Consumer species have limited and variable roles in community organization on a tropical intertidal shore
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ABSTRACT: From a list of specific predictions put forward in 1992, we tested the proposition that, in physically benign environments where herbivorous fishes are abundant, consumer pressure will be the main structuring force in rocky shore communities. Manipulation experiments were done in an intertidal community situated 150 km east of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The presence or absence of basal species (2 types: red algal turf and colonial coelenterate) was tested in combination with the presence or absence of 3 common consumer groups (amphipods, crabs, fishes). The design allowed us to separate the effects of pre-emptive competition and consumer pressure on the abundances of species at the lowest trophic level. Although herbivores were abundant, most effects of consumer species were not significant. Of 16 data sets involving herbivores, 11 were not significant. Pre-emptive competition (detected as a response to presence or absence of basal species) had a major effect in all but 1 case. Further, the effects of grazers were not consistent. Herbivores had no significant effects on Enteromorpha abundance in clearings made in red algal turf patches. In similar clearings made in Zoanthus patches, grazers reduced the cover of Enteromorpha. In contrast, cover of Ulva was enhanced by fishes and crabs in both patch types. Amphipods also increased the abundance of Ulva, but only in clearings in Zoanthus patches. The roles of consumers were therefore inconsistent. Conversely, competitive effects were nearly always significant, consistent, and responsible for most of the variance in the dependent variables tested. Our results show that there is no basis for the generalization which proposes that, in benign tropical shore environments where herbivorous fish are abundant, the effect of consumers dominates community structure, and that competition is an unimportant structuring agent.
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INTRODUCTION

In the late 1970s a comprehensive series of experiments was carried out on the shores situated in the Bay of Panama (Menge & Lubchenco 1981, Menge et al. 1983, 1985, 1986a, b, Lubchenco et al. 1984). On the islands studied, the shores were covered by a pavement of crustose algae, with only 0 to 17% cover by foliose species. Mobile carnivores and herbivores were very abundant and experimental exclusions clearly showed that these species removed most sessile foliose forms. It appeared that consumer pressure kept the abundances of sessile forms so low that competition for space was minimal and therefore had a marginal effect on community organization (Menge et al. 1986b).

Apart from the work in the Bay of Panama, there have been remarkably few experimental studies of community organization on intertidal rocky shores in the tropics, though an extensive program is now developing on shores around Hong Kong (Williams 1994). In spite of the dearth of information, Brosnan (1992) developed a series of generalizations about the organization of tropical intertidal communities on rocky shores. She suggested that it is only the 'relative importance' of ecological processes which differs
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among temperate and tropical shores. The same kinds of ecological interactions occur in tropical and temperate latitudes, but the relative contribution of each to community structure varies across latitudes. Specifically, Brosnan (1992) referred to the importance of competition among basal sessile species versus the effects of consumer species (especially fish) as structuring agents of algal assemblages. In the tropics, herbivorous fish forage in the intertidal zone and Brosnan (1992) concluded that these grazers are primarily responsible for patterns of algal distribution and abundance. Fish have a much more limited role on temperate shores. Brosnan (1992) predicted that, where grazing fish are abundant (i.e. in the tropics), algal growth rates will not compensate for herbivory, and shores will appear bare or dominated by resistant crustose forms. Further, shores subject to fish grazing should have a less patchy appearance than shores in temperate latitudes where such grazers are rare. Most importantly, Brosnan (1992) predicted that competition among foliose algae would be unimportant on tropical shores with abundant grazing fish. Her studies were based on important generalizing models developed by Menge & Sutherland (1976, 1987), and extensively criticized by Underwood & Denley (1984). Specific predictions made by Brosnan (1992) were: (1) in physically benign environments, consumer pressure will be the main structuring force in the community (here, 'benign' means that physical conditions vary little seasonally and that they are not extreme); (2) effects of predation will increase as trophic level decreases (this means that effects are greater at lower levels in the trophic hierarchy); (3) species at an intermediate trophic level will be regulated by both competition and predation; and (4) competition will occur among consumers that escape predation.

