Tridimensional matrices of mussels *Perumytilus purpuratus* on intertidal platforms with varying wave forces in central Chile
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**ABSTRACT:** *Perumytilus purpuratus* is the dominant competitor for primary substrate in the mid-intertidal zone of central Chile's rocky shores. This mussel is capable of forming dense matrices (beds) that support rich infaunal and epiphytic communities. Three platforms, exposed, semi-exposed, and sheltered, were sampled to determine aspects of the mussel *P. purpuratus* size structure and temporal dynamics. Palumbi's dynamometers were used to measure intertidal wave forces. Mussel samples from the 3 platforms were collected approximately every second month between April 1982 and June 1983. For sampling, mussels were removed sequentially in order to maintain the stratified structure (layers) of the mussel matrices. Populations of *P. purpuratus* show a bimodal size distribution when considering their entire size range. Although this bimodality is consistent through time and space, our results show important differences for the size distributions both spatially and temporally. Spatially, the differences could arise as a result of varying wave exposures. Adults showed a higher mean size with decreasing exposure. Temporally, the differences arise due to changes in the number of recruits entering the population. Within platforms, the observed differences when comparing upper and lower mussel layers could arise as a result of differential survival and growth rates between them. We also suggest that retention of recruits is higher in lower layers due to increased complexity of the matrix and reduced water flow. The sampling method developed to collect separate mussel layers proved to be crucial for the recognition of population dynamics processes occurring within the stratified structure of mussel matrices.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Perumytilus purpuratus* (Lamarck, 1819) is one of the most common rocky intertidal mussels along the southeastern Pacific coast of South America, from Ecuador to the Strait of Magellan, extending also to the Atlantic coast of South America, as far as Santa Cruz, Argentina (Osorio & Bahamonde 1968). *P. purpuratus* forms intertidal 'beds': tridimensional matrices of organisms in the mid-intertidal fringe (Lozada 1964, Castilla 1981). These mussel beds have been considered as monospecific matrices (Castilla 1981, Paine et al. 1985), with *P. purpuratus* being the species that uses the primary substrate (rock), out-competing other sessile organisms such as barnacles, algae, and other mussel species (Castilla & Durán 1985, Paine et al. 1985, Suchanek 1986, Castilla et al. 1989, Durán & Castilla 1989, Navarrete & Castilla 1990). Mussel beds have also been considered as microhabitats enhancing the establishment of assemblages of different sessile and mobile organisms (Tokeshi et al. 1989), or acting as communities in themselves (Reish 1964, Penchaszadeh 1973, Suchanek 1979). They also show a high structural heterogeneity (Suchanek 1979, 1986). Size distributions of *Perumytilus purpuratus* have been documented in the literature (Lozada 1964, Paine et al. 1985), however, the emphasis has been placed on
mussels above 2 mm, making the identification and counting processes easier. Paine et al. (1985) reported a relatively uniform size distribution for *P. purpuratus* based on samples of 3 Chilean coastal localities.

Preliminary observations suggested to us the occurrence of bimodality in bed samples of *Perumytilus purpuratus*. Bimodal size distributions have recently been reported for populations of a wide range of organisms, including animals and terrestrial plants (Pollock 1979, Griffiths & Seiderer 1980, Tegner & Levin 1983, Huston 1986). Huston & DeAngelis (1987) reviewed the potential mechanisms causing bimodality in monospecific populations. The mechanisms described include inherent ones such as sexual dimorphism, genetic variation, and maximum size limits. Also reported were imposed mechanisms such as competition, predation, and environmental heterogeneity. Recently, Botsford et al. (1994) reformulated the interpretation of the main ('somewhat counterintuitive') mechanisms causing bimodality based on a size-structured demographic model.

