Nutrient inputs from seabirds and humans on a populated coral cay
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ABSTRACT: Inputs of inorganic nutrients in 1992 from seabirds (white-capped noddy and wedge-tail shearwater, maximum of ca 80,000 breeding pairs) are compared to inputs from humans (97,700 person days) on Heron Island at the southern end of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. We estimated that noddy deposited ca 107 t and shearwaters ca 22 t, of fresh guano. The composition of fresh noddy guano was 7.3% nitrogen, 1.5% phosphorus, 60% moisture and 31.2% other substances. Assuming a similar composition for shearwaters, the total annual deposition of guano contained 9.4 t nitrogen and 1.9 t phosphorus. Experiments examining effects of ageing of guano indicated a large decrease in total nitrogen in guano under humid conditions over 4 days as a result of volatilisation of NH3. Under natural conditions most of the deposited nitrogen is likely to be lost as NH3, and a relatively small fraction of the soluble component leached into the cay, but the precise dynamics will depend on rainfall and wind patterns. Phosphorus was not volatilised from guano under any experimental conditions. Although inputs of nitrogen and phosphorus from human sewage into the cay system (ca 0.3 t of each) were much less than that from birds, all nutrients from humans are released in liquid form and percolate directly into the cay. Significant seasonal and tidal variations in standing concentrations of NH4, oxidised forms of nitrogen (NO3-+NO2-) and PO4 were detected in the water column around the island. Trends of higher mean concentrations of all nutrients in summer than in winter, and higher concentrations at low tide than at high tide except at sites close to the island where nutrient levels were high independent of tide, suggest that nutrients may be transported from guano on Heron Island into the water immediately surrounding the island. The exact fate and mechanisms of transport of all nutrients require further attention.
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INTRODUCTION

Eutrophication of coral reefs is a matter of increasing concern for management authorities (e.g., Kelleher 1988, Wilkinson 1993). High levels of added nutrients (usually nitrogen and phosphorus) can have direct toxic effects on corals by hindering calcification (Kinsey & Davies 1979, Rasmussen 1988) and can directly or indirectly mediate changes in community structure from coral- to algal-dominated (Smith et al. 1981, Kinsey 1988). In the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park in Australia, increases in tourism (Ottesen 1988), leaching of naturally derived nutrients (Prove 1988), and leaching of fertilisers used in terrestrial agriculture (Rasmussen 1988) have led to elevation of the extraneous input of nutrients into coral reef systems along the coast of Queensland (see also Bell 1992). However little attention has been paid to the potential for fertilisation of coral reefs with nutrients from the breeding sites of seabirds.

Seabirds that nest on coral cays concentrate nitrogen and phosphorus from surrounding waters and deposit these nutrients onto cays in the form of guano, which is high in both nitrogen and phosphorus (e.g., Hutchinson 1950). Thus, coral cays with large populations of seabirds are potentially sources for localised chronic eutrophication, providing that mechanisms exist to transport deposited nutrients to the surrounding waters. Studies of seabird colonies on rocky shores have found that nutrient concentrations in adjacent waters are significantly greater than those at sites remote from colonies (Bédard et al. 1980, Lindenboom...
investigating changes in the nutrient composition of guano after its deposition in ambient, dry, and humid atmospheres, and (3) search for broad-scale nutrient signals in the waters surrounding Heron Island and examine their relationship with rainfall, season, tidal cycle and distance from the island.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study site.** The work was undertaken on Heron Island (23° 27' S, 151° 55' E) at the southern end of the Great Barrier Reef during February–September 1992. The island is a coral cay (ca 800 × 200 m) and hosts a resort, a research station and a national park. Much of the island is covered by forest consisting largely of *Pisonia grandis* which provides nesting sites for noddy, while the shearwaters nest in burrows on the forest floor.

**Nutrients in guano: effects of atmosphere and ageing.** Noddy guano was collected fresh onto sheets of cellulose-acetate drawing film pinned to platforms in areas where deposition rates were high (to minimise collection time). The mean weight of a fresh dropping was estimated from 180 droppings weighed individually.

To examine the effect of atmospheric humidity and ageing of droppings on nutrient content, a total of ca 18 g of fresh droppings was collected on 2 consecutive afternoons in June. Droppings from the first afternoon were kept cool in a sealed container in the dark, and then combined with droppings from the second collection. Both species of bird have increased dramatically during the past several decades (Barnes & Hill 1989, Hill & Barnes 1989) so that in the summer breeding season of 1992 ca 63,000 pairs of white-capped noddies *Anous minutus* and ca 16,500 pairs of wedgetail shearwaters *Puffinus pacificus* roosted on the island (J. Ogden unpubl. data). Both species of bird effect exogenous input of nutrients rather than direct recycling of reef-derived material since they normally feed away from the reef during the day and return to the island in the late afternoon.

