(serumP4) = −0.618 + [0.705 \times ln(blubberP4)], we found a strong, significant positive relationship between progesterone values in both matrices when pregnant animals were included in the analysis. Solid line: best fit regression, dotted line: 95% confidence envelope.](image-url)
DISCUSSION

Three broad findings were revealed in the present study. First, there were large differences in progesterone concentration between pregnant and non-pregnant animals yet smaller differences, if any, in progesterone concentrations between the demographic groups comprising the non-pregnant animals (i.e. non-pregnant mature females, immature females, and males). This is consistent with other studies in the literature (see ‘Progesterone levels relative to demographic groups’). Second, progesterone levels in bowhead whales were generally similar to those documented for other cetacean species irrespective of sample matrix or demographic group, with a couple of exceptions (discussed below). Third, when large differences in progesterone level were observed (i.e. those differentiating pregnant from non-pregnant individuals), the measured matrices show strong correlation to each other; however, when the differences were smaller (e.g. individual variation among immature individuals), we found weaker or non-significant relationships between the matrices. These results are consistent with a gradient in progesterone circulation dynamics in which we presume the most recently produced progesterone levels are reflected in the blood, then the urine, and then finally the blubber.
Parallelism and matrix effects analyses

The parallelism analysis demonstrated segments of the measured blubber extract curves matching the true concentrations of the standards, suggesting that the assays were binding to the same antigens in the blubber extracts as in the standards. The bootstrap slope statistical test verified the parallel nature of values, which is an important validation step of using the assay with bowhead blubber extracts. The matrix effects analysis suggested that components of the blubber extract interfered with the assay, creating statistically significant lower measurement values when the extracts were concentrated. However, as expected, this effect dissipated as the extracts were diluted. The ramifications of this matrix effect is that lower progesterone values will appear even lower because samples with inherently less progesterone are assayed at higher concentrations and therefore are exposed to greater matrix effects during EIA measurements. However, the effects are very small (~16% reduction at our most concentrated extracts, run at 1:5 dilutions) compared to the large differences between pregnancy states.

Progesterone levels relative to demographic groups

Serum progesterone

Serum progesterone levels were similar to those that were reported previously for other baleen whales. For the females, as expected, pregnant animals had much higher levels of progesterone than non-pregnant individuals. Mean progesterone levels (0.054 ng ml\(^{-1}\)) in immature animals were in line with those reported in other baleen whales: minke whales *Balaenoptera acutorostrata*, <0.02 to 1.50 ng ml\(^{-1}\) (Suzuki et al. 2001, Kjeld et al. 2004, Birukawa et al. 2005); sei whales *Balaenoptera borealis*, <0.04 ng ml\(^{-1}\) (Kjeld et al. 2003); and fin whales *Balaenoptera physalus*, <0.04 ng ml\(^{-1}\) (Kjeld et al. 1992, Kjeld 2001). However, the mean value for the serum in the pregnant bowhead whales (31.6 ng ml\(^{-1}\)) in the present study was substantially higher than mean values seen in other pregnant baleen whales: minke whales, 6.71 to 13.8 ng ml\(^{-1}\) (Suzuki et al. 2001, Kjeld et al. 2004, Birukawa et al. 2005); and sei whales, 3.24 to 6.60 ng ml\(^{-1}\) (Kjeld et al. 2003, Birukawa et al. 2005). The pregnant bowhead whale values were more similar to those reported for captive killer whales *Orcinus orca* of 8.90 to 56.2 ng ml\(^{-1}\) (Walker et al. 1988). It is likely that different sample treatments and assay methodologies (e.g. the globulin decoupling reagent used in the present study versus these other studies, where it is unclear whether a similar reagent was used) may explain some of the vagaries in the measurements from different animals/studies. Also, species-specific differences in physiology may contribute to the different hormone levels; for instance, the large relative blubber composition of bowheads is unique among baleen whales, and this may impact the circulating levels of lipophilic hormones like progesterone.

We were unable to find progesterone serum values reported in the literature for any male cetaceans. The males we measured, irrespective of maturity state (i.e. size class), had similar serum progesterone concentrations to subadult females. The role of progesterone in male cetaceans has yet to be described, but typically it is recognized as a precursor to other steroids, and in males of some species (e.g. human, rats, and cattle), it is important for normal sexual behavior (Andersen & Tufik 2006, Wagner 2006) and generalized stress response (Cooper et al. 1995).