The shore at Praia Rasa in the Brazilian tropics supports a lush cover of foliose sessile species (Sgrrott Sauer Machado et al. 1992). The conditions are benign with little seasonal variation in moderate water and air temperatures. The slope is very gentle and wave action is never strong. Herbivorous fish are abundant (authors' pers. obs.). According to the predictions of Brosnan's (1992) model, such conditions should result in sites of heavy predation and little competition. However, the first observation of lush cover by sessile species suggested that the community at Praia Rasa does not conform to the predictions.

Here we report on experiments done to determine the effects of (1) consumer pressure and (2) competition as factors maintaining community organization at Praia Rasa. We were particularly interested in using the results to test Prediction 1 of Brosnan (1992), as presented above.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study site.** The shore investigated is located ca 150 km east of Rio de Janeiro at Praia Rasa, Búzios, Brazil (22° 44' S, 41° 51' W). Basic information on the shore is given in our earlier work (Sgrrott Sauer Machado et al. 1992). The substratum is continuous and mainly formed of Precambrian gneiss. The water is normally quite turbid because of sediment from a river mouth located 5 km from the site. The tides are semi-diurnal and amplitudes vary between 0.8 and 1.0 m. Water temperatures vary between 20.5 and 26°C and air temperatures from 16 to 28°C. The average salinity is 34.7‰. Praia Rasa is unaffected by cold water upwelling which is characteristic of this stretch of coastline (Yoneshigue 1985). There are no sources of pollution.

In the lower intertidal zone there are large patches dominated by perennial plants (Hypnea cervicornis, H. musciformis and Acanthophora spicifera) and colonial sessile coelenterates (Zoanthus sp.). Other patches are dominated by the casts of a sessile polychaete from the genus Phragmatoporna. We worked only within the first 2 patch types. Higher on the shore, there are distinct zones of mussels, barnacles and fucoid algae.

The site is visited by fishermen, but the only species taken from the benthos is the large mussel Perna perna found on the mid shore. None of the plants, herbivores or carnivores included as independent or dependent factors in our study were collected by fishermen.

**Consumer species.** A list of macro- and mesoherbivores (sensu Brawley & Xuengeng 1987) is shown in Table 1. The abundant consumers were herbivores. Note however, that polychaete worms were abundant and not included as experimental factors in this work.

Rough estimations of herbivore abundances were required to decide which consumers should be manipulated in experiments. Species which never foraged in our experimental area, or were very rare, were not considered. Very approximate estimates of herbivore abundances were made as follows. For crabs, a mark-recapture technique was used. We captured as many crabs as possible in 3 of our experimental patches (1 Hypnea/Acanthophora patch and 2 Zoanthus patches) and marked each with a spot of nail polish. The crabs were released and, after 30 min, we recaptured as many as possible. The ratio of marked to unmarked crabs allowed an estimation of crab densities in the patches (Krebs 1985).

Gastropod densities were simply counted within the experimental patches.

Amphipod densities were counted microscopically in 10 core samples (1 cm diameter) collected haphazardly in the Hypnea dominated patches. Amphipods were not normally found in the Zoanthus patches.
Table 1. Macro- and meso-animal species occurrence in the intertidal region of Praia Rasa, Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amphipods (1–6 mm)</th>
<th>Crabs (1–5 cm)</th>
<th>Fishes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphithoe ramondi</td>
<td>Epialtus brasiliensis</td>
<td>Carangidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elasmopus brasilienis</td>
<td>Eriphia gonagra</td>
<td>Carex latum (5–12 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandidierella bonnieroides</td>
<td>Pachygrapsus transversus</td>
<td>Trachinotus carolinus (5–7 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyale media</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trachinotus falcatus (5–7 cm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gastropods</th>
<th>Sea urchins</th>
<th>Zoanthid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anachis lyrata (18 x 8 mm)</td>
<td>Paracentrotus gaimardii (-10 cm diam.)</td>
<td>Zoanthus sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucozonia nassa (60 x 30 mm)</td>
<td>Tegula viridula (23 x 19 mm)</td>
<td>Zoanthus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilsbryspira alboaculata (13 x 5 mm)</td>
<td>Thais haemastoma (75 x 52 mm)</td>
<td>Zoanthus sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilsbryspira aurita (30 x 20 mm)</td>
<td>Sea urchins</td>
<td>Zoanthus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Sizes of experimental blocks (maximum width x maximum length). Blocks were established in 2 patch types in the community mosaic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patch type</th>
<th>Block number</th>
<th>Block size (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypnea/Acanthophora A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.00 x 7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypnea/Acanthophora B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.70 x 9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypnea/Acanthophora C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.30 x 12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoanthus A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.76 x 4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoanthus B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.26 x 4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoanthus C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.86 x 4.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Combinations of treatment factors and devices used to implement them. Experiment was set up in 2 versions. In the first version the basal species was a turf of red algae (Hypnea/Acanthophora). In the second version, the basal species was a colonial coelenterate (Zoanthus). A: absent; P: present; O: open cage; F: full cage; R: roof