Allaway & Ashford (1984) estimated that ca 45 t of (dry) matter was deposited by seabirds onto Heron Island in 1983, however this estimate needs qualifying since (1) no attempt was made to estimate the substantial amount of guano intercepted by foliage, (2) chemical analyses and estimates of input of nutrients were undertaken on samples of guano contaminated with other material, e.g. sand, feathers and plant material, (3) the age of guano that was analysed varied from 0 to 3 d, which assumes that there is no change in the composition of nutrient species in guano over a 3 day period, (4) it was not determined whether oven-drying guano altered the chemistry of samples, and (5) no attempt was made to investigate the soluble fraction of guano that could leach into groundwater.

The aims of this study were to (1) estimate and compare the amount of human- and bird-derived nitrogen and phosphorus deposited onto Heron Island during 1992, (2) facilitate predictions of the fate of nutrients by investigating changes in the nutrient composition of guano after its deposition in ambient, dry, and humid atmospheres, and (3) search for broad-scale nutrient signals in the waters surrounding Heron Island and examine their relationship with rainfall, season, tidal cycle and distance from the island.

1992, (2) facilitate predictions of the fate of nutrients by were kept frozen until delivery to the Queensland
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Government Chemical Laboratory for analysis of solid and soluble fractions.

Concentrations of ammonia (NH$_3$), nitrite (NO$_2$), total oxidised nitrogen (OXN), total soluble Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN$_{sol}$), total soluble phosphorus (TP$_{sol}$), and orthophosphate (PO$_4$) were determined for the filtrates. Total insoluble Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN$_{insol}$) and total insoluble phosphorus (TP$_{insol}$) were determined for the freeze-dried solids. Other forms of nitrogenous and phosphorous compounds were calculated as:

\[
\begin{align*}
ON_{insol} &= TKN_{insol} \\
ON_{sol} &= TKN_{sol} - NH_3 \\
NO_3 &= OXN - NO_2 \\
TN &= TKN_{sol} + OXN + TKN_{insol} \\
TP &= TP_{sol} + TP_{insol}
\end{align*}
\]

where $ON_{insol}$ = total insoluble organic nitrogen, $ON_{sol}$ = total soluble organic nitrogen, $TN$ = total nitrogen, and $TP$ = total phosphorus. Nutrient content was calculated as percentage of wet weight of the guano sample.

**Size of bird populations.**

**Noddies:** The number of noddies on the island was determined at hourly intervals over 12 to 24 h on each of 6 d (February 4, 16, 29, March 11, June 26, August 15) using 2 methods.

Method 1 (February and March): The mean number of birds per nest at time $t$ ($B_t$) was calculated ($\pm$SE) at hourly intervals for 8 separate trees, viz. 6 *Pandanus pedunculatus*, 1 *Argusia argentia* and 1 *Pisonia grandis*. *P. pedunculatus* was favoured because it was easier to count roosting birds at night in this species than in *P. grandis* and *A. argentia*. All birds and all nests, regardless of occupancy, were counted in each of the 8 trees. Therefore $TB_t = B_t N$ where $TB_t$ = the total number of birds on the island at time $t$ and $N$ = the total number of nests (active plus inactive). We assumed $N = 72,921$ (J. Ogden pers. comm.; data from January survey, 1992).

Method 2 (June and August): During June and August noddies perched only in trees in the *Pisonia grandis* forest. Two $30 \times 30$ m quadrats were set out in the *P. grandis* forest (the sites used by Ogden 1979), and birds perched in trees originating inside the quadrats were counted at hourly intervals ($Q_1$, $Q_2$). Noddies were also counted in two $50 \times 50$ m clearings in the forest ($C_1$, $C_2$), because they congregated in these areas at higher densities than in the forest. The total area of *P. grandis* forest occupied by noddies, estimated from an aerial photograph (1983) was 3.5 ha, not including the 2 clearings. Thus

\[
TB_t = [(Q_1 + Q_2) \times 35,000/1800] + C_1 + C_2
\]

Changes in the population size of noddies were assumed to be linear between sampling occasions. Prior to the first sample in February it was assumed that the population had increased linearly from August 15, 1991, from a level identical to that on August 15, 1992. Similarly, the population after the last sample in August was assumed to increase linearly until February 4, 1993, to attain a level identical to that on February 4, 1992. In June and August birds were unable to be counted after dark in the *Pisonia grandis* forest. For these samples it was assumed, based on our 24 h observations earlier in the year, that the number of noddies on the island at this time was 40% larger than the number at sunset (times of sunrise and sunset were obtained from the star almanac for 1992; Science and Engineering Research Council 1992). The population was assumed to remain constant until 04:00 h the following day and then decrease linearly to the first recorded observation for that day.

