Predictions of seasonal natural mortality rates in a copepod population using life-history theory

R. A. Myers¹ and J. A. Runge²

¹ Department of Biology and ² Department of Oceanography, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 4J1

ABSTRACT: We use inverse optimization techniques and data from Landry (1978) to predict natural mortality rates in a population of the marine, planktonic copepod *Acartia clausii*. Predicted mortality rates are those that make the observed seasonal pattern of life-history characteristics evolutionarily stable. Our predictions closely approximate the rates observed by Landry. The results imply (1) that the inverse relationship between adult body size and temperature in *A. clausii* (also widely observed in other copepods and poikilotherms) is consistent with the hypothesis that it is an evolutionary adaptation to a seasonal environment, and (2) that demographic parameters, such as natural mortality rates, can be usefully estimated from life-history theory.

INTRODUCTION

We present a new approach for estimating natural mortality rates in zooplankton populations based on the assumption that observed life-histories are evolutionarily stable (Maynard Smith, 1974). A population is evolutionarily stable, with respect to a phenotype or set of phenotypes, if a rare gene introduced into the population will always be eliminated when the phenotype of its carriers differs from the population mean (Charlesworth, 1980). The particular phenotypic characteristic that we assume to be evolutionarily stable is size at maturity. In a copepod size at maturity represents the size at which a change in energy allocation from growth to reproduction occurs and may be viewed as the solution to a control problem. We use inverse optimization techniques to determine the very restricted range of natural mortality rates for which an observed size at maturity is evolutionarily stable.

The size at maturity of copepod species, and of many other poikilotherms, is commonly observed to be inversely related to temperature (Konstantinov, 1958; Deevey, 1960; McLaren, 1963; Precht et al., 1973; Culver, 1980; Nelson, 1980). Physiological studies provide an understanding of the effects of temperature and food availability on growth rate and developmental time, which determine final body size (e.g. Vidal, 1980). Our approach provides a framework for determining whether observed patterns of growth and reproduction, such as the commonly observed pattern of decreased size at maturity at higher temperatures, are consistent with hypotheses of evolutionarily adaptive responses to a changing environment. This is a problem in life-history theory, a review of which was given by Charlesworth (1980).

MODEL FORMULATION

In a density-independent population, the evolutionarily stable life-history will be the survivorship and reproduction schedules, $l_x$ and $m_x$ (where $x$ is age in d), which maximize the intrinsic rate of increase, $r$, for the population (Charlesworth and León, 1976). That is, for any other feasible survivorship and reproductive schedule, $l'_x$ and $m'_x$,

$$\sum_{x=1}^{T} \exp (-rx) l'_x m'_x < \sum_{x=1}^{T} \exp (-rx) l_x m_x = 1,$$

where $T =$ maximum lifespan.

If $r$ and the growth and reproductive schedules are known, the survivorship schedule (and thus mortality rates) can be estimated from the maximization process implied by Eq. 1 (Myers and Doyle, 1983). Here we investigate the use of life-history theory to predict the mean observed life-history. For a variety of reasons (e.g. Lande, 1976, 1979; Doyle and Myers, 1982) there will be genotypic and phenotypic variability about the mean life history that we shall not be concerned with here.
Landry's (1978) study of Acartia clausii in Jakle's Lagoon (San Juan Island, Washington, USA) may be the most comprehensive published demographic analysis of a marine planktonic population. Landry determined stage-specific mortality rates from a detailed analysis of population abundance, age structure, and duration of developmental stages over a 2-yr period. Except for age at senescence, all life-history characteristics pertain to the population in the lagoon. Comparisons between observed mortality rates and predictions from life-history theory are therefore warranted.

The analysis for Acartia clausii is straightforward. The number of female zygotes released at age $x+1$ is
\[ m_{x+1} = C_x u_x f(w_x) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
where $w_x =$ weight at age $x$; $f(w_x) =$ energy available for growth and reproduction for an individual of weight $w_x$; $u_x =$ proportion of that energy devoted to reproduction ($0 \leq u_x \leq 1$). Copepods do not molt after reaching sexual maturity, and it is a reasonable assumption that the function $u_x$ in A. clausii is either zero or 1 (Steele and Mullin, 1977). $C_x$ is a constant for converting growth into egg production. Since weight-specific growth rate appears to be equivalent to the weight-specific egg production rate (Sekiguchi et al., 1980), $C_x$ is simply the proportion of zygotes destined to become females divided by the average weight of an egg. Growth in A. clausii is assumed to be a linear function of weight (Miller et al., 1977; Landry, 1978) and is described by
\[ w_{x+1} = w_x + (1-u_x)f(w_x), \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)
where $f(w_x) = \alpha w_x$. The growth coefficient ($\alpha$) was calculated from development time as a function of temperature (determined by Landry), female body weights, and mean egg weight (Table 1).