Blubber progesterone

Most of the measured blubber progesterone levels, like those found in the serum, were similar to levels seen in other cetaceans (Mansour et al. 2002, Kellar et al. 2006, Pérez et al. 2011). However, the mean levels in the pregnant females were among the highest ever recorded in any cetacean, which created a separation of several orders of magnitude between pregnant and non-pregnant/immature females. This large separation is consistent with previous findings (Mansour et al. 2002, Kellar et al. 2006, Pérez et al. 2011) and suggests that blubber progesterone is useful for identifying pregnant females. However, what is unique in our results compared to these earlier studies is the significant difference in blubber progesterone concentrations between mature non-pregnant females and immature ones. This is an expected result as sexually mature female mammals circulate greater levels of progesterone for estrous regulation, especially during the luteal phase of estrual cycling (Pineda 2003). Also, it is possible that one or more of the non-pregnant mature females were harvested recently after parturition and therefore still had higher levels of progesterone in their blubber. Finally, in pubescent females, progesterone is episodically produced especially during the final phases of puberty as the hypothalamus becomes less sensitive to the negative feedback of reproductive steroids (Pineda 2003).
The significantly higher blubber progesterone concentrations in animals greater than 12.5 m suggest that these concentrations are associated with sexual maturity. As with serum progesterone, little information exists regarding blubber progesterone's association with male sexual maturation. Perhaps, because progesterone is a precursor to testosterone and other androgens, the high levels of blubber progesterone are merely associated with the production of these male hormones. However, there are inconsistencies with this explanation. Serum progesterone in these males did not show a similar differentiation between demographic classes as would be expected. Additionally, we found relatively high blubber progesterone values in the adult males in both hunting seasons. Because testosterone production is highest during the breeding season, we would expect to detect higher levels in animals collected during the spring (the predicted mating period for bowhead whales) and for concentrations to return to lower levels by the fall. Stress response also may be a contributor to adrenal derived progesterone in harvested males, as in other mammalian males (Cooper et al. 1995). The stress-associated progesterone secretion is thought to be a result of rate-limiting enzymes (e.g. 11β-hydroxylase) that convert progesterone to cortisol that become sporadically underwhelmed during rapid increases in cortisol secretion, with stress allowing some progesterone to be shuttled into circulation (Chrétien & Seidah 1981).

Other mammalian adipose tissue can produce reproductive steroid hormones (through modification of sterols), which should be considered when interpreting steroid hormone data from blubber tissue (Siiteri 1987, Ahima & Flier 2000, Fonseca-Alaniz et al. 2007). Localized hormone production could be contributing to the measured levels in the blubber, though it is unknown if this specialized form of adipose tissue also produces steroid hormones. Given the large changes associated with pregnancy, we can be certain that circulating progesterone from the ovaries does accumulate in the blubber; however, it is quite plausible that at least some of the measured hormone is also locally derived.

Urine progesterone

Though urine levels of progesterone have not been reported previously in any cetacean, the progesterone metabolite, pregnanediol-3 alpha-glucuronide (PDG), has been measured in several odontocete species (Walker et al. 1988, Kjeld 2001, Robeck et al. 2004, 2005). There appears to be a strong relationship between urine progesterone and PDG, suggesting a relatively simple, though crude, transformation that links the 2 values (Stanczyk et al. 1997, Falk et al. 1999). The urine progesterone values were similar to other mammals (Herrick et al. 2000, Khan et al. 2008) and comparable to the transformed PDG values reported in other cetaceans (Robeck et al. 2004, 2005). Urine data was available for only 2 demographic groups in the present study: immature males and immature females. We found no significant difference between them, an expected result given that these 2 groups have relatively similar sexual endocrinology at this developmental stage.

Relationships of progesterone levels in the different sample matrices

One difficulty with the present study was that though many individuals were represented, the sample set was largely skewed in age (as judged by specimen length and corpora count in females) due to the selective nature and major logistical challenges of the subsistence hunt and the associated in-field research sampling. The subset of whales successfully landed during the harvest represent disproportionately smaller, younger animals (a hunter preference), yielding a sample set containing few mature individuals. Moreover, among the larger animals that were represented, few yielded all 3 sample matrices; thus, the comparisons between the matrices were largely derived from immature animals. Nonetheless, a number of important findings were revealed in the present study that may help delineate the basic dynamics of progesterone levels in different tissues.