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment factors</th>
<th>Device type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Cage Insecticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crabs</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipods</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal spp.</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crabs were excluded with full cages 15 x 15 cm by 10 cm high and constructed of 1 mm Nitex™ mesh on an aluminum angle frame (Parker et al. 1993). The full cages without crabs were tested against cages with holes in the side walls which allowed free ingress of crabs (procedural controls).

Herbivorous fish were abundant at the site. We captured them with nets on 3 occasions (including a nocturnal catch) and by hand in tide pools. No quantitative estimates were made, but stomach contents were analysed for food composition.

Sea urchins were present in grazing scars within both patch types. To determine how mobile these grazers were, we attached plastic straws to the spines and observed animals on 2 subsequent site visits.

Experimental design. A randomized block design was used. We worked within patches of (1) Hypnea/Acanthophora (red algal turf) and (2) Zoanthus. In each case, 3 irregular patches were chosen as blocks. Patch dimensions are given in Table 2.

The experimental factors were (1) crabs, (2) fishes, (3) amphipods and (4) cover of basal sessile species. Separate experiments were done within patches of red algal turf and Zoanthus. Each factor was tested at 2 levels: present or absent (Table 3). The covers of basal sessile species were manipulated manually with scrapers. Consumers were manipulated in various ways.
grazing while excluding crab grazing. In order to make
the design orthogonal for analysis of variance
(ANOVA), the factors 'crabs' and 'fishes' were com-
bined in a single factor ('fast moving herbivores', here-
after FMH) with 3 levels: (1) crabs +, fishes +, (2) crabs+
+, fishes −, (3) crabs −, fishes −. For graphical analysis
and for post hoc multiple range tests, crabs and fishes
were treated separately. The 2 kinds of animals were
subsumed in the factor FMH only in fully crossed
ANOVAs and MANOVAs (multivariate analyses of
variance).

Each treatment was represented once in each block.
Within blocks, treatment assignment was random and
each plot was at least 30 cm from its neighbour. The
factor 'block' was included in the ANOVAs and
MANOVAs in order to reduce error variance. Since
there was no replication of treatments within blocks, it
was not possible to discern Block × Treatment factor
interactions. The assumption here is that, whereas the
magnitude of treatment effects may have differed
among blocks, the direction of the effects was similar
across blocks.

The use of cages, roofs and insect spray carries the
risks of artefacts. To check for artefacts with cages and
roofs, we compared untreated plots with cages and
roofs which were partially open so that herbivore
access was allowed. In this way, it was possible to
check for the effects of caging structures alone.

Checking for artefacts caused by insecticide spray was
more complex. We wanted to know whether the spray
had effects independently of amphipod presence or
absence. This was not possible in a field experiment.
Therefore a laboratory experiment was run. Twenty Ulva
plants were placed in 4 tanks of running seawater and
held for 1 wk. In 2 of the tanks, the plants were removed
from the water and treated with spray once a day. After
spraying, plants were held out of water for 1 h. Controls
were similarly treated, but not sprayed. Weight
changes in treatment and control plants were compared
to test for insecticide spray-induced artefacts.