**Shearwaters:** The population size of shearwaters in the 1991–1992 breeding season (January 1992) was estimated at ca 16500 breeding pairs (J. Ogden unpubl. data). We assumed the population increased to its maximum of 33 000 by October 14 and remained until mid May, when they all migrated. We assumed that shearwaters migrated daily, leaving the island at dawn and returning 2 h after sunset (J. Staunton Smith & J. Kikkawa pers. obs.).

**Defecation rates of noddies.** Droppings were collected on sheets of black plastic pegged to the ground beneath *Pandanus pedunculatus* trees. *P. pedunculatus* is well suited for this purpose since there is a lack of low foliage to intercept guano, unlike the *Pisonia grandis* trees where large amounts of guano collect on the leaves. At hourly intervals over a 24 h period the number of birds above the plastic ($P_t$) and the number of new droppings on the sheet ($D_t$) were counted. The rate of defecation at time $t$ ($R_t = D_t/[P_t + P_{t-1}]/2$). Defecation rates expressed as total mass of droppings bird$^{-1}$ (unit time)$^{-1}$ were obtained by scaling the number of droppings by the average mass of a single dropping. Rates of defecation were monitored on 3 occasions in summer, but no seasonal data were obtained since birds did not perch in *P. pedunculatus* trees during winter.

**Defecation rates of shearwaters.** Defecation rates of shearwaters cannot be observed easily since they spend most of the time in burrows while on land. Thus, defecation rates were estimated assuming an allometric relationship with the rates measured for noddies and that the daily defecation pattern was the same for both species. Thus

\[
DR_{SW} = DR_N \times M_{SW}\times M_N^{b} = 2.2 \times DR_N
\]

where $DR_{SW}$ and $DR_N$ are defecation rates of shearwaters and noddies respectively; $M_{SW}$ is average mass of
a shearwater (350 g; Serventy et al. 1971), $M_d$ is average mass of a noddie (100 g; Serventy et al. 1971), and $b$ is 0.63 which is the scaling factor for rates of ingestion by birds (Peters 1983).

**Total input of nutrients in fresh guano.** Hourly (00:00 to 23:00 h, determined on 6 days: February 4, 16, 29, March 11, June 26, August 15), daily (from February to August) and yearly (1992) inputs of total fresh guano onto the island were modelled separately for noddies and shearwaters. Amounts of fresh guano were converted to quantities of nutrients on the basis of the nutrient levels in fresh droppings. Hourly inputs were estimated from the product of the number of birds on the island and the defecation rate expressed as mass of droppings bird$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$. Total daily input of guano (g fresh weight), was calculated as the sum of the 24 hourly inputs. Estimates of daily input for days between samples were made assuming linear changes in daily input. The annual input of bird-derived nutrients in 1992 (g fresh weight) was estimated as the sum of all 365 daily inputs.

**Inputs of nutrients from humans.** Daily inputs of human-derived nutrients (total nitrogen and total phosphorus) from the resort and research station (February to August 1992) were calculated separately as the product of the total number of residents, concentration of nutrients in effluent (mg l$^{-1}$) and flow rate of effluent (l person$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$). The 2 were treated separately since the resort operates a sewage treatment plant (secondary treatment only) while the research station uses a septic system. The concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus in the sewage effluent were assumed constant at 11.8 and 16.7 mg l$^{-1}$ respectively (means from 2 sewage-plant-performance reports; Anon 1992a, b), and concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus in septic effluent were assumed constant at 45.5 and 14.5 mg l$^{-1}$ respectively (medium strength effluent from domestic septic systems; Canter & Knox 1985). The average effluent flow for an urban sewage treatment plant is approximately 300 l person$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (P. Bell pers. comm.). However, since water conservation practice on Heron Island reduces influent to lower levels than for urban plants, we assumed a conservative total of 200 l effluent person$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ from both the resort treatment plant and research station septic systems. Inputs from humans on days when population data were not obtained were based on averages from the days when the data were obtained. Total annual input for 1992 was calculated by summing the 365 daily inputs.

**Standing concentrations of nutrients in reef waters.** Water samples were collected at high and low tides, from the surface and 3 to 10 cm above the sediment/water interface (= bottom samples) in each of 5 zones along each of 4 transects perpendicular to the island perimeter and extending from the centre of the island in NNE, ESE, SSW and WNW directions (Zone 1 = 10 m from the beach at low tide, Zone 2 = 100 m from the beach at low tide, Zone 3 = halfway between the beach and the reef crest, Zone 4 = reef crest, Zone 5 = deep water beyond the reef crest). Zones 3 to 5 on the transect that ran through the lagoon were not included in data analyses due to their extreme distance from the island (4 to 9 km).