Wave impact has been recognized as an important factor influencing the spatial and temporal distribution of organisms in the intertidal zone (Jones & Demetropoulos 1968, Harger 1970, Koehl 1982, Palumbi 1984, Denny 1988). Most of this work has been focused on morphological adaptations and the biomechanics of the organisms attached to substrate in exposed environments (Koehl 1984, 1986, Vogel 1984, Denny 1987). The effects of wave exposure on the population structure and dynamics of intertidal species has also been documented, but to a lesser extent (Harger 1970, Harger & Landenberger 1971, Leigh et al. 1987).

In this paper we analyze the size frequency distributions of *Perumytilus purpuratus* beds in samples from 3 sites, each experiencing a different wave exposure. We discuss processes capable of producing the observed bimodal patterns found in *P. purpuratus* mussel beds.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted on the rocky shore of the Estación Costera de Investigaciones Marinas, P. Universidad Católica de Chile at Las Cruces, Chile: 33°29'S, 71°38'W (Castilla & Durán 1985). The working sites were 3 metamorphic gneiss platforms (Oliva & Castilla 1986) in which there were dense *Perumytilus purpuratus* beds. Initially, the platforms were subjectively classified as exposed, semi-exposed and sheltered.

One mussel sample per platform was taken from April 1982 to June 1983, approximately every second month. A 20 x 20 cm steel quadrat was inserted in the matrix and mussels were removed from inside the quadrat until the bottom was free of macroscopic individuals. This removal process was done sequentially, identifying different layers by painting the mussels visible to the remover inside the quadrat. Layers were identified as upper, middle and lower, and the mussels collected from each layer kept separate.

All mussels collected from each site were measured by recording the total length. For the larger mussels, measurements were taken using a vernier caliper to the nearest 0.1 cm; for the smaller ones, those usually attached to the byssal threads of larger conspecifics, measurements were taken using a microscope graticule to the nearest 0.001 mm.

Size distributions were compared using maximum likelihood analysis of variance (ANOVA; Proc CATMOD, SAS Institute Inc. 1988) which uses maximum likelihood estimation to find the parameter values and generalized Wald statistics for the hypothesis testing. The number of size classes was reduced both to avoid frequencies of zero within the range, and to increase effective sample size per class. Thus, we used 10 mussel size classes of 4 mm each. Paired tests were used to compare the distributions of interest (platforms, months or layers) following the same method. The November 1982 sample for the exposed platform was lost. Hence, this month was excluded from the statistical analyses. In the case of the layers, we compared only upper and lower layers, due to the absence of the middle layer in some of the samples. Adult size-distributions were compared using Studentized Maximum Modulus’ (SMM) multiple comparison procedure (Proc GLM; SAS Institute Inc. 1988) for differences in mean size, and Proc CATMOD for comparison of frequencies. In this case, we excluded juveniles, mussels smaller than 10 mm in length (Lozada & Reyes 1981), from the analysis.

Several years after taking and analyzing the samples, observations were made at the same mussel bed platforms to assess the wave forces acting upon them. The intertidal wave force measuring device developed by Palumbi (1984) was used. In the first observation (April 1988) wave forces were determined using 4 of Palumbi’s dynamometers mounted on a wooden plate: 10 cm wide, 40 cm long and 1 cm thick. The dynamometers were 10 cm apart on each plate, and fixed on each platform using anchoring bolts. Maximum wave forces were registered from low to high tide at 1 h intervals. Nevertheless, only mean-maximum wave force values were compared using ANOVAs followed by SMM multiple comparison procedure (Proc GLM; SAS Institute Inc. 1988). In the second observation (September 1994), wave forces were determined using the same dynamometers placed on aluminum plates: 10 cm wide, 65 cm long and 0.2 cm thick. The dynamometers were 15 cm apart. On this occasion, observations were carried out continually for 5 d. Due to
Table 1. Wave exposure. Values are mean ± SE maximum wave forces (N m⁻²) registered at 3 rocky platforms on which there were dense *Perumytilus purpuratus* beds. SMM multiple comparisons were performed among platforms by days. Means with the same letter are not significantly different (α = 0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Exposed</th>
<th>Semi-exposed</th>
<th>Sheltered</th>
<th>SMM multiple comparison among platforms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Semi-exposed</td>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Apr 1988</td>
<td>11882 ± 308</td>
<td>8330 ± 283</td>
<td>7840 ± 566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sep 1994</td>
<td>20194 ± 594</td>
<td>12139 ± 1066</td>
<td>7016 ± 735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Sep 1994</td>
<td>25242 ± 2570</td>
<td>11359 ± 988</td>
<td>6905 ± 643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Sep 1994</td>
<td>8925 ± 398</td>
<td>10691 ± 1013</td>
<td>7016 ± 1170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Sep 1994</td>
<td>7907 ± 334</td>
<td>8000 ± 481</td>
<td>5568 ± 782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Sep 1994</td>
<td>7907 ± 334</td>
<td>1225 ± 640</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