Dependent variables and data collection. The entire
experiment was installed in winter 1990 (August). Data
were collected at the end of this month and then at 14 d
intervals for 4 mo. Percentage covers of recruiting
algae were estimated with a point intercept technique
(Chapman 1989) in 10 × 10 cm quadrats placed within
the treatment plots. Data were scored from 30 random
points among 100 within the gridded quadrats.

In the treatment plots set up within the red algal turf
patches the abundances of the following species were
analysed as response variables: (1) diatom film, (2)
Enteromorpha sp., (3) Ulva sp., (4) Corallina officinalis
and (5) members of the order Ceramiales (including
Callithamnion, Centroceras, Ceramium, Chondria,
Crouania, Dasya, Falkenbergia, Herposiphonia, Het-
erosiphonia, Laurencia, Polysiphonia, Pterosiphonia
and Spyridia). Falkenbergia is in the Bonnemaiso-
niales, but was included, for convenience, with mem-
bers of the Ceramiales.

Since Corallina officinalis and members of the
Ceramiales were so rare in the Zoanthus patches, we
recorded only Groups (1), (2) and (3) above.

Data analyses. All of the raw data were angular
transformed (arcsin Y) and subjected to MANOVA or
MANCOVA to test for significant effects of treatment
variables and their interactions. The repeated mea-
surements in plots on successive sampling dates con-
stituted the dependent vectors in the analyses. Time
could not be treated as a factor in the analyses because
observations were not independent. The use of
MANOVA or MANCOVA avoids the problem of non-
independence, but makes interpretation of results
complicated. Diatoms, Enteromorpha sp. and Ulva sp.
were each present only during a portion of the experi-
mental period. We excluded from the analysis sam-
ping days when a species/form was absent in most
treatments. Corallina officinalis and members of the
Ceramiales were present throughout (including the
first day). We included alternate sampling days in the
analyses in these cases. The variable abundance of
these forms among treatment plots on the first day
might have influenced the analysis of treatment
effects. We partialled out this confounding influence
by MANCOVAs where cover on the first day was
treated as the covariate.

The data were checked for multivariate normality
and homoscedasticity with Hawkins test (Johnson &
Field 1993). When the data failed to meet the test, the
analysis was abandoned because multivariate analyses
are very sensitive to departures from assumptions.

Before proceeding with MANCOVAs, the assump-
tion of homogeneity of slopes was checked by an
analysis for significant interactions between the covari-
te and the experimental factors. Again, the test was
abandoned because multivariate analyses
could not be treated as a factor in the analyses because
observations were not independent. The use of
MANOVA or MANCOVA avoids the problem of non-
independence, but makes interpretation of results
complicated. Diatoms, Enteromorpha sp. and Ulva sp.
were each present only during a portion of the experi-
mental period. We excluded from the analysis sam-
ping days when a species/form was absent in most
treatments. Corallina officinalis and members of the
Ceramiales were present throughout (including the
first day). We included alternate sampling days in the
analyses in these cases. The variable abundance of
these forms among treatment plots on the first day
might have influenced the analysis of treatment
effects. We partialled out this confounding influence
by MANCOVAs where cover on the first day was
treated as the covariate.

The data were checked for multivariate normality
and homoscedasticity with Hawkins test (Johnson &
Field 1993). When the data failed to meet the test, the
analysis was abandoned because multivariate analyses
are very sensitive to departures from assumptions.

Before proceeding with MANCOVAs, the assump-
tion of homogeneity of slopes was checked by an
analysis for significant interactions between the covari-
te and the experimental factors. Again, the test was
abandoned when significant (p < 0.05) heterogeneity
was detected, and all results presented here meet the
assumption.

If a significant experimental effect was detected by
multivariate analysis, univariate tests (ANOVA or
ANCOVA) were run for each sampling day in ques-
tion. When these tests revealed significant effects,
Tukey-Kramer post hoc comparisons among treatment
pairs were carried out.

Assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity for
univariate analyses were tested by David's test and
Cochran's tests, respectively (Sachs 1984). Between
one-third and one-half of the data for each treatment
combination in each experiment were not normally
distributed. This is not a serious issue for robust uni-
ivariate analyses (Zar 1984). However, when non-
normality and heteroscedasticity occurred together, the test was abandoned. All of the univariate ANOVAs presented below meet the assumption of univariate homoscedasticity.

All analyses were carried out with the SuperANOVArL1 package run on a Macintosh™ computer.

RESULTS

Herbivore abundances

Using the mark-recapture technique, we estimated a very approximate density of 1 crab m⁻². This may be an underestimate since some of the crabs were killed by the nail polish. The crabs were very active and obviously feeding in the study sites. Stomach contents of crabs from a nearby coast showed that the crabs are herbivorous, feeding mainly on foliose algae. The observations made it clear that crabs were sufficiently common and active to warrant inclusion as an experimental factor.

Amphipods were also very abundant. We estimated 8800 ind. m⁻² (standard deviation, SD = 11094) and included these animals in our design.

Fish densities could not be adequately quantified, even on an approximate basis. However, they were clearly very abundant. We checked the stomach contents of 3 omnivorous species: Sphoeroides testudineus (n = 2), Trachinotus carolinus (n = 8) and Umbnna coroides (n = 3). Algal material was common in all of them, including species found at all stages of the successional process (see Sgrott Sauer Machado et al. 1992 for successional sequence). All of the species were also carnivorous.

The densities of slow moving gastropods was so low (≤1 m⁻²), and they were so inactive, that they were not included in the experimental design. Seaurchins were also excluded since they stayed within their home scars, or very close to them and did not forage through the experimental areas (we never saw them near our plots through multiple site visits).

Based on these rough estimates of abundance, we decided to include fish, crabs and amphipods in our analysis of consumer species effects.

Efficacy of treatments

Scraping effectively removed 100% of Zoanthus or Hypnea/Acanthophora cover. We never found crabs or fish in the complete cages and assume that exclusion was complete. It was not possible to observe directly whether or not roofs excluded fish, but it is difficult to believe that larger or medium sized individuals would have gained access to substrate below the mesh.

The insecticide reduced amphipod populations to about 20% of control levels for several days after spraying.

Artifacts caused by cages, roofs and insecticide

We were not able to detect artifact effects of cages or roofs on any of the dependent variables (MANOVAs, MANCOVAs and univariate ANOVAs, p > 0.05).

The spray used to control amphipods was found to significantly reduce the growth of Ulva in cultivation tanks (ANCOVA, F₁,₁₅ = 5.528, p = 0.033).

Treatment effects: within patches of Hypnea/Acanthophora (red algal turf)

A complete qualitative summary of treatment effects is given in Table 4.

Diatom film. Diatoms were especially abundant at early stages of succession and a 5-way MANOVA testing for treatment effects on their cover abundance was carried out on data collected in Weeks 2, 4 and 6 (Table 5). There were no significant treatment effects. Enteromorpha. The mean cover of Enteromorpha in all treatments is shown in Fig. 1. This alga reached its

---

Table 4. Qualitative summary of treatment effects on 5 dependent variables in patches dominated by Hypnea/Acanthophora (red algal turf) or Zoanthus sp. (colonial coelenterate). NS: no significant effect; +: enhancement caused by treatment variable; −: negative effect of treatment variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment variable</th>
<th>Diatoms</th>
<th>Enteromorpha</th>
<th>Ulva</th>
<th>Ceramiales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H/A patch</td>
<td>Zoanthus patch</td>
<td>H/A patch</td>
<td>Zoanthus patch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal species</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast moving herbivores</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipods</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
peak about 1 mo after the experiment was started. We used a MANOVA to test for treatment effects across the second, sixth, tenth and fourteenth weeks (Table 6). Enteromorpha was absent in 5 of the 6 treatments with red algal turf cover. These zero values preclude inclusion of basal species as a treatment factor in a parametric analysis, but, obviously, this red algal turf has a highly inhibitory effect on the development of Enteromorpha (Fig. 1). We analysed for consumer species effects in treatments from which red algal turf had been removed. There were no significant consumer effects (Table 6).