A total of 182 water samples were collected representing all possible combinations of season (summer and winter) and rainfall (before and after heavy rainfall). Replicate samples within any one time/zone/death/transcet combination were not taken since the results of a pilot study indicated minimal variation in samples taken from the same site at the same time. Zones 1 and 2 only were sampled over 24 to 72 h towards the end of and after 143 mm of rain over 2 d in summer and after 22 mm of rain in a 24 h period in winter. Water samples were filtered through 0.45 µm cellulose acetate filters and frozen immediately. Frozen samples were delivered to the Government Chemical Laboratory for determination of concentrations of NH$_3$, OXN and PO$_4$.

**Statistical analyses.** Statistical analyses were carried out using the SAS software package. ANOVA models were used to test the significance of differences in standing concentrations of nutrients due to the effects of zone, rain, tide, season and position in the water column (all fixed effects). The effect of transect was included in all models but was not tested as there was no replication within transects (transect is a random effect, since although transects were orientated at 90° to each other, the arrangement could be rotated anywhere through 360°). Error mean squares used in F-tests were 'main effect x transect' for main effects and 'interaction effect x transect' for interactions. Data were log-transformed to stabilise variances. Tests for the effect of rain compared Zones 1 and 2 only. Tukey's HSD comparisons (Day & Quinn 1989) were undertaken to determine the nature of significant results. Alpha (rate of type I error) was set at 0.1 given the low power.

**RESULTS**

**Nutrients in guano**

The average fresh weight of a dripping was 0.05 ± 0.004 (SE) g. The moisture content of guano accounted for 60.0% of its fresh weight. Nitrogen and phosphorus accounted for 7.3% and 1.5% of fresh weight respectively, with 67.7% of the nitrogen occurring as soluble...
organic nitrogen, 15.2% as NH₃, and 17.1% as insoluble organic nitrogen. Oxidised forms of nitrogen constituted a negligible percentage of fresh guano. Of the phosphorus in fresh guano, 54.5% occurred as PO₄, with the remainder as insoluble phosphorus.

**Effect of humidity and ageing of guano on phosphorus species**

In all 3 humidity treatments the total phosphorus content of guano (TP_{total} + PO₄) decreased only slightly with time to 28 d after deposition (Fig. 1). The principal difference among humidity treatments was that the concentration of the insoluble fraction was much higher than the soluble [PO₄] in the humid environment, but in ambient and dry humidity atmospheres, TP_{sol} and TP_{insol} were similar.

**Effect of humidity and ageing of guano on nitrogen species**

Since most of the nitrogen occurred in soluble organic form (ON_{sol}) in all 3 humidity treatments, changes in total nitrogen (TN) paralleled closely those of ON_{sol} (Fig. 2). However, atmospheric moisture levels had a considerable effect on rates of change of most nitrogen species in guano. The exception was total oxidised nitrogen (OXN) which occurred in negligible but variable amounts in all samples in all atmospheric conditions.

The most dramatic reductions in the concentration of all forms of nitrogen in guano after deposition occurred in the high humidity treatment (Fig. 2c). Although levels of ON_{sol} increased by 30.4% 24 h after deposition, they were greatly decreased by Day 4, and after 28 d only 6.0% of the initial ON_{sol} remained. Similarly, ON_{insol} and TN decreased by 82.5 and 86.8% respectively in 28 d. Four days after deposition, levels of NH₃ increased by 85.5%, but decreased to 90.2 and 40.1% of initial NH₃ after 7 and 28 d respectively.

In the ambient atmosphere ON_{sol} increased by 23.5% after 1 d and by 50.6% after 4 d before decreasing to 79.9% of the original levels by Day 28 (Fig. 2a). A similar pattern occurred in TN, but the rate of decrease over 28 d was greater (to 72.4% of TN_{fresh guano}) since amounts of both NH₃ and ON_{insol} also decreased with time (to 57.9 and 30.3% of the quantities in fresh guano respectively).
The trends in the dry atmosphere were similar to those at ambient conditions, although the initial increase in ON\textsubscript{sol} was not as pronounced (Fig. 2b). Total N decreased by 24.6% after 14 days exposure but remained stable until the end of the experiment, and amounts of ON\textsubscript{sol} declined by 29.4% after 28 days. No clear trend was evident in ON\textsubscript{i} which varied around 1% of the fresh weight of guano. In the dry atmosphere, NH\textsubscript{3} in aged guano (of any age) was less than in fresh guano.

**pH of guano**

In the dry and ambient atmospheres there was little change in the initial pH\textsubscript{fresh} of 6.8 over 28 days (Fig. 3). In contrast, samples became alkaline in the humid treatment by Day 4 (pH = 8.2 to 8.5) and remained so for the duration of the experiment.