observed sea conditions (storm), no measurements were obtained for the exposed site during the first day. Maximum wave forces were compared using ANOVAs and the SMM multiple comparison procedure.

**RESULTS**

Statistical analyses on maximum wave forces showed significant differences for the majority of comparisons among platforms (Table 1, Fig. 1). The highest mean values were always recorded on the exposed platform, whilst the lowest values were always recorded on the sheltered one (Table 1).

The observed mussel size distribution, when pooling all samples, corresponds to a multimodal distribution with 2 main peaks (Fig. 2). The first peak is located in the 0 to 2 mm range, and corresponds to recently settled individuals (Ramorino & Campos 1979, 1983). The second peak is located in the 26 to 28 mm range, and corresponds to the adult segment of the population.

Among platforms (Fig. 3), the statistical analyses showed significant differences for both the size distributions (Proc CATMOD; $\chi^2 = 603.9, 16$ df, $p < 0.0001$) and the mean size of adults (>10 mm) ($F = 128.6, 2$ df, $p < 0.0001$). Paired chi-squared tests indicate that all platforms were significantly different ($p < 0.0001$) for both the total and adult distributions. The SMM paired tests showed that, in the case of adult size distributions, all the comparisons among platforms were significantly different (mean = 2.39, 3121 df, $p < 0.01$). The highest mean adult size was found on the sheltered platform (25.87 mm); an intermediate mean size on the semi-exposed platform (25.15 mm), and the lowest mean size value on the exposed one (22.07 mm).

Among dates (Fig. 4), the most important differences in the size distributions are related to the number of recruits, even though bimodality is always present. The proportion of recruits ranged from 34.3% in June 1982 to 68.5% in February 1983 (Table 2). The maximum likelihood ANOVA showed significant differences when comparing months (Proc CATMOD; $\chi^2 = 863.8, 36$ df, $p < 0.0001$). Paired chi-squared tests indicated that all differences between months were highly significant ($p < 0.0001$). When excluding the juveniles...
Fig. 3. *Perumytilus purpuratus*. Size distribution for the exposed, semi-exposed, and sheltered platforms at Las Cruces rocky intertidal. For each platform, data was obtained pooling all months (except November 1982) and layers together. Arrows indicate modes at adult sizes (>10 mm shell length).

Table 2. *Perumytilus purpuratus*. Total numbers and percentage contribution of <2 and >2 mm mussels by month when pooling all sites together.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>No. of individuals</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2 mm</td>
<td>&gt;2 mm</td>
<td>&lt;2 mm</td>
<td>&gt;2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1982</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>47.87</td>
<td>55.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 1982</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>34.33</td>
<td>65.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 1982</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>50.16</td>
<td>49.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 1982</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>59.71</td>
<td>40.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 1983</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>66.46</td>
<td>31.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 1983</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>56.28</td>
<td>41.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. *Perumytilus purpuratus*. Comparisons of adult size distributions (>10 mm shell length) amongst months, using SMM multiple comparison (Proc GLM; SAS Institute 1988). Means with the same letter are not significantly different (α = 0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SMM multiple comparison grouping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1982</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 1982</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>24.92</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 1982</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>23.27</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 1983</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>24.32</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 1983</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. *Perumytilus purpuratus*. Size distribution for various dates during the sampling period (April 1982 to June 1983). For each month, data was obtained pooling all platforms together from the analysis, most mean size comparisons showed no significant differences (Table 3).