### Table 5. Effects of presence of 3 consumer groups and resident basal species on cover abundances of diatoms and Ulva sp. in patches dominated by *Hypnea/Acanthophora*. Results are for MANOVAs where dependent vectors were repeated cover value measurements over the sampling period. Data are angular transformed (arcsin √Y) cover estimates. Test statistic was Pillai’s Trace. In all cases the assumption of multivariate normality and homoscedasticity has been met (Hawkin’s test). F: variance ratio; p: probability. Degrees of freedom (hypothesis, error) for F are: Diatoms: F_{basal species} = 3,20, and 6.42 for all other estimates; Ulva: F_{basal species} = 4,19, and 8.40 for all other estimates. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, na: not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Diatoms</th>
<th>Algal type</th>
<th>Ulva</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.4066</td>
<td>2.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal species (S)</td>
<td>1.7066</td>
<td>0.1979</td>
<td>7.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast moving herbivores (FMH)</td>
<td>1.811</td>
<td>0.1201</td>
<td>4.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipod (A)</td>
<td>1.508</td>
<td>0.2431</td>
<td>2.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S × FMH</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.5449</td>
<td>1.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S × A</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>0.4009</td>
<td>1.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMH × A</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.9119</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S × FMH × A</td>
<td>1.268</td>
<td>0.2924</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. Effects of presence of 3 consumer groups (within 1 level of substrate type) on cover abundances of *Enteromorpha sp.*, Ceramiales and *Corallina officinalis* in patches dominated by *Hypnea/Acanthophora*. Presence of resident basal species is not included as a factor because of unacceptable multivariate heteroscedasticity and non-normality. Results are for MANCOVAs where dependent vectors were repeated cover value measurements over the sampling period. Data are angular transformed (arcsin √Y) cover estimates. Test statistic was Pillai’s Trace. For MANCOVA, the assumption of homogeneity of slopes was met. Covariates are cover values on first day of sampling. In all cases the assumption of multivariate normality and homoscedasticity has been met (Hawkin’s test). F: variance ratio; p: probability. Degrees of freedom (hypothesis, error) for F are: *Enteromorpha* F_{Basal species} = 4,7, and 8,16 for all other estimates; Ceramiales: F_{Basal species} and F_{Ulva} = 4,6, and 8,14 for all other estimates. *Corallina officinalis*: F_{Basal species} and F_{Ulva} = 3,7, and 6,16 for all other estimates. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, na: not applicable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th><em>Enteromorpha sp.</em></th>
<th>Algal type</th>
<th><em>Corallina officinalis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block</td>
<td>5.006</td>
<td>0.0030**</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariate</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast moving herbivores (FMH)</td>
<td>2.055</td>
<td>0.1048**</td>
<td>1.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipods (A)</td>
<td>1.441</td>
<td>0.3152**</td>
<td>1.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMH × A</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.7806**</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ulva. The cover abundance of this species in all treatments is shown in Fig. 2. A 5-way MANOVA on data collected in Weeks 8 through 14 showed significant direct effects for the FMH grazer group and for the presence or absence of red algal turf (Table 5). There were no significant interactions among treatment factors. Univariate ANOVAs on treatment effects were done on data collected in Weeks 8 through 12. Unacceptable heteroscedasticity (Cochran’s test) invalidated an analysis of the fourteenth week’s data. The results are consistent with the MANOVA. FMH (F_{2,22} ≥ 6.745, p ≤ 0.0052) and red algal turf (F_{1,22} ≥ 18.938, p ≤ 0.0003) only had significant main effects. Overall, the analyses show that Ulva abundance is suppressed by red algal turf. Surprisingly, crabs and fishes had an enhancing effect on the abundance of Ulva. In the plots from which red algal turf had been removed, the abundance of Ulva increased with increasing frequency of grazers in the FMH group. Depending on the date, Ulva cover was 2- to 6-fold higher in plots with both crabs and fishes than in plots from which both of these herbivore groups had been excluded. The treatment which included crabs, but excluded fishes, had intermediate abundances of Ulva. In treatments which included red algal turf, there was also a large difference between plots in which crabs and fishes were included and those plots in which these animals were excluded. FMH grazers consistently enhanced Ulva.
Ceramiales. The cover values for Ceramiales in all treatments are shown in Fig. 3. Ceramiales were either absent or at very low abundances in treatments where the substrate was rock (red algal turf removed). Obviously there was a very strong substrate effect. However, the variance structure of the full data set precludes parametric analysis. For this reason, we carried out a MANCOVA on the effects of consumer groups in plots where red algal turf was present (Table 6). There were no significant effects of consumers on the cover abundances of Ceramiales across the entire sampling period.