**Daily and seasonal changes in bird populations in 1992**

**Noddies**

Noddies demonstrated strong diurnal migrations: greatest numbers of resident birds were recorded at night and lowest numbers were recorded during daylight (Fig. 4). Large seasonal changes also occurred; assuming the estimate for 23:00 hours to indicate total population size, the population decreased by ca 40% from February to mid March. By June and August the population had declined to ca 3% of the maximum population recorded in summer.

**Shearwaters**

Ogden (unpubl.) estimated ca 16,500 breeding pairs of shearwaters roosted on the island in January 1992. We assumed this population was maintained from mid October to mid May, and that during their residency, shearwaters leave the island at dawn and return 2 h after sunset (based on Kikkawa 1970).

**Defecation rates**

Defecation rates of noddies peaked at 19:00 h at 13.17 ± 0.6 droppings bird\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1} (Fig. 5). At an average of 0.05 ± 0.004 g dropping\textsuperscript{-1} this equates to 0.65 g fresh guano bird\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1} at 19:00 h. The lowest rates of defecation were between 02:00 and 05:00 h at ca 1 dropping bird\textsuperscript{-1} h\textsuperscript{-1}. Hourly rates of defecation for shearwaters were estimated by scaling rates for noddies by 2.2 (see Methods).

**Guano input in 1992**

Estimates of guano deposited daily onto the island by noddies ranged from 738 kg d\textsuperscript{-1} (February 4) to 16 kg d\textsuperscript{-1} (June 26). The total deposition of fresh guano by noddies in 1992 was estimated to be 107.1 t.

Since the daily arrival and departure of shearwaters appears to be based on the rising and setting of the sun, we assumed their estimated daily input of guano increased accordingly as length decreased. Also, shearwaters were assumed to be absent from the island from May 17 until October 14. Given these assumptions, the estimated annual total input of fresh guano by shearwaters in 1992 was 21.2 t. Assuming guano of both species is similar in chemical composition, fresh guano deposited onto Heron Island in 1992 by both noddies and shearwaters amounted to 9.4 t of nitrogen and 1.9 t of phosphorus (Table 1).

**Table 1. Anous minutus and Puffinus pacificus. Estimated inputs of nitrogen and phosphorus onto Heron Island, January to December 1992, from noddies, shearwaters, and human occupants of the resort and research station. Values in parentheses are percentages of total.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Total N (t)</th>
<th>Total P (t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noddies</td>
<td>7.8 (80.5)</td>
<td>1.6 (71.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shearwaters</td>
<td>1.6 (16.5)</td>
<td>0.3 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resort</td>
<td>0.20 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.29 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research station</td>
<td>0.09 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.03 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.71 (100.0)</td>
<td>2.25 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nutrient input from humans 1992

Estimates of daily human input of TN and TP (based on daily occupancies, concentrations of N and P in effluent and effluent flow rates) between February and August varied from 255 to 802 g d⁻¹ (resort) and 111 to 778 g d⁻¹ (research station) for nitrogen, and 361 to 1135 g d⁻¹ (resort) and 40 to 278 g d⁻¹ (research station) for phosphorus. The estimated total input of nutrients from humans in 1992 (0.29 t nitrogen, 0.32 t phosphorus) represents only 2.9 and 13.7% respectively of the total input of nitrogen and phosphorus from all sources (Table 1).

Standing concentrations of nutrients in reef waters

In examining effects of tide, season, rain (before vs after rain) and zone (distance from island) on the standing concentrations of nutrients (Tables 2 & 3), only significant results are presented (Table 4). The results of Tukey's HSD tests are not presented in detail in the interests of space, but overall trends are summarised as follows.

Overall, standing concentrations of OXN (NO₂⁺NO₃), NH₃ and PO₄ were significantly higher in summer than in winter, although the effect of season was often dependent on tide and distance from the island, i.e. zone (Table 4). In summer, concentrations of NH₃ and PO₄ were significantly higher at low tide than at high tide, but in winter concentrations of these nutrients were uniformly low irrespective of tide, therefore yielding significant season × tide interactions. Levels of NH₃ and PO₄ at the surface also demonstrated a zone × tide interaction; at high tide, concentrations 10 m from the island were higher than at sites further away (this trend was not significant for PO₄), but at low tide, levels of nutrients closest to the island did not differ significantly from those across the reef flat and beyond the reef crest, which were comparable with the high tide values closest to the island. Analysis of data from Zones 1 and 2 only, which enabled testing of effects of rain, showed the same pattern, but only in summer, resulting in a zone × tide × season interaction.