For the upper and lower layers (Fig. 5), the maximum likelihood ANOVA showed significant differences for both the whole size distribution ($\chi^2 = 241.4$, 9 df, $p < 0.001$) and adult size distributions ($\chi^2 = 131.8$, 6 df, $p < 0.0001$). The ANOVA on mean adult size showed significant differences when comparing the upper (25.71 mm) and lower (23.16 mm) layers ($F = 126.1$, df 1, $p < 0.0001$).
Bimodal size distributions have been reported for a wide range of organisms but the different causal mechanisms suggested probably have been misidentified until recently (Botsford et al. 1994). Most bimodal size distributions have been explained in terms of mechanisms affecting the natural mortality of individuals within a certain range of the size structure. The process of growth has scarcely been considered in most of these analyses.

Botsford et al. (1994) described a situation where bimodality can be explained by means of a size-structured model for which mortality and growth rates decrease with size. According to our findings, we suggest that bimodality in *Perumytilus purpuratus* rocky intertidal populations can result from similar processes.

The first mode in the size distribution of this mussel would arise due to the permanent presence of a large number of recruits in the environment (Table 2), accompanied by a high mortality rate in small individuals. Natural mortality in post-metamorphic and juvenile individuals is expected to vary during the year due to changes in environmental conditions such as temperature, humidity, and salinity. However, we suggest that other (biotic) key factors such as predation (Navarrete & Castilla 1988) and/or intra-specific competition (Seed 1969, 1976, Guínez 1996) may help to keep natural mortality of juvenile *Perumytilus purpuratus* at a high rate during the whole year. Navarrete & Castilla (1988) documented a high predation pressure on small *P. purpuratus* (3 to 6 mm shell length) by the carnivorous intertidal crabs *Acanthocyclus gayi* Milne-Edwards et Lucas and *A. hassleri* Rathbun. Furthermore, Méndez & Cancino (1990) and Dye (1991) reported a strong selection for small *P. purpuratus*, <10 mm, by juveniles of the muricid gastropod *Concholepas concholepas*, another key intertidal predator on Chilean rocky shores (Castilla et al. 1979, 1994, Castilla 1981, Guisado & Castilla 1983, Moreno et al. 1986).

The second mode, at adult sizes (>10 mm), could be explained as a result of differential natural mortality among size classes. We suggest that the second mode found in *Perumytilus purpuratus* would result from a process in which mortality decreases with increasing mussel size up to a certain limit, beyond which mortality rises due to increased exposure to wave action.

According to observations made at the Las Cruces intertidal rocky shore, wave forces vary considerably among and within platforms harboring mussel matrices. Variations were also observed over time. The wave forces experienced by the exposed platform were significantly higher than those observed on the other platforms. Wave forces experienced by the semi-exposed and sheltered platforms showed significant differences in 3 out of the 6 days monitored. Intertidal wave forces at the exposed Las Cruces platform in winter were higher than those observed in summer by Palumby (1984), using the same measuring device, at a very exposed intertidal site (Tatoosh Island, WA, USA).

Among platforms, the differences in the *Perumytilus purpuratus* size distributions could arise as a result of changes in the mortality rates of juvenile and adult individuals. For instance, juveniles would probably be more susceptible to changes in environmental factors such as temperature and humidity. Hence, their natural mortality could probably be higher on semi-exposed and sheltered platforms (Seed 1969, Suchanek 1978). The wave force by itself would also affect survival of juveniles. Nevertheless, this effect is expected to be greatly diminished inside the mussel bed due to the wave buffering role played by the matrix itself (Suchanek 1979).