**Coralina officinalis.** The cover values of *Coralina officinalis* in each treatment are shown in Fig. 4. The statistical analysis (Table 6) was identical to that for Ceramiales, and again, no significant effects of consumers were found. Substrate clearly had an overwhelming effect. *Coralina* cover values were either zero or close to zero in the absence of a turf of *Hypnea/Acanthophora*.

**Treatment effects: within patches of Zoanthus**

**Diatom film.** The percentage cover of diatoms in all treatment combinations in Zoanthus patches is shown in Fig. 5. Diatoms appeared only in plots where *Zoanthus* was absent and analyses for consumer effects were done only for this level of substrate type. A MANOVA showed no significant effects of consumers on diatom cover across Weeks 2, 4, and 6 (Table 7).

**Enteromorpha.** The cover abundance of *Enteromorpha* is shown in Fig. 6. Again, substantial cover of this green alga developed only when *Zoanthus* was absent.
Within this level of substrate type, we found a significant effect of amphipods, and grazers in the FMH group across Weeks 8 through 12 (MANOVA; Table 7). There were no significant interactions among the grazer groups.

Univariate ANOVAs were done to identify significant consumer effects on each sampling day. Significant effects were found in Weeks 10 and 12. In Week 10, grazers in the FMH group significantly reduced the cover of *Enteromorpha* ($F_{2,10} = 4.210, p = 0.0474$). In Week 12, both amphipods and FMH grazers significantly reduced *Enteromorpha* cover ($F_{1,10} = 21.957, p = 0.009, F_{2,10} = 14.777, p = 0.0010$, respectively). There were no significant interactions between the grazer groups ($F_{2,10} = 0.951, p \geq 0.4186$). The direction of consumer effects was consistent across species and days. Grazers reduced the abundance of *Enteromorpha*.

Significant pairwise differences between treatment combinations were revealed by post hoc tests in Week 12 only. The treatment with no grazers had a significantly higher *Enteromorpha* cover than the treatment with a full complement of grazers. Other treatments formed an intergrading series.

**Ulva.** Cover developed only in the absence of *Zoanthus* (Fig. 7) and further analysis was restricted to this level of substrate type. A MANOVA across Weeks 8 through 12 showed a significant interaction between amphipods and FMH grazers on the abundance of *Ulva* (Table 7). Univariate ANOVAs also showed the same significant interaction effect in Weeks 10 and 12 ($F_{2,10} = 6.633, p = 0.0147$; and $F_{2,10} = 4.542, p = 0.0395$, respectively).

The significant interaction between the 2 grazer groups may be interpreted as follows. When amphipods were present, the cover of *Ulva* was higher than when they were absent in 2 circumstances: (1) when crabs and fishes were present, or (2) when fishes were absent but crabs were present. However, *Ulva* cover was higher when amphipods were absent than when they were present, providing fishes and crabs were also absent.

Overall, the greatest development of *Ulva* occurred when *Zoanthus* was absent, and herbivores were present. Post hoc tests on data for Weeks 10 and 12 show that 3 treatments were significantly different from all others. These 3 treatments had 2 or 3 grazer groups (among fishes, crabs and amphipods) and had significantly higher *Ulva* cover than other treatments with fewer classes of grazers.