There was no evidence that rain influenced concentrations of NH₃ in the water.
Table 2. Concentrations (mg l\(^{-1}\)) of NH\(_3\), OXN (NO\(_2\)+NO\(_3\)) and PO\(_4\) (mean, SE in parentheses) in water samples collected before rain, in summer and winter in 5 zones (see 'Methods'), on the surface and from 3 to 10 cm above the sediment/water interface (bottom), and at high and low tides. Superscripted numbers indicate the number of replicate samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High tide</td>
<td>Low tide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH(_3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.264 (0.122)(^4)</td>
<td>0.060 (0.017)(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.046 (0.017)(^4)</td>
<td>0.228 (0.198)(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.067 (0.047)(^3)</td>
<td>0.119 (0.086)(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.080 (0.070)(^3)</td>
<td>0.016 (0.003)(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.013 (0.007)(^3)</td>
<td>0.093 (0.030)(^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| OXN | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.076 (0.061)\(^4\) | 0.016 (0.004)\(^4\) | 0.030 (0.016)\(^3\) | 0.019 (0.005)\(^3\) | 0.024 (0.013)\(^3\) | 0.006 (0.000)\(^4\) |
| 2 | 0.015 (0.005)\(^3\) | 0.034 (0.020)\(^3\) | 0.021 (0.008)\(^3\) | 0.023 (0.007)\(^3\) | 0.007 (0.002)\(^3\) | 0.007 (0.004)\(^3\) |
| 3 | 0.010 (0.002)\(^3\) | 0.027 (0.007)\(^3\) | 0.051 (0.064)\(^3\) | 0.023 (0.006)\(^3\) | 0.007 (0.001)\(^3\) | 0.006 (0.001)\(^3\) |
| 4 | 0.013 (0.003)\(^3\) | 0.018 (0.004)\(^3\) | 0.031 (0.005)\(^3\) | 0.057 (0.025)\(^3\) | 0.011 (0.003)\(^3\) | 0.009 (0.005)\(^3\) |
| 5 | 0.006 (0.001)\(^3\) | 0.037 (0.011)\(^3\) | 0.019 (0.006)\(^3\) | 0.009 (0.002)\(^3\) | 0.005 (0.002)\(^3\) | 0.004 (0.001)\(^3\) |

| PO\(_4\) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0.021 (0.009)\(^3\) | 0.009 (0.002)\(^3\) | 0.100 (0.048)\(^3\) | 0.116 (0.016)\(^3\) | 0.010 (0.004)\(^3\) | 0.006 (0.000)\(^3\) |
| 2 | 0.009 (0.004)\(^3\) | 0.019 (0.014)\(^3\) | 0.067 (0.027)\(^3\) | 0.043 (0.010)\(^3\) | 0.007 (0.001)\(^3\) | 0.006 (0.000)\(^3\) |
| 3 | 0.006 (0.003)\(^3\) | 0.013 (0.005)\(^3\) | 0.430 (0.249)\(^3\) | 0.340 (0.195)\(^3\) | 0.007 (0.000)\(^3\) | 0.007 (0.001)\(^3\) |
| 4 | 0.006 (0.003)\(^3\) | 0.004 (0.001)\(^3\) | 0.042 (0.023)\(^3\) | 0.079 (0.022)\(^3\) | 0.014 (0.007)\(^3\) | 0.007 (0.000)\(^3\) |
| 5 | 0.003 (0.000)\(^3\) | 0.010 (0.002)\(^3\) | 0.126 (0.058)\(^3\) | 0.079 (0.021)\(^3\) | 0.006 (0.000)\(^3\) | 0.006 (0.000)\(^3\) |

Table 3. Concentrations (mg l\(^{-1}\)) of NH\(_3\), OXN (NO\(_2\)+NO\(_3\)) and PO\(_4\) (mean, SE in parentheses) in water samples collected after rain, in summer and winter in 2 zones (see 'Methods'), on the surface and from 3 to 10 cm above the sediment/water interface (bottom), and at high and low tides. Superscripted numbers indicate the number of replicate samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High tide</td>
<td>Low tide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>Bottom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH(_3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.090 (0.013)(^4)</td>
<td>0.160 (0.016)(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.110 (0.043)(^3)</td>
<td>0.163 (0.074)(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.011 (0.001)(^3)</td>
<td>0.013 (0.000)(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.023 (0.011)(^3)</td>
<td>0.028 (0.015)(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO(_4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.044 (0.009)(^3)</td>
<td>0.064 (0.024)(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.017 (0.009)(^3)</td>
<td>0.094 (0.049)(^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
column around the island. However, concentrations of PO₄ before rain at low tide were significantly higher than at high tide, but after rain, high tide and low tide values were not significantly different since levels at high tide were higher and at low tide were lower relative to levels before rain. However, this pattern was evident in summer only, with winter concentrations of PO₄ uniformly low regardless of tide or the incidence of rain, resulting in a significant tide × rain × season interaction. It appears that the sensitivity of PO₄ levels to rain only occurs close to the island (Zone 1 = 10 m from the beach), since in Zone 2 (100 m from the beach) the typical pattern of higher concentrations at low tide than at high tide were not affected by rain.