When examining the serum and blubber progesterone levels, we found a large range of progesterone values in both matrices, with pregnant females at the high end and non-pregnant females at the low end of the range. Although we found a strong relationship between serum and blubber progesterone concentrations when values from all animals were used in the regression analysis, there was no significant concordance when the pregnant females were removed from the analysis. This is likely owing to the very different temporal fluctuations in progesterone concentrations in pregnant versus non-pregnant animals. In non-pregnant animals, significant fluctuations are associated with pulsatile progesterone production (Wuttke et al. 1998, Virolainen et al. 2005) for daily upkeep of basal levels (progesterone is needed as a precursor for most other steroid hormones). Within a
particular day, relative serum progesterone levels often fluctuate greatly for a number of reasons, including the non-continuous pulsatile production of progesterone in gonadal tissue and adrenal glands that is released into the serum (Wuttke et al. 1998). Blubber is a peripheral tissue, some distance from these progesterone-producing tissues, and likely accumulates progesterone via passive diffusion from the serum (Deslypere et al. 1985). Thus, the concentration variation within the blubber is likely dampened compared with the variation within the serum throughout a given day. However, the increase in progesterone production during pregnancy is orders of magnitude larger than the daily fluctuations in the basal levels. The magnitude and duration of gestational progesterone production would allow the concentrations in blubber to equilibrate with those in serum, producing the strong relationship we observe between the matrices when both pregnancy states are analyzed together. Thus, regression analyses that include both pregnancy states are more indicative of concordance over long periods (weeks to months), and those that examine just 1 pregnancy state (in this case, just non-pregnant animals because there were too few samples to do the same analysis in only pregnant individuals) are more indicative of shorter-term dynamics (hours to days). Consequently, our findings suggest strong statistical concordance between serum and blubber progesterone over the long term and little to none over the short term.

Also of note in the blubber/serum progesterone regression analyses were the anomalous values. Two individuals had very high levels of progesterone in the blubber but not in the serum; i.e. high blubber/serum progesterone ratios > 80. Both were female; one had little associated reproductive information. The other showed signs of giving birth just prior to the harvest: her uterus was distended and nipples were protruding, and she had a small corpus luteum consistent in size with regression stage. The measured blubber/serum progesterone values of this individual substantially deviated from the modeled regression line. The blubber progesterone value for this individual was 50-fold higher than the median levels seen in non-pregnant/immature females, yet the serum level was close to levels seen in non-pregnant females (only 3-fold higher than the median). This suggests that progesterone levels in serum decrease faster than those in the blubber. However, it appears the decrease in the blubber is not too protracted, as the blubber value in this recently pregnant animal was already 1/42 that of the mean pregnant concentration.

One of our goals was to delineate the relative timing of progesterone flow from production into each of these matrices. We ultimately want to know which matrix would receive the peak in progesterone concentration first, second, and third after a bolus of progesterone is produced. Though the present study was not designed to monitor the actual progression of these peaks in progesterone concentration (a very difficult endeavor with cetaceans, especially those in the wild), there are potential clues among the data. By examining the regression analyses from non-pregnant animals, we can formulate a model of the relative dynamics of progesterone concentration in each of the matrices that is consistent with these regression results. Again, serum and blubber progesterone did not have a statistically significant relationship with each other; however, urine progesterone did vary significantly with each of the other matrices. That suggests that urine progesterone is, on average, the middle or second matrix to receive the signal. Blood is clearly the first matrix to capture the peak wave of progesterone, and therefore, we assume then that blubber is the last; i.e. the time lag from production to peak signal is greatest in the blubber and least in the blood.

CONCLUSION

To our knowledge, these are among the first reported progesterone values from bowhead serum and the very first reported from bowhead blubber and urine samples. These values are important as we (1) continue establishing a baseline library of endocrine information from which we can compare in future studies and (2) begin comparing hormone values across multiple matrices such that we might be able to estimate, from the hormone levels in one matrix, the corresponding levels in the other matrices. The findings from the present study delineate a model of progesterone signal dynamics in these 3 tissues (serum, blubber, and urine) and demonstrate the utility of blubber progesterone as a useful tissue for assessing pregnancy state in bowhead whales. This will be especially important in efforts to use projectile biopsies to non-lethally assess the reproductive and health condition of free-ranging cetaceans.
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