### Table 1. Acartia clausii. Life history parameters and calculated mortality rates. Developmental temperatures (°C) are weighted means for cohorts, calculated from Landry (1976, Table 7 or Appendix). Weight at maturity ($W_m^*$) determined from relationship between dry weight and cephalothorax length (Landry, 1978; Table 6), assuming a carbon/dry weight ratio of 0.4. Growth rate ($\alpha$) solved from Eq. (3), egg weight = 0.035 μg C (Landry, 1978), and development time from egg to adult, is a function of temperature (Landry, 1978; Table 1). Age at senescence (T: days) is the sum of development time and maximum adult longevity (from Uye, 1981; Table 3). Instantaneous population growth rate (r: d⁻¹) was obtained by differentiating a cubic spline function (Boor, 1978) fit to data on seasonal abundance of developmental stages, all years combined (Landry, 1978; Fig. 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W_m</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.135</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The predicted natural mortality rate is the mortality needed to make the observed weight at maturity ($W_m^*$) evolutionarily stable. The first step in the inverse optimization procedure is to determine the evolutionarily stable weight at sexual maturity as a function of survivorship schedule ($W_m^*$), from the maximization
\[ \max \sum_{x=1}^{T} \exp(-rx) l_{x-1} u_x f(w_{x-1}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where the weights are constrained by Eq. 3. Predicted survivorship schedules (and thus mortality regimes are determined from the minimization of $W_m^*(l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_T-W_m^*)$ using a Fibonacci search (Wild and Beightler, 1967). We assumed initially that mortality was constant for all developmental stages. The mortality predictions closely match the seasonal pattern of mortality observed by Landry (Fig. 1a; see Table 2 for the variability of Landry's mortality data).

### Size dependent mortality
Any estimate of natural mortality should result in a survivorship schedule that conforms to Lotka’s equation ($\sum \exp(-r x) l_{m_x} = 1$) for observed rates of increase r. Although the summation may not be precisely equal to one because of non-equilibrium age structure, it should not be consistently biased. Our mortality predictions, modified by including Landry’s observation that the average combined egg and Nauplius I morta-
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Fig. 1. *Acartia clausii.* Upper: observed (open circles; Landry, 1978) vs predicted adult female instantaneous mortality (day$^{-1}$) in a population, Jakle’s Lagoon, San Juan Island. Predicted mortality (closed circles) assuming that mortality is constant over all developmental stages; predictions assuming weight-specific mortality of the form given by Eq. 5 with $\theta$ equal to 0.5 (squares) and $\theta$ equal to 2 (triangles). Lower: observed (open circles) vs. predicted (closed circles) copepodid mortality for same population. Observed values are medians of mortality rates determined by Landry for stages nauplius VI to copepodid IV. Predicted values are calculated for copepodid II; effects of degree of weight-specific mortality for $0.5 \leq \theta \leq 2$ too small to show. (Note that finite mortality rates calculated from the model are converted to instantaneous rates to facilitate comparison with Landry’s data)

Fig. 2. *Acartia clausii.* Effects of weight-specific finite mortality rate on predicted adult mortality (data for Aug. 1973 cohort) assuming mortality is $M_b + \gamma w^\theta$ (Eq. 5), where $M_b$ is the mortality independent of size, $\gamma$ is determined by an inverse optimization procedure using Eq. 3, and $\theta$ is a power term. Dotted line: adult mortalities corresponding to $\Sigma_i e^{\theta x_i m_{k_i}} I_{m_{k_i}=1}$

Table 2. *Acartia clausii.* Medians, means, standard errors (SE) and numbers of observations (n) for observed monthly mortality rates. Data obtained from Landry (1976; Fig. 35) and Landry (1978; Fig. 22)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.0169</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. *Acartia clausii.* Medians, means, standard errors (SE) and numbers of observations (n) for observed monthly mortality rates. Data obtained from Landry (1976; Fig. 35) and Landry (1978; Fig. 22)

The prediction of weight dependent mortality
requires that, for *Acartia clausii* in Jakle’s Lagoon, mortality rates must increase with body size; if $\gamma$ was negative (mortality decreases with increasing size), then the summation of $\exp(-rx)l,m_x$ would be even less than that derived when assuming constant mortality. This result is consistent with Landry’s conclusion that a visual predator, the stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, was primarily responsible for the summer increase in mortality rates. Our predictions of copepodid mortality rates are lower than the adult values and are consistent with the observed seasonal pattern (Fig. 1b).

**SOURCES OF ERROR**

**Population may not be evolutionarily stable**

The primary requirement for the use of this technique is that the population under study is evolutionarily stable with respect to its environment. The estimated mortality rate is not the mortality in any year, but may be viewed as a weighted average of past environments that the animal has experienced.

Although the life history of *Acartia clausii* in Jakle’s Lagoon may not have reached an evolutionarily stable strategy, the similarity between the predicted and observed mortalities indicate that the population’s life history is approximately evolutionarily stable. Since the lagoon is at least partially self-contained, genetic changes in the life history of *A. clausii* may have occurred relatively rapidly. The possibility of rapid life history adaptation is supported by the discovery of significant additive genetic variability and rapid genetic change in life-history traits in another crustacean population (Doyle and Hunte, 1981).