**DISCUSSION**

Both human and bird populations on Heron Island, the 2 major sources of nutrients, have increased substantially over the past few decades. While plants on islands away from major land masses may depend on extraneous fertilisation (e.g. Lindenboom 1984), coral reefs surrounding coral cays are susceptible to changes in the status of nitrogen and phosphorus loadings (e.g. Smith et al. 1981). Therefore, there is interest in both the relative and absolute inputs of human- and bird-derived nutrients on Heron Island, and particular interest in the likelihood that the recent large increases in nutrient input will increase loadings in the water around the island, and possibly affect the reef community on the surrounding reef.

**Input of bird-derived nutrients**

The estimated input of guano from noddies during 1992 (107.1 t fresh wt = 42.9 t dry wt) was similar to that estimated by Allaway & Ashford (1984) for 1983 (45 t dry wt), despite a 70% increase in the population of noddies over this time. Clearly there is a large discrepancy in the 2 estimates, particularly in that this study attempted to include the significant amount of guano intercepted by the foliage of *Pisonia grandis* trees but Allaway & Ashford did not. We suggest that Allaway & Ashford over estimated guano input for several reasons. First, their *sampling* was non selective and *included* guano from birds other than noddies, and material other than guano (e.g. feathers, plant material, and sand). Also, by sampling only in January and September, it is likely that the seasonal population minimum was missed. This might account for their estimate of only 23% seasonal variation in the mass of material deposited on the island, compared with our estimate of 98% seasonal variation.
In addition to the guano deposited by nodddies in 1992, an estimated 22.0 t fresh guano (= 8.8 t dry wt) was deposited by shearwaters. This estimate was based on the assumption of an allometric relationship with the defecation rate of nodddies, and uses published data of seasonal and daily fluctuations in number of birds, but it is likely to be of the correct order.

**Fate of bird-derived nutrients**

Larkum et al. (1988) calculated a mean rate of nitrogen fixation at One Tree Reef, ca 3 nautical miles east of Heron Reef, of 8 to 16 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). Assuming a similar rate of fixation on Heron Reef, then the deposition of 9.4 t of bird-derived nitrogen onto Heron Island in 1992, which equates to a rate of nitrogen input of 550 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), is enormous by comparison. Add to this the deposition of 1.9 t of bird-derived phosphorus onto an island surrounded by waters typically low in this element (D'Elia & Wiebe 1990, this study), and Heron Island represents a significant, potential point source of nitrogen and phosphorus within the reef ecosystem. Whether this potential is ever realised depends on the fate of nutrients following deposition.

Direct runoff after heavy rain and leaching to groundwater with subsequent dispersal during tidal oscillations, are 2 mechanisms that can transport nutrients from terrestrial guano to surrounding seawater. Bosman & Hockey (1986) found that of the total nutrients released from fresh seabird guano dissolved in water for 24 h, less than 8% was released by 12 mm of artificial rain, and for aged guano the amount was less than 1%. These results suggest that significantly more than 12 mm of rain is needed to leach as little as one-quarter of the soluble nutrients from guano. On most occasions less than 10 mm of rain falls in a 24 h period at Heron Island. even in the summer wet season (long-term averages, Bureau of Meteorology 1991, 1992), so that only a small fraction of the soluble nutrients in guano could be expected to leach within a 24 h period. The question arises as to the fate of nutrients remaining in the guano.

**Volatilisation of ammonia**

We showed experimentally that humid conditions, which are associated with rainfall, cause at least a 56% loss of total initial nitrogen in guano within 4 d of deposition. A humid atmosphere promotes decomposition of organic nitrogen to NH\(_3\), with subsequent loss of NH\(_3\) through volatilisation. Accelerated losses of NH\(_3\) in humid compared with drier atmospheres is probably attributable to the higher pH of guano in humid conditions (Fig. 3; Rump & Krist 1988). It is likely that under natural conditions loss of nitrogen from volatilisation of NH\(_3\) occurs more rapidly than is indicated by our estimates, since in the summer wet season rainfall and wind usually occur together. In the last 20 yr, over one-third of days between January and July experienced rainfall (Bureau of Meteorology 1992). Thus, it is likely that most of the organic nitrogen in fresh guano deposited during the wet season is soon lost as NH\(_3\), i.e. this pathway represents the single largest flux of deposited nitrogen. However, not all volatilised NH\(_3\) is lost from the system since atmospheric NH\(_3\) dissolves in rain (Lindenboom 1984). In this way some amount of nitrogen will be returned to the island and surrounding waters, depending on wind intensity prior to and during periods of rain.