**DISCUSSION**

We tested for the effects of 3 main experimental factors on 8 sets of dependent variables (Table 3). Pre-emptive competition (detected as a response to...
presence or absence of basal species) had a major effect in all but 1 case. Most effects of consumer species were not significant. Of 16 data sets involving herbivores, 11 were not significant (Table 4). Further, the effects of grazers were not consistent. Herbivores had no significant effects on Enteromorpha abundance in clearings made in red algal turf patches, but, in similar clearings made in Zoanthus patches, grazers reduced the cover of Enteromorpha. In contrast, cover of Ulva was enhanced by fishes and crabs in both patch types. Amphipods also increased the abundance of Ulva, but only in clearings in Zoanthus patches. We conclude that competition for space among algae was a more important determinant of community structure than grazing in the tropical system we studied.

The mechanism by which grazers frequently enhanced the abundance of Ulva (Table 4) is unknown. Interpretation is especially difficult because significant effects on Enteromorpha, a closely related form, were always negative. Positive effects of grazers on ephemeral algae have been noted before (Chapman 1989), but they remain an enigma.

The major weakness of this study is the artefact caused by insecticide spray used to control amphipod densities. The spray reduced the growth of Ulva in culture tanks. It may well have reduced the cover abundances of dependent variables in the main experiment. The field experiment demonstrated that when amphipods have a significant effect, it can be negative (Table 3). Since the spray also has a potentially negative effect on algal cover, the artefact will reduce the power of tests for negative amphipod effects. Conversely, the artefact will exaggerate the positive grazing effects of amphipods.

Within the 2 patch types (red algal turf and Zoanthus), we studied the effects of grazers in a succesional series of species which developed after scraping (when basal species were removed), and in mature stands which were not scraped. Diatoms, Enteromorpha and Ulva developed in sequence after scraping. Consumer effects were variable among these early
successional forms. They had no effects on diatoms, and had contrasting effects on the 2 leafy green algae. There were no significant grazer effects on mature stands of basal species.

Our overall results strongly contrast with those from the Bay of Panama (Menge & Lubchenco 1981, Menge et al. 1983, 1985, 1986a, b, Lubchenco et al. 1984). These workers found a predominance of crustose algae in the mid and low zones of the intertidal region. Consumer exclusion experiments resulted in a 60-fold increase in foliose algal abundance. These results show clearly the importance of predation at this site. However, no explicit experiments were done to test for competitive interactions. Indirect evidence indicated interference competition among algal crusts. Some species were able to overgrow others and this can certainly indicate the presence of competitive asymmetry. The fact that the algal crusts were overgrown by foliose species when consumers were excluded led Menge et al. (1986b) to conclude that predation is the dominant structuring force, not competition.

In a later work, Menge (1991) performed stepwise multiple regression analyses to determine the percentage of variance in the abundance of each dominant sessile species explained by recruitment, predation, herbivory, competition for space, etc. at sites on the northeast coast of the USA and at Taboguilla Island in Panama. Interestingly, although competition was included as a factor for the analysis of New England (USA) data, it was not included in the analysis for the tropical site (Panama). So there is still no quantitative assessment of basal species competition for the Bay of Panama equivalent to our data from Brazil. Nevertheless, it seems quite certain that the communities at the 2 sites are structured in fundamentally different ways. This means that it is not possible to generalize from Taboguilla to all tropical locations.

Our data may be compared with the predictions of Brosnan (1992) for tropical rocky intertidal shores (see 'Introduction'). Although herbivorous fish were abundant at our site, they were not able to reduce algal cover to produce a bare shore or one dominated by algal crusts. Indeed, we found that fish can have positive rather than negative effects (Table 4). Although consumers were common at Praia Rasa, they were not the main structuring element in the community.

The shore community we studied was very patchy on a scale of meters, contrary to the predictions of Brosnan (1992). We found very patchy communities on all of the shores of the Cabo Frio region of Brazil (authors' unpub. obs.). Finally, we determined that competition between basal species is the most important ecological process on the shore at Praia Rasa even though herbivores were abundant. The impact of herbivores cannot be predicted from their abundance.
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