**Density dependence**

Any real population will probably be between the 2 cases of density independent and density dependent population regulation. In our analysis, we estimate the rate at which *Acartia clausii* was actually growing in the field. In summer, however, growth rates of field individuals measured by Landry (1978) during this period were less than laboratory estimates under optimal conditions, suggesting food limitation. There is also a possibility of an increase in naupliar mortality at high densities in *A. clausii* populations (Landry, 1978; Johnson, 1981). Even for the density dependent case, Charlesworth and León (1976) have shown that the evolutionarily stable life history is the solution to a maximization problem. Letting survivorship and fecundity be functions of density, $N$, they showed that

\[
\sum \frac{l_x(N)}{x} \frac{m_x'}{m_x} < \sum \frac{l_x(N)}{x} m_x(N) = 1.
\]

However, for an opportunistic species such as *Acartia clausii* the density independent model seems to be more appropriate. Even assuming density independence for a density dependent population, the estimate of instantaneous mortality rate will be in error less than the value of $r$, the population growth rate.

**Resting eggs**

Resting eggs would change the calculation of size-specific mortality because the demographic equation would require a time lag term. Resting eggs produced in one season and hatching in another would cause the summation of $\exp(-rx)l,m_x$ to be lower and higher, respectively. Since the existence of resting eggs would not cause a systematic decrease in the summation, they would have only a minor effect on the predictions.

**Errors in parameter estimation**

We examined the sensitivity of the analysis to errors in estimation of growth rates and of the age at senescence (maximum lifespan). Two alternative estimates of growth rates were calculated for each mortality

![Graph showing effect of errors in growth rate and age at senescence on predicted finite mortality rate](image_url)

Fig. 3. *Acartia clausii*. Effect of errors in estimation of growth rate ($\alpha$) and age at senescence ($T$) on the predicted finite mortality rate (data for July 1973; best estimates for this date are $\alpha = 239$ and $T = 43$ d). Mortality contour lines computed assuming constant mortality in all developmental stages. There is similar sensitivity for estimation errors of $\alpha$ and $T$ if weight-specific mortality is assumed.
prediction using the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals for adult body size from Landry (1978). For the data from July 1973 these 2 growth rates ($a = .2657$ and $.2505$) resulted in finite mortality estimates of .215 and .205 (Fig. 3). Sensitivity to age at senescence was examined using alternative estimates from Landry (1978) and Sekiguchi et al. (1980). In all cases, predictions of mortality rates were altered by less than 5%. The mortality estimates are more sensitive to errors in estimation of growth rates than to age at senescence (Fig. 3).

There is also the possibility of systematic errors in the estimation of parameters such as growth rate. For example, a higher conversion factor for adult length into carbon weight or a lower egg to NI1 mortality would raise each of the mortality predictions.

**DISCUSSION**

The model successfully predicted the seasonal trend in mortality rates, whether mortality rates were assumed to be constant or size (weight) dependent. The latter assumption is the more realistic case, but it resulted in an underestimate (assuming no systematic errors) of observed values (Fig. 1a). However, this underestimate did not occur in predictions of copepodid mortalities (Fig. 1b). The underestimation of adult female mortalities may be due to another source, visibility of oocytes, which would make females more vulnerable to visual predators (Johnson, 1981). There is no quantitative data on reproductive visibility and predation to test this hypothesis for *Acartia clausii*, so we were unable to include this factor in the model.

The observed changes in mortality rates are sufficient to make the observed temperature-dependent size at maturity consistent with the hypothesis that the relationship of adult body size and temperature (McLaren, 1966) is adaptive. Growth rates of *Acartia clausii* in Jakle’s Lagoon increase with temperature, despite the possibility of food limitation during the summer discussed earlier. If mortality rates did not substantially increase during the summer, the model predicts that, given the observed growth rates, female body sizes would be larger in the summer, not smaller as observed. This implies that the relationship between development time and temperature is not an uncontrollable consequence of fundamental thermodynamic laws. Two other copepod populations, both species of *Acartia clausii* (Johnson, 1981; Uye, 1982), have been analyzed using an approach similar to Landry’s; both show considerable seasonal variation in adult mortality rates. These mortality patterns correlate with changes in temperature and appear to be the consequence of seasonal changes in predator numerical and feeding functional responses.

The use of temperature as a regulator of adult body size may be a general phenomenon in species whose lifespan is short relative to seasonal temperature fluctuations. In those cases where the inverse relationship is observed, and where individual growth rates increase with temperature, mortality rates should also increase with temperature, given the assumptions of the model. The decrease in age of senescence with temperature in poikilotherms (Shaw and Bercaw, 1962) is a counteracting factor, but the model is more sensitive to changes in growth rate than to age at senescence (Fig. 3).

Knowledge of mortality rates of a natural population is important for understanding ecological processes and for the rational management of exploited populations (Beverton and Holt, 1957). However, mortality is often difficult or impossible to measure, whereas data on growth and reproduction may be obtained more readily. Our analysis supports the use of life-history theory to estimate natural mortality in these situations (Myers and Doyle, 1983).
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