**Nitrification**

Ammonia oxidised to leachable NO\(_2\) and NO\(_3\) is no longer susceptible to volatilisation, although under anaerobic conditions it may be reduced to nitrogen gas (denitrification) and lost to the atmosphere (Riley & Chester 1971). Nitrification during dry periods will increase the proportion of nitrogen that leaches and decrease the proportion that volatilises.

In summary, the amount of nitrogen from guano lost as NH\(_3\) gas, leached into the cay, or which remains in solid form above ground depends on rainfall and humidity. If heavy rain does not fall soon after deposition then only a relatively small proportion of the nitrogen in the fresh guano will leach into the cay. The exact quantity of leached nitrogen will be determined by several factors. Frequent falls of small volumes of rain will cause most of the organic nitrogen to be decomposed to NH\(_3\) and volatilise rapidly, and only a small percentage will leach onto the island. If strong winds precede and accompany rainfall, a percentage of atmospheric NH\(_3\) will return to the cay but most will be deposited away from the reef. During periods without rain, ammonification and nitrification will form compounds that remain in the soil until they are absorbed by plants or leach with rain. Estimating these processes for a given period on Heron Island will require careful monitoring of rainfall, wind and humidity, and further experimentation.

**Phosphorus**

Unlike nitrogen, no substantial changes in total phosphorus levels in guano were observed over 28 d after deposition under experimental conditions. This suggests that leaching of the soluble phosphorus com-
ponent of guano (PO$_4$) by rain is the only significant transport mechanism. However, given the high affinity of phosphate to bind with the parent material (CaCO$_3$) of the cay (Furnas 1990), leaching of phosphorus into the porous cay does not necessarily imply transport to reef waters.

## Input of sewage

Approximately 0.3 t of both nitrogen and phosphorus was introduced to the cay system in 1992 from the resort and research station, amounting to ca 3 and 14.2% of the total input of nitrogen and phosphorus respectively. However, in contrast to the need for rain to transport nutrients from guano to groundwater, nutrients from the sewage plant and septic systems are released in liquid form so that their transport to groundwater occurs continuously. Krol et al. (1992) measured high concentrations of NH$_3$ in a well situated close to the soakaway of the sewage plant after an extensive period without rain. Although they found that nitrification and dilution by NO$_3$-rich groundwater decreased the concentration of NH$_3$ with depth, the levels of NH$_3$ suggested that a substantial portion of the effluent reaches the deep groundwater in the absence of rain.

## Is there transport of nutrients to surrounding reef waters?

Given continuous supply of nutrients from sewage to groundwater, and the high likelihood of seasonal transport of bird-derived nutrients (unknown amounts) to groundwater with rain, release of terrestrial-deposited nutrients to surrounding waters may occur through the mechanisms of tidal pumping and/or heavy rainfall. Certainly, concentrations of both NH$_3$ and PO$_4$ are elevated in the island groundwater (P. Bell & A. Krol, unpubl. data).

Despite interaction effects that qualified some results, our analyses of standing concentrations of nutrients in reef waters demonstrated 2 patterns consistent with release of nutrients from the island. First, concentrations of NH$_3$, OXN and PO$_4$ were consistently and significantly higher in summer than in winter, correlating with the period of high input of nutrients onto the island by birds. Although the correlation may underscore a causal mechanism, an alternative explanation is that the summer increase is independent of elevated deposition rates of bird-derived nutrients, but reflects seasonal variation in biological activity on the reef and in mechanisms of resuspension of sediment nutrients (see D’Elia & Wiebe 1990). It is noteworthy that the seasonal pattern was largely independent of the incidence of rain, the exception being that levels of PO$_4$ were sensitive to rain, but only in summer and in the zone closest to the island.

The other general pattern was that, in summer, nutrient levels at high tide were significantly lower than those at low tide except close to the island where they remained high irrespective of tide. We interpret this to reflect dilution of the relatively nutrient-rich water that accumulates over the reef flat at low tide with influx of nutrient-poor inter-reefal water as the tide rises. However, the significant trend that nearshore levels of NH$_3$ and PO$_4$ remain high at high tide (in most cases), despite strong water currents in depths of ca 2 m effecting water exchange at the sample sites at high tide, suggests that nutrients may emanate from the island.

Measurement of standing concentration of nutrients is a coarse technique for detecting changes in nutrient loading since increases in loading may not generate a signal in concentration given rapid uptake of nutrients by microalgae and bacteria (Valiela 1984). That our investigation detected significant changes in nutrient concentrations consistent with transport of nutrient-rich groundwater to reefal water may indicate a significant but localised nutrient loading from the island. However, questions of connectivity between groundwater and reef waters, rates of transport of leached nutrients after rain, and the potential impact of increased nutrient loading on the reef community deserve further attention.
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