The observed differences in mean adult size could also be explained in terms of wave exposure. For instance, it can be argued that a high degree of exposure (exposed platform) can be translated into a comparative higher mortality of adult mussels due to mechanical wave removal (Castilla unpubl. results).

Natural mortality of mussels is expected to increase once they have grown to a critical size, due to increased exposure to wave action. With increasing wave force, we expect a smaller critical size for which mortality increases, thus resulting in a lower mean size for adults. Harger (1970) showed an inverse relationship between wave exposure and maximum mussel size for *Mytilus edulis* occurring naturally on the Santa Barbara coast.

During the period of study, the highest number of *Perumytilus purpuratus* mussels was always found in the
size range between 0.5 and 0.7 mm shell length. Ramórino & Campos (1979, 1983) reported a post-larval settling size of around 0.2 mm for this mussel species. Thus, the most abundant fraction of the *P. purpuratus* population can be considered as recruits. The proportion of small mussels changes throughout the year, as expected from changes in the spawners proportion reported by Lozada & Reyes (1981). The large differences in the number of recruits observed in June 1982 and June 1983 could be due to oceanographic or climatic phenomena (e.g. El Niño-Southern Oscillation 1982–1983) that would directly affect the reproductive success of the species, and make effective recruitment a seasonal or episodic event rather than a continuous one.

Additionally, individuals of *Perumytilus purpuratus* smaller than 2.0 mm in shell length are always present in the mussel beds, in higher numbers than those observed for other mussel species such as *Braquidontes granulata*, *Semimytilus algosus* and *Lassaea* sp. (Castilla unpubl. data) that do not persist as adults in the *P. purpuratus* beds. This evidence suggests that a numerical threshold may exist for the number of recruits to effectively establish in the *P. purpuratus* beds.

The differences between the upper and lower layers of *Perumytilus purpuratus* could arise as a result of differential recruitment. Although the statistical analyses showed differences in the adult size distributions, the mode for both layers is the same (Fig. 5). The observed differences between layers are due to the presence of a greater number of intermediate size individuals in the lower layer rather than a higher modal value in the upper layer. The higher number of juveniles in the lower layer may be due to a higher retention of recruits. This increased retention is due to the following: first, the reduced turbulence (and ‘washing’ power) resulting from the presence of the upper layers would greatly favor the retention of recruits in the lower layers; and second, as a result of the reduced turbulence, a higher retention of suspension material and debris could facilitate settlement of recruits inside the matrix. Suchanek (1979) showed how structural complexity in mussel beds (*Mytilus californianus*) increases both mussel settlement and species diversity. Following the argument that wave impact is one of the determinants of the modal value for adults, a higher mode for lower layers would be expected due to reduced wave force. However, this was not observed. The modal value for lower layers could be explained as a result of decreased growth rate and maximum size, both due to reduced food supply (Coe & Fox 1942, Seed 1969, Suchanek 1979, Kautsky 1982).

The results reported could be explained in terms of size-dependent growth and mortality rates in *Perumytilus purpuratus* (Alvarado unpubl.). However, the mechanisms that produce the size-dependent mortality (e.g. competition, predation, physiological stress) need to be investigated.

The method developed in this paper to collect separate mussel layers allowed us to recognize a differential pattern of recruitment between layers that otherwise would have been missed. The mechanisms that produce the higher retention of juveniles deserve further exploration in the future. So far, most of the sampling methods described to analyze mussel matrices (beds) have not given attention to the layer stratification phenomenon (Harger & Landenberger 1971, Lozada & Reyes 1981, Petersen 1984, McGrath et al. 1988). This signifies a loss of information concerning mussel intra-bed dynamics.
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